
Great Idea Form

Requirement Summary

GIF- 908		Status:		Submit Date:	July 14, 2009
Title:	CSPO GIF 908 (ENT 009) Aggregate Reconciliation				

Origination

Requirement Initiator:	Art Litman
Initiator Email:	litman@customsAdvice.com
Initiator Phone:	310-838-4205
Sponsor:	Valarie Neuhart, CBP; Katrina Marbley, CBP

Source:

Source:
Trade Request

Business Sponsor

Business Office:	Office of Information and Technology
Executive Director for the Business Office:	Lou Samenfink

CSPO Planning

Change to CSPO System?	
Change Planned?	Where/When Planned?
Assign to System:	Assign to Release/Delivery:

Requirements Description

Business Area:	
Request Type:	Business Need
Impacts Trade?	Yes
Description of Change:	<p>The Trade requests that the current option to file Aggregate Reconciliation's be retained. This allows importers to file consolidated revisions for the entire reconciliation period without apportioning the adjustments to individual entry summaries. Instead, lump sum adjustments are reported to Customs by tariff number, origin and special program indicator. In addition, once Customs has been satisfied that its release control function requirement has been met, there should be no need to link reconciliation data back to individual releases (for either Aggregate or Entry by Entry Reconciliation's).</p>

	Filers need the ability to perform real time queries against ACE to determine the baseline data, which will be subject to Reconciliation. In order to match data at the aggregate level, the system should either (a) provide the importer to see rolled up data at the same category level as will be subject to Aggregate Reconciliation (e.g., tariff #, origin, special program indicator) or (b) allow the filer to extract data in a format which can be easily sorted and totaled The system should not be programmed to require that the Aggregate Reconciliation be linked to specific entries, entry summaries or IASS's. Aggregate Reconciliation adjustments need not be allocated or apportioned to any specific transactions. Current procedures should be preserved whereby the method of flagging does not dictate whether Aggregate Reconciliation versus entry summary (or IASS) by entry summary Reconciliation is performed. For example, entry by entry flagging may be used for notice of intent to reconcile even if an Aggregate Reconciliation is filed. A filer should be able to clear all flags by indicating that the Aggregate Reconciliation is intended to relieve all flags for the particular IOR. An Aggregate Reconciliation may be filed at any time up to the deadline and the filer may certify that it is complete.
Benefit of Change:	Provides a great cost savings by obviating the need to tie broad adjustments (e.g., financial adjustments covering multiple products and imports) back to specific summary declarations.
Impact Assessment:	

System/Subsystem

System:		Cargo Business Area:	
----------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Implementation Requirements

Needed By Date:	A2	Change Urgency:	
Level of Effort:		Cost Estimate:	

Sponsor Recommendation

Sponsor Recommendation:	
--------------------------------	--

Sponsor Comments:

Board Disposition

Date:	Disposition:	Comments:

Next Steps:

Next Steps:

Reasons for

Return/Deferral/Withdrawn/Rejection/Forward to PO

Reason for Return:	
Reason for Deferral:	
Reason for Withdrawal:	
Reason for Rejection:	
Reason for Forward to PO:	

Secretary Comments:

Comments:

Related Items:

CR#:	CR Name:
PTR#:	PTR Name:

Attachments

Attachments:	
--------------	--

Action Descriptions

Document History

Action History

Date:	User Name:	Note:

Update History

Date:	User Name:	Note:
