

**HTSUS 9802.00.90 – U.S. FORMED AND CUT TEXTILE
FABRIC ASSEMBLED IN MEXICO
(FORMERLY MEXICAN SPECIAL REGIME)
TECHNICAL INFORMATION FOR PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY (TIPS)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 BACKGROUND.....2

PART 2 HTSUS 9802.00.90 GUIDANCE.....2

 2.1 EXAMPLES OF RED FLAGS2

 A. *General Red Flags*3

 B. *Red Flags for Origin*3

 C. *Red Flags for Usage*3

 D. *Red Flags for Value*3

 2.2 EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICES.....4

 2.3 EXAMPLES OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO REVIEW4

PART 3 RISK ASSESSMENT AND INTERNAL CONTROL GUIDANCE4

 3.1 RISK5

 A. *Preliminary Assessment of Risk*.....5

 B. *Evaluation of Risk Acceptability*5

 3.2 INTERNAL CONTROL.....5

 3.3 EXTENSIVENESS OF AUDIT SAMPLE TEST (TESTING LIMIT).....6

 3.4 EVALUATION OF PRE-ASSESSMENT OF SURVEY TESTING RESULTS7

 3.5 EXAMPLES.....8

**PART 4 WORKSHEET FOR EVALUATING INTERNAL CONTROL (WEIC) – HTSUS
9802.00.90 (US FORMED AND CUT TEXTILE FABRIC ASSEMBLED IN MEXICO) .11**

HTSUS 9802.00.90 – U.S. FORMED AND CUT TEXTILE FABRIC ASSEMBLED IN MEXICO (FORMERLY MEXICAN SPECIAL REGIME) TECHNICAL INFORMATION FOR PRE-ASSESSMENT SURVEY (TIPS)

PART 1 BACKGROUND

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance for performing a Pre-Assessment Survey (PAS) of the company's internal controls for merchandise entered under HTSUS 9802.00.90 and evaluating the results.

Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards require the PAS team to obtain a sufficient understanding of internal controls to plan the audit and determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed.

The guidelines and terms in this document are based on *Assessing Internal Controls in Performance Audits*, GAO/OP-4.1.4, published by the United States General Accounting Office, Office of Policy, September 1990, and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants *Statement on Auditing Standards No. 78*.

PART 2 HTSUS 9802.00.90 GUIDANCE

Subheading 9802.00.90 provides duty-free treatment for textile and apparel goods assembled in Mexico in which all fabric components were wholly formed and cut in the United States, provided that such fabric components, in whole or in part, (a) were exported in condition ready for assembly without further fabrication; (b) have not lost their physical identity in such articles by change in form, shape or otherwise; and (c) have not been advanced in value or improved in condition abroad except by being assembled and except by operations incidental to the assembly process; provided that goods classifiable in chapter 61, 62, or 63 may have been subject to bleaching, garment dyeing, stone-washing, acid-washing, or perma-pressing after assembly. The returned articles are completely nondutiable and are not subject to an absolute quota or to visa requirements.

All fabric components (including linings, pocketing, interfacing, and interlining), with the exception of findings, trimmings, and certain elastic strips (i.e., thread, snaps, bow buds, hooks and eyes, buttons, zippers, lace trim, labels, elastic < 1 inch wide) not exceeding 25 percent of the cost of the components of the assembled product, must be U.S. formed and cut. (Note: The measurement for determining the 25 percent is the cost of the components, not the value of the product as a whole. This means that labor value involved in the assembly operation is irrelevant for the purpose of determining the maximum allowable foreign content.) The same firm must act as the exporter of cut parts and importer of assembled articles.

Generally, grieve fabric imported into the United States and then finished in the United States does not qualify.

The product must be assembled in Mexico.

2.1 EXAMPLES OF RED FLAGS

The following examples of conditions that may indicate a potential problem in 9802.00.90) are broken down into four categories: (A) General, (B) Origin, (C) Usage, and (D) Value.

A. General Red Flags

- The company has insufficiently documented, poorly defined, or no internal controls for accurately declaring 9802.00.90 for Customs purposes.
 - ✓ The company does not monitor or interact with the broker on 9802.00.90 issues.
 - ✓ The company relies on one employee to handle 9802.00.90 issues, and there are poor or no management checks or balances over this employee.
- Company Customs staff lack knowledge of 9802.00.90 eligibility requirements.
- The company offers unreasonable explanations to Customs inquiries.
- The company fails to cooperate with or respond to Customs.
- The company has a high turnover of people in key Customs positions.
- Significant variance exists between the importer's data and Customs data.
- Customs (e.g., import specialist, account manager, compliance measurement, prior audit, other profile information) shows a history of problems with 9802.00.90.
- U.S. and foreign components are commingled.
- The description of the assembly process for the imported article includes descriptions involving fabrication, completion, or improvement.
- The company has no export documents to show that components were shipped to the manufacturer.
- The company has many drawback claims.

B. Red Flags for Origin

- The company has no mill invoices, mill certificates, or manufacturers' affidavits (including name of mill and/or manufacturer), or invoices, certificates, or affidavits on file are incomplete.
- The company has no cutting tickets (including name and location of facility, style number, total number being cut, and type of fabric) or incomplete cutting tickets on file.
- Certificates of Origin are from a known distributor/wholesaler.
- The company dual sources fungible or commercially interchangeable components.

C. Red Flags for Usage

- The importer cannot provide records to prove the U.S. components were used in production.
- Inventory and accounting records indicate that the quantities of components purchased and shipped are less than the quantities claimed as 9802.00.90.
- Components are not shown on the bill of materials for the imported article.

D. Red Flags for Value

- The import specialist/account manager have previous experience with the company failing to file cost submissions or preparing inaccurate cost submissions.
- The costs of the components deducted from the foreign invoice value were not included in the foreign invoice value.
- The export value of the components is less than the value associated with the components upon importation as part of the finished article.

2.2 EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICES

- Internal controls over 9802.00.90:
 - ✓ Are in writing;
 - ✓ Include procedures for monitoring and feedback; and
 - ✓ Are approved by management.
- One manager is ultimately responsible for control of the Import Department, including ensuring eligibility of merchandise entered under 9802.00.90. That manager has knowledge of Customs matters and the power to ensure that internal control procedures for imports are established and followed by all company departments.
- Written internal control procedures assign duties and tasks to a position rather than a person.
- The company has good interdepartmental communication about Customs matters.
- The company conducts and documents periodic reviews of 9802.00.90 merchandise and uses the results to make corrections to entries and changes to its import operations as appropriate.
- The importer obtains manufacturers' affidavits and other documentation supporting U.S. origin prior to claiming 9802.00.90.
- The importer obtains documentation to support the FOB U.S. port of export value of components prior to claiming 9802.00.90.

2.3 Examples of Documents and Information to Review

- Written internal control policies and procedures for ensuring proper 9802.00.90 eligibility
- The company's responses to the questionnaire
- Interviews with company staff concerning actual procedures and controls specific to 9802.00.90
- Company documentation that supports monitoring and verification of established and/or written internal controls over 9802.00.90, such as:
 - ✓ Entry Summary and invoice
 - ✓ Manufacturer's affidavits
 - ✓ Certificates of Origin
 - ✓ Mill invoice
 - ✓ Cutting ticket
 - ✓ Transportation records from mill to cutting facility to border to assembler
 - ✓ Cost submission
 - ✓ Production records
 - ✓ Inventory records
 - ✓ Export documents (e.g., Mexican Pedimento, bill of lading)
 - ✓ Cost sheets
 - ✓ Accounting records
 - ✓ Bills of materials
 - ✓ Specification sheets
- Internal and external audit reports

PART 3 RISK ASSESSMENT AND INTERNAL CONTROL GUIDANCE

PAS team judgment should be used to determine the type and amount of testing needed to evaluate the effectiveness of internal controls and to determine whether there is sufficient risk to warrant proceeding to the Assessment Compliance Testing (ACT) phase.

Using the chart and guidelines below, determine through limited judgmental testing whether the company's internal controls are effective.

To determine the extensiveness of internal control testing, it is necessary to evaluate:

1. **Risk**; and
2. The **internal control** system, by determining whether the controls are in operation, how the controls were applied, how consistently they were applied, and who applied them.

3.1 RISK

A. Preliminary Assessment of Risk

Before any audit work begins at the company the team should make a preliminary assessment of risk (PAR) using information obtained from Customs or publicly available information. The purpose of the PAR is to evaluate identified potential risks to Customs based on analytical reviews of Customs data and other Customs information. This review will identify areas of potential risk and eliminate some areas with insignificant risk. The PAR should be conducted using the form in Attachment 1 to the PAS Audit Program.

B. Evaluation of Risk Acceptability

After the audit work begins with the company the team will refine the assessment of risk. After all audit work has been completed the team will determine whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable using the PAS Audit Program as summarized in the following steps.

- Determine what activities pose a significant risk to Customs.
- Test the existence, effectiveness and implementation of internal control and determine if internal control is adequate to control risk.
- Using the results of the internal control review, develop an opinion whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable.

3.2 INTERNAL CONTROL

To evaluate the internal control system:

1. Consider the five components of internal control:
 - Control Environment
 - Risk Assessment
 - Control Activities
 - Information and Communication
 - Monitoring
2. Review relevant Customs and company documents to identify and understand relevant internal controls over 9802.00.90. (Examples of documents and information to review are listed above.)

3. Determine whether the company has established and follows procedures. Review:
 - Documentary evidence of the results of periodic internal control reviews/testing and corrective action implemented.
 - Documentary evidence of communication with the broker and company departments on 9802.00.90 issues, including company testing of broker operations and verification that the broker followed company instructions.
 - Documentary evidence that company-specific rulings are requested and followed.
 - Documentary evidence of intercompany communications to ensure that correct information is provided to Customs.
 - Training records and materials used to educate staff on Customs matters.
 - Documentary evidence that the company ensures that the merchandise was exported from the United States without payment of drawback.
 - Documentary evidence that the company ensures that the merchandise was not advanced in value or improved in condition while abroad.
4. Review written policies and procedures and interview applicable company personnel to complete appropriate sections of the Worksheet for Evaluating Internal Control (WEIC) Over 9802.00.90 in PART 5 of this document.

Note: The internal control assessment should include steps to:

- Identify and understand internal controls.
- Determine what is already known about control effectiveness.
- Assess the adequacy of internal control design.
- Determine whether controls are implemented and effective.
- Determine whether transaction processes are documented.

3.3 Extensiveness of Audit Sample Test (Testing Limit)

The purpose of limited PAS testing is to take a survey in order to determine the necessity for and extent of substantive tests. In some circumstances the PAS team may decide that it probably will not be able to form an opinion based on limited PAS testing. In such cases it may be necessary to proceed immediately to the ACT process. If the PAS team believes that it can form an opinion based on limited PAS testing, test the appropriate number of controls and associated transactions using the table below. Tests may be appropriate for various areas below the HTSUS 9802.00.90 level that will be reported on. For example, the company may import from several foreign companies, but testing may be necessary only for certain companies or only for certain imports that have been identified as the primary risks.

Extensiveness of Audit Tests

PAR Level	+	Preliminary Review Internal Control	=	Extensiveness of Audit Test	Testing Limit
High		Weak Adequate Strong		High Moderate to High Low to Moderate	10-20

PAR Level	+	Preliminary Review Internal Control	=	Extensiveness of Audit Test	Testing Limit
Moderate		Weak		Moderate to High	5-15
		Adequate		Moderate	
		Strong		Low	
Low		Weak		Low to Moderate	1-10
		Adequate		Low	
		Strong		Very Low	

Source: Adapted from *Assessing Internal Controls in Performance Audits*.
 Column titled "Testing Limit" reflects Customs test sizes.

Example: Validation of Company Control Activity

One of the company's internal controls over 9802.00.90 is it reviews every 20th 9802.00.90 transaction to ensure that 9802.00.90 transactions are properly declared. The company maintains a "9802.00.90 Review Log" to document this review process. To determine internal control effectiveness, the PAS team may decide to verify that the company review procedure identifies incorrectly declared 9802.00.90 and that the company takes appropriate corrective action, including improved procedures to avoid future improperly declared 9802.00.90.

The PAS team may select a limited number of reviewed items from the "9802.00.90 Review Log" to verify that 9802.00.90 was properly reviewed to determine accurate declaration of 9802.00.90 and that any incorrectly declared 9802.00.90 entries were corrected (causes identified and procedures corrected to ensure future compliance) and reported to Customs.

In addition, the PAS team should verify that the company took action to avoid future improperly declared 9802.00.90 after such errors were identified. In order to do this, the PAS team should verify that the same types of improperly declared items were correctly declared on subsequent entries. The following are examples of some of the tests that can be performed to determine whether 9802.00.90 are accurately declared.

Origin

- Compare the dates of manufacturers' affidavits to the dates of 9802.00.90 claims.
- Compare the dates of cutting tickets to the dates of export of components.
- Review purchase orders and bills of materials to identify dual sourcing of materials.
- Conduct third-party verifications to verify origin.

Usage

- Using inventory and accounting records, identify the quantities of components purchased and shipped compared to the quantities claimed as 9802.00.90.
- Conduct a plant tour.

Value

- Compare the 9802.00.90 value on the cost submission to accounting records.

3.4 Evaluation of Pre-Assessment of Survey Testing Results

The following steps are guidance for determining the effectiveness of the company's internal controls over 9802.00.90.

1. Complete the WEIC to determine whether risk is acceptable or unacceptable and document why. Put the results of testing in perspective and evaluate confirmed weakness as a whole. The evaluation should consider the results of the internal control testing, problems identified in the profile, and/or concerns raised by the import specialist or account manager. The team must evaluate the PAS results based on the specific situation(s).
2. The following will help the PAS team determine whether conditions warrant proceeding to ACT:

Do not proceed to ACT if:

- Cost-benefit analysis warrants no further effort, (do not spend a significant amount of resources to identify a potential loss of revenue considered insignificant.) and
- The result of review indicated that the error was due to an isolated incident.
- If substantive tests necessary to determine a compliance rate or revenue loss can be performed quickly and without extensive effort, the team should immediately perform the substantive tests without proceeding to ACT.

Proceed to ACT if:

- The company does not have adequate internal control and the review indicated a material loss of revenue that cannot be quantified without statistical sampling or further review.
- The importer will not quantify the loss of revenue.
- The company refuses to take corrective action on systemic errors and it is necessary to calculate a compliance rate to evidence significant non-compliance.

Note: If substantive tests necessary to determine a compliance rate or revenue loss can be performed quickly and without extensive effort, the team should immediately perform the substantive tests without proceeding to ACT.

3. Determine whether referrals should be made for enforcement actions.

3.5 EXAMPLES

The following examples of situations that might be encountered under PAS are *for clarification purposes only*.

Example A: Situation in which the team would not proceed to ACT (Compliance)

Company's Policies and Procedures

The company's Customs Compliance Manual requires the buyer to identify U.S.-origin components used in the assembly of imported articles. This includes obtaining manufacturers' affidavits from suppliers prior to making the 9802.00.90 claim. The affidavits are compared to the bills of materials for imported articles to identify where a 9802.00.90 claim can be made. The buyer is also responsible for conferring with the foreign assembler in Mexico to make sure that the invoice to be sent to the company sets forth the cost or value of the articles and the

assembly. The Customs Compliance Manual further requires the Customs Department to maintain and have ready for submission the Mexico Customs Entry (Pedimento), invoice for Mexico Customs, and bill of lading/air waybill related to the export of the merchandise from the United States for assembly in case the U.S. Customs Service should request additional supporting documentation.

Monitoring Activities

The Customs Compliance Manual also includes procedures to verify compliance. First, the company's Customs Department conducts a cursory review of all entries filed by the Customs broker. If an error is identified, the Company sends the broker a letter describing the type of error, with instructions to correct the error. In addition, the company reconciles quantities of exported articles to imported articles on a monthly basis to ensure that materials imported do not exceed quantities of materials originally exported.

Finally, the Manual establishes procedures for conducting internal audits on a semiannual basis. The Manual requires the import/export compliance manager to select 26 entries (one from each week in the 6-month period) for detailed review. If the review discloses any entry to be substantially noncompliant, the manager also checks entries made in the 15 days before and 15 days after the noncompliant entry was made. Within 2 weeks of completing the audit, the manager is required to prepare a report with findings and recommendations and submit it to the director of the Import/Export Department.

Pre-Assessment Survey

To determine whether the controls were working, the PAS team:

- Interviewed employees in the Purchasing Department to determine whether they are familiar with the procedures established in the Customs Compliance Manual.
- Selected five entries from ACS and:
 - ✓ Reviewed manufacturers' affidavits and compares the part numbers against the bills of materials.
 - ✓ Verified that the fabric was formed and cut in the United States.
 - ✓ Traced the 9802.00.90 value shown on the bills of materials to the 9802.00.90 claim made at entry.
 - ✓ Identified part numbers on the bills of materials that were not covered by a manufacturer's affidavit.
 - ✓ Reviewed assembly orders to determine the type of work to be conducted by the foreign company.
 - ✓ Determined whether the invoice identified the value of the foreign materials, assembly performed on the merchandise, and the cost or the value of the article.
 - ✓ Compared the assembly orders to the commercial invoices.
 - ✓ Determined whether the company maintained copies of the foreign customs entry, foreign customs invoice, and bill of lading or airway bill.
- Reviewed the correspondence file to the Customs brokers.
- Reviewed the most current compliance report prepared by the import/export compliance manager.

Since the PAS team was able to verify that controls were in place and working effectively, proceeding to ACT was not considered necessary.

Example B: Situation in which the team would not proceed to ACT (Revenue).

The circumstances were the same as in example A above, except that the company failed to maintain manufacturers' affidavits and stopped conducting the semiannual compliance reviews. However, the company agreed with the PAS findings and was able to quantify the actual loss of revenue caused by not being able to support 9802.00.90 eligibility. Therefore, proceeding to ACT was not considered necessary.

Example C: Situation in which the team would proceed to ACT (Compliance).

The circumstances were the same as in example B above, except that the company disagreed with taking proper corrective action. Because the company was unable to prove that fabric was formed and cut in the United States, failed to monitor compliance with Customs requirements, and did not agree to take corrective action, it was necessary to calculate a compliance rate. Thus the audit team proceeded to ACT.

Example D: Situation in which the team would proceed to ACT (Revenue).

The circumstances were the same as in example B above, except that the company was not able to quantify the loss of revenue caused by not being able to support 9802.00.90 eligibility. Therefore, proceeding to ACT was considered necessary.

PART 4 WORKSHEET FOR EVALUATING INTERNAL CONTROL (WEIC) – HTSUS 9802.00.90 (US Formed and Cut Textile Fabric Assembled in Mexico)

PURPOSE: To determine whether 9802.00.90 risk is acceptable.

The completion of this worksheet provides evidence that the five components of internal control: Control Environment, Risk Assessment, Control Activities, Information and Communications, and Monitoring were evaluated.

During this phase of the process, an internal control review will be completed and factors for internal control related to an assessment of Risk Exposure including Internal Control Red Flags, Susceptibility, Management Support and Competent Personnel will be considered. The completion of this worksheet provides evidence that these factors were evaluated.

All answers must be linked to supporting documentation.

OBJECTIVES:

Section 1 - Internal Control Questions	Consolidate information learned about internal control through interviews and document reviews to form a preliminary assessment of internal control before testing. For work paper reference column titled "Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews," confirm that the control is implemented through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interviews and requesting evidence from the company and • Reviews of documents that provide evidence that the company completed the activity.
Section 2 - Preliminary Internal Control Assessment	Use information consolidated in Section 1 to make a preliminary assessment whether internal control is strong, adequate, weak or nonexistent.
Section 3 - Sample sizes	Use the Preliminary Assessment of Risk (PAR) Level and the Preliminary Internal Control Assessment to determine the sample size for each sample.
Section 4 - Results of Sample Testing	Use information in Section 4 to record the results of PAS testing to evaluate whether internal control is effective to provide reasonable assurance of compliance.
Section 5 - Risk Opinion	Use information in section 1-4 to record the PAS opinion that risk is acceptable or unacceptable

Section 1 – Internal Control Questions

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
1.	Are internal controls over 9802.00.90 formally documented?					
2.	Are written policies and procedures approved by management?					
3.	Does the company review and update written policies and procedures periodically?					
4.	Is one manager ultimately responsible for control of the Import Department, including 9802.00.90? Does that manager have knowledge of Customs matters and the power to ensure that internal control procedures for imports are established and followed by all company departments?					
5.	Do written internal control procedures assign 9802.00.90 duties and tasks to a position rather than a person?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
6.	Does the company have good interdepartmental communication about 9802.00.90 matters? Is there a reliable communication system in place to ensure that employees have access to current 9802.00.90 and other Customs information (e.g., rulings)?					
7.	Does the company conduct and document periodic reviews of entries declared under 9802.00.90?					
8.	Does the company use 9802.00.90 periodic review results to make 9802.00.90 corrections to past and present filed entries?					
9.	Does the company use 9802.00.90 periodic reviews to make changes to its import operations as appropriate?					
10.	Does the company provide adequate training for employees responsible for Customs matters?					
11.	Does the company identify, analyze, and manage risks related to 9802.00.90?					
12.	Has the company identified any risks related to 9802.00.90 and implemented control mechanisms?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
	9802.00.90 Specific					
13.	Documentation. Does the company's recordkeeping system include a retention program and identify documents needed to support 9802.00.90 claims?					
14.	Documentation. Has the company established a reliable system or procedure to produce any required entry documentation and supporting information?					
15.	Origin. Does the company have procedures in place to verify U.S. origin? For example, are suppliers required to provide manufacturers' affidavits, cutting tickets, or other documentation proving the U.S. origin of parts (i.e., that the fabric was U.S. formed <i>and</i> cut)?					
16.	Origin. Does the company have procedures for follow-up with suppliers or cutters to confirm accuracy of such information? Is documentation maintained to support follow-up of information with suppliers or cutters?					
17.	Origin. Do commercial invoices include country of origin, value, part number, and serial numbers?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
18.	Origin. Are part numbers for U.S.-origin components maintained in a database that is provided to the company's brokers?					
19.	Origin. Does the importer maintain manufacturers' affidavits or other documentation proving U.S. origin?					
20.	Advanced or Improved. Does the importer maintain assemblers' declarations or other documentation attesting to the fact that the merchandise was not advanced in value or improved in condition?					
21.	Advanced or Improved. Are descriptions of the assembly process obtained prior to making 9802.00.90 claims on new or revised products?					
22.	Usage. Does the importer have specific identifiers, such as serial numbers, to trace the merchandise through the inventory system?					
23.	Usage. Are suppliers required to provide a bill of materials and cost sheet that identify 9802 components and confirm usage of these U.S. components?					

No.	Internal Control (IC)	Yes	No	Work Paper Reference		Comments
				IC Manual Page Number	Is Implementation of Control Supported by Documentation and/or Interviews?	
24.	Value. Is the cost submission filed timely, and does it include the actual cost of 9802.00.90 claims?					
25.	Are the Design and Purchasing Departments required to notify the company's Customs Department formally of any design/supplier changes that affect imported products?					
26.	Non-qualifying. Does the company have procedures in place to ensure that drawback was not previously claimed on articles entered under 9802.00.90?					
27.	Does the company provide adequate broker oversight to ensure proper 9802.00.90 declarations and data accuracy?					
28.	Does the company have adequate internal control to address specific issues identified in the profile?					
29.	List company-specific procedures below (if applicable)					

Section 2 - Preliminary Internal Control Assessment

Use information obtained in section 1 above to make a preliminary assessment of internal control as strong, adequate, weak, or nonexistent.

	Strong	Adequate	Weak	None*
Internal Control				

* If the team concludes that the company does not have internal control, risk is not acceptable so proceed to Section 5 below.

Section 3 – Sample Sizes

Use the matrix for determining Extensiveness of Audit Tests in section 3.3 of TIPS to determine the extensiveness of audit tests to confirm that internal control is effective. Multiple samples are possible. Samples and sample items should concentrate on risk.

Sample Area	PAR Level (High, Moderate, or Low)	Internal Control Level (Weak, Adequate, or Strong) From Section 2 Above	Testing Limit (1-20)

Section 4 - Results of Sample Testing

Use the results of sample testing to determine if internal control is effective.

Results of Testing	Yes or No
Is IC effective to provide reasonable assurance to preclude significant risk?	

Section 5 - Risk Opinion

Use the information developed in Sections 1-4 to record the PAS opinion that risk is acceptable or unacceptable.

Risk Opinion	Yes or No	Comments
Acceptable		

If risk is not acceptable the audit team may need to proceed to ACT or have company do quantification.