Tuesday, January 17, 2017

APPREHENSIONS: 2

- Mexican: 0
- CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
- OTM: 0
- NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
- ASIC: 0
- 1-247: 0

SEIZURES: 0

- CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE SEIZED
- AMOUNT: 0 grams
- CASH: $0.00
- USD: 
- OTHER: None
- CONVEYANCE: None
- OTHER: None

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

10-19 approximately 2300 hours. Agent assisted local with call out regarding health concern. Subject refused medical attention. Agents assisted UPSET TFAs locate and arrest two wanted felons (see (b)(7)(E) for more details). One of the wanted felons had international crossings. It is being investigate if there was any illegal cross-border activity (see (b)(7)(E) Workspace (b)(7)(E)).

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

N/A

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

N/A
# U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

## END OF SHIFT REPORT

**Tuesday, January 31, 2017**

### (b)(7)(E)

**Report Submitted By: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

### SWING SHIFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPREHENSIONS: Q</th>
<th>CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0</th>
<th>TOT: 0</th>
<th>NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0</th>
<th>WARRANT: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican: 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM: 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC: 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-247: 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SEIZURES: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE SEIZED</th>
<th>AMOUNT: 0 grams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASH: $0.00</td>
<td>USD $0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEYANCE: None</td>
<td>OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Controlled Substance Seized**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE</td>
<td>0 grams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conveyance:** None

**Other:** None

### (b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:

N/A

### COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

- Assisted UPSET Officers with tracking down and arresting two subjects with felony warrants for drug-related charges.

### ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

N/A

### OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

- N/A

---

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY//LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

UPDATED: September 24, 2018

CBPBATES000003863
**U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR**

END OF SHIFT REPORT

Wednesday, February 01, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apprehensions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican: 0</td>
<td>CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM: 0</td>
<td>NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC: 0</td>
<td>TOT: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247: 0</td>
<td>WARRANT: 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seizures</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Substance: 0 grams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash: $0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Collaborative Activities & Miscellaneous Enforcement Activities**

Did not arrive on swings. BPAs assisted UPSET TF with **(b)(7)(E)**

**Enhanced Deployment Posture**

N/A

**Other Information to Pass**

T&As are due this weekend. Please schedule either **(b)(7)(E)** on 02/20/2017.
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT
Monday, April 24, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPREHENSIONS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican: 0</td>
<td>CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM: 0</td>
<td>NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC: 0</td>
<td>WARRANT: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247: 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SEIZURES: 0    | |
| CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None | AMOUNT: N/A |
| CASH: $0.00 | USD | OTHER |
| CONVEYANCE: None | |
| OTHER: None | |

| NUMBERS GENERATED: | N/A |

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Train arrived and was 10-19.

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE
N/A

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

UPSET Task Force requested BP K9 assistance. All BP K9 Agents are detailed out and unavailable to respond.
From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 11:32 PM
To: [redacted]

Subject: SWINGS_042417.docx
Attachments: SWINGS_042417.docx

Please see attached.

The had no anomalies.

UPSET requested a K9 Agent, however none were available to respond. Both are detailed out.

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Station
U.S. Border Patrol
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 11:18 PM
To: OIC Intake; (b)(7)(E) AGENTS; (b)(7)(E) SUP; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: SWINGS_061217.docx
Attachments: SWINGS_061217.docx

U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR
END OF SHIFT REPORT
Monday, June 12, 2017

Report Submitted By: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SWING SHIFT

APPREHENSIONS: 0
Me
x
i
e
a

:

0

OT
M

:

0

ASI
C

:
0
1
2
4
7

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0
WARRANT: 0
SEIZURES: 0
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: TOTAL MEXICAN APPS
AMOUNT: TOTAL MEXICAN APPS
CASH: $0.00
OTHER: None
CONVEYANCE: None
OTHER: None

(b)(7)(E):
EVE
NT
NU
MB
ERS
GEN
ERA
TED:
N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES
(b)(7)(E): train did not arrive on swing shift.
BPA's assigned to UPSET TF, along with (b)(7)(E): Detectives conducted a search warrant
at a residence in Gaylord, MI following a BPA led investigation
of synthetic narcotics smuggling via US Mail from Hong Kong (See 1-44: (b)(7)(E):
(b)(7)(E): seized various types of suspected narcotics (weights and
chemical characteristics unknown at this time) and paraphernalia. (b)(7)(E): plans on issuing a
press release citing USBP involvement in the case. No arrests
have been made at this time.
ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE
N/A
OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS
N/A
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Station
U.S. Border Patrol

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 12:00 PM  
To: [b](7)(E) AGENTS; [b](7)(E) SUP  
Subject: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  
Attachments: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  

Gentleman,

Here is a quick reference on Mr. [b](6), [b](7)(C) He has a [b](7)(E) on him if he does cross back into Canada. There is also a workspace [b](7)(E) He is a Canadian overstay currently residing at [b](6), [b](7)(C) His last crossing was 03/26/2106 and has been refused entry in the past.

We are currently trying to determine [b](7)(E) at this time only. We are not looking to pick him off just yet. We are trying to find out if and [b](7)(E) We also would like to know who he is associating with.

Happy hunting [b](6), [b](7)(C)  

[b](7)(E) UPSET claims he was [b](7)(E) when they where watching him and had to let him go.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 11:18 PM
To: OIC Intake; (b)(7)(E) SUP; (b)(7)(E) AGENTS; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Swings End of Shift report 01/17/2017
Attachments: SWINGS_011717.docx

10-19 approximately 2300 hours. Agent assisted local with call out regarding health concern. Subject refused medical attention.

Agents assisted UPSET TFAs locate and arrest two wanted felons (see (b)(7)(E) for more details). One of the wanted felons had international crossings. It is being investigate if there was any illegal cross-border activity (see (b)(7)(E)).

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Station
U.S. Border Patrol
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 2017 11:03 PM
To: OIC Intake; SUP; AGENTS; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Swings End of Shift report 02/01/2017
Attachments: SWINGS_020117.docx

(b)(7)(E) did not arrive on swings. BPAs assisted UPSET TF with (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
(b)(7)(E) Station
U.S. Border Patrol
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Executive Summary of New Cases and Update of Activities

(b)(7)(E) On May 26, 2017, a suspect was arrested on a felony warrant from UPSET. (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) On April 26, 2017, a suspect was arrested on a felony warrant from UPSET. (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) On April 26, 2017, a suspect was arrested on a felony warrant from UPSET. (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) On May 01, 2017, a suspect was arrested on a four count felony warrant from UPSET. (b)(7)(E) - On May 15, 2017, a suspect was arrested on a felony warrant from UPSET. (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) On May 01, 2017, a suspect was interviewed by UPSET detectives. The suspect is not cooperating.

(b)(7)(E) On May 26, 2017, a suspect was interviewed by UPSET detectives. The suspect is cooperating.

(b)(7)(E) On May 17, 2017 for five .5 grams of Molly was conducted in Mackinac County utilizing an UPSET and (b)(7)(E) Case pends further investigation.

(b)(7)(E) On May 10, 2017 for Suboxone strip was conducted in Chippewa County utilizing an UPSET Case pends further investigation.

(b)(7)(E) On May 01, 2017 for seven Hydrocodone pills was conducted in Chippewa County utilizing an UPSET Case pends further investigation.

- On May 16, 2017 for two Suboxone pills was conducted in Chippewa County utilizing an UPSET Case pends further investigation.
On May 02, 2017, for two morphine pills and one gram of crack cocaine was conducted in Luce County utilizing an UPSET. Case pends further investigation.

On May 18, 2017, for 1.5 grams of crack cocaine was conducted in Luce County utilizing an UPSET and an undercover agent. Case pends further investigation.

On May 03, 2017 a joint investigation was conducted between UPSET detectives for the Manufacture of Methamphetamine. The investigation involves a number of suspects. The investigation led to an affidavit/search warrant being drafted & executed in Mackinac County. Upon completion of the search warrant three subjects were arrested for Manufacturing Methamphetamine. The three arrested subjects and interviewed. Pends further investigation and interviews.

On May 04, 2017 a consent to search was conducted in Chippewa County resulting in the seizure of 3 grams of marijuana. An interview was conducted on the suspect and pends further investigation.

On May 10, 2017 for two Suboxone pills was conducted in Chippewa County utilizing an UPSET. Case pends further investigation.

- On May 11, 2017 for two Suboxone pills was conducted in Chippewa County utilizing an UPSET. Case pends further investigation.

- On May 17, 2017 for one Suboxone pill was conducted in Chippewa County utilizing an UPSET. Case pends further investigation.

On May 11, 2017 consent to search was conducted in Chippewa County on a vehicle resulting in the seizure of 9.5 pills of alprazolam. Suspect was interviewed and was not cooperative. Suspect was arrested and lodged in the Chippewa County jail.

On May 12, 2017 for four Methadone pills was conducted in Luce County utilizing an UPSET and an undercover agent. Case pends further investigation.
On May 17, 2017, for four Diazepam pills was conducted in Mackinac County utilizing an UPSET Case pends further investigation.

- On May 17, 2017, for four Diazepam pills was conducted in Mackinac County utilizing an UPSET Case pends further investigation.

**Coordination Efforts**

was arrested on a felony warrant from UPSET reference workspace

UPSET Task Force Agents performed in Escanaba Michigan, reference workspace Information was passed to station personnel. Agents from station followed up, which resulted in five arrests, reference Pends further investigation.

UPSET Task Force Agents coordinated with officers, reference and I-44

UPSET Task Force Agents passed on information to station concerning the change in practice of upcoming cruise ship operations.

On May 18, 2017 UPSET Task Force Agents responded to help agents with of suspected illegal aliens in Chippewa County.

UPSET Task Force Agents continue to deconflict utilizing

**Additional Information Required**

Number of Non-Immigration Arrests: 8
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

ORIGINAL DATE: Mon, Jun 12, 2017

TIME RECEIVED: 2022

WORK UNIT: (b)(7)(E)

COUNTY: OTSEGO

ORIGINAL INCIDENT REPORT

INCIDENT NO: (b)(7)(E)

TIME RECEIVED: FILE CLASS: 35001

WORK UNIT: (b)(7)(E)

COUNTY: OTSEGO

COMPLAINANT: TELEPHONE NO:

ADDRESS: STREET AND NO: CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE:

INCIDENT STATUS: OPEN

POSSESSION OF BATH SALTS

INFORMATION:

On 06/02/2017, U/O was contacted by D/Sgt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) via email about a package being shipped to an address in Gaylord, MI. Special Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) of UPSET (b)(7)(E) contacted D/Sgt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and advised that the US Border Patrol had received information about a package containing a controlled substance was scheduled for delivery at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). The package was addressed to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at this address.

An investigation was initiated and U/O began to (b)(7)(E) on the above listed residence. On Monday, June 12, 2017 a (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Subsequent to the package being taken inside of the residence, an anticipatory search warrant was executed (b)(7)(E) Detectives, assisted by UPSET (b)(7)(E) US Border Patrol, and The US Postal Inspector.

Details of the search warrant execution and suspect interviews will be documented in following supplemental reports.

VENUE:

OTSEGO COUNTY

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

GAYLORD, MI 49735

AT OR NEAR: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

DATE & TIME:

ON OR AFTER: MON, JUN 12, 2017 AT 1730
### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

**ORIGINAL DATE:**
Mon, Jun 12, 2017

**ORIGINAL INCIDENT REPORT TIME RECEIVED:**
2022

---

**Suspect #1:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAC</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGT</td>
<td>6'-00&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGT</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYE</td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STY</td>
<td>4 Door</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suspect Vehicle:**

- **VEHICLE TYPE:** Automobile
- **PLATE:** {(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}
- **STATE:** Michigan
- **VEH YR:** 2000
- **COLOR:** White
- **MODEL/MAKE:** COROLLA Toyota
- **STYLE:** 4 Door

**Suspect #2:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAC</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGT</td>
<td>5'-03&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGT</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYE</td>
<td>Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Status:**

OPEN

---

**FILE CLASS:** 35001

---

**INVESTIGATED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**REVIEWED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**PRINTED:** 6/21/2017 16:57

---

**D/IFP/SPECIALIST**

---

**INCIDENT NO:** (b)(7)(E)

---

**FILE CLASS:** 35001
On Monday, June 12, 2017, detectives, along with members of UPSET and US Border Patrol, executed a search warrant at the address of Gaylord, Michigan. During the execution of the search warrant, detectives seized multiple prescription medications, as well as multiple drug paraphernalia items, to include multiple individual baggies of unknown powders, as well as psychedelic mushrooms and mushroom growing material.

**VENUE:**

OTSEGO COUNTY

GAYLORD, MICHIGAN

**DATE & TIME:**

MONDAY, JUNE 12, 2017 AT 1730 HOURS

**CONTACT PROSECUTOR:**

On Monday, June 12, 2017, a search warrant was drafted for the address in Gaylord, Michigan, by Border Patrol Agent of UPSET. It should be noted that this is a federal search warrant and is under a suppression order, which is included in the external documents. Special Agent contacted the Assistant U.S. Attorney who reviewed the search warrant. The search warrant was then sworn to by Special Agent and signed by U.S. Magistrate Judge.

**EXECUTE SEARCH WARRANT:**

The search warrant was executed by detectives, assisted by members of the UPSET and the U.S. Border Patrol, on Monday, June 12, 2017 at approximately 1730 hours. The residence was cleared at 2000 hours. Areas searched during the execution of the search warrant was the listed venue, as well as one vehicle located on the property: a 2000 Toyota Corolla 4-door, white-in-color, bearing Michigan registration.
Photographs were taken by Det. [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] using a [(b)(7)(E)] issued digital camera. The photographs were uploaded to the Digital Crime Scene Repository.

ENTRY INTO THE RESIDENCE:

No forced entry was needed to gain entry into the residence. As [(b)(7)(E)] detectives were walking up to the main door, the suspect, [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] was exiting out of the doorway. The door was unlocked and slightly ajar when [(b)(7)(E)] detectives made entry.

PROBABLE CAUSE FOR FORFEITURE:

Items #46 & 47, which are cell phones, were seized, and it is probable that these phones were used to set up the purchase of narcotics, and to facilitate narcotics sales and transactions.

Item #51, US currency, was seized, and it is probable that this is the direct result of the sale of narcotics.

Items #55, 56, & 57, computers: These items were seized and it is probable that these computers were used to facilitate and purchase, through the internet, narcotics and narcotic paraphernalia.

SERVICE OF NOTICE AND INTENT TO FORFEIT (CIS-13):

The Service of Notice and Intent to Forfeit form was completed and served to both [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] and [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] at the listed venue on Monday, June 12, 2017.

RETURN & TABULATION:

A Return & Tabulation form was completed by D/Sgt. [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] and left at the residence at [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]. A copy of the Return & Tabulation form, along with a copy of the Search Warrant, was filed with the U.S. Attorney's office by Special Agent [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)].

(b)(7)(E) DECONFLICTION

Prior to the execution of the search warrant, a [(b)(7)(E)] Deconfliction form was completed and faxed in by U/O.

RAID BRIEFING:

A Raid Entry Briefing form was completed, and a briefing was conducted prior to the execution of the search warrant among all members present.

PERSONS PRESENT DURING SEARCH WARRANT EXECUTION:

[(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
OFFICERS INVOLVED:

D/LT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
D/SGT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
D/TPR [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
DET [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
DET [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
SPECIAL AGENT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
SPECIAL AGENT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
SPECIAL AGENT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
SPECIAL AGENT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
SPECIAL AGENT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
SPECIAL AGENT [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)

SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT 0001

SUPPLEMENTARY DATE: Wed, Jun 21, 2017

FILE CLASS: 35001

SUSPECT #1 [b](6), [b](7)(C)

NAM: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

RAC: White

ETH: Unknown

SEX: Male

DL: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

SSN: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

DOB: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

HGT: 6’00”

SL: [b](7)(E)

WGT: 185

FBI: [b](7)(E)

HAI: Brown

MNU: [b](7)(E)

EYE: Brown

SUSPECT VEHICLE:

VEHICLE TYPE: Automobile

PLATE: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

STATE: Michigan

VEH YR: 2000

VIN: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

COLOR: White

MODEL/MAKE: COROLLA Toyota

STYLE: 4 Door

INTERVIEW [b](6), [b](7)(C)

D/Lt [b](6), [b](7)(C) conducted an interview with [b](6), [b](7)(C). Details of the interview will be documented in subsequent supplemental reports by D/Lt. [b](6), [b](7)(C)
U/O interviewed **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** in the front of his unmarked patrol vehicle. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** was handcuffed in the front, and the cuffs were double locked, and tension was checked. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** was searched prior to being placed in the front seat of U/O's unmarked vehicle. U/O informed **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** that **(b)(7)(E)** detectives were there executing a search warrant, and would get into the particulars in a moment. U/O asked **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** basic information first, and **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** advised that she was staying at **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** in Gaylord, Michigan. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** stated that she had been staying at this address for a couple of weeks. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** identified her date of birth as **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** and a contact telephone number of **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** was given to U/O by **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**.

At this time, U/O again informed **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** that **(b)(7)(E)** detectives were executing a search warrant. U/O read **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** her Miranda Warning at 1747 hours. At the conclusion of reading **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** her Miranda Warnings verbatim off the Miranda Warnings card, **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** stated she was willing to answer U/O's questions. At this time U/O asked **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** if she knew why **(b)(7)(E)** detectives were there, and **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** advised that it probably had something to do with the box. U/O asked **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** to clarify, and directly asked **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** who the box was for, and **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** denied knowledge of knowing who the box was for. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** advised that she had opened the door to the residence and observed the box on the front porch, and then picked the box up and handed it to **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** inside **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** stated that she was getting ready to pick up animal food for her pets. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** advised that **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** asked **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** what the box was, and **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** advised that she told him she did not know. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** advised that after handing the box to **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** proceeded to place the box on the kitchen counter. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** stated that she observed the landlord, **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** pull into the driveway. **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** stated that she went to the bathroom and used the facilities, and then retrieved the rent money and made out in the driveway area of the residence.
At this time, U/O asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) how many individuals were living at the residence, and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that it was just (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and herself. U/O then asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) about the use of narcotics at the residence, and all of the narcotics and narcotics paraphernalia that was observed by (b)(7)(E) detectives during the initial walk through. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that she did not know anything about any other type of narcotics being used inside of the residence. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that she does not do drugs, she has never done drugs. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that she has observed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) smoking marijuana on multiple occasions, but knows (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to have a valid Medical Marijuana card. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) then further advised that she was aware of all of the empty capsules that were strewn about the residence. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that she has used some of the capsules to administer medication to one of her cats. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that the capsules that she used to administer the medication to her cat are orange and clear in color, and she knows them to be located in a jar on the kitchen table.

U/O asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) what her prior drug involvement was, and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that she does not do drugs. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that she has only been living at this residence for a couple of weeks because she and an ex-boyfriend broke up and she had nowhere else to go. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that she has known (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for approximately 2 years, and that she had met (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) through the mutual interest of going to concerts. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that she is staying in the living room on the couch, and is keeping some of her belongings in the back bedroom, or the south bedroom where (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is staying. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that the south bedroom, or the far back bedroom, is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). U/O then asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) about the side room off the hallway, and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) had told her it was filled with all of his stuff. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that she thought this was odd, because she was looking for a place just to put her clothes. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that she has multiple sets of clothes in the residence, and that the cat inside the residence belongs to her, as well as all the birds. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that she also has make-up and other personal hygiene products in the bathroom of the residence.

U/O asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) what types of narcotics would be located inside of the residence, and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that there would probably be some small baggies of marijuana belonging to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). U/O again asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) what her prior drug history was like, and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) denied ever trying or using any type of narcotic. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that she has had family members that have had substance abuse issues, and does not touch the stuff. U/O directly asked (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) if she was aware (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was doing as far as other types of narcotics, and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) maintained ignorance throughout this line of questioning. U/O told (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) that he did not believe her to be completely truthful, and advised that now is the time to come clean with everything. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) maintained that she had no idea what was going on. U/O informed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) that the package in question contained a synthetic opiate, to which (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) seemed surprised that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was still into opiates.
U/O asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] to walk him through what had happened prior to [b(7)(E)] detectives making contact with [b(6), b(7)(C)]. Advised that she and [b(6), b(7)(C)] were getting ready to go to the store to purchase cat food and other supplies for the animals. When [b(6), b(7)(C)] opened the door and saw the package on the steps, [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that she picked the package up and brought it inside and informed [b(6), b(7)(C)] that there was a package there. [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that [b(6), b(7)(C)] asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] what the package was, and [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that she did not know. [b(6), b(7)(C)] then advised that she went to the bathroom, and at this time, [b(6), b(7)(C)] yelled back to her that the landlord was there to collect the rent. [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that she walked into the backyard where [b(6), b(7)(C)] slept, and retrieved the rent money from inside of a Janis Joplin record album. [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that she took the rent money, went outside and met [b(6), b(7)(C)] in the driveway, and paid the rent. [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that she then began to speak with [b(6), b(7)(C)] about the overflowing trash dumpster located on the property, and that is when [b(7)(E)] detectives made contact with [b(6), b(7)(C)].

U/O then asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] what the bills were like for the residence, and [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that the rent was $450 per month. [b(6), b(7)(C)] also advised that the internet and cable bill is approximately $50. [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that they are past due on a couple of the bills, specifically the gas and electric. U/O then asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] what type of computers were in the house, and [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that the main computer in the living room was operational. [b(6), b(7)(C)] also stated that there was a laptop computer belonging to her that she was aware of, but stated that it was not working. U/O then asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] how many cell phones there were between the two of them, and [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that her cell phone was a blue- and silver-in-color slide-type phone that was located on the hood of the vehicle. This is property item #47. [b(6), b(7)(C)] also advised that [b(6), b(7)(C)] phone was black-in-color and had a cracked screen. This is property item #46.

U/O asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] if in the last couple of weeks, if there has been talk about packages coming from overseas, and [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that there had not. [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that she has ordered a few things off line over the last couple of weeks; specifically, a hooded sweatshirt and a vinyl record off of eBay. [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that she is not aware of any purchases that [b(6), b(7)(C)] may have made, and stated that she does not see him on the internet all that often. [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that she uses the computer and the internet the majority of the time.

The remainder of the interview, U/O asked [b(6), b(7)(C)] about [b(6), b(7)(C)] and her employment history, and [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that [b(6), b(7)(C)] had just been recently rehired back at [b(6), b(7)(C)]. [b(6), b(7)(C)] advised that she is currently seeking employment, and has put in applications at TJ Maxx and a few other places. [b(6), b(7)(C)] stated that neither she nor [b(6), b(7)(C)] have a lot of cash, and that is why they are behind on their bills. At this time, the interview with [b(6), b(7)(C)] was terminated by U/O. [b(6), b(7)(C)] was escorted from the unmarked vehicle back to the vicinity of the residence.
U/O interviewed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in the front seat of his unmarked patrol vehicle. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was not placed in handcuffs. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was not under arrest and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was advised that he was free to leave at any time. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) agreed to answer questions by (b)(7)(E) detectives. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) provided his full name of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to U/O. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that he lives at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Gaylord, Michigan. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that a contact telephone number for him is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that he is landlord for the property at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Gaylord, Michigan. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that he has owned the property for approximately 5 years, and it is one of 9 properties that he owns. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that he has a total of 6 rental properties. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) identified the lessee of the property as (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and stated that over the last 2 years he has had no issues at this residence. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was usually always on time with his rent check, and that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) usually preferred to pay in cash for the monthly rent. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that this was one of the lower price points of his rental properties, and that it was charged $400 per month for rent.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that the only issue of late is the over spillage of trash coming out of the dumpster. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that the one dumpster is utilized by the other 6 rental properties that he owns. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that the way that he manages his properties is he does an initial walk-through of the property before being rented out, and that it is open to have him go and walk through his properties with notice at any time. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that the last time that he was inside of the residence at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was approximately 2 years ago when (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) signed the initial paperwork.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that over the last 2 years he has had no complaints from any of the neighbors, as far as loud noises or suspicious activity, and did not think anything odd was going on with the property. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that the only lessee that he had paperwork for would be (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) but (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) advised that he thought that the female (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was also staying at the residence. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) stated that he has received the rent check from her on a couple of occasions, and that is why he believes her to be living there as well.
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RECORDED INTERVIEWS:

The interview between U/O and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was recorded using a (b)(7)(E) issued digital recorder. The recorded interviews were downloaded onto a CD-R and are listed as Item #63 & 64 in this report.

PROPERTY:

OBTAINED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0001 - Type: Evidence  Value: $0.00
Descrip: (4) CLEAR HEAT SEALED PACKAGES, OPENED BY D/TPR. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) THAT PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #2, 3, & 4.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MSP (b)(7)(E) POST
CUSTODY TAKEN BY D/TPR. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) FROM UPSET (b)(7)(E) DETECTIVES. (b)(7)(E)

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0002 - Type: Miscellaneous  Value: $0.00
Descrip: (1) BROWN BOX, EMPTY, WITH SHIPPING LABELS ADDRESSED TO (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED ITEMS #3 & 4.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

RECOVERED FROM KITCHEN COUNTER IN RESIDENCE.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0003 - Type: Miscellaneous  Value: $0.00
Descrip: (1) CLEAR HEAT SEALED BAG, PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEM #4.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

RECOVERED FROM KITCHEN COUNTER IN RESIDENCE.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0004 - Qty: 50.000  Type: Other Drug  UOM Gram
Descrip: (50 GM) OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE, CONFIRMED TO BE ALPHA-ETHYLAMINOHEXANOPHENONE. PREVIOUSLY COTAINED INSIDE OF SILVER FOIL HEATSEALED PACKAGE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735
RECOVERED FROM RED GARBAGE CAN, NE SIDE OF KITCHEN, NEXT TO THE KITCHEN COUNTER.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0005 - Qty: 31.000  Type: Other Narcotic  UOM Gram
Descrip: (31GM) INCLUDING THE ZIPLOCK BAG, OF A TAN POWDERY SUBSTANCE. ZIPLOCK BAG HAS "ORGANIC WORLD SPICE MARKET" STICKER ON PACKAGE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0006 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia  Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) SILVER MINI DIGITAL SCALE WITH VISIBLE POWDER RESIDUE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0007 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia  Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) SILVER MINI DIGITAL SCALE KIT, W/TWEETERS, 10G WEIGHT, FILLER BOWL IN BLACK BOX.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
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SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0008 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) BLACK "FW" DIGITAL SCALE, MODEL M-500.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0009 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: NUMEROUS PURPLE IN COLOR & GREEN AND BLACK IN COLOR EMPTY CAPSULES.
CONTAINED INSIDE CLEAR ZIPLOCK BAGGIE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0010 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) GLASS MASON JAR W/ GOLD CAP CONTAINING NUMEROUS DARK GREEN CAPSULES, EMPTY.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0011 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) PINK DIGITAL SCALE IN BOX.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
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SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0012 -Qty: 4.000 Type: Unknown UOM Gram
Descrip: (2) CLEAR ZIPLOCK BAGGIES CONTAINING AN UNKNOWN WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. (4GM) TOTAL WEIGHT INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIES.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN TOP CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0013 -Qty: 4.000 Type: Psilocybin UOM Gram
Descrip: (4GM) MINUS THE PACKAGING OF MUSHROOMS.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
INSIDE FOOD DEHYDRATOR IN HALL WAY ON THE FLOOR.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0014 -Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (3) ZIPLOCK BAGGIES CONTAINING AN UNKNOWN BROWN POWDERY SUBSTANCE. (1GM) TOTAL WEIGHT INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
LOCATED ON COMPUTER TOWER IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0015 -Qty: 4.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (4GM) INCLUDING BAGGIE, OF A YELLOW POWDERY SUBSTANCE CONTAINED INSIDE OF A CLEAR ZIPLOC BAGGIE WITH STICKER "HT26" 
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
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SEIZED BY: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
Prop 0016 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING BAGGIE, OF A BROWN POWDERY SUBSTANCE CONTAINED INSIDE OF A CLEAR ZIPLOC BAGGIE W/ "500 25 B" WRITTEN ON OUTSIDE.
Obtained From: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
Prop 0017 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING BAGGIE, OF A PINK POWDERY SUBSTANCE CONTAINED INSIDE OF A CLEAR ZIPLOC BAGGIE W/ "500 25 C" WRITTEN ON OUTSIDE.
Obtained From: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
Prop 0018 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING BAGGIE, OF A TAN POWDERY SUBSTANCE CONTAINED INSIDE OF A CLEAR ZIPLOC BAGGIE, CONTAINED INSIDE OF SECOND CLEAR BAGGIE.
Obtained From: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
Prop 0019 - Qty: 0.100 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING BAGGIE, OF A WHITE POWDERY RESIDUE CONTAINED INSIDE OF A CLEAR ZIPLOC BAGGIE.
Obtained From: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE
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ORIGINAL DATE: Mon, Jun 12, 2017
SUPPLEMENTARY DATE: Wed, Jun 21, 2017

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0020 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrp: (1) SILVER HEATSEALED PACKAGE WITH "HUANGSHI JIN XIANG CHEMICAL COMPANY LTD" AFFIXED TO OUTSIDE.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #15-19.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0021 - Qty: 36.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Dosage Units/Items
Descrp: (4) INDIVIDUAL ZIPLOCK BAGGIES, EACH CONTAINING 9 PERFORATED PAPER SQUARES WITH EYEBALL LOGO. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF SECOND CLEAR ZIPLOCK BAGGIE.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0022 - Qty: 126.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Dosage Units/Items
Descrp: (16) INDIVIDUAL ZIPLOCK BAGGIES, EACH CONTAINING 9 PERFORATED PAPER SQUARES W/ EYEBALL LOGO. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF CLEAR ZIPLOCK BAGGIE.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0023 - Qty: 8.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Dosage Units/Items
Descrp: (8) PERFORATED PAPER SQUARES W/ SUN LOGOS, PREVIOUSLY WRAPPED IN TIN FOIL, PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF TWO ZIPLOCK BAGGIES.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Date:</th>
<th>Incident No:</th>
<th>Supplementary Date:</th>
<th>File Class:</th>
</tr>
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</table>

**Seized By:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Prop 0024** - Qty: 0.010 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Milliliter

*Descrp:* (1) CLEAR PLASTIC BOTTLE W/WHITE CAP CONTAINING A CLEAR LIQUID RESIDUE. PREVIOUSLY WRAPPED IN TIN FOIL.

*Obtained From:* (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735

69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

**Seized By:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Prop 0025** - Qty: 80.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Dosage Units/Items

*Descrp:* (80) TOTAL PERFORATED PAPER SQUARES, PREVIOUSLY WRAPPED IN TWO SEPARATE PIECES OF TIN FOIL.

*Obtained From:* (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735

69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM. ONE SET CONTAINS 43 INDIVIDUAL SQUARES, THE OTHER SET CONTAINS 37 INDIVIDUAL SQUARES.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

**Seized By:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Prop 0026** - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00

*Descrp:* (1) CLEAR ZIPLOCK BAGGIE, PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #24 AND 25.

*Obtained From:* (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735

69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

**Seized By:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Prop 0027** - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram

*Descrp:* (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. LABEL AFFIXED CASE NUMBER:

*Obtained From:* (b)(7)(E)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735

69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.

Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
### ORIGINAL DATE: Mon, Jun 12, 2017
### INCIDENT NO: (b)(7)(E)
### SUPPLEMENTARY DATE: Wed, Jun 21, 2017
### FILE CLASS: 35001

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prop 0028</th>
<th>Qty: 1.000</th>
<th>Type: Other Narcotic</th>
<th>UOM: Gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Descrip:** (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. LABEL AFFIXED

**CASE NUMBER:** (b)(7)(E)

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.**

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prop 0029</th>
<th>Qty: 1.000</th>
<th>Type: Other Narcotic</th>
<th>UOM: Gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Descrip:** (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. LABEL AFFIXED

**CASE NUMBER:** (b)(7)(E)

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.**

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prop 0030</th>
<th>Qty: 1.000</th>
<th>Type: Other Narcotic</th>
<th>UOM: Gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Descrip:** (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. LABEL AFFIXED

**CASE NUMBER:** (b)(7)(E)

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.**

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prop 0031</th>
<th>Qty: 1.000</th>
<th>Type: Other Narcotic</th>
<th>UOM: Gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Descrip:** (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. LABEL AFFIXED

**CASE NUMBER:** (b)(7)(E)

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.**

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

---

**PAGE:** 15 of 24

**INVESTIGATED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) D/TTPR/SPECIALIST

**INVESTIGATED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) D/TTPR/SPECIALIST

**REVIEWED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
STATE POLICE
SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT
0001

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0032 - Qty: 0.100 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE OF A WHITE POWDERY RESIDUE. LABEL AFFIXED CASE NUMBER (b)(7)(E)
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0033 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) BROWN PLASTIC ZIPLOCK TYP BAG. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #27-32.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0034 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) CLEAR ZIPLOCK TYPE BAGGIE. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #15-33.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
ON FLOOR NEXT TO BED IN SOUTH BEDROOM. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #15-33.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0035 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) CLEAR ZIPLOCK BAGGIE CONTAINING NUMEROUS BLUE AND CLEAR EMPTY PILL CAPSULES.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
NE SIDE OF KITCHEN IN CABINET.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
STATE POLICE
SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT
0001

ORIGINAL DATE:
Mon, Jun 12, 2017
INCIDENT NO:
(b)(7)(E)

SUPPLEMENTARY DATE:
Wed, Jun 21, 2017
FILE CLASS:
35001

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0036 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Marijuana UOM Gram
Descrp: (1GM) INCLUDING WAX PAPER OF A BROWN SUBSTANCE SUSPECTED TO BE THC WAX. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF ZIPLOCK BAGGIE FROM PROPERTY ITEM #37.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM FLOOR NEXT TO BED.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0037 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Marijuana UOM Gram
Descrp: (1GM) MINUS THE PACKAGING OF A GREEN LEAFY SUBSTANCE SUSPECTED TO BE MARIJUANA. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF CLEAR ZIPLOCK TYPE BAGGIE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM FLOOR NEXT TO BED.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0038 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrp: (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE, OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF GREEN PLASTIC PILL CONTAINER
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM FLOOR NEXT TO BED.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0039 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrp: (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE, OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF ORANGE PLASTIC PILL CONTAINER
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM FLOOR NEXT TO BED.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
STATE POLICE

SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT
0001

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0040 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE, OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
WEST BEDROOM IN GREY BIN.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0041 - Qty: 2.000 Type: Other Drug UOM Dosage Units/Items
Descrip: (2) INDIVIDUAL PINK AND PURPLE CAPSULES WITH "ST-3" AND "XPLC" WRITTEN ON THEM.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM NEXT TO BED. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF "JET ALERT" CAFFEINE PILL CONTAINER.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0042 - Type: Miscellaneous Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) CONSUMERS ENERGY BILL, Addressed To (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) AT VENUE ADDRESS.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0043 - Qty: 1.000 Type: Other Narcotic UOM Gram
Descrip: (1GM) INCLUDING ZIPLOCK BAGGIE, OF A WHITE POWDERY SUBSTANCE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SOUTH BEDROOM ON BED. PREVIOUSLY LOCATED ON PROPERTY ITEM #45, WHITE PLATE.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0044 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) RED STRAW, CUT TO APPROXIMATELY 2 INCHES IN LENGTH, CONTAINING VISIBLE WHITE POWDERY RESIDUE.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SOUTH BEDROOM ON BED. PREVIOUSLY LOCATED ON PROPERTY ITEM #45, WHITE PLATE.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE**

**SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT 0001**

**ORIGINAL DATE:** Mon, Jun 12, 2017

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATE:** Wed, Jun 21, 2017

**FILE CLASS:** 35001

---

**SEIZED BY:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

Prop 0045 - **Type:** Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia  Value: $1.00

**Descrip:** (1) WHITE OVAL PLATE WITH COLORED MARIJUANA LEAVES PRINTED ON IT AND (1) SILVER POWDER SCOOP. BOTH CONTAINING VISIBLE WHITE POWDER RESIDUE.

**Obtained From:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

---

**SEIZED BY:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

Prop 0046 - **Type:** Office Equipment Qty: 1 Article Type: Cellular Telephone Brand: LG

**Descrip:** (1) LG CELL PHONE, BLACK IN COLOR, W/ CRACKED SCREEN.

**Obtained From:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

---

**SEIZED BY:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

Prop 0047 - **Type:** Office Equipment Qty: 1 Article Type: Cellular Telephone Brand: LG

**Descrip:** (1) LG CELL PHONE, BLUE/SILVER IN COLOR.

**Obtained From:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

---

**SEIZED BY:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

Prop 0048 - **Type:** Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $1.00

**Descrip:** NUMEROUS ORANGE AND CLEAR EMPTY CAPSULES. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF PROPERTY ITEM #50.

**Obtained From:** [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730
SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0049 - Qty: 1.000  Type: Other Drug  UOM: Dosage Units/Items
Descrip: (1) WHITE OVAL PILL WITH "L484" WRITTEN ON IT. SUSPECTED TO BE ACETAMINOPHEN.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SOUTH BEDROOM NEXT TO BED. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF PROPERTY ITEM #50.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0050 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia  Value: $0.00
Descrip: (1) GLASS MASON JAR WITHOUT A LID. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED PROPERTY ITEMS #48 AND 49.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SOUTH BEDROOM NEXT TO BED.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0051 - Qty: 1  Type: Federal Reserve Bank Note  Denomination:  Issuer:  Owner:  Issue Date #:
Maturation Date:  High Serial #:  Low Serial #:  OAN:  Value: $86.00 FORFEITURE
Descrip: ($86.00) EIGHTY SIX DOLLARS IN US CURRENCY IN THE FOLLOWING DENOMINATIONS, (4) $20.00 AND (6) $1.00. MSP RECEIPT: (b)(7)(E)
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
LOCATED IN WALLET ON (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) PERSON.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0052 - Type: Miscellaneous  Value: $1.00
Descrip: (3) PLAYER REWARDS CARDS FOR KEWADIN CASINOS AND ODAWA CASINOS IN (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) NAME.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
LOCATED IN WALLET ON (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) PERSON.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
ORIGINAL DATE: Mon, Jun 12, 2017
SUPPLEMENTARY DATE: Wed, Jun 21, 2017

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
STATE POLICE

SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT
0001

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0053 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $5.00
Descrip: NUMEROUS ZIPLOCK BAGGIES CONTAINING NUMEROUS MULTI COLORED EMPTY CAPSULES. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF A WHITE PLASTIC MEIJER BAG.

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM NEAR THE SOUTH WALL.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0054 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $5.00
Descrip: MULTIPLE SHEETS OF PERFERATED PAPER WITH MULTI COLORED DESIGNS. PREVIOUSLY CONTAINED INSIDE OF WHITE ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM NEAR THE SOUTH WALL.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0055 - Type: Computer Hardware/Software Qty: 1 Article Type: Laptop Computer Brand: Hewlett-Packard Company Model: 2000-329W Serial #: Misc #: OAN: Value: $100.00 FORFEITURE
Recovered Value: $100.00
Descrip: (1) BLACK HP LAPTOP COMPUTER, MODEL 2000-329WM, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MASTER BEDROOM NEAR THE NORTH WALL IN BLACK BACKPACK.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Prop 0056 - Type: Computer Hardware/Software Qty: 1 Article Type: Computer Brand: Hewlett-Packard Company Model: P7-1446S Serial #: Misc #: OAN: Value: $100.00 FORFEITURE
Recovered Value: $100.00
Descrip: (1) BLACK HP PAVILION COMPUTER TOWER, MODEL-P7-1446S, S/N (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
LIVING ROOM DESK.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730
**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE**

**SUPPLEMENTAL INCIDENT REPORT 0001**

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- **Prop 0057 -** Type: Computer Hardware/Software  
  Qty: 1  
  Article Type: Computer  
  Brand: ASUS  
  Model:  
  Serial #: NO NUMBERS VISIBLE  
  Misc #:  
  OAN:  
  Value: $100.00  
  FORFEITURE: Recovered Value: $100.00

**Descrp:** (1) BLACK AND SILVER COMPUTER TOWER, ASUS. NO VISIBLE NUMBERS.

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- 46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
- 69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- **Prop 0058 -** Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia  
  Value: $1.00

**Descrp:** (1) WHITE USPS POSTAL BOX ADDRESSED TO CONTAINING MULTIPLE CLEAR PLASTIC BAGS WITH VENTS.

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- 46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
- 69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- **Prop 0059 -** Type: Miscellaneous  
  Value: $1.00

**Descrp:** (1) PIECE OF MAIL ADDRESSED TO FROM OTSEGO COUNTY OHS.

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- 46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
- 69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

**SEIZED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- **Prop 0060 -** Qty: 18,000  
  Type: Other Narcotic  
  UOM Dosage Units/Items

**Descrp:** (2) INDIVIDUAL ZIPLOCK BAGGIES, EACH CONTAINING 9 PERFERATED PAPER SQUARES WITH AN EYE IMAGE.

**Obtained From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

- 46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
- 69 - OTSEGO, MI 49735

REMOVED FROM PERSON.

**Date/Time Recovered:** 06/12/2017 1730

---

**INVESTIGATED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**INVESTIGATED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**REVIEWED BY:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**PRINTED:** 6/29/2017 20:29
SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0061 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $2.00
Descrip: (1) CLEAR HEAT SEAL BAG, CONTAINING POTTING SOIL AND SUSPECTED MUSHROOM SPORES.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SIDE BEDROOM ON FLOOR.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0062 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $20.00
Descrip: (3) LARGE, GRAY IN COLOR, PLASTIC TOTES W/ LIDES, CONTAINING POTTING SOIL AND SUSPECTED MUSHROOM SPORES.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SIDE BEDROOM ON FLOOR.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

SEIZED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0063 - Type: Drug/Narcotic Paraphernalia Value: $8.00
Descrip: (1) YELLOW CARDBOARD BOX W/ LID, CONTAINING (8) INDIVIDUAL MASON JARS WITH TIN FOIL AS TOPS, EACH CONTAINING A MOIST SOLID SUBSTANCE SUSPECTED TO CONTAIN MUSHROOM SPORES.
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
SIDE BEDROOM ON TOP OF DRESSER.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017 1730

OBTAINED BY: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Prop 0064 - Type: Evidence Value: $1.00
Descrip: (1) SILVER IN COLOR, VERBATIM CD-R, CONTAINING THE AUDIO RECORDED INTERVIEW W/ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Obtained From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
46 - GAYLORD, MI 49735
69 - OTSEGO, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
OBTAINED BY D/TPR/SPECIALIST UTILIZING A (b)(7)(E) ISSUED DIGITAL RECORDER.
Date/Time Recovered: 06/12/2017
DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY:

All items were seized by U/O and placed in an MSP clear, heat sealed bag and labelled with complaint number, date, time, item number, and U/O's initials. Property Items #5, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 38, 39, 40, 43, & 60 were sent to the Grayling Crime Lab for analysis. All other property items were placed into (b)(7)(E) evidence lock up.

CELLEBRITE DOWNLOAD:

Property Items #46 & 47 (cell phones), were seized by (b)(7)(E) detectives. The contents will be downloaded via (b)(7)(E) software and documented in following supplemental reports.

CCU:

Property Items #55, 56, and 57 (computers), were seized by (b)(7)(E) detectives. The property items will be forwarded to the MSP computer crimes unit for analysis.

NARCNUMBERS:

Property Item #51, US currency, was seized by (b)(7)(E) detectives. The US currency was processed through the money counter and the serial numbers forwarded on to NarcNumbers by D/Lt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

EXTERNAL DOCUMENTS:

Deconfliction form – 2 pages
Confidential Raid Plan – 6 pages
Return & Tabulation – 7 pages
Search Warrant and Affidavit – 20 pages
Lab Reports – 3 pages
Border Patrol Chain of Custody forms – 3 pages
OD-20 Seized Cash form – 1 page

STATUS:

Open, pending adoption of federal prosecution.
Sir,

Three illegal aliens were associated to the potential human trafficking case in Alpena, MI were arrested on 05/10/2017. One of the potential victims was interviewed by BPAs on 05/11/2017. After the interview, it was determined that no human trafficking occurred. However, new information on a cocaine dealer operating in Alpena, MI was discovered and will be worked.

Three new criminal prosecution cases were presented to the Bay City AUSA for potential criminal prosecution for 8 USC 1326. They are the following:

- (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

were arrested after targeting efforts in Mt. Pleasant, MI. was arrested in Gaylord, MI after receiving (b)(7)(E) from a previous immigration arrest. The passenger in vehicle was identified as a possible B-2 visa violator.

was brought down to Grand Rapids, MI by BPAs (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on 04/13/17. is expected to plead guilty to the charges of 18 USC 1546 Using Fraud Docs for Employment and 42 USC 408 False Representation of U.S. Social Security Number on 05/11/2017. This case was worked with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E).

-Criminal prosecution was accepted on 04/13/17 for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for 8 USC 1326. District Court (Western District) in Marquette was on 04/18/17. The maximum penalty that he is facing is a two-year sentence.

-Criminal prosecution was accepted on 04/07/17 for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for 8 USC 1326(b)(2) due to his aggravated felon
status. [b](6), [b](7)(C) initial appearance in the U.S. District Court (Western District) in Marquette was on 04/18/17. The maximum penalty that he is facing is a twenty-year sentence.

[pied

[b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) pled guilty to 8 U.S.C. 1326 and is still scheduled for sentencing on 06/13/2017 at 0900 hours.

[b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) was arrested with [b](6), [b](7)(C) on 01/12/2017. He was turned over to Starr County, TX due to an outstanding warrant for AGG ROBBERY ($1 million bond). According to recent records checks, the court upheld the charges on 02/06/2017. These charges are still pending.

[b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) pled guilty to 18 U.S.C. 1546(a) Fraud and misuse of visas, permits, and other documents on 04/21/2017 in Marquette, MI. His sentencing hearing will be in the future at an unknown date. This case was presented by ICE.

[b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) was arrested on 04/27/2017 and was identified as a reinstate case. The AUSA from Bay City accepted the prosecution for 8 U.S.C. 1326 on 04/28/2017. The case was assigned to AUSA [b](6), [b](7)(C). The initial appearance occurred on 05/09/2017 in Bay City, MI.

Vehicle stop conducted to get target [b](6), [b](7)(C) in Gaylord, MI (associated to [b](6), [b](7)(C) was not in car; [b](6), [b](7)(C) arrested [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) WA/NTA; Two others encountered [b](6), [b](7)(C) and [b](6), [b](7)(C) may be violating their B-2 Visa status by working.

Intelligence is being developed.

Thanks,

[b](6), [b](7)(C) Supervisory Border Patrol Agent [b](7)(E) Station
U.S. Border Patrol
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
While researching a different target, I discovered information regarding [b](6), [b](7)(C) D.O.B. [b](6), [b](7)(C) USC.

A person gave information to law enforcement that [b](6), [b](7)(C) is selling methamphetamine in Williston, North Dakota. [b](6), [b](7)(C) was said to always carry a handgun in his front waistband while conducting his business. He has a criminal history in Texas and Michigan with convictions for Manufacture/Delivery of a Controlled Substance, Assault, and Domestic Violence (see [b](7)(E) for more).

[b](6), [b](7)(C) had a listed address of [b](6), [b](7)(C) Saginaw, MI, 48604 according to records checks. He may not may contact with victim [b](6), [b](7)(C).

Intelligence gaps: [b](7)(E)

Take care,

[b](6), [b](7)(C) Supervisory Border Patrol Agent [b](7)(E) Station
[b](6), [b](7)(C) U.S. Border Patrol
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, August 22, 2017 9:13 AM
To: SUP; AGENTS
Subject: Situational Awareness On and Off Duty
Attachments: Fight Club.docx; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) PNG; photo.PNG

For Situational Awareness

All,

Over the past few weeks there have been several fights behind the typically on Saturday nights. The individuals involved are calling this activity "Fight Club". They have been targeting males walking alone and several individuals jump in but the main individual involved is PD is investigating but no victim has come forward to pursue charges. I heard rumor that it happened again this past weekend.

If you are in the area off duty, I suggest walking between places using the street side

If you are on duty and responding to a PD request for assistance, the attached document has your likely suspects. **Exercise Caution**
Update -

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2015 9:35 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

FW: (b)(7)(E)

1) 3 individuals arrested with personal use heroin. TOT: (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) is drafting warrants to hit houses tonight.

- UPDATE (b)(7)(E) hit the house in Monroe at approximately 0200 hours this morning. They arrested a previously unidentified heroin dealer that uses the street name [REDACTED].

Seized were a small amount of heroin, prescription pills, small amount of cash, and numerous bullets and magazines for handguns and long arms (no guns found).

(b)(7)(E) is continuing their investigation.
(b)(7)(E) is over for the night.

The following totals are a direct result of efforts that were then interdicted by MSP troopers or CBP officers conducting outbound inspections:

Totals for tonight:

1) Arrest and seizure for carrying concealed weapon
2) Simple possession of marijuana. Cited and released
3) 3 individuals arrested with personal use heroin. TOT

(b)(7)(E) is drafting warrants to hit houses tonight.

Totals for last night:

1) 1 misdemeanor (arrest) warrant and 1 felony warrant
2) (b)(7)(E) member arrested with ½ pound of marijuana. TOT DRANO.

DRANO is continuing the investigation.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2015 11:38 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Warrant Support Request

FYSA

Support request for TAC Med and perimeter on (b)(7)(E) warrant service

Originated off pit stop bust by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2015 8:54:51 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Warrant Support Request

(b)(7)(E) is serving a warrant based on drug bust tonight. We are requested to support. I am here and available to lend support.

Should have the search warrant signed in about an hour or two. The address is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Monroe, MI.

Thank You,
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
U.S. Border Patrol - Detroit Sector
(b)(7)(E)
Cell
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Gov Cell
Office
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:25 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

I think for now we just need to report a yes or a no. We'll probably need to ask for reporting requirement clarification. I think DTM is a no for now but in the event that changes a memo need to be sent to Chief Fisher like the EPT example. Does that make sense?

HONOR FIRST

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Assistant Chief
Office of Border Patrol
East/Central Division
1300 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C. 20229
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 4:15:23 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

Okay thanks. I checked here and we haven't done this before that we know of:

I'm not crazy about the deadline...how much recourse is there for more time?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 4:15:23 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

I do not sir. It may be in our S:Drive.

HONOR FIRST

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 05:14 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

ALCON,

Please see RFI. Please note the 9:00 AM EST deadline tomorrow.

Thank you.

HONOR FIRST

Assistant Chief
Office of Border Patrol
East/Central Division
1300 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C. 20229
Office (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cell (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 06:05 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

Mr. [b](b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Do you have a previous submission from DTM?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 3:25:26 PM
To: LRT STAFF TASKS; NLL Staff Tasks; ORT STAFF TASKING; DTM Staff Tasks
Cc: OPS EAST/CENTRAL SECTORS
Subject: Fw: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

ALCON,

Please see RFI. Please note the 9:00 AM EST deadline tomorrow.

Thank you.

HONOR FIRST

Assistant Chief
Office of Border Patrol
East/Central Division
1300 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington D.C. 20229
Office (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cell (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Please send the below RFI out to the field for response.

Note deadline 9:00AM tomorrow.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Associate Chief
East / Central Corridor
Office of Border Patrol
1300 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20229

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: [b](6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:11 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015
Importance: High

Gentlemen:

As per Chief [b](6), (b)(7)(C), can you please advise if your sectors conduct and submit a Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas memorandum? I spoke to [b](6) already and responded in the negative.

I have attached El Paso’s submission for some reference and the document the EPT submission references (“Use of Safe Tactics and Techniques” March 7, 2014 Chief Fisher).

Please let me know by the 9 o’clock tomorrow por favor.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Good morning, Chiefs.

Please see the attached memorandum for your review.

Thank you.

Very respectfully,
Staff Assistant for the
Deputy Chief Patrol Agent
U.S. Border Patrol – El Paso Sector
8901 Montana Avenue
El Paso, Texas 79925
Office: [redacted] BB: [redacted]
If you like to assist us with outbound operations at the POE(s) that would be much appreciated considering an unknown arrival timeframe and current weather conditions.
The following are the port POCs for enforcement activity (I have cc’ed both of them on this email), and they would know how best to support each location.

- Detroit - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
- Port Huron - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

If you have any questions, please give me a call

Thanks

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff
CBPO / Program Manager
Detroit Field Office
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Blackberry: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
I think that that is their [b](7)(E) blanket common language for all search warrants. At least it didn’t come down to that [b](6), [b](7)(C).

Body cavities?

Additional info on [b](6), [b](7)(C).

[b](7)(E) report and search warrant request.

Correct me if I’m wrong but this is the same case they briefed us on during that rough morning back in December, right?
I have been trying to guide and mentor the agents through the investigation without being overly involved by hovering and micro-managing their every move. I think it has given them more pride in the case along with complete ownership in the decision making process. I would provide input on how I thought they could proceed but gave them the ultimate decision in how they proceeded. I think it has been a good learning experience for them without a serious risk (like something blowing up or someone getting hurt).

If it isn't too much trouble, I think it would go a long way if you could send a direct email to agent commenting on their efforts and results in targeting this target.

Thanks.

On the lack of a search warrant...was it essentially that there wasn’t enough info for them to issue it?

We should know more after her arraignment. There may be more charges laid against her. We will be in contact with MDOC on whether or not they will be taking any action against inmates implicated in our investigation. may continue to be a is willing to provide.

Sir,
Attached is the operational summary of the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) case. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is currently in court across the street and we should know more information once she has finished her arraignment. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) did the paper and I added very little. They plan on charging her with more felony counts once they get the paperwork and evidence organized.

Thank you,
Sir,

Revised version is attached. I left the antique item in highlight as that is just a best guess. Past rumors are that the item may have been a barometer, but no one really seems to know for sure.

Border Patrol Agent in Charge (Acting)
U.S. Border Patrol
Ofc:
Cell:

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:09 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: SIR Narrative

Good morning, Mr. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Thanks for ginning this up.

I don't believe we need the timeline in bulleted form but instead in full narrative form. Please also include what the allegedly stolen items were (at least what we know).

I made some changes here:

Border Patrol Agents (BPA) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) were arrested after surrendering to Michigan State Police (MSP) on March 20, 2015. Both agents were charged with one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.505-C, Common Law Offenses (Misconduct In Office), a felony, punishable by up to five years in prison and/or a $10,000 fine and one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.360, Larceny In a Building, a felony, punishable by up to four years in prison and/or a $5,000 fine.

The charges stem from an allegation first reported by MSP to DTM on December 30, 2014. At that time, MSP reported that an allegation of theft of personal property was brought forth by a resident of a home. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) assisted MSP in executing a search warrant during the early morning hours of December 24, 2014 which is when the alleged theft occurred.

Upon notification of the allegation, DTM reported it to the Joint Intake Center on December 30, 2014 at approximately 3:30PM telephonically and via E-mail. Further investigation of the incident resulted in the arrest of both agents.

Prior to the arrest, on January 5, 2015, Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) forfeited their credentials, badges, weapon and other sensitive government property and they were placed on administrative the following day.

A timeline of events is noted below:

Friday, March 20, 2015: Border Patrol Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are placed under arrest.

Wednesday, March 18, 2015: CBP OIA Detroit informed that Border Patrol Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are to be charged with one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.505-C, Common Law Offenses (Misconduct In Office), a felony, punishable by up to five years in prison and/or a $10,000 fine; and one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.360, Larceny In a Building, a felony, punishable by up to four years in prison and/or a $5,000 fine. Both agents are scheduled to turn themselves in/self-surrender this Friday in Jackson County, MI.
Tuesday, February 10, 2015: DHS OIG and CBP OIA, in coordination with [redacted] staff, had [redacted] called into the [redacted] Station to review paperwork. Upon arrival, [redacted] was served with a subpoena for his cell phone (which was subsequently turned over to OIG Special Agents).
- A search warrant was served on the residence of [redacted] nothing notable was reported as being found.
- DHS OIG and CBP OIA are working with the attorney for [redacted] with the intention of obtaining his cell phone and executing a search warrant on his residence.
- CBP OIA informed that the AUSA is seeking a Piney Waiver to charge [redacted] both in federal and state courts.

Tuesday, January 6, 2015: [redacted] were placed on paid administrative leave.

Monday, January 5, 2015: [redacted] had their law enforcement authority revoked. All law enforcement equipment was collected and accounted for from [redacted] including credentials and service weapons.

Wednesday, December 31, 2014: Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Detroit, MI, was informed of the situation and opened a case for investigation.

Tuesday, December 30, 2014: CBP OIA Detroit was informed of the situation by Detroit Sector personnel.

Tuesday, December 30, 2014: Michigan State Police Lieutenant [redacted] Assistant Post Commander of the [redacted] Post, provided the following information to CBP representatives:
- On December 23, 2014, the MSP [redacted] conducted a traffic stop of a vehicle and located marijuana inside.
- The traffic stop, which occurred late on December 23, 2014, resulted in a residential search warrant being conducted on [redacted] in Leoni Township, MI (residence #1).
- This warrant service occurred in the early morning hours of December 24, 2014.
- The search of residence #1 resulted in a second residential search warrant, also on [redacted] in Leoni Township, MI (residence #2) on December 24, 2014.
- Border Patrol Agents [redacted] who regularly assist the [redacted] were on-duty and present for the warrants.
- Sufficient evidence surfaced (on December 30th) that during the execution of the search warrants [redacted] (without permission, took personal property from one or both residences.

---

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:37 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: SIR Narrative

Sirs,

For your review, SIR Narrative is attached. I summarized events and then provided the timeline that was in your IP email. I added the actual arrest to the timeline.

To whom does the limited distro SIR go to? Same names as on the IP e-mail?
I. MISSION

From XX/XX/2016 – XX/XX/2016, agents from Detroit Sector’s (DTM) will travel to San Antonio, Texas; Roma, Texas; and McAllen, Texas to participate in the execution of arrest warrants issued out of the United States Attorney’s Office (USAO) Northern District of Ohio (NDOH) in coordination with partners across the United States.

II. EXECUTION

A. Commander’s Intent:

Purpose: To execute arrest warrants issued out of the USAO NDOH in San Antonio, Texas; Roma, Texas; and McAllen, Texas, interview the subjects post-arrest, and the oversight of the operation for continuity of the case.

Method: Agents will travel to three cities in South Texas and coordinate with Rio Grande Valley Sector (RGV), and Laredo Sector (LRT) agents in the execution of arrest warrants and interviews.

End State: The subjects of the arrest warrants issued out of the USAO NDOH are in custody. Risk is reduced because of their arrests, a TCO is dismantled, and situational awareness is increased because of the intelligence gained during their post-arrest interviews. In coordination with the USAO NDOH, have assured the best possible outcome in the case by their involvement from start to finish.
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(A) Operations Officer
Detroit Sector Headquarters

Detroit Planning Team

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Cell

“Planning Ops and Tracking MOPs”
MEMORANDUM FOR: All Chief Patrol Agents
All Directorate Chiefs

FROM: Michael J. Fisher
Chief
U.S. Border Patrol

SUBJECT: Operation Order Creation and Approval Guidance

This memorandum supersedes my October 12, 2010 memorandum, Operations Orders and After Action Reports in Border Patrol Enforcement Tracking System, and provides guidance regarding the creation, approval authority, and reporting requirements for each category of an Operation Order (OPORD).

All OPORDs and Fragmentary Orders (FRAGOs) will be created, submitted, and approved within the A Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and an After-Action Report (AAR) must be completed within for all OPORDs. Users may refer to the OPORD user’s guide within for instructions.

OPORDs are not required for integrated steady-state operations. As delineated in CBP Deputy Commissioner Kevin McAleenan’s memorandum, Implementing a CBP Counter-Network (C-X) Approach, dated January 8, 2015, integrated counter-network operations are intended to be standard operating procedure:

“CBP recognizes opportunities to enhance joint capabilities to counter these networks in order to detect and prevent threats before they reach our borders. CBP’s Counter-Network (C-X) approach involves developing greater insight into terrorist and transnational criminal networks and working with our partners to build a more networked community to disrupt and dismantle such networks. CBP will enable a networked community of domestic and international partners to use the insights we derive from our security activities to inform and enhance their operational activities.”

OPORDs will run concurrent with the fiscal year operational planning cycle and not cross multiple fiscal years. OPORDs covering an extended period of time with multiple iterations will require a FRAGO and the appropriate approval for any significant change in a successive iteration. OPORDs for Joint Bi-National Operations with an international partner will not exceed six months in duration, at which time a new OPORD must be submitted for approval.

1 e.g., level of risk, additional partners, operational requirements, target(s)/objective(s).
OPORDs and FRAGOs are categorized into the following classifications based on specific criteria and the level of approval required. The authorized level of approval for each category listed below is the same level of approval required within the (b)(7)(E).

**CATEGORY 1** – An OPORD or FRAGO that does not meet the criteria to require categorization as a Category 2 or Category 3. Requires approval by the Chief Patrol Agent.

**U.S. Border Patrol Headquarters (USBP HQ) Notice Requirement:** When an OPORD, FRAGO or training exercise meets any of the following criteria, a legal review by the local Office of Chief Counsel (OCC) and notice to the Chief of the USBP HQ is required:

- It has active participation by any non-Department of Homeland Security agency or entity to include Federal, state, local, and/or international partners;
- It is conducted outside of the sector’s typical area of operation;
- It has the potential to be construed as interior enforcement; or
- It is determined that national media interest is likely.

Category 1 CONOPs, OPORDs and FRAGOs shall be submitted at USBP HQ, at a minimum of five days prior to the planned commencement of the operation. The notice to USBP HQ shall consist of a one-page executive summary submitted via e-mail to the sector’s respective Assistant Chief at USBP HQ to provide command staff with situational awareness.

**CATEGORY 2** – An OPORD, FRAGO, or training exercise that meets any of the criteria listed below, but does not meet the criteria to require classification as a Category 3. Requires a legal review by the local OCC, approval by the Chief Patrol Agent, and must be forwarded for authorization to execute from the Deputy Chief of the U.S. Border Patrol:

- The assessed risk level remains “High” despite implementing mitigation efforts;
- It requires deployment of any assets/resources from another corridor;
- It requires support from USBP HQ.

Category 2 CONOPs, OPORDs, and FRAGOs shall be submitted at USBP HQ, at a minimum of 10 days prior to the planned commencement of the operation. This requires a one-page executive summary and the OPORD or FRAGO with requisite annexes submitted via e-mail to the sector’s respective Assistant Chief at USBP HQ for review and action.

**CATEGORY 3** – An OPORD, FRAGO, or training exercise that is national in scope or would be considered “High-Profile.” Also, any enforcement initiative under the direction of USBP HQ, the CBP Commissioner, or other senior U.S. Government official. Requires a legal review by the Associate Chief Counsel (Enforcement) in the Office of Chief Counsel and approval by the Chief of the U.S. Border Patrol. These include, but are not limited to, any operation:

---

2 Excluding Operation Stonegarden enforcement actions.
3 And when required, notice forwarded to USBP HQ.
4 See attached executive summary template.
5 e.g., intelligence, budget/cost analysis, risk assessment.
Specifically targeting a (b)(7)(E) that requires enforcement actions in excess of the sector’s steady-state enforcement activities;

- Requiring active participation by any Department of Defense agency or entity;
- Requiring an international partner to enter or traverse through the United States;
- In response to a formal request for support from a senior state government official that requires operating under state law or a non-Federal agency's law enforcement authority.

Category 3 CONOPS, OPORDs and FRAGOs shall be submitted in (b)(7)(E) and to USBP HQ at a minimum of 20 days prior to the planned commencement of the operation. This requires a one-page executive summary and the OPORD or FRAGO with requisite annexes submitted via e-mail to the sector’s respective Assistant Chief at USBP HQ for review and action.

Expedited review may be granted in the event emergent or exigent circumstances necessitate immediate action. This requires prompt telephonic notification, to the Deputy Chief of Operations at USBP HQ, followed by an e-mail outlining the pertinent details. The expedited process requires a legal review commensurate with the respective category. If approved, the timely completion of the CONOPS and OPORD or FRAGO with supporting documentation is still required.

Notice: When an approved OPORD or FRAGO aligns with the Southern Borders and Approaches Campaign objectives, notice will be provided to the respective command staff for awareness.

It remains critically important to link the enforcement actions to properly capture data relevant to an operation. To accomplish this, the operation must be created in (b)(7)(E) separate and apart from creating the OPORD in (b)(7)(E). This should be coordinated with the Statistics and Data Integrity Branch (SDI) within the Enforcement Systems Division (ESD) of the Strategic Planning and Policy Directorate (SPAD) at USBP HQ to avoid duplication or confusion. Once the operation is created in (b)(7)(E), enforcement actions may be linked by opening the and selecting the relevant operation in the enforcement actions

Direct questions concerning OPORDs and the approval process to Acting Associate Chief or the sector’s respective Division Associate Chief at USBP HQ. Direct questions regarding to ESD at

Attachment

cc: Directors (b)(7)(E)
**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

- Briefly describe the WHO, WHAT, WHEN, WHERE and WHY of the operation.

**BACKGROUND:**

- Highlight the specifics supporting what predicated the requirement for the operation.
- Expand on the WHO, WHAT and WHY.

**EXECUTION:**

- Highlight the specifics of WHEN, WHERE and HOW the operation is to be executed.

**PARTICIPATING AGENCIES:**

- Identify all participants.

**ASSOCIATED COSTS:**

- Summary of cost analysis.

**LEGAL REVIEW/OPINION:**

- Summary of legal opinion.

**RISK ASSESSMENT LEVEL:**

- Summary of risk assessment and mitigating actions.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 11:26 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Task force assessment decision issue paper
Attachments: (b)(7)(E)_iTF Assessment.

Patrol Agent in Charge
U.S. Border Patrol
Detroit, Michigan 48214

Office Fax Cell

Dispatch 24/7

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 2:48 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Task force assessment decision issue paper

TF papers.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 2:48 PM
Gents,

Per the phone call this afternoon.

Please resubmit your TF assessment (using your current content or add as needed) DOCs using this attached format.

The deadline to Division Chief is Noon Thursday 8/20/15.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Executive Officer
Operations Division
Detroit Sector Headquarters
26000 South Street, BLDG 1506
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Report Date: 8/19/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge [b](6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: This taskforce is a multi-agency drug taskforce consisting of Michigan State Police Officers and Federal agents from USBP. It focuses on drug crimes that are committed in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. This taskforce increases BP's local knowledge of individuals connected to drug distribution in the Upper Peninsula along with increasing our ability to gain information on how they receive the drugs, whether from Canada or from other locations within the U.S. This taskforce is one of two taskforces within [b](7)(E) area and allows for interaction and integration with the other agencies involved.

Background: Participation in this taskforce allows us access to criminal elements within our local area that are engaged in illegal drug operations. This access allows full situational awareness and the ability to look further into the relationship with local drug dealers and others within Michigan and beyond Michigan State lines. It also opens up lines of communication amongst the agencies involved in the taskforce and allows for an easier line of distribution of information pertinent to drug activity in [b](7)(E) AOR.

There is no better way in our AOR to gain the trust and collaboration with partner agencies dealing with illegal drug activity than working side-by-side with them on a regular basis. Meetings and subcommittees do not open the doors in the same way as truly partnering against a targeted threat.

It provides more than one thing. As stated above, it is essential to fully realize a high level of situational awareness and to fully develop true inter-agency cooperation from our partners. If we intend to fulfill our obligation to follow the National Strategy, I see no better way to do this than to be integrated in this team. The law enforcement community in our immediate AOR is extremely centralized and the most important agencies that we need to be partners with, work on this team.

Current Status: BPAs have demonstrated their ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in [b](7)(E)have [b](6), (b)(7)(C) fully engaged on the UPSET Task Force. They are investigating their own cases and through initiative and training have become self-sufficient. BPAs have demonstrated their ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in [b](7)(E) have provided the [b](7)(E) Station an additional intelligence gathering resource. The [b](7)(E) Station has also BPAs and their access to de-conflict information with UPSET case information and databases.

Recommendation:
- Continue participation on the Task Force.
Abilities/Strengths/Risks:

How many arrest of aliens / criminal aliens? No aliens or criminal aliens have been arrested by UPSET however as I understand we are not solely focusing on aliens but have a broader strategy which also focuses on improving the quality of life for the community and rooting out, disrupting and eliminating criminal enterprise activity in our AOR specifically related to terrorism, drug and gang activity.

How many narcotics cases (border related north or south?) Eleven (11) cases involving a cross border nexus or assumed cross border nexus.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Heroin subject arrested and bound over to circuit court. Cross border ties still under investigation.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Intent to deliver crack cocaine. Possession of 2 grams of crack, 2 needles filled with heroin, 5 narcotic pills and $1300 cash. The cocaine and heroin have cross border ties and are currently under investigation. Heroin is being picked up in Lansing, Michigan. Subject arrested and bound over to circuit court.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Delivery of Heroin. Heroin is believed to be originating in the Detroit area. Further investigation pending. Subject arrested and bound over to circuit court.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Search warrant was executed in Mackinac County on a located on (b)(7)(E). The search warrant was the result of a 5 month investigation into the main suspect and a joint operation was conducted with (b)(7)(E). Suspects were pandering prostitution, selling Morphine, human trafficking and forced labor. Complaint is open pending warrants requests.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Heroin/cocaine in Manistique, MI.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Heroin in Chippewa County subject arrested and bound over to Circuit Court. Investigation into cross border ties still in progress.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Heroin/cocaine with ties to border. Still under investigation. Subject arrested with crack cocaine.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Heroin- Coming from Detroit or Flint back to Chippewa County. Have and are building case of suspect. Subject arrested and bound over to circuit court.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Canadian Morphine purchased in Canada with Canadian scripts and are legally entering the US from Canada through POE to be sold at a later date. Warrant submitted for suspects pending felony complaint.

-Case (b)(7)(E) Heroin. Investigation to cross border ties in progress.

What intelligence was developed?


TTPS:

-Information through that subjects are concealing small quantities of illegal narcotics under (b)(7)(E) when they cross through the POE. Resulted in arrest of a female with narcotics that had narcotics are being utilized to circumvent fencing and barriers to smuggle illegal narcotics into the correctional facilities.

-Information that inmates in correctional facilities use a system called (b)(7)(E) to call a registered phone number to a friend or family member. The friend or family member then calls a third party and holds the phone close to the registered phone. The inmate is then able to make arrangements with the narcotics dealer with the phone number being untraceable.

-Information received about a male subject from Amsterdam Netherlands is flying into Green Bay Wisconsin and selling narcotics in the UP of Michigan at bars along (b)(7)(E).
subject presents himself as a vintage home designer. Subject travels to Toronto and then back to Netherlands.

- Information was received that subjects involved in illegal sells of prescription pills. Subjects layering the pill bottles with different types of material such as bubble wrap, cloth, and kool aid packets to help prevent detection from postal workers and LE.

Intelligence Passed:
- TFAs contacted Intelligence Officer at OFO and gave specific information about an individual who is transporting small amounts of marijuana through the POE.
- TFAs passed on information about gang graffiti discovered in Munising to Intelligence Officer at OFO.
- Information passed to Postal Inspector in regards to a male suspect transporting large quantities of Marijuana through USPS from California to Michigan.
- Information passed to SSM PD about 2 stolen firearms.
- Information passed to LBPA in regards to BP target.
- Information passed to BPA in regards to analyzing information received from phone records and pen registers.
- Information passed to unit on

Approved/Date:  
Disapproved/Date:  
Needs Discussion/Date:  
Modify/Date:  

(b)(7)(E)
Report Date: 8/19/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: This taskforce is a multi-agency drug taskforce consisting of State, local and Tribal (SLT) and Federal agents from OFO and USBP. It focuses on drug crimes that are committed in Mackinaw, Luce and Chippewa counties. This taskforce increases BP’s local knowledge of individuals connected to drug distribution in these three counties along with increasing our ability to gain information on how they receive the drugs, whether from Canada or from other locations within the U.S. This taskforce is one of two taskforces within the area and allows for interaction and integration with the other agencies involved.

Background: Participation in this taskforce allows us access to criminal elements within our local area that are engaged in illegal drug operations. This access allows full situational awareness and the ability to look further into the relationship with local drug dealers and others within Michigan and beyond Michigan State lines. It also opens up lines of communication amongst the agencies involved in the taskforce and allows for an easier line of distribution of information pertinent to drug activity in our AOR.

There is no better way in our AOR to gain the trust and collaboration with partner agencies dealing with illegal drug activity than working side-by-side with them on a regular basis. Meetings and subcommittees do not open the doors in the same way as truly partnering against a targeted threat.

It provides more than one thing. As stated above, it is essential to fully realize a high level of situational awareness and to fully develop true inter-agency cooperation from our partners. If we intend to fulfill our obligation to follow the National Strategy, I see no better way to do this than to be integrated in this team. The law enforcement community in our immediate AOR is extremely centralized and the most important agencies that we need to be partners with, work on this team.

Current Status: BPA is fully engaged on the Task Force. He is investigating his own cases; through initiative and training has become self-sufficient. BPA has demonstrated his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in a manner that Station an additional intelligence gathering resource. The Station uses BPA and his access to de-conflict information with case information and databases.

Recommendation:
• Continue participation on the Task Force.

**Abilities/Strengths/Risks:**

**How many arrest of aliens / criminal aliens?** One (1) arrest of an alien. [Redacted] (Station Priority Target) arrested for narcotics distribution after an investigation initiated by USBP Agents and assisted by [Redacted]. The TF Agent obtained search warrant, phone tolls and presented the case to the prosecutor. The investigation continues and will eventually lead to the arrest of an associate of [Redacted].

**How many narcotics cases (border related north or south?)** Seven (7) cases involving a cross border nexus or assumed cross border nexus.

- [Redacted] Canadian Morphine seized—Investigation still ongoing with help from Canadians in identifying the source.
- [Redacted] Heroin—Not produced in US. Attempting to gain further information on source.
- [Redacted] Heroin—Not produced in US. Attempting to gain further information on source.
- [Redacted] Heroin—Not produced in US. Attempting to gain further information on source.
- [Redacted] Opiates—Cross border smuggling of Opiates at the [Redacted].

**How many interviews of aliens?** Four (4), Three Canadians and 1 UK National [Redacted] (Sector Priority Target)

**What intelligence was developed?** Being used to transport narcotics—TFA still developing. TFA is in communication with [Redacted] to gain further information on the subject using [Redacted]. Local law enforcement has been contacted in the home area of the subject to determine if he is a known drug distributor. [Redacted] (1/29/15) Marijuana Smuggling from West Branch, MI to [Redacted] Approximately 5 months after [Redacted] and sharing the information with local partners, the subject of [Redacted] was stopped by MSP in route to Sault Ste. Marie from West Branch, Michigan and was found to be in possession of a significant amount of concealed Marijuana.

**TTPS:**

- Drug suppliers are using bicycles at night in an effort to [Redacted].
- Subjects are using [Redacted] as a possible stash by removing the cap and placing items inside.
- One pot meth producers are producing one run of meth and then stashing the equipment at the cook location for future use.
- Suppliers are running [Redacted] out of routine even when they do not [Redacted].
- Subjects are buying vehicles of recently deceased handicapped persons so that they do not have to [Redacted].
- Runners are continuing to use [Redacted] to transport narcotics so they do not [Redacted].
- Meth producers are boiling fabric softeners in a pot on the stove in an effort to conceal the smells associated with active production.
- Older teens are stealing cigars of their preferred brand, rerolling them with marijuana that is mixed with codeine.
- Visitors to prisons are using a Band-Aid to conceal Suboxone strips to the area.

**(b)(7)(E)**
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2016 4:46 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Updated Affidavit for Search Warrant
Attachments: This is it Affidavit for Search Warrant.doc; This is it Affidavit for complaint.doc

Chief,

The below email also represents some of the additional specific assistance I gave in this matter. As stated earlier I am sending this email due to our discussion yesterday.

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Assistant U. S. Attorney
Acting Chief, National Security Unit
United States Attorney’s Office for the E.D. of MI.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 5:12 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Updated Affidavit for Search Warrant

Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Attached are my recommendations for the Search Warrant Affidavit and the Complaint Affidavit (I added the 18 USC 922(g)(5)(A)). Affiant must swear to the accuracy of these affidavits. Thus, it is imperative that the Affiant verifies the accuracy of each paragraph in these affidavits.

There are some additional questions I have with regard to this matter:

1. In Paragraph 14 of the Search Warrant Affidavit is the indictments “of three individuals” pending? If not add the current information and add it to the applicable Complaint Affidavit Paragraph.

2. In Paragraph 22 of the Search Warrant Affidavit do you know the specific location of where the AR-15 was located in the Target Residence? If so add the current information and add it to the applicable Complaint Affidavit Paragraph.

3. Is Paragraph 24 of the Search Warrant Affidavit completely accurate? If not make the necessary changes and change the applicable Complaint Affidavit Paragraph.

4. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is used throughout these affidavits. If the subject’s last name is (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) then change (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) throughout these affidavits.

5. I need the Search Warrant application and affidavit cover forms

6. I need the Complaint form modified to include 18 USC 922(g)(5)(A).

Thanks.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 7:02 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Updated Affidavit for Search Warrant

Here is the updated version of what we had spoken about, please let me know if there are any changes needed.
Thanks,

BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

AFFIDAVIT

1. [ ] declare the following under penalty of perjury:

1. I am a Border Patrol Agent with the United States Department of Homeland Security, United States Border Patrol (USBP). I have been employed in this capacity since [mm/dd/yyyy]. Currently, I am assigned to the Prosecution Unit at the [b](E) Border Patrol Station. In such capacity, which requires me to identify, locate, apprehend and remove aliens who are in violation of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), I investigate criminal violations.

2. The information set forth below is for the limited purpose of establishing probable cause in support of an application for a search warrant to search the residence and its curtilage located at [b](E), Detroit, Michigan, 48210 (hereafter referred to as the Target Residence). This application seeks permission to seize evidence establishing criminal violations of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326 (a) Unlawful Reentry of Removed Alien and Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(5)(A) Illegal Alien in Possession of a Firearm, as further described in Attachment B.

3. The facts set forth herein are based upon my personal knowledge as well as information provided by other law enforcement officers including, Border Patrol Agents (BPAs), Task Force Agents (TFAs) of the U.S. Border Patrol, Detroit Police Officers and the This affidavit does not necessarily contain all of the information collected during my investigation.

(Unlawful Reentry of Removed Alien)

4. [b](E), [b](C) is a twenty-five-year old male, native and citizen of Mexico, who last entered the United States at or near an unknown place, on or about an unknown date without being admitted, inspected or paroled by an Immigration Officer.

5. On or about April 24, 2004, U.S. Border Patrol Agents arrested [b](E), [b](C) at or near Ysleta, Texas. [b](E) was granted a Voluntary Return back to his native county Mexico.

6. On or about October 04, 2007, [b](E), [b](C) pled guilty to LARCENY FROM A PERSON (severity: felony). On or about November 02, 2007, [b](E), [b](C) was sentenced to one-year probation in the 3rd Judicial Circuit Court of Michigan.
7. On May 28, 2009, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) pled guilty to HOME INVASTION – 2ND DEGREE (severity: felony). On or about July 14, 2009, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to pay $600.00 in fines, to serve 120 days at the Wayne County Jail, and two years of probation (with $240.00 supervision fee).

8. On or about August 21, 2009, Officers from Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) encountered (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in jail and gave (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) a Notice to Appear.

9. On or about August 31, 2009, an Immigration Judge granted (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) a Stipulated Removal in Detroit, MI.

10. On or about September 01, 2009, ICE issued a Warrant of Removal for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). He was barred from entering the United States for the next ten years.

11. On or about September 08, 2009, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was removed back to Mexico through Laredo, Texas.

12. During the winter of 2016, Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (SBP) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) received information from the Detroit Police Department that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was believed to be back in the United States. SBP (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is a task force agent assigned to the Detroit Police Department Cold Case Homicide Unit.

13. During early January 2016 a (b)(7)(E) informed the Detroit Police Department that based upon his observations (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was residing at the Target Residence with his girlfriend, and two minor children.

14. (b)(7)(D), (b)(7)(E)

15. Records checks conducted by the (b)(7)(E) Station found that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) girlfriend identified (b)(7)(E) possessed the deed for the Target Residence which corroborated (b)(7)(E) statement that the Target Residence is also inhabited by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) girlfriend.

16. On or about January 19, 2016, SBP (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) contacted me to help investigate (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). I reviewed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) official immigration file and his immigration and criminal records checks. I identified (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) as an undocumented alien who had been officially removed from the United States and did not obtain the express consent of the Attorney General or the Secretary of
the Department of Homeland Security to reapply for admission into the United States in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326(a).

17. On or about May 16, 2016, [(b)(7)(E)] Border Patrol Agents [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] and [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] positively identified [(b)(6), (b)(7)(G)] at the Target Residence. Agents [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] identified [(b)(6), (b)(7)(G)] because this observation of [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] matched the height and weight listed in his immigration record checks. This observation of [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] also matched a picture that was obtained from the Wayne County Sheriff’s Office. The biographical data associated to the picture matched [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] biographical data. This identification of [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] adds corroboration to [(b)(7)(E)] information that [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] was residing at the Target Residence as stated in Paragraph 13 of this affidavit.

18. During the winter of 2016, [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)] driving a black truck. On or about May 16, 2016, Agents [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] observed [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] driving a black Chevrolet Silverado with Michigan license plate [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] leaving the Target Residence. Records checks conducted on the aforementioned license plate returned to female registered owner from Warren, Michigan. Also, the same license plate returned to a 1995 Buick Skylark indicating that the Michigan license plate on the black Chevrolet Silverado that [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] was observed driving is incorrectly plated. Since 2008, the State of Michigan refused to issue a driver’s license to undocumented aliens. Based on my experiences as a Border Patrol Agent working in the Metro Detroit area since 2010, many undocumented aliens living in the State of Michigan will not have valid driver’s licenses or properly plated vehicles because they are unable to obtain a valid Michigan driver’s license.

19. To date [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] not obtain the express consent of the Attorney General or the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security to reapply for admission into the United States in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326(a).

20. I know based upon my training and experience, as well as conversations with other law enforcement officers and their experience, that illegal reentry aliens often keep inside their residence documents and information related to their illegal status, including passports, visas, residency documents and travel records more specifically stated in Attachment B.

(Illegal Alien in Possession of a Firearm)

21. During January of 2016 [(b)(7)(E)] also informed the Detroit Police Department that he/she had observed [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] to be possession of two handguns firearms, an AR-15 assault rifle firearm, and gun barrels at the Target Residence. [(b)(7)(E)] stated that he/she last [(b)(7)(E)]

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), (b)(7)(E)
22. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), (b)(7)(E)

23. The Detroit Police Department has identified (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) as a member of the (b)(7)(E) gang.

24. I have further identified (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) as an alien not younger than 16 years-of-age who intentionally participated in an organized criminal gang to further the illegal activity of the gang.

25. A report from Immigration and Customs Enforcement dated April 08, 2010 identified (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) by an alias of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). The report stated that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was a (b)(7)(E) gang member known as (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

26. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), (b)(7)(E)

27. Based on my training, experiences, and participation in other criminal investigations and my extensive discussions with experienced police officers of the Detroit Police Department, other agents with the United States Border Patrol particularly with task force agents assigned to the (b)(7)(E) and the Detroit Police Department (b)(7)(E) agents with the Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and other local law enforcement agencies, I know that people who are undocumented aliens that are affiliated with gang-related activities share various combinations of common characteristics which are listed as follows:

a. Gang members may engage in illegal activities to support the gang and may possess firearms for intimidation and protection purposes;

b. If a gang member possesses firearms, they may also possess other items associated with the usage of firearms such as ammunition, silencers, holsters, sights, grips, gun cases, ammunition making supplies, firearm cleaning supplies, sale receipts, ammunition receipts, sale literature, photographs, certificates, or videos of firearms or ammunition as further stated in Attachment B;

c. Some undocumented aliens join gangs that are present in southwest Detroit to help
earn money through various criminal activities, to have a sense of belonging to a group, and for protection.

28. I have spoken with representatives of the\text{(b)(7)(E)} who advised that there are no manufactures of firearms in Michigan as stated in this affidavit.

29. I have reviewed law enforcement databases and have found no evidence that \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} has a concealed weapons permit.

30. Thus, I know from my training and experience, as well as conversations with other law enforcement officers, that individuals who possess firearms often keep the firearms, evidence associated with the firearms (such as ammunition, holsters, gun cases, cleaning supplies, etc.), and evidence of their possession of the firearms (such as records related to the purchase, maintenance, or operation of the firearms) (more specifically stated in Attachment B.) are kept inside their residence. This is particularly true, as is the case here, when the individual does not possess a concealed weapons permit that would allow them to carry the firearm outside their residence in a concealed fashion.

31. The Target Residence is a one-and-a-half-story\text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} and is located between \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} in Detroit, Michigan. The residence is more fully described in Attachment A to this affidavit.

32. Based upon the above information, I have concluded that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, there is probable cause to believe that \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} is present in the United States in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326(a), to wit reentry of a removed alien who has been officially removed from the United States, and thereafter is found in the United States without having obtained the express consent of the Attorney General or the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security to reapply for admission into the United States and that there is probable cause that \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} is an illegal alien in possession of firearms violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(5)(A). I respectfully request that this Court issue a Search Warrant for \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} residence at \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} in Detroit, Michigan, 48210 specifically described in Attachment A. This request is based upon probable cause to believe that \text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)} committed the aforementioned violations and that the evidence of the crime, as further described in Attachment B, will be found at the described location.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this _________________, 2016.

United States Magistrate Judge
United States District Court
Eastern District of Michigan

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Border Patrol Agent
United States Department of Homeland Security
United States Border Patrol
Attachment A

Detroit, Michigan, 48210, and its curtilage, including garages, storage sheds, and outbuildings, and vehicles on the property.

This Target Residence is further described as a one-and-a-half story and is located between in Detroit, Michigan. The house number which is mounted on the to the left of the front door that faces. The numbers are gold in color. The street north is The street south of A photograph of the residence appears below along with a map of the area.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
Attachment B

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Detroit, Michigan, 48210 and its curtilage.

Agents seek the following items:

1. All evidence related to firearms:
   a. Firearms and ammunition;
   b. Items associated with firearms, such as silencers, lights, holsters, sights, grips, gun cases, ammunition making supplies, and firearm cleaning supplies;
   c. Records or information regarding firearms and ammunition, such as sale receipts, ammunition receipts, sales literature, photographs, certificates, or videos of firearms or ammunition.

2. All evidence related to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) the identity, citizenship, and status in the United States or immigration history including, driver’s licenses, court documents, passports, birth certificates, residency documents, visas, false documents, altered documents, stolen documents, and documents or records related to travel to-or-from the United States.

3. All evidence that shows residency at, or dominion or control over (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Detroit, MI, 48210 including, vehicle registrations, receipts, bills, canceled checks, mail, titles, land contracts, keys, deeds, purchase (mortgage) and/or lease agreements, and phone records.

4. The terms “evidence,” “records,” and “documents” includes information in all forms, including paper, photographic, video, or any type of electronic coding, text or data.
ALCON,

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

It is being provided for SITUATIONAL AWARENESS.

This BOLO may not be released to any other agency outside of CBP without approval from the USBP Division.

The subject of this BOLO is currently being refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the POE in Detroit, MI.

The attachment is password protected as it may contain Sensitive Security Information (SSI) and Personally Identifiable Information (PII).

Password to follow.

Operations Officer
Matthew 20:27 "And whosoever will be chief among you, let him be your servant."

WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 USC 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from the Office of Border Patrol.
Updated to add Stonegarden and Stonegarden.

At the expense of the 3:00 break on Tuesday.

Thanks,
Detroit Sector Headquarters
PAIC Meeting Agenda
February 23 & 24, 2016

February 23, 2016 (12 pm - 4pm)

12:00 - 12:45 pm Opening Remarks by Acting Deputy Chief Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
12:45 - 1:00 pm Break
1:00 - 2:00 pm PAIC/Station Updates
2:00 - 2:15 pm Break
2:15 - 2:45 pm NBCC Update (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
2:45 - 3:00 pm Operation Stonegarden Update (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
3:00 - 3:30 pm (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
3:30 - 4:00 pm Wrap-up

February 24, 2016 (8 am - 12 pm)

8:00 - 8:30 am Mission Readiness Division Staff Updates:
   - Finance and Budget (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
   - Human Resources (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
   - Garage (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
8:30 - 9:30 am LER/Policy and Compliance (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
9:30 - 9:45 am Break
9:45 - 10:30 am Acting Division Chief, Operations: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
   - (b)(7)(E)
   - Open Discussion
10:30 - 10:45 am Break
10:45 - 11:15 am Acting Division Chief, Operational Programs: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
   - Mentoring, Recruiting
   - Change of Command
11:00 - 12:00 pm Closing Remarks by Acting Chief Patrol (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Got it brother.

Many thanks! Have a great weekend!!!

FYSA brothers.

Couple of days back, one of our task force agents caught a Lebanese overstay who was in possession of a weapon, 5 boxes of ammo and a concealed carry permit (yes, really). SIR narrative attached.

Today we learned that a decision on prosecution from the AUSA will come on Tuesday but in the meantime Congresswoman [redacted] has started calling the AUSA and looking for info. She hasn’t called BP...yet.

Interestingly, this guy’s father is apparently [redacted] in the Lebanese army.
Detroit Sector

 офис
The solicitation memo, yes.

The rest of it needs search capabilities. My order of business now that she is back.

I rebuilt the original TF assignments. BPA was equal in BP time to one of those selected-TFA service computation date is a bit over a year senior to TFA, but the TF for which was selected was the which was appropriately sourced from rather than Had it been an argument could be made to put on the TF, but it was a Detroit city specific crime TF so the operational decision in the room was to select . was not senior to any of the agents who were selected.

Thank you,

Division Chief, Operational Programs
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2015 3:00 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Testimony
did you give me this stuff already?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2015 1:51 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Testimony

See below, as discussed.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Can you have the team dig up the solicitation memo, the announcement memo, as well as any other documentation on the June 2014 TF selections. As I recall, the PAICs met with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and decided which station would provide each TF slot and then made their selections based on seniority. That said, I believe that left (b)(5) two slots shy of making the list. I'll just need a refresher and the list of what station provided what slots. I think he may argue (b)(7)(E) should have provided x and I need to

Hello DCPA

2
Thanks.

Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

This communication may contain information that is law enforcement sensitive, attorney-client privileged, an attorney work-product, or proprietary to the U.S. Government. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please consult with the Office of the Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, before disclosing any information in this email.
Good Morning Mr.,

This is the first email of several more to come. I had a folder on your desk when I left in January 2016 of these PAIC TF Assessment IP's, along with the PAIC TF Assessment. As can be seen below, the PAIC portion of this project was delegated to Planning Team who transferred out of the USBP relatively soon after receiving each station's product. It is unknown at this time whether any further analysis or sorting was completed by the Planning Team.

Again, one of more to follow. Thanks sir,

Patrol Agent in Charge
U.S. Border Patrol - Station
Detroit, Michigan 48214

From: 
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2015 2:40 PM
Subject: FW: Task force assessment decision issue paper

Mr. [Unclassified]

here is the reformatted [Unclassified] TFA report.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(Acting) Division Chief
Operations Division
U.S. Border Patrol
Detroit Sector Headquarters
Office
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cell

(b)(7)(E) Dispatch 24/7

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 11:59 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Task force assessment decision issue paper

Sir,

Reformatted IPs are attached.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Patrol Agent in Charge (Acting)
U.S. Border Patrol, (b)(7)(E) Station
Gents,

Per the phone call this afternoon.

Please resubmit your TF assessment (using your current content or add as needed) DOCS using this attached format.

The deadline to Division Chief is Noon Thursday 8/20/15.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Executive Officer

Operations Division

Detroit Sector Headquarters

26000 South Street, BLDG 1506

Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI 48045

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Customs and Border Protection
US Border Patrol
Detroit Sector / (b)(7)(E) __________

Task Force Analysis – County of Macomb Enforcement Team (COMET)

Report Date: 7/2/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Consideration placed on the continuation of Detroit Sector’s participation in COMET Task Force.

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (SBPA) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has been assigned to the COMET Task Force since June, 2014. COMET primarily focuses on street level drug crimes in and around the County of Macomb, Michigan.

Background: Macomb County Michigan is adjacent to the western northern half of Lake St. Clair, including the communities of St. Clair Shores, Harrison Township, Chesterfield and New Baltimore. Most of these communities along the Lake are residential and many of the homes are serviced by a canal and specifically designed to be used for recreational boating. Access by our agents to these areas are limited. Some (b)(7)(E) is deployed in this area, however (b)(7)(E) is also limited. Thus, Macomb County is the area in which (b)(7)(E) Station lacks the most situational awareness. Therefore, any partnerships, especially with local law enforcement, is considered valuable. While COMET does not currently focus on border security related crimes, the (b)(7)(E) Station, through discussions with their leadership, understands they value our participation and are amenable to adjusting resources that directly support our mission.

Current Status: COMET leadership has indicated that they value SBPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) participation and that he is doing a “good job”. However, the (b)(7)(E) Station currently has (b)(7)(E) Acting Supervisory Border Patrol Agents, one of which can return to field work if SBPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) returns to the station in his first-line supervisory role. There are no Border Patrol Agents currently assigned to COMET that require any oversight and there is no indication that the level or complexity of the work being performed requires an experienced supervisor.

Recommendation: While the COMET Task Force has not had any measurable positive impact on our mission, there is the prospect of improving our posture as we expand and redirect some of their resources to better suit our mission. The (b)(7)(E) Station can also potentially utilize the jurisdictional authorities possessed by our local law enforcement in order to expand our situational awareness in an area that has been identified as a (b)(7)(E). There is a possibility that a similar task force located in Macomb County may be better suited to our mission and is exploring options. As long as we are confident that we can improve our position within this task force or a similar Macomb County located task force we should continue to participate with the goal that participation will provide us with additional situational awareness and jurisdictional enforcement.
options. Since there is no real need for a supervisor in this role, and the Station needs functional first line supervisors, we recommend that SBPA be replaced by a BPA.

**Abilities/Strengths/Risks:** Since January 2015 SBPA has produced 41 I-44’s related to arrests and/or seizures he was involved with while working with COMET. Included in the 41 reports were 35 seizures of narcotics, ten vehicle seizures and ten gun seizures. There were no arrests or seizures made that were directly related to border security. No intelligence related to our mission has been developed through this task force. Most activity was focused on street level narcotic sales usually involving U.S. citizens with no direct nexus to smuggling activity either on the northern or southern border.

SBPA has recently directed COMET resources to three of the Station’s priority targets. These activities have included

---
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Task Force Analysis – (b)(7)(E)

Report Date: 8/19/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Consideration placed on the continuation of Detroit Sector’s participation in (b)(7)(E)

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: The Task Force focuses on violations under Title 21, the Controlled Substances Act. While there were some outcomes during the year, Station felt that we should be seeing more intelligence.

Background: Border Patrol Agent (BPA) has been assigned to the since June, 2014.

Current Status: On April 23, 2015, Acting Deputy Patrol Agent in Charge (A)DPAIC and Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (A)PAIC met with BPA to discuss his role with the task force. Agent said that while he was working on a case that was tied to southwest border smuggling and cartel activity, the traffic was mainly Chicago to Detroit. He stated that he would like to provide more information that we can use toward our mission, but that the Task Force was usually reluctant to share information. He stated that the environment was so secretive that members of the task force sometimes refused to share information among themselves.

BPA said that he is working long hours and the time away from his family is causing conflict and stress at home. This situation is exasperated by a recently diagnosed medical condition within the family. The supervisor of has indicated to Station leadership, via e-mail and telephone conversation, that he is unhappy with BPA’s performance. According to the Group Supervisor for Agent is lacking ability and/or experience in these key areas:

- Tactical awareness and building entry
- Report writing and casework documentation

Supervisory Special Agent (SSA) stated to (A)DPAIC that Agent is a liability to himself and the Unit and requested that Agent be replaced by an agent with skills more applicable to the environment in which the task force operates.
On July 11, 2015, (A)PAIC and (A)DPAIC once again met with Agent. The concerns brought forth by SSA were discussed. Agent indicated he was unaware of the performance issues the expressed to Station management. We encouraged BP to discuss his current status in the unit with SSA. Agent later informed (A)DPAIC that due to the totality of the circumstances, both personal and professional, SSA and Agent both agreed that his assignment on the task force should terminate the first week of September.

**Recommendation:** Due to the limited outcomes noted in this document, and the fact that the Station currently has an agent assigned to another TF that can provide liaison with, we recommend that no replacement be solicited to replace once his assignment is over on September 5, 2015.

**Abilities/Strengths/Risks:** Highlights of activities are noted below:

- In December the Task Force and Warren Police Department performed a vehicle stop at Civic Center. Upon making contact with the vehicle it was discovered that Methamphetamines wrapped had been hidden in the right rear passenger arm rest of the vehicle. Also, the vehicle contained three undocumented illegal aliens which were subsequently turned over to member processed and then charged with 8 USC 1182 Illegal Entry into the country without inspection.

- BPA coordinated several Canine assistance call outs to cases which resulted in a successful search and subsequent seizure of hidden drugs, namely: 176.1 grams of “Crack” cocaine, 52.9 grams of marijuana $2,697 U.S. currency and 115 grams of heroin, 50 grams of cocaine and 50 grams of marijuana.

- In his role with the Task Force, BPA was able to coordinate and assist another BPA with the development of a

---
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Needs Discussion/Date: Modify/Date:
Customs and Border Protection
US Border Patrol
Detroit Sector / (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) Task Force Analysis – Detroit Police Department (DPD) (b)(7)(E)

Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Consideration placed on the continuation of Detroit Sector’s participation in the DPD (b)(7)(E)

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Participation in this TF provides the Detroit Sector, in particular the (b)(7)(E) Station a vital liaison link with the station’s most essential partner. Participation with a DPD Task Force will be more beneficial with a 4th Precinct (southwest Detroit area) TF named (b)(7)(E). In addition, effective participation with DPD TF can likely be achieved with one agent assigned to a DPD task force, versus the two now assigned.

Background: The DPD (b)(7)(E) is designed to focus on street gang activity occurring in the city of Detroit.

Current Status: The (b)(7)(E) Station has one Border Patrol Agent (BPA) and one Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (SBPA) assigned to the task force. (b)(7)(E) Station is in the process of transferring the SBPA to a better suited TF within DPD.

Recommendation: The DPD (b)(7)(E) Taskforce has provided limited direct support to station operations. The biggest benefit we have had is a vehicle stop on a (b)(7)(E) target that protected the cover of our (b)(7)(E) unit and resulted in a felony arrest and limited additional intelligence. The unit’s activity have been limited by DPD priorities, which are in constant flux as they react to violent crimes as they occur.

Given the level of violent crime that is constantly peripheral to our border security related operations in the city of Detroit, a robust liaison with DPD is critical to the station’s efficient and safe operation. Participation in a DPD sponsored task force provides a vital and easily assessable link to this important partner. That being said, one agent on a DPD sponsored task force can fulfill this role.

While SBPA (b)(7)(E) is needed as a first line supervisor, his experience, aptitude and work ethic make him uniquely qualified to serve in this role. (b)(7)(E) Station is in the process of transferring SBPA (b)(7)(E) to the 4th Precinct (b)(7)(E) (a task force focused on major crimes and related intelligence gathering in southwest Detroit). We recommend returning BPA (b)(7)(E) to the field.

Abilities/Strengths/Risks: Collectively, while working on the task force, our agents have assisted in the seizure of over 10,000 grams of marijuana, over two kilograms of cocaine, numerous pills, five firearms, and
over twelve grams of heroin. They completed twelve and twenty I-44s of arrests and seizures to include the following actions with a border smuggling nexus:

- Three controlled deliveries of marijuana in conjunction with the U.S. Postal Inspectors. The following I-44s document the activity A cross border Nexus may be in place regarding this activity with a genesis in or around Phoenix, Arizona. In Detroit, the activity resulted in the execution of two search warrants and the apprehension of two subjects at two different locations and two 5 gallon buckets of marijuana from each location. Reference
- The U.S. Postal Service executed of parcels containing drugs to several residences in the Metro Detroit Area. These are a continuation of an open investigation that the U.S. Postal Inspectors are conducting originating from various cities in Arizona. Detroit Station Border Patrol Agents along with their respected canines, assisted in identifying the parcels containing the drugs. The following I-44s document the activity: The end result was the execution of three search warrants, the apprehension of two subjects at two different locations, a possible confidential informant, four bundles of marijuana in total from two locations, three small bags of heroin (two from one location and one from another) and $1400.00 dollars. Reference
- The U.S. Postal Service of two parcels each containing a kilo of cocaine to a residence in the Metro Detroit Area. This has created a federal investigation that the U.S. Postal Inspectors will be conducting originating from various cities in California leading to multiple criminal facets in the Metro Detroit Area. The following I-44 documents the activity: The end result was the execution of a search warrant, the apprehension of three subjects, two kilos of cocaine, ninety-two grams of marijuana, and a total of $587.00 dollars.
- Arrested two individuals that the was on. BP Detroit made the request to BPA to assist in the traffic stop of the unknown subjects. This is an ongoing case. Reference I-44
- The has also identified as well as a map of the Gang Territories in Detroit
- presents information regarding two subjects from Detroit – one with a known Neighborhood-based Gang (NBG) affiliation suspected of transporting narcotics and or money across state lines. One subject was encountered trying to cross into Canada at a New York Border Crossing.

Agents have earned unrestricted access to the entire Detroit Police Report Writing system. Agents can access all investigative reports, write reports and comb through historical information allowing them to immediately provide any Border Patrol Agent with information on any subject encountered by the Detroit Police during an enforcement activity. Task Force Agents have responded to requests for information by Intel Agents from the Station. Task Force Agents are able to use their Peace Officer Status while assigned to the task force for all Michigan Compiled Laws Violations. As such, when needed, Task Force Agents can be called upon to make traffic stops for minor moving violations in support of the Border Patrol Mission, draft State Level Search Warrants (as has already been done) and support fellow Border Patrol Agents with state level resources not immediately available. This State Level Authority resource has already been used to support the unit in order to protect an ongoing investigation.

During the first year of this task force, the was primarily tasked with a city initiative named The operation is a mentoring or “scared straight” type of program designed to deliver consequences to youth who did not attend school and continued to be active in gangs. The primary focus of
this program was juveniles in predominately Black neighborhoods. This operation, to an extent, diverted law enforcement actions from southwest Detroit.

The DPD contains many of the city’s experienced and most skilled officers. As such, DPD leadership routinely pulls them away from their normal scope of duties and assigns them specific case related tasks, such as searching for a witness or suspect. This constant shuffling of priorities reportedly and ultimately detracts from our agent’s ability to utilize the unit’s resources on border security related crimes.
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Task Force Analysis

Report Date: 7/2/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge

Action Required: Consideration placed on the continuation of Detroit Sector’s participation in the task force.

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Station currently has two Border Patrol Agents (BPA) assigned to this TF. The majority of the targets that this task force focuses on are street gangs comprised of U.S. citizens. Each of the gangs that the task force works, however, have established interstate nexus with narcotic distribution, the majority of which comes from the southwest border.

Background: The task focus is designed to focus on violent criminal activity and street gang activity in and around the city of Detroit.

Current Status: Border Patrol Agents (BPA) and have been assigned to the task force since June, 2014.

Recommendation: Both agents are committed to contributing to our operations through actionable intelligence and resources. BPA has demonstrated value through his dedicated work with the case of the alien smuggling ammunition. Both agents indicated that there was a long learning process involved before they became fully integrated and functional within the task force, but both are confident in their abilities now. We see value in continuing our participation in this task force. However, benefits versus cost of our continued participation would be better realized with a single agent, rather than two. One agent, if properly tasked by the task force leadership, can focus exclusively on border security related cases. The station is receiving direct support and intelligence from both agents, however BPA has a more direct role on more border security cases. While BPA is the senior agent, we recommend that we continue participation with BPA and return BPA to the field.

Abilities/Strengths/Risks: BPA have collectively produced 47 in which 17 of those provided information related to illicit cross border activity. They have interviewed 49 people, all of which were U.S. citizens. No sources have been created for Border Patrol use, but 32 leads/targets have been developed.
BPA has four cases assigned through [redacted] and six cases through Border Patrol. The cases created through Border Patrol in the [redacted] are in relation to these [redacted] and in conjunction to the [redacted] cases he is assigned. These cases are:

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)**

has been assigned four cases through [redacted] and one of them is a case through Border Patrol (TF).

**(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)**

Agent through the resources available to him as a result of being assigned to the Taskforce has helped the station with investigations and casework. In regards to [redacted] Targets, Agent has utilized his access to unique databases to assist with the development of possible targets identified by [redacted] personnel as well as providing limited de-confliction. Agent has also assisted with case preparation and prosecution, specifically the subject arrested during [redacted] that was found to be illegally transporting ammunition.

Agent has provided good TTP’s in her monthly reports and occasional [redacted] however, has not assisted the [redacted] Station with current targets, target development or actionable intelligence.
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Task Force Analysis – Immigration and Customs Enforcement, (ICE)

Report Date: 7/2/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge

Action Required: Consideration placed on the continuation of Detroit Sector’s participation in the ICE task force.

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing the task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the US Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: The Detroit has one Border Patrol Agent (BPA) assigned to the ICE task force. As a fellow DHS entity with similar mission goals, the ICE provides the station and Sector with a vital link to one of our most essential partners.

Background: The ICE focuses on border related crime, controlled substances, weapons violations and crimes involving national security.

Current Status: The Station has had one Border Patrol Agent (BPA) assigned to the ICE task force since July 2014.

Recommendation: Leadership met with BPA in April and discussed his role with the task force. BPA indicated that he felt there was a lot of internal politics going on when he first started and said that he often felt excluded from certain activities. While some politics are natural to the work environment, he said that he feels he’s fully integrated now. He mentioned that it takes several months to learn the job and become a fully contributing member.

Abilities/Strengths/Risks: The ICE has had the largest positive impact on operations. As the below statistics point out, most of what they do is directly related to our border security mission. BPA has always been collaborative and proactive as a liaison between Border Patrol and ICE. He has developed intelligence with our agents and has focused resources on some of our priority targets.

Arrests made:
- 44 USC arrests
- 8 illegal aliens (4 ag-felons)
Narcotics Seized:
- 55 Kilograms of ecstasy and 150 Kilograms of cutting agent (Ecstasy chemicals shipped into U.S. from China Border Event
- 4 Ecstasy Pill Press Machines (Pill Press machines shipped into U.S. from China Border Event
- 90.3 Grams of Heroin seized in a border event being shipped in from India to U.S.
- $40,000 worth of Heroin and undisclosed amount of Cocaine seized
- 400 grams of cocaine; border related; see not a border event
- 157 grams of Ecstasy; being shipped into the U.S.; border event;
- 10,000 pills of Ecstasy concealed in false Coke cans at POE
- 615 grams of heroin concealed in tamarind paste shipped into U.S. from India
- 9,218 pills of Ecstasy concealed in Jackets; U.S./Canada Border Event

Water-Pipe Tobacco:
- 3000 lbs seized; (1500 lbs on boat and 1500 lbs from the storage unit) Canada/U.S. Border Event

Weapons/Ammo Seized:
- 14 Firearms Seized
- 500 rounds of ammo seized off of an illegal alien; alien prosecuted for 18 USC 922
- 20 rounds of 12-gauge shotgun ammo
- 17 9mm rounds seized

Money Seized:
- $100,000 seized in Tide laundry detergent boxes (see not a border related event)
- $15,000 seized in a controlled buy/bust U.S./Mexican Border Event

Vehicles Seized:
- 8 vehicles seized (all went back to the POE and OFO did the seizure)

Aliens Interviewed:
- 1 illegal Egyptian interview with possible terrorist connections.
- 2 illegal Mexican interviewed with Gang ties
- 1 illegal Honduran interviewed and has gang ties

Subjects Interviewed:
- 13 USCs interviewed

Intelligence Developed:
- Ecstasy being packaged and transported in small potato chip bags
- Subjects packaging food laced with THC in Canada and then selling them in both Canada and U.S.; information was discovered at the POE (U.S./Canada border event see
- reports a possible Cuban male smuggling people into the Detroit area
- smuggling heroin into U.S. internally
- pressing heroin into pill form and transporting them in a legal prescription pill bottle of something else
• (b)(7)(E) – Egyptian interview reveals possible marriage fraud occurring at (b)(7)(E) in Long Beach, CA
• (b)(7)(E) – encounter of the father of an ICE Fugitive that is expected to try smuggling his son back into the country
• (b)(7)(E) – suspicious activity at the (b)(7)(E) U.S./Canada border event
• Information on my Case: (b)(7)(E) was shared with (b)(7)(E) agents and during a collaborative effort the main target of my case was arrested by Agent (b)(7)(E) resulting in a source
• (b)(7)(E) – Investigation into a FTY in (b)(7)(E) AOR; which led to the arrest and criminal prosecution of the driver

Intelligence acted on:
• (b)(7)(E) – worked with Agent (b)(7)(E) arrested and prosecuted the driver of the FTY pending proffer to get more information
• Arrested IA attempting to sell weapon in southwest Detroit

Sources Developed:
• 1 (b)(7)(E) working to produce various targets

Targets or leads developed:
• 24 new targets and leads developed that pertain toward criminal activity at or on the borders
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Correct me if I'm wrong but this is the same case they briefed us on during that rough morning back in December, right?

I have been trying to guide and mentor the agents through the investigation without being overly involved by hovering and micro-managing their every move. I think it has given them more pride in the case along with complete ownership in the decision making process. I would provide input on how I thought they could proceed but gave them the ultimate decision in how they proceeded. I think it has been a good learning experience for them without a serious risk (like something blowing up or someone getting hurt).

If it isn't too much trouble, I think it would go a long way if you could send a direct email to agent [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] commenting on their efforts and results in targeting this target.

Thanks

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Thanks.

On the lack of a search warrant...was it essentially that there wasn’t enough info for them to issue it?

We should know more after her arraignment. There may be more charges laid against her. We will be in contact with MDOC on whether or not they will be taking any action against inmates implicated in our investigation. [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) may continue to be a [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E) is willing to provide.

Sir,

Attached is the operational summary of the [b](6), [b](7)(C) case. [b](6), [b](7)(C) is currently in court across the street and we should know more information once she has finished her arraignment. We did the paper and I added very little. They plan on charging her with more felony counts once they get the paperwork and evidence organized.

Thank you,

[b](6), [b](7)(C)
STATE OF MICHIGAN  
AFFIDAVIT FOR 
SEARCH WARRANT 

91st DISTRICT COURT  

CASE NO. 

Police Agency:  
Report Number: 

(b)(7)(E)  

(b)(7)(E) 

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) affiant, states that:

1. **The person, place or thing to be searched is described as and is located at:**

   A residence in Kincheloe, Kinross Charter Township, Chippewa County, Michigan being (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). This residence is further described as being located on the west side of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are posted on the wall beside the main entrance of the residence. Also to be searched are any grounds, rooms, closets, basements (crawl spaces), vehicles and or appurtenant structures or persons located on the premises and those persons arriving at the premises to be searched during the execution of the search warrant or vehicle in control of the resident(s) of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). Persons shall include anything within the person’s possession and items contained within those possessions, including but not limited to their clothes, personal belongings, and body cavities.

2. **Photo of property to be searched:**

   (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Vehicles registered at this address – 2007 Red Toyota Camry bearing Michigan (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) that is registered to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

3. **The PROPERTY to be searched for and seized, if found, is specifically described as:**

   All substances suspected of being a controlled substance, specifically but not limited to Suboxone which is the brand name for buprenorphine and naloxone. Also any currency and/or
negotiable instruments suspected of being connected to the illegal trafficking, possession, distribution, or sales of controlled substances. All records, bills, receipts, ledgers, paraphernalia, or property suspected of being connected to the illegal trafficking, possession, distribution, or sales of controlled substances. All records, bills, correspondence, and photographs establishing residency and/or occupancy at the above described dwelling and of the controlled substances. Any firearms suspected to be possessed for the protection of illegal drugs or the proceeds of illegal drug trafficking or possession of controlled substances. Any form of Global Positioning System (GPS) suspected of being possessed for the intended purpose of locating, positioning, and/or delivering of illegal narcotics. Any form conveyance or delivery system used for the delivery of illegal narcotics to include remotely operated and/or GPS tracked ground, water, or airborne vehicles either manned or unmanned. Any form of electronic or analogue data storage device and/or electronic or analogue data sending and/or receiving device suspected of being used in the illegal possession, sale, distribution, and/or trafficking of illegal narcotics.

4. The FACTS establishing probable cause or the grounds for search are:

Affiant, [ bn ), (b)(7)](E) being first duly sworn, now appears before the Undersigned Judge who is authorized to issue search warrants in criminal cases, and makes this affidavit in support of the issuance of a search warrant, and on oath deposes and says

A. That your Affiant is a federal officer with the U.S. Customs & Border Protection, U.S. Border Patrol with approximately [bn ), (b)(7)](C) of experience as a federal officer and [bn ), (b)(7)](C) as a certified officer at Walker County Sheriff’s Office in Georgia and is currently assigned to the [b](7)](E) to investigate the illegal trafficking of controlled substances, including the circumstances described in this affidavit.

B. Your affiant has received training that conforms to MCOLES in Prescription Drugs: Diversion, Prescribing, Rx Patrol, & Case Studies and an MCOLES approved Narcotics Investigation Course. Your affiant has also completed numerous federal level drug training courses.

C. In your affiant’s assignments, he has participated in many investigations involving narcotics trafficking, manufacturing, possession, and distribution and has participated in serving search warrants and has arrested and interviewed persons who were charged and convicted of narcotics trafficking, manufacturing, possession, and distribution. As such, your affiant has become knowledgeable in the methods, activities, and patterns of persons who traffic, manufacture, possess, and distribute controlled substances.

D. That drug traffickers, manufacturers, and possessors maintain book, recipes, records, receipts, notes, ledgers, and other papers relating to the trafficking, manufacturing, growing, cultivation, transportation, importation, smuggling, delivering, and ordering of controlled substances in their residence, vehicles and storage spaces. That the
aforementioned books, records, receipts, notes, ledgers, etc. are maintained where the drug trafficker or manufacturer has ready access to them.

E. That it is common for persons involved in the illegal drug trade to secrete controlled substances, proceeds of drug sales, records of manufacture and records of drug transactions in secure locations within their residence, vehicles, and storage spaces for ready access and to conceal them from law enforcement authorities.

F. That persons involved in narcotics trafficking, manufacturing, possession, and distribution generally keep paraphernalia for distributing, manufacturing, possession, packaging, cutting, and weighing controlled substances within their residences, vehicles, and storage spaces. That these paraphernalia include but are not limited to scales, cutting materials, packaging materials, grow lights, potting soil, fertilizer, scales, marihuana pipes and plastic bags, envelopes, and baggies. That illegal drug traffickers, manufacturers, possessors, and distributors also secrete and conceal drugs and containers within their person including body cavities.

G. On September 9, 2014, the U.S. Border Patrol received information from a Michigan Department of Corrections inspector that a female identified as (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was planning to purchase an (b)(7)(E) and deliver narcotics inside of the guard line of the Kinross Correctional Facility.

H. On April 2, 2015 your Affiant was contacted by Border Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and advised that he had received more information from Michigan Department of Corrections (MDOC) officers that there was a package being shipped through the U.S. Postal Service that was believed to contain several “Suboxone” strips from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). The officer had received the information from (b)(7)(E) that is routinely conducted in the prison setting.

I. Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) contacted U.S. Postal Inspector (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) who performed a transaction search and did confirm that there was a package being sent via Priority Express Mail from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) that was scheduled for delivery on April 3rd, 2015 to the address of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Kincheloe, Michigan. Affiant met the Agent at the Kincheloe Post Office after the package arrived to inspect the package.

J. The package was inspected by your affiant and is described as being a rectangular in shape Mylar type envelope, approximately 12 inches by 16 inches and that was issued by the U.S. Postal Service. It is marked on the outside as Priority Mail Express on one side and the address label on the other. The address label is addressed to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Kincheloe, MI 49788. The return portion has the sender identified as (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) W’ford (Waterford) MI 48328. The envelope appeared empty with the exception of one small rectangular lump.
K. The lump is consistent with your affiant’s training and experience as being the size and shape of several Suboxone Sublingual strips stacked one on top of the other.

L. It was determined by the Postal Inspector that the package would be delivered the following day (Saturday, April 4, 2015) to the addressee at [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] Kincheloe, MI 49788 in the normal process of delivery of mail. Your affiant [_(b)(7)(E)] of the Post Office on April 4, 2015 at approximately 8:00 AM. The mail delivery trucks departed the Post Office at approximately 9:00 AM and [_(b)(7)(E)] was moved to the address near enough to [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] to be able to observe the main entrance door and mailbox of the residence at [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] simultaneously.

M. The U.S. Postal Service truck arrived at the residence at [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] at approximately 9:30 AM and placed a large white envelope consistent with the one previously inspected by your affiant inside the mailbox beside the main entrance of the residence.

N. At approximately 9:50 AM a female subject identified by the affiant as [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] (06/21/78) opened the main entrance door and removed the envelope from the mailbox.

O. At approximately 9:51 AM the affiant knocked on the door of [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] in Kincheloe. The previously identified female opened the door while still holding the aforementioned envelope that your affiant had previously inspected.

P. Your affiant identified himself as a Federal Agent currently assigned to the [_(b)(7)(E)] Drug Taskforce and asked to come inside the residence to discuss the contents of the envelope.

Q. [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] immediately showed [_(b)(7)(E)] that are consistent with the affiant’s training in [_(b)(7)(E)] (an advanced interviewing course taught at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Glenco, Georgia) as being a [_(b)(7)(E)]

R. [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] stated that agents could freely enter the residence. The owner of the residence was just inside the door and also stated the agents could come inside the residence. The owner is identified as [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)].

S. The affiant briefly discussed the reason for being there. [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] stated that she believed the envelope to contain illegal drugs. [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] was asked if she minded opening the envelope. [_(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] freely opened the envelope which she was still holding and showed the affiant the contents.
T. The contents were readily identifiable to the affiant as Suboxone Sublingual which is a Schedule III narcotic. There were a total of 43 individually wrapped Suboxone Sublingual strips inside a clear baggie inside the envelope and nothing else.

U. The did claim that the drugs were intentionally shipped to her for her to resale. stated that she had paid $595.00 dollars for the strips and planned to later sell the same for profit.

V. Your affiant also did locate in the Chippewa County Equalization Office Public Records, a system used by the Chippewa County Sheriff's Office and open to all public. was listed as the property owner at Kincheloe, County of Chippewa, and State of Michigan.

Affiant believes that based on these facts that there is probable cause to believe that items to be searched for will be present at the premises described in paragraph 1, above.

Affiant, Subscribed and sworn to before me and issued under my hand this 4th day of April, 2015.

Approved:

Prosecuting Attorney

Magistrate/Judge
Chippewa County, Michigan
TO THE SHERIFF OR ANY PEACE OFFICER: Police Agency \( (b)(7)(E) \) Report Number: \( (b)(7)(E) \)

\( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) affiant, states that:

1. The person, place or thing to be searched is described as and is located at:

A residence in Kincheloe, Kinross Charter Township, Chippewa County, Michigan being \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \). This residence is further described as being located on the west side of \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \), the \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) are posted on the wall beside the main entrance of the residence. Also to be searched are any grounds, rooms, closets, basements (crawl spaces), vehicles and or appurtenant structures or persons located on the premises and those persons arriving at the premises to be searched during the execution of the search warrant or vehicle in control of the resident(s) of \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \). Persons shall include anything within the person’s possession and items contained within those possessions, including but not limited to their clothes, personal belongings, and body cavities.

2. Photo of property to be searched:

\( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \)

3. Vehicles registered at this address

2007 Red Toyota Camry bearing Michigan \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) that is registered to \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \).
4. The PROPERTY to be searched for and seized, if found, is specifically described as:

All substances suspected of being a controlled substance, specifically but not limited to Suboxone which is the brand name for buprenorphine and naloxone. Also any currency and/or negotiable instruments suspected of being connected to the illegal trafficking, possession, distribution, or sales of controlled substances. All records, bills, receipts, ledgers, paraphernalia, or property suspected of being connected to the illegal trafficking, possession, distribution, or sales of controlled substances. All records, bills, correspondence, and photographs establishing residency and/or occupancy at the above described dwelling and of the controlled substances. Any firearms suspected to be possessed for the protection of illegal drugs or the proceeds of illegal drug trafficking or possession of controlled substances. Any form of Global Positioning System (GPS) suspected of being possessed for the intended purpose of locating, positioning, and/or delivering of illegal narcotics. Any form conveyance or delivery system used for the delivery of illegal narcotics to include remotely operated and/or GPS tracked ground, water, or airborne vehicles either manned or unmanned. Any form of electronic or analogue data storage device and/or electronic or analogue data sending and/or receiving device suspected of being used in the illegal possession, sale, distribution, and/or trafficking of illegal narcotics.

IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN: I have found that probable cause exists and you are commanded to make the search and seize the described property. Leave a copy of this warrant and tabulation (a written inventory) of all property taken with the person from whom the property was taken or at the premises. You are further commanded to promptly return this warrant and tabulation to the court.

Date: 04/04/2015
Judge/Magistrate
Chippewa County, Michigan

RETURN AND TABULATION

Search was made _____ and the following property was seized:
(See attached _____ sheets of tabulation detail)
Copy of affidavit, warrant and tabulation served on ____________________________on the ___ day of ________, 201__.
________________________
Officer

Tabulation filed: ____________________ with Court.
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POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

INFORMATION:
Information was received from the U.S. Border Patrol in regards to a package containing illegal narcotics that was being mailed via the U.S. Postal Service to an address in Kincheloe, Michigan.

DATE AND TIME:
Saturday, April 4, 2015
2200 hours

VENUE:
Kincheloe
Kinross Charter Township
Chippewa County
Michigan

ACTION TAKEN:
A\(\text{(b)(7)(E)}\) investigation was initiated.

The suspects were identified during the U.S. Border Patrol's investigation of an unknown British female planning to deliver drugs via an [\(\text{(b)(7)(E)}\)] into Kinross Correctional Facility as [\(\text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}\)].

Using those names a check was run through the U.S. Postal Service for any packages that may have been shipped by any of these subjects. One was identified that had been mailed [\(\text{(b)(7)(E)}\)] Express on April 2, 2015 by [\(\text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}\)] that was to be delivered to [\(\text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}\)] in Kincheloe, Michigan.

When the package arrived at the Kincheloe U.S. Post Office, Agent [\(\text{(b)(7)(E)}\)] went and inspected the suspect package. The package was a rectangular in shape Mylar type envelope, approximately 12 inches by 16 inches that was issued by the U.S. Postal Service. It was marked on the outside as Priority Mail Express on one side and had the address label on the other side. It addressed to [\(\text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}\)] Kincheloe, MI 49788. The return portion had the sender identified as [\(\text{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}\)] W'ford (Waterford) MI 48328. The envelope also appeared empty with the
exception of one small rectangular lump. The lump appeared to be the size and shape of several Suboxone Sublingual strips stacked one on top of the other. It was determined by the Postal Inspector that the package would be delivered the following day (Saturday, April 4, 2015) to the addressee in Kincheloe, MI 49788 in the normal process of delivery of mail. The mail delivery trucks were observed departing the Post Office at approximately 9:00 AM and was moved to the address near enough to be able to observe the main entrance door and mailbox of the residence simultaneously.

The U.S. Postal Service truck arrived at the residence at approximately 9:30 AM and placed a large white envelope consistent with the one previously inspected by Agent inside the mailbox beside the main entrance of the residence. At approximately 9:50 AM a female subject identified by Agent opened the main entrance door and removed the envelope from the mailbox.

Within seconds Agent from and Agent from the U.S. Border Patrol knocked on the door of Kincheloe. The previously identified female opened the door while still holding the aforementioned envelope that Agent had previously inspected.

Agent identified himself as a Federal Agent currently assigned to the and asked to come inside the residence to discuss the contents of the envelope. said the agents could come in. Just inside the door another female identified as met the agents and also advised the agents that they could come in.

immediately showed that were consistent with Agent training in an advanced interviewing course taught at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Glenco, Georgia) as being Agent briefly discussed the reason for being there. was observed by Agent repeating questions before answering, prefixing her responses with a word such as “well” and then giving a long silence before answering, and not answering the question that was being asked. Agent also observed a

After some denial as to knowing anything about the package Agent pointed out that she had been showing several signs of being deceptive in her answers. Finally stated that she believed the envelope to contain illegal drugs. was asked if she minded opening the envelope, then freely opened the envelope which she was still holding and showed the agents the contents.

The contents were readily identifiable to Agent as Suboxone Sublingual strips which are a Schedule III narcotic. There were a total of 43 individually wrapped Suboxone Sublingual strips inside a clear baggie inside the envelope and nothing else.
Confronted with the evidence, then admitted that the drugs were intentionally shipped to her by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for her to resell. Stated that she had paid $595.00 dollars to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for the strips and planned to later deliver the drugs to the Kinross Correctional Facility.

Believing more drugs to be on the premises, and having probable cause, a search warrant for the residence at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was prepared and signed by Judge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). The warrant was served at approximately 1915 hours by (b)(7)(E). Six more Suboxone Sublingual strips were located during the search that readily stated had also been shipped to her.

At approximately 2200 hours (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was transported to and housed in the Chippewa County Correctional Facility.

**SUSPECTS:**

\[(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\]

**DISPOSITION:**

This case is still ongoing.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:25 PM  
To: LRT STAFF TASKS; NLL Staff Tasks; DRT STAFF TASKING; DTM Staff Tasks  
Cc: OPS EAST SECTOR  
Subject: Fw: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015  
Importance: High  

ALCON,  

Please see RFI. Please note the 9:00 AM EST deadline tomorrow.  

Thank you.  

HONOR FIRST  

Assistant Chief  
Office of Border Patrol  
East/Central Division  
1300 Pennsylvania Ave.  
Washington D.C. 20229  
Office: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  
Cell: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  

From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 05:14 PM  
To: OPS EAST/CENTRAL SECTORS  
Subject: FW: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015  

Please send the below RFI out to the field for response.  

Note deadline 9:00AM tomorrow.  

Thanks,
Associate Chief
East/ Central Corridor
Office of Border Patrol
1300 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20229

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 5:11 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015
Importance: High

Gentlemen:

As per Chief [Redacted], can you please advise if your sectors conduct and submit a Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas memorandum? I spoke to [Redacted] already and responded in the negative.

I have attached El Paso’s submission for some reference and the document the EPT submission references (“Use of Safe Tactics and Techniques” March 7, 2014 Chief Fisher).

Please let me know by the 9 o’clock tomorrow por favor.
Good morning, Chiefs.

Please see the attached memorandum for your review.

Thank you.

Very respectfully,

Staff Assistant for the
Deputy Chief Patrol Agent
U.S. Border Patrol – El Paso Sector
8901 Montana Avenue
El Paso, Texas 79925
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | BB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MAR 1 2 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR: Michael. J Fisher
Chief
U.S. Border Patrol

FROM: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Chief Patrol Agent
El Paso Sector

SUBJECT: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

In March 2015, El Paso Sector conducted a biannual review and assessment of identified High Risk Encounter Areas (HREAs) within the El Paso Sector Area of Operation (AO), attached are the results of our assessment. These identified HREAs are historically violence prone. To address the potential of assaultive situations against our Agents, Patrol Agents in Charge (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

As always, I am available at your convenience to discuss this or any other issue at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Attachment
March 5, 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief Patrol Agent
El Paso Sector

FROM: Division Chief
El Paso Sector

SUBJECT: Biannual Review and Assessment of High Risk Encounter Areas, March 2015

In accordance with Chief Fisher’s March 7, 2014, memorandum Use of Safe Tactics and Techniques, El Paso Sector conducts biannual risk assessments to identify violence prone areas and develop appropriate deployment of assets and personnel within those areas. Each Patrol Agent in Charge (PAIC) based their assessment on historical data of incidents of rockings, assaults, and other combative situations against their agents have identified High Risk Encounter Areas (HREA). They also worked with to assess the current situation in Mexico, South of their Area of Operation (AO) assessing factors such as crime rates and the propensity of criminal organizations operating within their AO to use violence against U.S. law enforcement.

Currently a total of have been identified. Each Patrol Agent in Charge (PAIC) also evaluated their current need for additional less than lethal (LTL) equipment and intermediate force training requirements. Those requests have been forwarded to the Training and Traumatic Incident Management division.

The next scheduled Sector wide HREA review is scheduled for October 1, 2015, during the interim, PAICs have been reminded that they must continually assess and evaluate their AO for trends or spikes in violence against their agents and execute the HREA operational strategy in order to address identified risks.
MEMORANDUM FOR: All Personnel  
FROM: Michael J. Fisher  
Chief  
U.S. Border Patrol  
SUBJECT: Use of Safe Tactics and Techniques

As Chief of the United States Border Patrol, our border security mission and your safety are my highest priorities and ultimate responsibility. The dangerous situations you encounter require you to make split-second decisions in circumstances that are tense, uncertain, and rapidly evolving. U.S. Border Patrol agents are among the most frequently assaulted law enforcement personnel in the country. Since 2007, there have been over 6,000 assaults against Border Patrol agents resulting in numerous injuries to our agents and the tragic death of three agents. In the face of these dangers, Border Patrol agents continue to show exemplary restraint and professionalism. Since 2010, agents have been assaulted with rocks 1,713 times. In these situations, agents responded and used deadly force 43 times which regrettably resulted in the death of 10 individuals.

While these risks exist, we need to ensure that some of the tactics being employed do not place agents in undue danger resulting in violent confrontations where the use of force became necessary. While you should never have reservations about performing your duties or using the appropriate level of force when necessary, the level of force applied must reflect the totality of the circumstances surrounding each situation.

Background

Over the last decade, the U.S. Border Patrol has benefited exponentially from substantial gains in personnel, technology, and infrastructure. It is essential that you leverage the additional resources to reduce unnecessary risk to yourself, fellow agents, and the public. Duties should be performed in a deliberate manner with agents seeking tactical advantage in each situation and avoiding actions that place themselves or others at unnecessary risk.

The position you hold is one of great responsibility and trust. The public expects, and our honor and oath demands, that we use all of our abilities, training and tools to accomplish our mission safely.

CBP’s policy on the use of deadly force is that deadly force may only be used if an agent has a reasonable belief, based on the totality of the circumstances, that the subject of such force poses an imminent danger of death or serious physical injury to the agent or another person. This
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policy is constitutionally derived and meets a standard that has repeatedly been upheld by the Supreme Court. It is consistent with the policies of the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Justice, as well as major law enforcement organizations around the country.

During the past year, I have asked Chief Patrol Agents (CPAs) to identify the high risk zones within their respective areas of responsibility in order to develop operational plans to address these risks. Additionally, my staff and I work closely with the Office of Training and Development and through the Centers of Excellence, to further enhance our training and preparedness. We will continue to review training and equipment requirements for the U.S. Border Patrol and our intermediate force instruction to ensure that we are incorporating best practices, the most effective tools, and appropriate training. As part of these ongoing efforts, CBP is in the final stages of developing an update to the CBP Use of Force Handbook and we will begin negotiations with the bargaining units in the near future.

In addition, we have worked with the Office of Training and Development to design and implement new training scenarios at the Border Patrol Academy and we are testing new equipment for operational use. The proposed changes in policy seek, among other things, to make you safer and better prepared to confront and minimize your risk of vehicular assault and criminal assault by individuals throwing rocks or other projectiles.

As our operational environment changes, we too must change, adapting our methods in executing our border security mission while minimizing risk to ourselves and others. We must continually assess our policies, tactics, procedures, training and equipment available to our agents to appropriately mitigate risk.

Directive

In order to lessen the likelihood of deadly force situations and reduce the risk of injury or death to agents and others, I am implementing the following directive effective immediately, which clarifies existing guidelines contained in the CBP Use of Force Policy:

(1) In accordance with CBP’s current Use of Force policy, agents shall not discharge their firearms at a moving vehicle unless the agent has a reasonable belief, based on the totality of the circumstances that deadly force is being used against an agent or another person present; such deadly force may include a moving vehicle aimed at agents or others present, but would not include a moving vehicle merely fleeing from agents. Further, agents should not place themselves in the path of a moving vehicle or use their body to block a vehicle’s path.

(2) Agents should continue, whenever possible, to avoid placing themselves in positions where they have no alternative to using deadly force. Agents shall not discharge firearms in response to thrown or hurled projectiles unless the agent has a reasonable belief, based on the totality of the circumstances, to include the size and nature of the projectiles, that
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the subject of such force poses an imminent danger of death or serious injury. Agents should obtain a tactical advantage in these situations, such as seeking cover or distancing themselves from the immediate area of danger.

Supervisors are instructed to address this directive at musters, to include using alternative methodologies, such as (b)(7)(E)

Additionally, agents and supervisors will use this memorandum when planning field operations. To promote safety, it is imperative that agents and supervisors conduct contingency planning prior to deployment and adjust plans as situations on the ground evolve.

Responsibilities

CPAs will use risk assessments and identify their respective violence prone areas and develop appropriate deployment of assets and personnel. CPAs will periodically reassess deployment strategies to determine whether they are still effective responses to the evolving threat and will identify equipment requirements for use in potentially high-risk situations and violence prone areas.

Supervisors will ensure all available weapons systems and equipment will be made accessible to agents in violent prone areas for appropriate responses to safely mitigate incidents they may be likely to encounter. Supervisors are instructed to address training on use of force at musters, to include using alternative methodologies, such as (b)(7)(E)

Agents will use this directive and continue to employ enforcement tactics and techniques that effectively bring an incident under control, while promoting the safety of the agent and the public. In all cases, Agents will continue to seek to maintain their safety without unnecessarily placing themselves or others at risk.

I encourage you to have discussions with your peers and supervisors, and if you need clarification on policies or procedures, do not hesitate to ask. I cannot stress enough how important it is to physically and mentally prepare yourselves, so that when dangerous situations arise, you increase your chances of survivability while limiting unnecessary risk to others. It is anticipated that these initial steps will help reduce the likelihood of assaults against our agents.

For my part, and with your assistance, I will continue to assess the need for operational adjustments, dictated by situations in the field. As you are keenly aware, our border security mission is not static and we must forever remain vigilant against those seeking entry into our country to do us harm.
Use of Safe Tactics and Techniques
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Something that has resonated with me since becoming a Border Patrol agent 26 years ago is remembering that an important part of our job in the course of securing the homeland is to make it home every day at the end of each shift. Honor First!
We should know more after her arraignment. There may be more charges laid against her. We will be in contact with MDOC on whether or not they will be taking any action against inmates implicated in our investigation. Depending on how much info is willing to provide.

Sir,

Attached is the operational summary of the case. is currently in court across the street and we should know more information once she has finished her arraignment. did the paper and I added very little. They plan on charging her with more felony counts once they get the paperwork and evidence organized.

Thank you,
ISSUE/BRIEFING TOPIC:

- Border Patrol Agents from (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(C) have assisted the MDOC, MSP, and others with the investigation of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) citizen of the United Kingdom, and several subjects associated with her and the Kinross Correctional Facility. Significant contribution to the (b)(7)(E) Task Force have led to the indictment of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and potentially others.

- These indictment was part of a wide-ranging conspiracy to smuggle contraband into the Kinross Correctional Facility in a variety of manners.

BACKGROUND:

- Married USC prisoner named (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on 6/5/2014 at prison in Kinross, MI. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is serving 3 life sentences for murder. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is suspected of smuggling narcotics from Europe into the US that are being smuggled into the Michigan Department of Corrections (MDOC) facility.

- Upon her most recent entry into the U.S. on 3/17/15, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was sent to secondary inspection for immigration. She provided a written statement about drug activities associated with the MDOC prisons system in Kinross MI.

- She revealed a man named (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) USC DTM AOR, who was providing suboxone and other contraband into MDOC prisons. She also revealed several other individuals that were also involved with smuggling narcotics into MDOC prisons in Kinross.

CURRENT STATUS/WAY AHEAD:

- On April 3rd, 2015 prison (b)(7)(E) and it was revealed that a package was being delivered from (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) and would contain drugs.

- The USPS and USPS Inspector confirmed that the package was in Kinross but no search warrant could be attained. K-9 sniff was negative.

- On April 4th, 2015 the package was delivered to the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) residence (b)(7)(E); agents performed a (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) admitted to narcotics being in the package, where they came from, and where they were headed.

- (b)(7)(E) TF obtained a warrant to search (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) residence and additional suboxone was found.

Prepared by: Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Detroit Sector (b)(7)(E)
& Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) Station
(b)(7)(E)
Law Enforcement Sensitive

- [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] was arrested, lodged in the Chippewa County Jail and charged with one felony count with possession with the intent to distribute narcotics.

- No further investigation or operations have been discussed to implicate [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] at this point.
Sir,

Some rough stats from [b](7)(E) participation. Very positive interaction; we haven’t heard of the stats from the outbound inspections at the POE.

V/R,

Patrol Agent in Charge
[b](7)(E) Station

[b](6), (b)(7)(C)

[b](7)(E) is over for the night.

The following totals are a direct result of efforts that were then interdicted by MSP troopers or CBP officers conducting outbound inspections:

Totals for tonight:

1) Arrest and seizure for carrying concealed weapon

2) Simple possession of marijuana. Cited and released

3) 3 individuals arrested with personal use heroin. TOT: [b](7)(E)
is drafting warrants to hit houses tonight.

Totals for last night:

1) 1 misdemeanor (arrest) warrant and 1 felony warrant

2) [b](7)(E) member arrested with ½ pound of marijuana. TOT DRANO.

DRANO is continuing the investigation.
As requested
Gents,

Per the phone call this afternoon.

Please resubmit your TF assessment (using your current content or add as needed) DOCs using this attached format.
The deadline to Division Chief is Noon Thursday 8/20/15.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Executive Officer

Operations Division

Detroit Sector Headquarters

26000 South Street, BLDG 1506

Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI 48045

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Task Force Analysis

Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force, number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Currently has Border Patrol Agent (BPA) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) assigned to the Toledo Task Force. This is a task force that focuses on terrorism through various means and methods in the western Ohio area, to include the metropolitan area of Toledo.

Background: Toledo has been operating in the Toledo area for several years. The prior agent serving on this task force was a DTM. This task force has established relationships with the intelligence communities at the local, state, and federal level along with the various federal law enforcement agencies. This task force works through various means and methods to assess and target subjects and/or enterprises with possible ties to terrorism. Through investigation, short and long term cases will be established that usually culminate in criminal prosecution. The prosecutions are normally conducted in federal court. Intelligence gleaned from completed cases is passed to the appropriate agencies.

Current Status: BPA is fully engaged on the Toledo Task Force and has become self-sufficient. BPA has demonstrated his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in a timely manner. BPA has provided an additional intelligence gathering resource as he can participate in terrorism investigations within AOR to evaluate this threat stream. He uses his access to classified systems to de-conflict information with the larger intelligence community.

Recommendation:

- Continue participation on the Toledo Task Force

Abilities/Strengths/Risks:

- Has been the arresting officer on four (4) subjects, to include one (1) on a Middle Eastern Criminal Organization. This subject was charged criminally and turned over to the U.S. Marshals Service.
- Is currently developing two (2) sources of information for his host agency.
- Developed, assessed, and closed six (6) leads/targets. He still has one (1) field investigation open.
- Has provided four (4) targets to the El. Three of these targets were worked in conjunction with
• This task force has reported a total of eight threats that solely focused on the terrorism threat within the AOR.
  - Provides reporting on possible terrorist threat in the AOR and the use of fraudulent identification (TTP); identified a non-immigrant overstay for possible station targeting, and information regarding the threat to include disposition, TTPs, and identity of said threat.
    - This particular case was utilized to initiate tactical targeting. The case was eventually closed, but the previously unknown threat was mitigated.
  - Provides reporting on possible terrorist threat in the AOR. Specifically, the report identifies TTPs related to financing an FTO. The report also included information related to disposition, TTPs, Logistics, Operational Effectiveness, and reach of the threat group.
  - Provides reporting on possible terrorist threat in the AOR. The report includes information related to disposition, TTPs, Operational Effectiveness, finance and reach of the threat group.
  - Provides reporting on possible human trafficking in the AOR; identified possible non-immigrant overstays used in human trafficking. Specifically, the report identifies as a TTP.
  - Provides reporting on possible human smuggling in the AOR. Specifically, the report identifies TTPs related to Logistics, Recruitment, Finance and Reach.
  - Provides reporting on possible narcotics smuggling from Texas to Indiana and Ohio. Specifically, the report identifies disposition, targets and tactics. The report also includes information related to Logistics, Finance and Personalities.
  - Provides reporting on an Officer Safety information concerning US military veterans being recruited to fight ISIS in the AOR. Specifically, the report identifies disposition and TTPs.
  - Provides reporting on possible terrorist collection methods in the AOR. Specifically, the report identifies threats of local businesses in the AOR and Dearborn, Michigan. The report also includes information related to disposition, TTPs, Operational Effectiveness, finance and the Reach of the Threat group.
US Border Patrol
Detroit Sector (b)(7)(E) Station

Task Force Analysis – (b)(7)(E)

Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force, number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: (b)(7)(E) currently has Border Patrol Agent (BPA) (b)(7)(E) assigned to the (b)(7)(E) This is a task force that focuses on significant violations of Title 8 USC through various means and methods in the northern portion of state of Ohio. The task force is based in Cleveland.

Background: (b)(7)(E) has been operating in Cleveland for many years. BPA (b)(7)(E) is the first U.S. Border Patrol agent assigned to this task force. This task force has established relationships with the law enforcement networks at the local, state, and federal level. This task force works through various methods to target subjects and/or enterprises with significant violations of Title 8 USC or the INAs. Through investigation, yearlong cases will be established that usually culminate in criminal prosecution. The prosecutions are normally conducted in federal court. Intelligence gleaned from these completed cases is passed to the appropriate agencies.

Current Status: BPA (b)(7)(E) is fully engaged and becoming self-sufficient. BPA (b)(7)(E) is honing his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in a (b)(7)(E) BPA (b)(7)(E) will provide an additional intelligence gathering resource as he can participate immigration investigations with ties to cross-border smuggling within (b)(7)(E) AOR to evaluate this threat stream. The (b)(7)(E) uses BPA (b)(7)(E) and his access to systems de-conflict information with (b)(7)(E) BPA (b)(7)(E) assignment has significantly increased trust between (b)(7)(E) and the (b)(7)(E) Cleveland office.

Recommendation:

- Continue participation on the (b)(7)(E)

Abilities/Strengths/Risks:

- Has initiated five (5) (b)(7)(E) operations with (b)(7)(E) resulting in 2 collateral arrests.
- Participated in (b)(7)(E) resulting in 43 apprehensions. He continues to work with the (b)(7)(E) assigned to this case.
- Has provided access to Tip Line resulting (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) a PEP2 alien.
- Has interviewed approximately 40 subjects relating to his TF, out of those, 2 were related to USBP operations (smuggling), with 30 involving aliens.
He was the arresting officer of four (4) subjects that were PEP1 aliens from operations.

- This TFA has reported one (b)(7)(E):
  - (b)(7)(E) provided reporting on (b)(7)(E) on the exploitation of legitimate businesses regarding the sale of fraudulent documents in a store in Lorain, Ohio.
Customs and Border Protection
US Border Patrol
Detroit Sector / (b)(7)(E) Station

Pending Task Force Analysis – (b)(7)(E)

Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing current and pending task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about participating in a new task force or to continuing participating in an existing task force assignment.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force, number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: (b)(7)(E) currently does not have an agent assigned to the (b)(7)(E). It is a long standing multi-agency task force located in the U.S. Attorney's Office that targets large-scale narcotics trafficking and other significant federal offenses through various methods in the Cleveland Area metropolitan area.

Background: The (b)(7)(E) has been operating in the Cleveland metropolitan area for years without participation of the U.S. Border Patrol. This task force has established relationship with the various law enforcement agencies in Cleveland area. It is comprised of investigators from the Cleveland Division of Police, Cleveland Heights Police Department, Cuyahoga County Sheriff's Office, Euclid Police Department, Regional Transit Authority Police Department, Strongsville Police Department, University Heights Police Department and Shaker Heights Police Department. This task force works through consensual encounters, traffic stops, and various other targeted interdiction techniques. Both short and long term cases usually culminate in criminal prosecution of a suspect for narcotics related charges, seizure of money, vehicles, firearms, and narcotics. The prosecutions can be in state or federal court, whichever is best suited to the case. Intelligence gleaned from completed case is passed to the appropriate agencies.

Current Status:
• (b)(7)(E) has an open invitation to join (b)(7)(E). However, given the current resource constrained environment, (b)(7)(E) cannot support another task force with (b)(7)(E) agents currently assigned to TFO duties.

Recommendation:
• Upon BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) return from (b)(7)(E) recommend approval of an agent to be assigned to (b)(7)(E) for 1 year with a 1 year possible extension.
Abilities/Strengths/Risks:

This target is now a (b)(7)(E) target with a possible link to another (b)(7)(E) target. One I-44 regarding a seizure of heroin from this target.

Approved/Date: __________________________ Disapproved/Date: __________________________

Needs Discussion/Date: __________________________ Modify/Date: __________________________
Customs and Border Protection
US Border Patrol
Detroit Sector / (b)(7)(E)_Station

(b)(7)(E) Task Force Analysis – (b)(7)(E)

Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force, number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Currently has Border Patrol Agents (BPAs) assigned to the This is a task force that focuses on organized crime through various means and methods in the state of Ohio. The task force is based in Cleveland.

Background: The has been operating in the Cleveland area for several years. BP A is the first Border Patrol Agent assigned to this task force. This task force has established relationships with the law enforcement networks at the local, state, and federal level. This task force works through various methods to assess and target subjects and/or enterprises with ties to organized crime. Through investigation, multi-year long cases will be established that usually culminate in criminal prosecution. The prosecutions are normally conducted in federal court. Intelligence gleaned from these completed cases is passed to the appropriate agencies.

Current Status: BP A is fully engaged on the and has become self-sufficient. BP A has demonstrated his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in a thorough manner. BP A has provided an additional intelligence gathering resource as he can participate in organized crime investigations with ties to cross-border smuggling within AOR to evaluate this threat stream. The uses BP A and his access to classified systems de-conflict information with the larger intelligence community focused on organized crime. BP A is also assigned to this task force as BP A replacement. Due to the complexity of the ongoing cases, there will be an extensive rollover period before BP A can return to.

Recommendation:
- Continue participation on the

Abilities/Strengths/Risks:
- Has over 850 serials (case updates) to include Grand Jury Administrative Subpoenas for case investigation and Grand Jury Administrative Subpoenas for case investigation and Albanain Organized Crime Group.

- He has interviewed approximately 400 subjects relating to his TF, out of those, 75 were related to USBP operations, with 75 involving aliens.

- As the arresting officer, he has apprehended approximately 60 subjects, out of those, approximately 20 were priority aliens. 19 of those arrests were of NIV holders or LAPRs (Primarily COC was Albania, China, India, Ukraine, Russia, Jordan). The individuals were arrested for committing federal crimes. They were either sentenced or serving time in federal prison or awaiting sentencing. Once these individuals serve their federal sentences, they will most likely be deportable, but will fall in Cleveland ICE/ERO’s scope of enforcement rather than.

- He has developed actionable intelligence to an FTA on that resulted in a seizure. 

- This task force has reported a total of 9 of these reports can be classified as relevant to USBP operations. Most of the remaining reports are relevant to USBP operations elsewhere in the country.

  o This report involves information of. It includes disposition, TTP’s, and logistical information regarding an unidentified Organized Asian Criminal Enterprise operating primarily out of Cleveland, Ohio.

  o These reports include speak about the support, logistics, TTPs, recruitment, disposition, and logistics of a Chinese Criminal Enterprise Organization. The CEO is exploiting Non Immigrant Visa Programs to funnel prostitutes into the United States.

  o This report possesses and includes TTPs and recruitment information about an Albanian CEO recruiting airline employees to facilitate international narcotics trafficking.

  o This report involves and includes TTPs, disposition, logistics, operational effect, support, finance, reach, personalities, and legitimate organizations. The report discloses information regarding Albanian CEOs using vehicle import/export businesses for narcotics trafficking.

  o This report includes and discusses TTPs, disposition, logistics, support, and reach of an Albanian CEO reaching out and building relationships with Mexican DTOs.

  o This report involves and refers to TTPs, support, and logistics of Middle Eastern CEOs operating in Cleveland, Ohio that are trafficking THC for use in electronic cigarettes.

  o This report discusses and includes TTPs, disposition, logistics, support, finance, and reach in regards to Middle Eastern CEOs operating in Cleveland, Ohio and Detroit, Michigan that are bringing in other tobacco products.

  o This report involves and possesses TTPs, logistics, and reach information on an Albanian CEO operating out of Cleveland, Ohio that is utilizing international parcel services to traffic narcotics throughout the United States.

Approved/Date: __________________ Disapproved/Date: __________________
Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force? Number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Currently has Border Patrol Agent (BPA) assigned to Cleveland. This is a task force that focuses on large volume narcotic smugglers through various means and methods in the north-central part of the state of Ohio. The task force is based in Cleveland.

Background: Cleveland has been operating in the state for Ohio for many years. BPA was recently detailed to this task force, replacing BPA. This task force has established relationships with the law enforcement networks at the local, state, and federal level. This task force works through various methods to target subjects and/or enterprises with ties to significant narcotic smuggling or distribution. Through investigation, multi-year cases will be established that usually culminate in criminal prosecution. The prosecutions are normally conducted in federal court. Intelligence gleaned from these completed cases is passed to the appropriate agencies.

Current Status: BPA is fully engaged with however, due to his recent assignment to this TF, he has not become self-sufficient. BPA is honing his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in a manner that will provide an additional intelligence gathering resource as he can participate narcotic investigations with ties to cross-border smuggling within the AOR to evaluate this threat stream. The will use BPA's access to systems to de-conflict information and access to statistics from his recently completed two year assignment are cited below as BPA has not had a sufficient opportunity to generate his own statistics.

Recommendation:
- Continue participation on the Cleveland Task Force.

Abilities/Strengths/Risks:

This TFA has reported that are solely focused on drug trafficking in the AOR, with three that answer:

- Provides information on TTPs Related to narcotics smuggling.
- Provides reporting on possible drug trafficking operations using flatbed trailer
- Specifically identifies TTPs related to the utilization of cocaine traps.
- Provides information on Marijuana shipments from Texas to Elyria, Ohio
- Specifically the report identifies the TTPs used in marijuana smuggling.
Interviews conducted related to U.S. Border Patrol operations (3)

- Case [b](7)(E) - Interview of [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
- Case Agent [b](7)(E) - Interview of [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
- OSP stop of suspected load vehicle OSP Stop of Suspected Load Vehicle Sent to [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
- Exhibit No.: 3 Sent
- Exhibit No.: 4 Sent to
- Exhibit No.: 5 Sent
- Exhibit No.: 6 Sent
- Exhibit No.: 7 Sent
- Exhibit No.: 8 Sent
- Exhibit No.: 9, 10, 11 Sent

Narcotics seizures that occurred on the northern border as direct actions on the task force (50)

1) Execution of Search Warrant at the residences located at (b)(7)(E) in Avon, Ohio on October 23, 2013. Sent to [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
2) Execution of Search Warrant at Monroe County Jail (b)(7)(E) in Avon, Ohio on October 23, 2013. Sent to [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)
3) Execution of Search Warrant at [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)

Approved/Date: __________________________ Disapproved/Date: __________________________
Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force, number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: Currently has Border Patrol Agent (BPA) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) assigned to the (b)(7)(E). This is a task force that focuses on narcotics distribution in Lorain County, Ohio. This is the only local TF (b)(7)(E) supports.

Background: The (b)(7)(E) has been operating in the Lorain area for several years without participation of the U.S. Border Patrol. This task force has established relationship with the City of Lorain Police Department and the multiple smaller departments within Lorain County. This task force works through consensual encounters, traffic stops, and various interdiction techniques for target development. The cases usually last months and culminate in criminal prosecution of a suspect for narcotics related charges along with the seizure of money, vehicles, firearms, and narcotics. The prosecutions can be in state or federal court, whichever is best suited to the case. Intelligence gleaned from completed cases is passed to the appropriate agencies. Given the county supremacy in law enforcement in the state of Ohio, information does not always flow freely from the county level to the state or federal level.

Current Status: BPA (b)(7)(E) is fully engaged on the (b)(7)(E) and has become self-sufficient. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has demonstrated his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in a (b)(7)(E) BPA (b)(7)(E) has provided (b)(7)(E) an additional intelligence gathering resource as he can interact with the various local law enforcement entities within Lorain County. The (b)(7)(E) uses BPA (b)(7)(E) and his access to de-conflict information with the Lorain County Sheriff’s Office.

Recommendation:
• Continue participation on the (b)(7)(E).

Abilities/Strengths/Risks:
• Three (3) I-44s out of 23 total for (b)(7)(E) for FY 15. These I-44s involve heroin, cocaine, and fentanyl.
• Provided one (1) proposed target to the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) scoring. It did not meet the threshold for action.
• TFA is currently working with his group on a narcotics case that has ties to McAllen, Texas.

• TFA is also attempting to interview a local subject suspected of distributing MDMA, which is normally sourced from Canada.

• This task for has reported a total of Two of these reports can be classified as relevant to USBP Operations. Four of the remaining reports are relevant to USBP operations elsewhere in the country.
  o Provides reporting on a possible terrorist threat in the AOR and the use of a lawful identified two non-immigrant overstay for possible station targeting, and identified all the individuals involved. The report includes information related to TTPs, personalities, and tactics.
  o Provides reporting on six individuals who are possibly in the country illegally, living together in a hotel room together, there has been a high traffic of vehicles and persons entering and leaving the room suggesting some manner of drug activity. The report includes information related to TTPs and disposition.
  o Provides reporting on alien smuggling using to transport aliens, including an unaccompanied juvenile, throughout the country. The report includes information related to personalities and TTPs.
  o Provides reporting on a large cash seizure conducted It relates to logistics and TTPs.
  o reports on smuggling activities from the Southwest Border throughout the United States. The report includes information related to personalities, TTPs, composition, and disposition of the smuggling activities.
  o Provides reporting on three Guatemalan nationals driving to Seattle, Washington to purchase fraudulent documents. It speaks to disposition, personalities, and TTPs.
Customs and Border Protection
US Border Patrol
Detroit Sector [ (b)(7)(E) ] Station

(b)(7)(E) Task Force Analysis (b)(7)(E)

Report Date: 8/20/2015
Prepared by: Acting Patrol Agent in Charge [ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ]

Action Required: Approval

Issue: Detroit Sector PAICs have been charged with analyzing task force agent assignments at their respective stations and to make a recommendation about continuing task force participation.

Resolution: Evaluate task force agents using at least the following criteria: why this task force, number of arrests/seizures, interviews, intelligence produced, and ultimately, what does this particular task force bring to the U.S. Border Patrol?

Executive Summary: [ (b)(7)(E) ] currently has Border Patrol Agent (BPA) [ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ] assigned to the [ (b)(7)(E) ] This is a task force that focuses on large scale narcotics possession through interdiction work at [ (b)(7)(E) ] in the Toledo metropolitan area.

Background: The [ (b)(7)(E) ] has been operating in the Metro-Toledo area since 2013 without participation of the U.S. Border Patrol. This task force has an established relationship with the Toledo Police Department, with its officers serving as TFOs. This task force works through consensual encounters, traffic stops, and targeted interdiction techniques to include: [ (b)(7)(E) ] against various databases for target development. A successful case involves a person to person interdiction. The interdiction will be worked as far as the evidence will allow and as far as the criminal suspect will cooperate; cases usually culminate in criminal prosecution of a suspect for narcotics related charges, seizure of money, vehicles, and narcotics. The prosecutions can be in state or federal court, whichever is best suited to the case. Intelligence gleaned from completed cases is passed to the appropriate agencies.

Current Status: BPA [ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ] is fully engaged on the [ (b)(7)(E) ] and has become self-sufficient. BPA [ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ] has demonstrated his ability to seek out information relevant to the USBP mission and document it in [ (b)(7)(E) ] BPA [ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ] has provided [ (b)(7)(E) ] an additional intelligence gathering resource as he can cover mass transportation nodes where Border Patrol agents can’t operate on a daily basis. The [ (b)(7)(E) ] uses BPA [ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ] and his access to de-conflict information with Toledo Police Department.

Recommendation:
• Continue participation on the [ (b)(7)(E) ]

Abilities/Strengths/Risks:
• Four (4) I-44s out of 23 total for [ (b)(7)(E) ] for FY 15, [ (b)(7)(E) ] [ (b)(7)(E) ] These I-44s involve heroin, OPANA and marijuana seizures along with the arrest of a USC indicted on child rape charges.
• Directly assisted with two (2) [b(7)(E)] tactical targets [b(6), (b)(7)(C)].
• Operationalized a [b(7)(E)] from the [b(7)(E)] TFA that led to an OPANA seizure [b(7)(E)] and confirmed the value of [b(7)(E)] for the [b(7)(E)] TFA.
• Provided one (1) target for [b(7)(E)] which resulted in arrest [b(6), (b)(7)(C)].
• Provided [b(7)(E)] support with [b(7)(E)] resulted in one (1) high profile arrest [b(7)(E)].
• Acquired one (1) target of opportunity that was believed to be a PEP2 subject. However, assets were out of position for the apprehension.
• Has interviewed approximately 315 subjects relating to his TF, out of those, 105 were related to USBP operations, with 50 involving aliens.

This task force has reported a total of 12[b(7)(E)]. Half (6) of these reports can be classified as relevant to USBP Operations. Most of the remaining reports are relevant to USBP operations elsewhere in the country.

- [b(7)(E)] - This report speaks to the utilization of [b(7)(E)] in the AOR by criminal organizations [b(7)(E)]. It speaks to disposition, personalities and TTPs regarding transportation of narcotics. This TFA utilized [b(7)(E)] (written by another TFO) and identified further information on the CEO and their TTPs.
- [b(7)(E)] - This report also speaks to [b(7)(E)] the utilization of [b(7)(E)] by CEOs in the AOR. This includes a previously unidentified personality utilizing previously identified TTPS to transport narcotics into the AOR.
- [b(7)(E)] - This report includes information related to the utilization of the [b(7)(E)] [b(7)(E)] by CEOs [b(7)(E)] and the utilization of a legitimate business to further illicit activities [b(7)(E)] to include information on personalities and TTPs.
- [b(7)(E)] - This report answers questions related to the threat and [b(7)(E)] for the Border Patrol Station related to the exploitation of legitimate businesses. This report also has a nexus to the [b(7)(E)] which answers [b(7)(E)].
- [b(7)(E)] - Provides information related to the disposition and logistics of a local [b(7)(E)].
- [b(7)(E)] - This FIR speaks to [b(7)(E)] the utilization of a legitimate business in order to send monies concealed in parcels to Nigeria to include TTPS.
- [b(7)(E)] - This report discusses [b(7)(E)] utilizing the [b(7)(E)] to transport pills, discussing TTPS and disposition related to this DTO.
- [b(7)(E)] - Speaks to regional DTO smuggling TTPs including roadway avenues of approach and disposition.
- [b(7)(E)] - Written reference a nationwide officer safety issue.
- [b(7)(E)] - Provides information on personalities involved in cocaine distribution in Toledo, Ohio.
Chief,

Please note the time I sent my last email below to Agent[(b)(5)] was on “Saturday, May 28, 2016 5:12 PM.” I started working on these revisions on Saturday May 28, 2016 at 11:30 a.m. and finished them on Saturday May 28, 5:12 p.m. Please note there were other revisions on May 31, 2016. I acknowledge you expressed your thanks for my assistance in this matter at our meeting yesterday. I just want to make sure you are aware of some of the specific assistance I gave in this matter.

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Assistant U. S. Attorney

Acting Chief, National Security Unit

United States Attorney’s Office for the E.D. of MI.

Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Chief,

The below email also represents some of the additional specific assistance I gave in this matter. As stated earlier I am sending this email due to our discussion yesterday.

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Assistant U. S. Attorney
Acting Chief, National Security Unit
United States Attorney’s Office for the E.D. of MI.
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 5:12 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Updated Affidavit for Search Warrant

Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Attached are my recommendations for the Search Warrant Affidavit and the Complaint Affidavit (I added the 18 USC 922(g)(5)(A)). Affiant must swear to the accuracy of these affidavits. Thus, it is imperative that the Affiant verifies the accuracy of each paragraph in these affidavits.

There are some additional questions I have with regard to this matter:
1. In Paragraph 14 of the Search Warrant Affidavit is the indictments “of three individuals” pending? If not add the current information and add it to the applicable Complaint Affidavit Paragraph.

2. In Paragraph 22 of the Search Warrant Affidavit do you know the specific location of where the AR-15 was located in the Target Residence? If so add the current information and add it to the applicable Complaint Affidavit Paragraph.

3. Is Paragraph 24 of the Search Warrant Affidavit completely accurate? If not make the necessary changes and change the applicable Complaint Affidavit Paragraph.

4. [b](6), [b](7)(C) is used throughout these affidavits. If the subject’s last name is [b](6), [b](7)(C) then change [b](6), [b](7)(C) throughout these affidavits.

5. I need the Search Warrant application and affidavit cover forms

6. I need the Complaint form modified to include 18 USC 922(g)(5)(A).

Thanks.

From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 7:02 PM
To: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Cc: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Subject: Updated Affidavit for Search Warrant

Mr. [b](6), [b](7)(C)

Here is the updated version of what we had spoken about, please let me know if there are any changes needed.

Thanks,

BPA [b](6), [b](7)(C)
[b](6), [b](7)(C)
FW: Warrant Support Request

House hit at approximately 0140. One male, two females and a young child. Heroine and ammunition found so far. Still searching.

Unknown Black Male. Working on ID, believed to be parole absconder. Street name [excl. by tcl].

Will update more when we get it.

Thank You,

U.S. Border Patrol - Detroit Sector

[b](6), [b](7)(C)

[b](7)(E)
Cell
Gov Cell
Office

[b](6), [b](7)(C)

[b](6), [b](7)(C)

Monroe, MI.
Thank You,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)!

U.S. Border Patrol - Detroit Sector

(b)(7)(E)

Cell

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Gov Cell

Office
From: 
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2016 12:59 PM 
To: OICSPOTREPORT
Subject: OIC Spot Report*FW: Refusal BOLO 02-09-2016 Event

Password

Subject: RE: Refusal BOLO 02-09-2016 Event

Operations Officer

HSDN: 

Matthew 20:27 "And whosoever will be chief among you, let him be your servant."
WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from the Office of Border Patrol.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Friday, January 9, 2015 3:46 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc:  
Subject: RE: BOLO enforcement assistance  

A heads up if located would be much appreciated.

Thanks

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Chief of Staff  
CBPO / Program Manager  
Detroit Field Office  
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Blackberry: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2015 3:44 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc:  
Subject: RE: BOLO enforcement assistance  

Thanks!  
I'm not sure we have the bandwidth for that but we do have some folks in areas around the city as well as (b)(7)(E)  
(b)(7)(E)
With this BOLO and all that is surrounding it, I’m just trying to figure out whether there’s an issue if the vehicle is taken down before it gets to a crossing in El Paso is apparently saying one thing while here was comfortable with that BOLO going out.

Basically- if it is a [b][7] and assist you with a heads up if we locate it before it gets to a crossing.

Thanks,

From: [b][6], [b][7]
Sent: Friday, January 09, 2015 3:40 PM
To: [b][6], [b][7]
Cc: [b][6], [b][7]
Subject: BOLO enforcement assistance

If you like to assist us with outbound operations at the POE(s) that would be much appreciated considering an unknown arrival timeframe and current weather conditions.

The following are the port POCs for enforcement activity (I have cc’ed both of them on this email), and they would know how best to support each location.

- Detroit - [b][6], [b][7]
- Port Huron - [b][6], [b][7]

If you have any questions, please give me a call

Thanks
Chief of Staff

CBPO / Program Manager

Detroit Field Office

Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Blackberry: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Latest/greatest -

At the expense of the 3:00 break on Tuesday.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2016 10:49 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: >
Subject: RE: DRAFT AGENDA
Attachments: FEB 2016-PAIC Meeting Agenda (003).docx

Updated to add Stonegarden (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
Subject: DRAFT AGENDA

Please add your input -

[Initial text redacted for privacy]
10-4. Keep me posted please.

We should know today.

Word is that they won’t prosecute but that’s not official...yet.

Hey brother, any update on the disposition for this one from the AUSA?
FYSA brothers.

Couple of days back, one of our task force agents caught a Lebanese overstay who was in possession of a weapon, 5 boxes of ammo and a concealed carry permit (yes, really). SIR narrative attached.

Today we learned that a decision on prosecution from the AUSA will come on Tuesday but in the meantime Congresswoman [name] has started calling the AUSA looking for info. She hasn’t called BP...yet.

Interestingly, this guy’s father is apparently a [name] in the Lebanese army.
If you mean trans check, I agree.

We already do a ton of records checks on these.

I'll get a look at the Order and have the PK's crank one out.

Thank you,

Division Chief (Acting)
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

I think we should look at adopting checks like does for these events. Thoughts? Pros/cons?
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) [office]
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 12:58 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) OICSPOTREPORT
Subject: OIC Spot Report *FW: Refusal BOLO 02-09-2016 Event (b)(7)(E) POE

Subject: Refusal BOLO 02-09-2016 Event (b)(7)(E) POE

ALCON,

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

It is being provided for SITUATIONAL AWARENESS.

This BOLO may not be released to any other agency outside of CBP without approval from the USBP (b)(7)(E) Division.

The subject of this BOLO is currently being refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the (b)(7)(E) POE in Detroit, MI.

The attachment is password protected as it may contain Sensitive Security Information (SSI) and Personally Identifiable Information (PII).

Password to follow.
Operations Officer

Matthew 20:27 "And whosoever will be chief among you, let him be your servant."

WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from the Office of Border Patrol.
Copy Sir, as far as I know one was a bar stool made from a motorcycle seat and the other item was some sort of antique device, but I don’t have any details on that.

---

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 9:11 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: SIR Narrative

Good morning, Mr. [REDACTED]

Thanks for ginning this up.

I don’t believe we need the timeline in bulleted form but instead in full narrative form. Please also include what the allegedly stolen items were (at least what we know).

I made some changes here:

Border Patrol Agents (BPA) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) were arrested after surrendering to Michigan State Police (MSP) on March 20, 2015. Both agents were charged with one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.505-C, Common Law Offenses (Misconduct In Office), a felony, punishable by up to five years in prison and/or a $10,000 fine and one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.360, Larceny In a Building, a felony, punishable by up to four years in prison and/or a $5,000 fine.

The charges stem from an allegation first reported by MSP to DTM on December 30, 2014. At that time, MSP reported that an allegation of theft of personal property was brought forth by a resident of a home. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) assisted MSP in executing a search warrant during the early morning hours of December 24, 2014 which is when the alleged theft occurred.

Upon notification of the allegation, DTM reported it to the Joint Intake Center on December 30, 2014 at approximately 3:30PM telephonically and via E-mail. Further investigation of the incident resulted in the arrest of both agents.

Prior to the arrest, on January 5, 2015, Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) forfeited their credentials, badges, weapon and other sensitive government property and they were placed on administrative the following day.

A timeline of events is noted below:

Friday, March 20, 2015: Border Patrol Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are placed under arrest.

Wednesday, March 18, 2015: CBP OIA Detroit informed that Border Patrol Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are to be charged with one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.505-C, Common Law Offenses (Misconduct In Office), a felony, punishable by up to five years in prison and/or a $10,000 fine, and one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.360, Larceny In a Building, a felony, punishable by up to four years in prison and/or a $5,000 fine. Both agents are scheduled to turn themselves in/self-surrender this Friday in Jackson County, MI.

Tuesday, February 10, 2015: DHS OIG and CBP OIA, in coordination with [REDACTED] staff, had (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) called into the (b)(7)(E) Station to review paperwork. Upon arrival, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was served with a subpoena for his cell phone (which was subsequently turned over to OIG Special Agents).
A search warrant was served on the residence of [b](6), [b](7)(C), nothing notable was reported as being found.

DHS OIG and CBP OIA are working with the attorney for [b](6), [b](7)(C) with the intention of obtaining his cell phone and executing a search warrant on his residence.

CBP OIA informed that the AUSA is seeking a Flenry Waiver to charge [b](6), [b](7)(C) both in federal and state courts.

Tuesday, January 6, 2015: [b](6), [b](7)(C) were placed on paid administrative leave.

Monday, January 5, 2015: [b](6), [b](7)(C) had their law enforcement authority revoked. All law enforcement equipment was collected and accounted for from [b](6), [b](7)(C) including credentials and service weapons.

Wednesday, December 31, 2014: Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Detroit, MI, was informed of the situation and opened a case for investigation.

Tuesday, December 30, 2014: CBP OIA Detroit was informed of the situation by Detroit Sector personnel.

Tuesday, December 30, 2014: Michigan State Police Lieutenant [b](7)(E) Assistant Post Commander of the [b](7)(E) Post, provided the following information to CBP representatives:

- On December 23, 2014, the MSP, 1st District [b](7)(E) conducted a traffic stop of a vehicle and located marijuana inside.
- The traffic stop, which occurred late on December 23, 2014, resulted in a residential search warrant being conducted on [b](7)(E) in Leoni Township, MI (residence #1).
- This warrant service occurred in the early morning hours of December 24, 2014.
- The search of residence #1 resulted in a second residential search warrant, also on [b](7)(E) in Leoni Township, MI (residence #2) on December 24, 2014.
- Border Patrol Agents [b](6), [b](7)(C) who regularly assist the [b](7)(E) were on duty and present for the warrants.
- Sufficient evidence surfaced (on December 30th) that during the execution of the search warrants [b](6), [b](7)(C) [b](6), [b](7)(C) without permission, took personal property from one or both residences.

From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:37 AM
To: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Subject: SIR Narrative

Sirs,

For you review, SIR Narrative is attached. I summarized events and then provided the timeline that was in your IP e-mail. I added the actual arrest to the timeline.

To whom does the limited distro SIR go to? Same names as on the IP e-mail?

[b](6), [b](7)(C)
PATROL AGENT IN CHARGE (ACTING)
U.S. BORDER PATROL [b](7)(E) STATION
OFC: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
CELL: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sirs,

Here is the memo for solicitation.

You are correct in that once we received all of the requests for consideration we took an hour or so after the PAIC meeting and:

- put all of the names of the Task Forces we were staffing,
- the names of the Agents that applied for consideration for each,
- decided, based on operational feasibility and priority, which station would fill particular TF’s
- and then applied the most senior applicant to each TF

I cannot remember where BPA came out in the selection, but he was within a name or two based on seniority. I believe it can be built based on analyzing who we selected from where.

Thank you,

Division Chief, Operational Programs

Detroit Sector Border Patrol
See below, as discussed.

Thanks,

Can you have the team dig up the solicitation memo, the announcement memo, as well as any other documentation on the June 2014 TF selections. As I recall, the PAICs met with and decided which station would provide each TF slot and then made their selections based on seniority. That said, I believe that left Mr. two slots shy of making the list. I'll just need a refresher and the list of what station provided what slots. I think he may argue about should have provided x and I need to

From:  
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2015 1:40 PM  
To:  
Cc:  
Subject: FW: Testimony

From:  
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2015 1:32 PM  
To:  
Subject: Testimony
Hello DCPA

(b)(5), (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Thanks.

Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

This communication may contain information that is law enforcement sensitive, attorney-client privileged, an attorney work-product, or proprietary to the U.S. Government. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please consult with the Office of the Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, before disclosing any information in this email.
MEMORANDUM FOR: All Border Patrol Agents  
Detroit Sector

FROM: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Chief Patrol Agent  
Detroit Sector

SUBJECT: Task Force Agent Solicitation

Detroit Sector is soliciting volunteers to serve as a Task Force Agent (TFA) on designated State, Local, and Federal agency-hosted task forces. These positions are open to all Border Patrol Agents and Supervisory Border Patrol Agents throughout Detroit Sector and are non-funded, non-per diem details. Please note that no travel, lodging, or FLSA Travel will be provided without prior authorization.

Agents selected to serve as TFAs on these task forces will be required to fulfill specific reporting requirements to the (b)(7)(E) and the various Border Patrol Stations for the duration of the detail. TFAs will be expected to complete and excel in a variety of tasks, including but not limited to, the following: intelligence collection and analysis, target work-ups, case documentation, (b)(7)(E) operational planning, recruiting/developing sources of information, proffer meetings, extensive/detailed writing, preparation of criminal complaints and affidavits, defendant and material witness interviews, Grand Jury testimony, warrant preparation and service, criminal prosecutions, case and event de-confliction, court testimony, and asset forfeiture. TFAs may also be required to obtain and maintain a Secret clearance or higher as a requisite of the host Agency and will be expected to work long hours and irregular shifts as determined by operational tempo and caseload.

This solicitation includes, but is not limited to, the following groups:

- (b)(7)(E) current open TFA positions on the (b)(7)(E)
- (b)(7)(E) current open TFA positions located in Detroit

(b)(7)(E)
These details will vary in length from one (1) to two (2) years dependent upon the agreement with the host agency. Requests for extension will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Chief Patrol Agent, in consultation with the host Agency. Applicants to this solicitation will be evaluated and selected based on established criteria as listed in the National Border Patrol Council agreements with the Agency.

Agents wishing to apply for positions within this solicitation must submit a memorandum of interest listing their geographic availability, Agency entrance on-duty date, qualifications, experience, specialized skills, and be accompanied by a supervisory recommendation cover memo to Chief Patrol Agent by close of business on April 24, 2014.
The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is being provided for SITUATIONAL AWARENESS.

The subject of this BOLO has been refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the Detroit-POE.

Thank You,
BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Detroit Border (b)(7)(E)
United States Border Patrol (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s)
and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. Parts of this document may contain sensitive security information that is controlled under the provisions of 49 CFR 1520. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

*** IMPORTANT ***
Warning: This information was provided by CBP and may contain information from another agency. Any disclosure of this information, outside of CBP, may constitute a violation of the Third Agency Rule. Releasing this information, to any entity, outside of CBP is strictly prohibited.

NOT FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTORIAL PURPOSES. This information is being provided for intelligence and investigative purposes only.
TORONTO POLICE SERVICE
HOMICIDE

BULLETIN DATE: 2017.07.07
HOMICIDE #: (b)(7)(E)

WANTED - FIRST DEGREE MURDER

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Male, black, 183 cm, 73 kg, thin build

(Police booking photo)
(Surveillance photo)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
is wanted for the murder of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) 24 yrs. was shot at (b)(7)(E) on 2017.04.15.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) may be in the company of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Both (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are also wanted for 3 counts of Attempt Murder in a separate investigation from (b)(7)(E).

Both of these individuals should be considered armed and dangerous.

Anyone with information about this case is asked to contact:
Detective (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (police cellphone only); or Via the Toronto Police Operations Centre @ (b)(7)(E)

Bulletin prepared by: Det (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Homicide Analyst, Toronto Police Service, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

RESTRICTED - For Police Use Only
WANTED - ATTEMPT MURDER x 3

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Male, black, 175 cm, 62 kg, thin build
(Police booking photo)
(Instagram photo)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
is wanted for 3 counts of Attempt Murder from a shooting occurrence on 2017.04.13.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) may be in the company of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) wanted in the same investigation, and who is also wanted for First Degree Murder of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) 24 yrs, which occurred at (b)(7)(E) on 2017.04.15.

Both of these individuals should be considered armed and dangerous.

Anyone with information about this case is asked to contact:
Detective (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (police cellphone only); or
Via the Toronto Police Operations Centre @ (b)(7)(E)

Bulletin prepared by: Det (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Homicide Analyst, Toronto Police Service, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

RESTRICTED - For Police Use Only
**BE ON THE LOOKOUT:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN: XXXX-XX-XXXXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDL: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race: White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height: 5'11&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair: Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes: Blue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**

(U) **Summary:**

(U//LES) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is a demolitions expert who was previously arrested by (b)(7)(E). (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) has recently been observed at the Department of Homeland Security building in (b)(7)(E), Michigan, as well as the current workplace of the retired Special Agent who investigated his case. At both locations, onlookers observed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) complaining and yelling about the retired Special Agent.

(U//LES) In June 2012, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was convicted of illegally transporting, possessing, and storing more than 4,200 pounds of explosive materials. In court documents, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) told an informant that he would act as an agent against the government if civil unrest broke out. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to 51 months and released on 4 June 2015.

(U//LES) This bulletin is for officer safety and awareness purposes only. If (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is encountered at a federal facility, please contact U.S. Probation Officers (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at [DHS, Office].

**Warning:** The information contained herein remains under the control of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), through U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). It is being disseminated for authorized law enforcement purposes only.

(U) This document has been designated by DHS as **Law Enforcement Sensitive (LES)** and is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS and ICE policy relating to LES information. This information can be distributed further within DHS on a need-to-know basis; however, it may not be distributed outside DHS without authorization from the originating office.
(U) This product responds to: N/A

(U) Tracked by: N/A

(U) Reporting Notice: This Intelligence Bulletin was prepared by Comments and queries may be directed to the Detroit Chief Officer at

(U) FEEDBACK: For general comments or questions related to the dissemination of this document, please e-mail the

(U) Please participate in a brief customer feedback survey regarding this product. Your feedback is important to our efforts to improve the quality and impact of our products on your mission. Please scroll to the last page to find the form and then follow a few simple steps to complete and submit your response. Thank you.
Product Title:  

Product #:  

1. Select organization type:  

and mission:  

2. How satisfied are you with the usefulness of this product?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Somewhat Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither Satisfied Nor Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Somewhat Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very Dissatisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Important</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Product resulted in opening  
a new case  

Provided new information  
to an existing case  

Helped decide a course of  
action in an operational  
mission or investigation  

Timely to my intelligence  
needs  

Influenced resource planning/  
allocation  

5. How likely are you to share this product?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shared Already</th>
<th>Likely to Share</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Likely Not to Share</th>
<th>Will Not Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. How could this product or dissemination be improved to increase its value to your mission?  

To help us understand more about your organization to better tailor future products, please provide:  

Name:  

Position:  

Organization:  

State:  

Contact Number:  

E-Mail:  

Submit Feedback  

REV: 13 November 2014
Sex | Date of Birth | Age | Citizenship | Eye Color | Hair Color | Height | Weight | Build
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
M | (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | 50 | USC | Brown | Black/Gray | UNK | UNK | Medium

Date | Time | Location | Crime/Activity
---|---|---|---
12/27/2016 | 1600 hrs. | Southeastern Michigan | Drug Smuggling

Description of Incident
Marijuana smuggling from the Southwest Border to Southeastern Michigan

Vehicle Plate & State | Year | Make | Model | Color | Other Description
---|---|---|---|---|---
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | 2015 | Lincoln | MKC | UNK | Crossover SUV

Vehicle Plate & State | Year | Make | Model | Color | Other Description
---|---|---|---|---|---
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | 1999 | Chevrolet | Venture | Maroon | Minivan

Additional Remarks
Information has been received that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) will be smuggling Marijuana to Southeastern Michigan in the upcoming week. A 2015 Lincoln MKC crossover SUV (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and a maroon, 1999 Chevrolet Venture minivan (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) are registered to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), but it is unknown at this time if either of these vehicles will be used for smuggling. The subject does have strong ties to the Dearborn Heights area. There are no known weapons/officer safety alerts for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at this time.

Point of Contact | Date Prepared | Prepared By
---|---|---
Detroit Watch Commander | 12/27/2016 | USBP Detroit Sector (b)(7)(E)

UNCLASSIFIED/LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 USC 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with Department of Homeland Security policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need to know" without prior approval from the sender.
Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Gender: Male
COB: Lebanon
COC: Canada
PP#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
FIN#: (b)(7)(E)
Make: Ford
Model: F-150/Extended Cab
Year: 2008
Plate: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Ontario)
Color: Black
VIN#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Travel: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was traveling to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Burton, Michigan.

Summary: On March 27, 2017, at approximately 1836 hrs, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) arrived at the (b)(7)(E) Michigan Port of Entry to seek admission into the United States. During screening, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was deemed to the (b)(7)(E) at the time, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was traveling alone. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was denied entry into the United States and returned to Canada. At this time the USBP (b)(7)(E) Division has no specific information that the subject is planning to cross the border illegally.

If encountered, contact the USBP Intelligence Division immediately at (b)(7)(E) and local authorities. Ensure precautions are taken to protect this information from being viewed by the Public. This BOLO is intended for CBP situational awareness only.

Sensitive Security Information (SSI)
WARNING: The information contained herein remains under the control of the United States Border Patrol (b)(7)(E) Division. This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the United States Border Patrol (b)(7)(E) Division.
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Detroit Border Patrol Sector

Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 03/28/2017, 0100 hrs
To: 03/31/2017, 0100 hrs

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the (b)(7)(E). This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the (b)(7)(E).
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On March 27, 2017, at 1836, at the Port of Entry, was identified through the as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

He was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code.

If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the.
Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 8/13/2017, 0400 hrs
To: 8/15/2017, 0400 hrs

Station Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the [b][7][E] This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the [b][7][E].
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On August 13th at 0400 hours, at the Detroit [Port of Entry] (b)(7)(E) was identified through the [b](6), [b](7)(C) as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

He was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code. If the situation dictates, coordination with [b](7)(E) partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not [b](7)(E) on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).
Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 5/20/2017, 0015 hrs
To: 5/23/2017, 0015 hrs

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the (b)(7)(E). This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the (b)(7)(E).
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On May 20th at 0015 hours, at the Detroit Port of Entry was identified through the as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

He was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code. If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the.
Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 12/01/2016, 0100 hrs
To: 12/4/2016, 0100 hrs
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On November 30, 2016 at 1600 hours, at the Detroit Port of Entry, was identified through the as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

He was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and / or property as delineated by the United States Code.

If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the.
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On August 16th at 2043 hours, at the Detroit Port of Entry, was identified through the as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

She was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212 (a)(7)(A)(i)(I) (Immigrant without an Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code. If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the. This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the.
For Official Use Only—Law Enforcement Sensitive

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Detroit Border Patrol Sector

Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 08/04/2017, 0100 hrs
To: 08/07/2017, 0100 hrs

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the ______________________ (b)(7)(E) _______________________. This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the __________.
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On 08/03/2017 at 1535 hours, at the Port of Entry was identified through the as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

She was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code. If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the.
Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 08/27/2017, 1630 hrs
To: 08/30/2017, 1630 hrs

Station Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the (b)(7)(E). This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the (b)(7)(E).
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On 08/27/2017 at approximately 1115 hours, at the [Port of Entry] was identified through the [as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security. He was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes [ ]

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code. If the situation dictates, coordination with [ ] partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not [ ] on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the [ ]. This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the [ ]
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
Detroit Border Patrol Sector

Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 7/03/2017, 0100 hrs  
To: 7/06/2017, 0100 hrs

Station Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the
(b)(7)(E) This BOLO has been
designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for
situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are
authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released
to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the [b](7)(E)
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On July 2nd at 2100 hours, at the Detroit Port of Entry, as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

She was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212 (a)(7)(A)(i)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code. If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of . This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the .
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Detroit Border Patrol Sector

Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive

Effective Timeframe:
From: 5/22/2017, 1100 hrs
To: 5/25/2017, 1100 hrs

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the
(b)(7)(E)
This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the
(b)(7)(E).
Attention: All Agents of the Detroit Sector

On May 22nd at approximately 1100 hours, at the [b](7)(E) Port of Entry, [b](6), [b](7)(C) was identified through the [b](7)(E) as being an individual whose entry into the United States is deemed detrimental to National Security.

He was refused entry to the United States of America pursuant to Section 212 (a)(7)(A)(I) (Immigrant without on Immigrant Visa) and/or Section 212(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) (Likely to engage in terrorist activity upon admission). See attached BOLO for photograph, physical descriptors and additional refusal information.

In response to this refusal, the Detroit Border Patrol Sector has enacted an enhanced response protocol to increase situational awareness within their respective areas of responsibility. This response protocol includes [b](7)(E)

In the event you identify suspicious activity during the course of this deployment, you are encouraged to take all necessary actions to ensure the adherence to the rule of law and protection of persons and/or property as delineated by the United States Code.

If the situation dictates, coordination with partners is recommended to ensure the maximum effectiveness of this deployment posture.

Agents will not [b](7)(E) on any form shown or provided to the alien. All media inquiries will be referred the Detroit Sector Public Information Officer at Detroit Sector Headquarters at (810-931-0100).

Warning: The information contained herein remains under the control of the [b](7)(E). This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the [b](7)(E).
For Official Use Only - Law Enforcement Sensitive

U.S Customs and Border Protection
USBP (b)(7)(E) Division

*** BOLO 09/23/2017 ***
SITUATIONAL AWARENESS ONLY

Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Gender: Male
COC: Canada
POB: Harika, Lebanon
PP#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Canada)
FINS#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
A#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Not Actual Vehicle
Make: Lincoln
Model: MKZ
Color: White
License plate: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
VIN: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Last known address: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Dearborn, MI 48126

Summary: On September 23, 2017, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was refused entry at the Detroit, Michigan, Port of Entry due to his (b)(7)(E). At the time of inspection, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was traveling with his wife (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), a USC, who was admitted into the U.S. At this time, USBP (b)(7)(E) Division has no specific information that the subject is planning to cross the border illegally.

If encountered, contact the USBP (b)(7)(E) Division immediately at (b)(7)(E) Ensure precautions are taken to protect this information from being viewed by the Public. This BOLO is intended for US Border Patrol situational awareness only.

WARNING: The information contained herein remains under the control of the Office of Border Patrol (b)(7)(E) Branch. This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the United States Border Patrol (b)(7)(E) Branch.
**Summary:** On August 13, 2017, **ABIABDALLAH, Joseph** was refused entry at the Detroit, Michigan Port of Entry because he is **Not the Actual Vehicle**

Vehicle: 2013 Chrysler 200 LX Silver

Plate: Ontario, **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Last known address: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Windsor, Ontario, Canada N8R0A3

Intended U.S. Destination: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

in Detroit, Michigan

If encountered, contact **(b)(7)(E)** immediately at **(b)(7)(E)** and **(b)(7)(E)**. Ensure precautions are taken to protect this information from being viewed by the Public. This BOLO is intended for CBP situational awareness only.

**WARNING:** The information contained herein remains under the control of the Office of Border Patrol Liaison Branch. This BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for situational awareness only. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate CBP personnel on a need-to-know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency outside of CBP without prior approval from the United States Border Patrol Liaison Branch.
### END OF SHIFT REPORT

**Monday, May 08, 2017**

#### SWING SHIFT

**APPREHENSIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINAL ALIEN</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARRANT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SEIZURES:

- **CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE:** None
- **AMOUNT:** N/A
- **CASH:** $0.00
- **CONVEYANCE:** None
- **OTHER:** None

#### COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES:

- Train was 10-8, 10-19.
- BPA’s completed WA/NTA on **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)** COB Canada. NI overstay.
- BPAs arrested 6 subjects in Mount Pleasant, MI. Processing was turned over to Midnight Shift as follows:

  **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

---

**ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa County Central Dispatch advised to BOLO a grey 1999 Grand Prix, bearing Keewenaw Tribal registration, possibly headed from the keeenaw peninsula to the lower peninsula to conduct a narcotics transaction. Suspect female is known to have pills and heroin on her person.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, May 9, 2017 1:27 AM
To: OIC Intake; [b)(7)(E) SUP; [b)(7)(E) AGENTS; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: SWINGS_050817.docx
Attachments: SWINGS_050817.docx

BPA's completed WA/NTA on COB Canada. NI overstay
BPAs arrested 6 subjects in Mount Pleasant, MI. Processing was turned over to Midnight Shift as follows:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Chippewa County Central Dispatch advised to BOLO a grey 1999 Grand Prix, bearing Keewenaw Tribal registration possibly headed from the keewenaw peninsula to the lower peninsula to conduct a narcotics transaction. Suspect female is known to have pills and heroin on her

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Station
U.S. Border Patrol
### SWING SHIFT

**APPREHENSIONS:**

- **Mexican:** 0
- **Criminal Alien:** 0
- **Total:** 0
- **OTM:** 0
- **Non-Criminal Alien:** 0
- **Warrant:** 0
- **ASIC:** 0
- **I-247:** 0

**SEIZURES:**

- **Controlled Substance:** None
- **Amount:** N/A
- **Cash:** $0.00
- **USD:** No
- **Other:** None
- **Conveyance:** None
- **Other:** None

**(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:**

**COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES**

- Train was 10-19

**ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE**

- No active EDP

**OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS**

- **BOLO** received information from MSP: "W/M 5'9" 180, BRO/HAZ, warrants out of Newberry, escaped from law enforcement. Last seen in Newberry, MI. Believed to be armed with a stolen .22 mag rifle with 100 rounds of ammunition. Driving a stolen Tan, 2003 Tahoe Ml." Newberry, MI.
- **SBPA** received a call at the station, from a concerned citizen, regarding an overstay in Mackinaw City. See workspace.
From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, July 22, 2017 10:09 PM
To: OIC Intake: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
[b](7)(E)AGENTS [b](7)(E)SUP
Subject: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Attachments: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

BOLO received information from MSP: [b](6), [b](7)(C) W/M 5'9" 180, BRO/HAZ, warrants out of Newberry, escaped from law enforcement. Last seen in Newberry, MI. Believed to be armed with a stolen .22 mag rifle with 100 rounds of ammunition. Driving a stolen Tan, 2003 Tahoe MI: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Newberry, MI.
SBPA received a call at the station, from a concerned citizen, regarding an overstay in Mackinaw City. See workspace [b](7)(E)

[b](6), [b](7)(C)
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
[b](7)(E) Station
U.S. Border Patrol [b](6), [b](7)(C)
ALCON,

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

It is being provided for SITUATIONAL AWARENESS.

The subject of this BOLO has been refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the Detroit-__PZ__.

Regards,

____________________
Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector__

---

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. Parts of this document may contain sensitive security information that is controlled under the provisions of 49 CFR 1520. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

*** IMPORTANT ***

Warning: This information was provided by CBP and may contain information from another agency. Any disclosure of this information, outside of CBP, may constitute a violation of the Third Agency Rule. Releasing this information, to any entity, outside of CBP is strictly prohibited.

NOT FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTORIAL PURPOSES. This information is being provided for intelligence and
investigative purposes only.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 11:02 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) DTM Command Staff;

To: ____________________________________________ (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) DTM Comm and Staff;

Cc: OIC Intake

Subject: (b)(7)(E) Refusal Port of Entry

Alcon,

Please be advised that the Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive is in effect.

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

The subject of this BOLO has been refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the Port of Entry.

Thank you,

Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on behalf of OIC Intake  
Sent: Monday, December 26, 2016 4:31 PM  
To: DTM Command Staff: (b)(7)(E) SUP (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) SUP; (b)(7)(E) SUP (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) SUP  
Cc: OIC Intake  
Subject: Refusal Detroit (b)(7)(E) POE  
Attachments: Enhanced Deployment Posture (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) pdf; DTM (b)(7)(E) BOLO (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) pdf

ALCON,

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

It is being provided for SITUATIONAL AWARENESS.

The subject of this BOLO has been refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the Detroit (b)(7)(E) POE.

Very Respectfully,
BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) Detroit (b)(7)(E) United States Border Patrol

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
investigative purposes only.
ALCON,

Please be advised that the Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive is in effect.

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

The subject of this BOLO is currently being refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the Detroit, Michigan Port of Entry.
ALCON,

Please be advised that the Enhanced Deployment Posture Directive is in effect.

The attached BOLO has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION.

The subject of this BOLO is currently being refused entry into the U.S. on the Northern Border at the Detroit Port of Entry.

US BORDER PATROL AGENT
DETROIT SECTOR
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 12:23 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: DTM Taskforce descriptions  
Attachments: MOU Border Patrol - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) 10-19-16_0004.pdf

Mr. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

As requested, MSP COMET TF is the only MOU we are currently engaged with.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Patrol Agent in Charge  
U.S. Border Patrol - (b)(7)(E)  
(b)(7)(E)  
Detroit, Michigan 48214  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Office  
Fax  
Cell  
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Dispatch 24/7

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 11:56 AM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: DTM Taskforce descriptions  

All,

If you have any MOUs between Border Patrol and the taskforce host agency describing the work that
would be performed, please email them to Mr. [redacted] by noon tomorrow (07/25/2017).

This information is needed before a meeting with the FLRA. Thank you for your time.

V/R,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Acting Branch Chief
Professional Standards
Detroit Sector
U.S. Border Patrol

Cell
Office
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
Between
the
COUNTY OF MACOMB ENFORCEMENT TEAM
and
Customs and Border Protection – U.S. Border Patrol – Detroit Sector

1. Purpose

The above listed law enforcement agency and COMET agree to the below terms and conditions related to the assignment of a duly sworn law enforcement officer to COMET to work on the [b](7)(E) The U.S. Border Patrol agrees to assign this agent in a full-time capacity which equates to at least a 40-hour work week. The effective date of this agreement will be [b](7)(E) and will be in place until [b](7)(E)

This agreement is effective on the date it is signed by both parties to this agreement and terminates on [b](7)(E). This agreement will be in effect for the next two years, and will be renewed at that time if the U.S. Border Patrol agrees to continue to be a part of the COMET task force. Both parties can terminate this agreement with a 30-day notice for any reason.

The U.S. Border Patrol agent that will be assigned will work jointly with COMET [b](7)(E) investigating narcotics, violent crime and other criminal matters brought to the attention of the task force. U.S. Border Patrol agrees that if there are criminal cases that are in the COMET jurisdiction that all efforts will be undertaken to work joint investigations with COMET on such cases.

U.S. Border Patrol agrees to allow their assigned agent to work state and federally prosecuted cases. They also agree to allow the assigned agent to work [b](7)(E). There are no operations that would require your agent to work [b](7)(E) and be away from COMET or your agency. The assigned agent from the U.S. Border Patrol will assist and be a part of the below activities routinely handled by the task force to include but not limited to:

1. Conduct search warrants (hard or soft entry)
2. Arrest suspects on drug charges or any other crime
3. Provide and utilize referrals, tip information and any other information to follow up on criminal activity.
4. [b](7)(E)
5. [b](7)(E)
6. Communication with existing drug teams on multi-jurisdictional targets
7. [b](7)(E) operations for drugs and guns
8. [b](7)(E) operations when suspected drug activity is identified
9. Work harmoniously with the Macomb and Wayne County prosecutors to insure that detectives are versed on the laws they are tasked to uphold.
10. Obtain familiarity for the utilization of the latest intelligence from [b](7)(E)
11. Become proficient in the use of [b](7)(E)

12. Become familiar with any new technology to assist with investigations such as [b](7)(E) and any other new technology that is beneficial for law enforcement.

13. Become educated on the most updated methods of drug dealers, drug cartels and couriers as it relates to drug crimes.

14. Coordination with Detroit Police and other Macomb Police Departments to keep abreast of any new crime trends related to violent crime in the region.

15. Seek training opportunities deemed worthwhile tied to either investigation of narcotics or violent crime.

16. [b](7)(E) Operations utilizing COMET [b](7)(E)

II. Agreement

The U.S. Border Patrol agrees to assign an officer to COMET on the narcotics team on or about [b](7)(E). Based on this assignment, COMET will furnish all the needed equipment for the assigned officer with the exception of a duty weapon. All overtime and wages related to this assignment will be the responsibility of the U.S. Border Patrol. With this assignment COMET agrees to supply [b](7)(E) providing the assignment of the selected U.S. Border Patrol Agent remains at a full-time status which will be 40 hours per week. U.S. Border Patrol agrees to allow the assigned agent to work the same hours as the team and be available to be called in on short notice to [b](7)(E) that arise during the normal course of business.

U.S. Border Patrol acknowledges that based on this agreement they will not be eligible to receive any funding from the BYRNE Grant that COMET currently receives. They also agree that [b](7)(E) funding received annually will be utilized to pay for a portion of the [b](7)(E) and that no other assurances exist related to federal grant funds received.

U.S. Border Patrol based on this assignment will be eligible to share in forfeitures realized from the team based on the time of their assignment. All forfeitures are reviewed at the end of each year and based on operating expenses over and above the budget for the current plus two. All distributions will be based off of the language and formula spelled out in the COMET Bylaws.

During the assignment to COMET, U.S. Border Patrol agrees that any and all civil litigation related to the actions of the team will be the responsibility of each assigned department. Based on recent case law the task force itself can't be sued as an entity, and previous law suits at the various MSP operated teams have been financially shared between all departments assigned to the task force. Based on this, all legal representation stemming from COMET investigations shall be the responsibility of each department for their own assigned officer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved by Law Enforcement Agency</th>
<th>Approved by COMET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Agency:</td>
<td>Name of Agency:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Border Patrol – Detroit Sector</td>
<td>COMET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title: Patrol Agent in Charge</td>
<td>Title: D/E/Lt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>Signature: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 12:23 PM
To: 
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DTM Taskforce descriptions
Attachments: MOU Border Patrol - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) 10-19-16_0004.pdf

Mr. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

As requested; MSP COMET TF is the only MOU we are currently engaged with.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Patrol Agent in Charge
U.S. Border Patrol (b)(7)(E) Station
Detroit, Michigan 48214
Office
Fax
Cell
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Dispatch 24/7

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 11:56 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: DTM Taskforce descriptions

All,

If you have any MOUs between Border Patrol and the taskforce host agency describing the work that would be performed, please email them to Mr. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) by noon tomorrow (07/25/2017).

This information is needed before a meeting with the FLRA. Thank you for your time.

V/R,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
Between
the
COUNTY OF MACOMB ENFORCEMENT TEAM
and
Customs and Border Protection – U.S. Border Patrol – Detroit Sector

1. Purpose

The above listed law enforcement agency and COMET agree to the below terms and conditions related to the assignment of a duly sworn law enforcement officer to COMET to work on the [ (b)(7)(E) ]. The U.S. Border Patrol agrees to assign this agent in a full-time capacity which equates to at least a 40-hour work week. The effective date of this agreement will be [ (b)(7)(E) ] and will be in place until [ (b)(7)(E) ].

This agreement is effective on the date it is signed by both parties to this agreement and terminates on [ (b)(7)(E) ]. This agreement will be in effect for the next two years, and will be renewed at that time if the U.S. Border Patrol agrees to continue to be a part of the COMET task force. Both parties can terminate this agreement with a 30-day notice for any reason.

The U.S. Border Patrol agent that will be assigned will work jointly with COMET [ (b)(7)(E) ] investigating narcotics, violent crime and other criminal matters brought to the attention of the task force. U.S. Border Patrol agrees that if there are criminal cases that are in the COMET jurisdiction that all efforts will be undertaken to work joint investigations with COMET on such cases.

U.S. Border Patrol agrees to allow their assigned agent to work state and federally prosecuted cases. They also agree to allow the assigned agent to work [ (b)(7)(E) ] and be away from COMET or your agency. The assigned agent from the U.S. Border Patrol will assist and be a part of the below activities routinely handled by the task force to include but not limited to:

1. Conduct search warrants (hard or soft entry)
2. Arrest suspects on drug charges or any other crime
3. Provide and utilize referrals, tip information and any other information to follow up on criminal activity.
4. [ (b)(7)(E) ]
5. Communication with existing drug teams on multi-jurisdictional targets
6. [ (b)(7)(E) ] operations for drugs and guns
7. [ (b)(7)(E) ] operations when suspected drug activity is identified
8. Work harmoniously with the Macomb and Wayne County prosecutors to insure that detectives are versed on the laws they are tasked to uphold.
9. Obtain familiarity for the utilization of the latest intelligence from [ (b)(7)(E) ]
11. Become proficient in the use of the

12. Become familiar with any new technology to assist with investigations such as [b](7)(E) and any other new technology that is beneficial for law enforcement.

13. Become educated on the most updated methods of drug dealers, drug cartels and couriers as it relates to drug crimes.

14. Coordination with Detroit Police and other Macomb Police Departments to keep abreast of any new crime trends related to violent crime in the region.

15. Seek training opportunities deemed worthwhile tied to either investigation of narcotics or violent crime.

16. [b](7)(E) operations utilizing COMET [b](7)(E)

II. Agreement

The U.S. Border Patrol agrees to assign an officer to COMET on the narcotics team on or about [b](7)(E). Based on this assignment, COMET will furnish all the needed equipment for the assigned officer with the exception of a duty weapon. All overtime and wages related to this assignment will be the responsibility of the U.S. Border Patrol. With this assignment COMET agrees to supply [b](7)(E) providing the assignment of the selected U.S. Border Patrol Agent remains at a full-time status which will be 40 hours per week. U.S. Border Patrol agrees to allow the assigned agent to work the same hours as the team and be available to be called in on short notice to [b](7)(E) that arise during the normal course of business.

U.S. Border Patrol acknowledges that based on this agreement they will not be eligible to receive any funding from the BYRNE Grant that COMET currently receives. They also agree that [b](7)(E) funding received annually will be utilized to pay for a portion of the [b](7)(E) and that no other assurances exist related to federal grant funds received.

U.S. Border Patrol based on this assignment will be eligible to share in forfeitures realized from the team based on the time of their assignment. All forfeitures are reviewed at the end of each year and based on operating expenses over and above the budget for the current plus two. All distributions will be based off of the language and formula spelled out in the COMET Bylaws.

During the assignment to COMET, U.S. Border Patrol agrees that any and all civil litigation related to the actions of the team will be the responsibility of each assigned department. Based on recent case law the task force itself can't be sued as an entity, and previous law suits at the various MSP operated teams have been financially shared between all departments assigned to the task force. Based on this, all legal representation stemming from COMET investigations shall be the responsibility of each department for their own assigned officer.
**U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR**

**END OF SHIFT REPORT**

*Friday, June 16, 2017*

### APPREHENSIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Alien</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Criminal Alien</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SEIZURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Substance</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mexican Apps</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER:

- None

### (b)(7)(E) NUMBERS

- N/A

### GENERATE:

**COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES**

**Train** was 10-8, 10-19.

BPA's and BPA EMT assisted the CCSO with a 2 car injury accident on **(b)(7)(E)** BPA EMT rendered aid and BPA's conducted traffic control for the accident. 2 subjects were transported to WMH by Pickford EMS.

USCG reported that **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** was located at **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**. USCG advised contact was made with the RO who stated they sold the vessel to a **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**. There was no sign of entry at the residence, and USCG advised BPA the vessel appeared to have broken loose from its mooring and drifted across to the US side.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crewman check ride: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
(b)(7)(E) Station
U.S. Border Patrol
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
APPREHENSIONS: 0

- Mexican: 0
- CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
- OTM: 0
- NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
- ASIC: 0
- I-247: 0
- TOT: 0
- WARRANT: 0

SEIZURES: 0

- CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: TOTAL MEXICAN APPS AMOUNT: TOTAL MEXICAN APPS
- CASH: $0.00 □ USD □ OTHER
- CONVEYANCE: None
- OTHER: None

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED: N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Train was 10-8, 10-19.

BPA’s and BPA EMT assisted the CCSO with a 2 car injury accident on [b][7][E]. BPA EMT rendered aid and BPA’s conducted traffic control for the accident. 2 subjects were transported to WMH by Pickford EMS.

USCG reported that [b][6], [b][7][C] was located at [b][6], [b][7][C]. Per the registered owner, [b][6], [b][7][C] USCG advised contact was made with the RO who stated they sold the vessel to a [b][6], [b][7][C]. There was no sign of entry at the residence, and USCG advised BPA the vessel appeared to have broken loose from it’s mooring and drifted across to the US side.

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

N/A

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS
Crewman check ride (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
END OF SHIFT REPORT
Thursday, August 24, 2017

**DIPLOMATIC VEHICLES:**

**SEIZURES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None</th>
<th>AMOUNT: N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASH: $0.00</td>
<td>USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEYANCE: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONVEYANCE:**

| None |

**OTHER:**

| None |

---

**COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES**

No cross-border activity reported or observed.

Bay Mills Tribal Police requested assistance identifying a subject. Records checks revealed subject had valid immigration status. No further action taken.

**ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE**

No EDP in effect.

**OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS**

All station Operations Normal.

Remodeling in effect.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Force Assignment</th>
<th>Employee Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Series/Grade</th>
<th>Permanent Duty Station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downriver Area Narcotics Enforcement (DRANO)</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>Border Patrol Agent</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>Border Patrol Agent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Upon being notified of the reclassification, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) elected to remain in the bargaining unit as President of Local 2499. (B)(6), (b)(7)(E) is now assigned to that particular taskforce assignment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>SCD LV</th>
<th>BP EOD</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Detail Name</th>
<th>Detail Start Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>(b)(7)(E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/20/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/20/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/18/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/8/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/23/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/29/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/14/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/31/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/3/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2/2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/17/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/12/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/24/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/26/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/26/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTM TF</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Friday, December 9, 2016 4:08 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  

Subject: FW: Officer Safety/Smuggling Alert

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Office (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) - Ext. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) On Behalf Of OIC Intake  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 4:05 PM  
To: OICSPOTREPORT (b)(7)(E)  
Cc: OIC Intake (b)(7)(E)  
Subject: Officer Safety/Smuggling Alert

Please see the attached BOLO

(U//LES) SUMMARY: Approach with Caution—The (b)(7)(E) Unit received information on December 8, 2016, regarding drug trafficking from Georgia to Monroe, MI. According to information received from a credible law enforcement source, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) reportedly left Georgia yesterday, December 7, in a rented Mercedes truck or SUV, white in color, destined for Monroe, MI, with 3,000 Xanax bars, a large amount of heroin, and likely "Molly" (MDMA). The vehicle model, color, and plate are unknown. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) route of travel is unknown, but it is likely to be along (b)(7)(E)

You must establish your
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT

Monday, September 04, 2017

Apprehensions: 0

Mexican: 0

OTM: 0

ASIC: 0

I-247: 0

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0

WARRANT: 0

SEIZURES:

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None   AMOUNT: N/A
CASH: $0.00  □ USD  □ OTHER

CONVEYANCE: None

OTHER: None

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & Miscellaneous ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

- No cross-border activity reported or observed.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) assisted Chippewa County Sheriff with a Barricaded Guman from 2359 hours to 0650 hours, contacted 0340 hours informed of situation and another was generated.

enhanced deployment posture
September 28, 2017

Deputy State Director of Emergency Management and Homeland Security
Michigan State Police
4000 Collins Road
Lansing, Michigan 48910

Dear Captain,

Based on the Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) Operation Stonegarden Grant Program (OPSG) guidelines and special conditions associated with this program, the below referenced Operations Order as submitted is approved:

Operations Order No: (b)(7)(E)
Fiscal Year: 2015
Amount Approved: (b)(7)(E)
Operations Order Dates: 08/01/2017 - 08/31/2018
Recipient: Chippewa County

Expenditures from the Operations Order (OPORD) that were reviewed and approved by FEMA and U.S. Customs and Border Protection/Border Patrol (CBP) are outlined below. These expenses will assist the County in conducting border centric, intelligence driven operations with the goal of reduction or elimination of threat, risk and vulnerability along our Nation’s borders. Please see below for all approved costs for this OPORD, and refer to the OPORD for specific items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overtime:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County M&amp;A:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please find the below special conditions associated with OPSG and retain this letter for your grant files. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

Sincerely,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Section Chief
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Grant Programs Directorate

Cc: U.S. Customs and Border Protection/ Border Patrol

The following Special Conditions are associated with this Operation Stonegarden award:

1. Construction and construction-type activities are prohibited.
2. Lethal or less than lethal forces including, but not limited to: weapons, firearms, ammunition and tasers are prohibited.
3. Per the Personnel Reimbursement for Intelligence Cooperation and Enhancement (PRICE) of Homeland Security Act (Public Law 110-412), the sum of all personnel related expenses shall not exceed 50% of the recipient’s allocation without first obtaining a waiver from the FEMA Administrator.
4. All participating agencies shall monitor, review and track expenditures of OPSG funds under individual Operations Orders issued. Participating agencies shall not obligate, and/or encumber OPSG grant funds beyond the total of their allocation issued by FEMA.
5. The Operations Order has been reviewed and approved under the Environmental and Historic Preservation Program (EHP) guidelines as being categorically excluded from further EHP review.
6. Recipients must submit a letter of justification for all proposed vehicles or equipment items in excess of $100,000. This justification will be reviewed by CBP and FEMA.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 4, 2017 11:32 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
Subject: PAIC Report (b)(7)(E) Prosecutions/Collateral Duty Update

Sir,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) the Canadian overstay that was arrested on 04/07/17, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E), was granted VD by the IJ in Detroit, MI on 04/17/2017 and has until 05/01/2017 to remove himself. A new (b)(7)(E) was created stating that if he would attempt to reenter the U.S., it would be for the purposes of living here illegally. He left the U.S. on 04/21/2017. Before he left, he told OFO Officers, "I'll be back."

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) was brought down to Grand Rapids, MI by BPAs (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) on 04/13/17. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is expected to plead guilty to the charges of 18 USC 1546 Using Fraud Docs for Employment and 42 USC 408 False Representation of U.S. Social Security Number on 05/11/2017. This case was worked with ICE (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E).

-Criminal prosecution was accepted on 04/13/17 for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) for 8 USC 1326. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) initial appearance in the U.S. Distric
Thanks, sir!

Mr. [redacted]

As requested; MSP COMET TF is the only MOU we are currently engaged with.

Patrol Agent in Charge

U.S. Border Patrol - [redacted]

Detroit, Michigan 48214

Office
Fax
Cell

Dispatch 24/7
\[ \Omega: \]

\[
(b)^6, (b)^7(C)
\]
**APPREHENSIONS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Alien</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Criminal Alien</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEIZURES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Substance</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$0.00 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES**

Two agents assisted in the Lake City area.

**ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE**

Nothing to report.

**OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS**

Station OPS Normal.
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR
END OF SHIFT REPORT
Monday, August 28, 2017

APPREHENSIONS: 0

- Mexican: 0
- CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
- TOT: 0
- OTM: 0
- NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
- WARRANT: 0
- ASIC: 0
- 1-247: 0

SEIZURES:

- CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None
- AMOUNT: N/A
- CASH: $0.00
- USD
- OTHER
- CONVEYANCE: None
- OTHER: None

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

No cross-border activity reported or observed.

BPAMs patrolled the _______ on vessel _______ for a total of _______.

BPAMs patrolled the _______ on PC vessel _______ for a total of _______.

St. Ignace PD requested BP assistance with the identification of a subject on a traffic stop. BP responded and immigration checks revealed subject had valid status. No further action taken.

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

DOB: _______; POB: Zintan, LY, COC: Canada. EDP activated until 08-30-2017 at 1630 hours.

Agents advised to be vigilant at _______ and possible cross-border crossing locations for subject.

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

All station Operations Normal.

Conducted “Knife defensive tactics” training.
Remodeling in effect.
# U.S. Border Patrol | Detroit Sector

## End of Shift Report

**Tuesday, August 29, 2017**

### Day Shift

| (b)(7)(E) Numbers Generated: | N/A |

**Apprehensions:** 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Alien</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Criminal Alien</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Seizures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Substance</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Collaborative Activities & Miscellaneous Enforcement Activities**

No cross-border activity reported or observed. BPAMs patrolled the **(b)(7)(E)** on vessel **(b)(7)(E)** for a total of **(b)(7)(E)**. Luce County SO requested BP assistance with the identification of two subjects on a traffic stop. BP ran records checks on the subjects and immigration checks revealed subjects had valid status. No further action taken.

**Enhanced Deployment Posture**

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), DOB: **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**, POB: Zintan, LY, COC: Canada. EDP activated until 08-30-2017 at 1630 hours. Agents advised to be vigilant at **(b)(7)(E)** and possible cross-border crossing locations for subject.

**Other Information to Pass**

All station Operations Normal. Remodeling in effect.
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT

Thursday, November 17, 2016

Apprehensions: 0

Mexican: 0

OTM: 0

ASIC: 0

I-247: 0

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0

WARRANT: 0
SEIZURES:

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None  AMOUNT: N/A

CASH:  $0.00  □ USD  □ OTHER

CONVEYANCE:  None

OTHER:  None

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:

N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & Miscellaneous ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

BPA responded to a MSP request for assistance on Pendills Lake Rd. Report of subject making threats. The matter was civil in nature and units cleared with no enforcement action taken.

enhanced deployment posture

Nothing to report.

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

Station operati
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR
END OF SHIFT REPORT
Tuesday, January 17, 2017

APPREHENSIONS:

Mexican: 0
CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
TOT: 0
OTM: 0
NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
WARRANT: 0
ASIC: 0
I-247: 0

SEIZURES:

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None
AMOUNT: N/A
CASH: $0.00
CONVEYANCE: None
OTHER: None

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

No cross-border activity or misc. enforcement activities observed or reported.

Chippewa County Central Dispatch sent EMS to for a subject who had fallen and was unable to feel his lower extremities. BPA (EMT) was in the area and responded. BPA provided First-Aid until EMS arrived. Subject was transported by EMS to War Memorial Hospital.

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

Nothing to report.

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

Station operations normal.
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR
END OF SHIFT REPORT
Tuesday, August 01, 2017

APPREHENSIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINAL ALIEN</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARRANT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEIZURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEYANCE</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

No cross-border activity reported or observed.
BPA and K-9 responded to request for assistance at [redacted] POE for vehicle search 0330 hours. 10-19. BPA and K-9 worked POE from 0330-0600 hours
BPA responded to a Chippewa County Dispatch request for assistance for a domestic disturbance call at Kincheloe, MI, at approximately 0300 hours with Bay Mills PD. Parties were separated and sent separate ways. No other enforcement actions were taken. No other action pending. Scene cleared at approximately 0330 hours.

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

No active EDP.

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

Station Operations Normal.
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT

Tuesday, February 07, 2017

Midnight Shift

Apprehensions: 0

Mexican: 0

OTM: 0
ASIC: 0
I-247: 0

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0
WARRANT: 0

SEIZURES:

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None AMOUNT: N/A
CASH: $0.00 □ USD □ OTHER
CONVEYANCE: None
OTHER: None

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:
N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & Miscellaneous ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Previously observed pedestrian/snowmobile tracks near (b)(7)(E) at (b)(7)(E) were walked out and found to be just local traffic, not cross border.

Two agents assisted (b)(7)(E) Lake City area.
BPA and K-9 assisted POE from 0400-0600, 10-19
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR
END OF SHIFT REPORT
Tuesday, February 07, 2017

**MIDNIGHT SHIFT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPREHENSIONS:</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINAL ALIEN:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTM:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIC:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-247:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEIZURES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMOUNT:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH:</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ USD □ OTHER:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVEYANCE:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED: N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Previously observed pedestrian/snowmobile tracks near (b)(7)(E) at (b)(7)(E) were walked out and found to be just local traffic, not cross border.

Two agents assisted (b)(7)(E) Lake City area.

BPA and K-9 assisted (b)(7)(E) POE from 0400-0600, 10-19

ENHANCED DEPLOYMENT POSTURE

No EDP in effect.

OTHER INFORMATION TO PASS

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY//
LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
Station Operations Normal.
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT
Monday, February 20, 2017

Midnight Shift

Apprehensions: 0

Mexican: 0
OTM: 0
ASIC: 0
I-247: 0

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0
WARRANT: 0
SEIZURES:

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None AMOUNT: N/A

CASH: $0.00 □ USD □ OTHER

CONVEYANCE: None

OTHER: None

_{(b)(7)(E)}hUMBERS gENERATED:

_{(b)(7)(E)}

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & Miscellaneous ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Agents were requested to assist Kinross PD Officer as backup for a Domestic Violence call. One male subject was arrested for Domestic Violence and lodged in the Chippewa County Jail. I -44 completed see _{(b)(7)(E)}

enhanced deployment posture

EDP in effect re: _{(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)}
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT
Thursday, April 13, 2017

(b)(7)(E)

Report Submitted By:

Midnight Shift

Apprehensions: 0

Mexican: 0

OTM: 0

ASIC: 0

I-247: 0

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0

WARRANT: 0
SEIZURES:

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: None AMOUNT: N/A
CASH: $0.00 □ USD □ OTHER
CONVEYANCE: None
OTHER: None

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:
N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & Miscellaneous ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

No cross-border activity reported or observed.

At 0130 am, BPA[...](b)(6),(b)(7)(C) responded to a request for assistance to Officer[...], of the Bay Mills Tribal PD for a possible suicidal female. When BPA[...], arrived, Officer[...], was speaking with the Subject, later Subject agreed to voluntary admis
U.S. BORDER PATROL | DETROIT SECTOR

END OF SHIFT REPORT
Friday, June 16, 2017

Report Submitted By: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Swing Shift

Apprehensions: 0

Mexican: 0
OTM: 0
ASIC: 0
I-247: 0

CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0
NON-CRIMINAL ALIEN: 0

TOT: 0
WARRANT: 0
SEIZURES: 0

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE: TOTAL MEXICAN APPS AMOUNT: TOTAL MEXICAN APPS

CASH: $0.00 □ USD □ OTHER

CONVEYANCE: None

OTHER: None

(b)(7)(E) NUMBERS GENERATED:

N/A

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES & Miscellaneous ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

(b)(7)(E) train was 10-8, 10-19.

BPA’s and BPA EMT assisted the CCSO with a 2 car injury accident on (b)(7)(E), BPA EMT rendered aid and BPA’s conducted traffic control for the accident. 2 subjects were transported to WMH by Pickford EMS.

USCG reported that ON
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) on behalf of OIC Intake
Sent: Friday, December 9, 2016 4:05 PM
To: OICSPOTREPORT
Cc: OIC Intake
Subject: Officer Safety/Smuggling Alert

Please see the attached BOLO

(U//LES) SUMMARY: Approach with Caution—The (b)(7)(E) Unit received information on December 8, 2016, regarding drug trafficking from Georgia to Monroe, MI. According to information received from a credible law enforcement source, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) reportedly left Georgia yesterday, December 7, in a rented Mercedes truck or SUV, white in color, destined for Monroe, MI, with 3,000 Xanax bars, a large amount of heroin, and likely "Molly" (MDMA). The vehicle model, color, and plate are unknown. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) route of travel is unknown, but it is likely to be along (b)(7)(E). If contact is made please contact OSHP Trooper (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

Regards,

BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Detroit (b)(7)(E)
United States Border Patrol (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
FYSA. I will have more info on it tomorrow morning.

Gentlemen,

I don't know the details yet as I'm at the in-laws this weekend in Grand Rapids, but I was informed yesterday that [redacted] was arrested by [redacted] in conjunction with one of our BPA's working the case. [redacted] and BPA conducted a type of [redacted] of a package that was addressed to her coming from Detroit area. They then conducted a [redacted] and she broke to everything and had suboxone in the package that was delivered. She confessed to distributing into the prison. [redacted] then drew up a warrant and hit the house. I don't know what was found at the house but she was arrested and lodged and is facing local charges. I should have more info tomorrow.
(b)(7)(E) Apprehension and Encounter FY15 Log

Apprehensions:
Warrant of Arrest / Notice to Appear issued
   3 – Honduras
   1 – Italy
   1 – Lebanon
   21 – Mexico
   1 – Ukraine
   1 – Vietnam

Notice to Appear / Released
   1 – Greece

Reinstatement of Prior Order of Removal
   5 – Mexico (Two are being prosecuted for 8 USC 1326)

Bag and Baggage
   3 – Mexico
   1 – Guatemala

Visa Waiver Program Removal
   1 – United Kingdom

Encounters:
Issued citations for 8 USC 1304(e)
   2 – Mexico (Detroit Wayne County Airport)
   1 – India (Gibraltar, MI)
   1 – Bosnia Herzegovina (Public Assist)

Public Safety
   2 – USC (Illegally Hunting DNR contacted)
   2 – USC (Illegal discharge of a firearm TOT Dearborn PD)
   1 – USC (Reckless Driving TOT Woodhaven PD)
   1 – USC (Reckless Driving in Construction Zone MSP not available)
   1 – USC (Evasive Driving Interviewed and K-9 search released)
   1 – USC (Possible DUI TOT Monroe County Sheriff’s Office)
   1 – USC (Possession of Child Pornography Assisted MSP)
Narcotics Violations
  7 – USC (Assisted MSP, all narcotics and persons TOT MSP)

Detained/Interviewed
  1 – Kenya (Detained to verify Immigration Status, applied for asylum)
On May 21, 2015, at 11:40 a.m., agents from the Border Patrol Station, assisting apprehended two Saudi Arabian non-immigrant F1 students out of status. Both subjects, who are brothers, were vetted by and determined to have failed to maintain the terms of their status. The subjects were apprehended at their residence in Saginaw, Michigan.

Subject 1:

Entry Date/Location: 8/23/2011 / Port Huron, MI
Visa Type-F1

Subject 2:

Entry Date/Location: 05/23/2011 / Seattle, WA
Visa Type-F1

The subjects were transported to the Border Patrol Station for processing.

The was contacted at 12:30 p.m. reported no derogatory information for either subject.

Each of the subjects were issued a WA/NTA, and lodged in the St. Clair County Jail.
On 10/31/15, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was apprehended in Ann Arbor, MI resulting from a joint investigation involving agents from State Department and Detroit Sector Border Patrol Agents. A federal arrest warrant was issued for 10/19/2015 out of the Eastern District of Michigan in violation of Title 8 U.S.C 1326(a) Reentry of Removed Aliens. Subject will be prosecuted for the previously mentioned charge due to the subject’s extensive criminal history, to include convictions of Felony Sexual Assault against a child CSC-2nd (person <13 YOA) in 1996 (22nd Circuit Court Ann Arbor MI), Aggravated Assault-Family Strong-arm in 2000, Fraud-False Statements in 2013 and for felony failure to comply with Sex Offender Registration Act-Felony False Certification/Use of Personal Information in 2013.

Subject has been previously removed from the United States on 6/21/2013 and has had that order of removal reinstated on 5/10/2014.

Subject was transported to the Border Patrol Station and is being processed for prosecution and removal.

Subject Information:
Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Aff: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
COB: Honduras
FBI#: (b)(7)(E)
Sex Offender ID:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
U.S. Border Patro(b)(7)(E) Michigan

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E) Michigan 48040

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Office

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Fax
BOLO: SUSPECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
<th>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</th>
<th>Year / Make:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOB:</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>Model / Color:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALIAS:</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>State / Tag #:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIN #:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUSPECT PICTURE**

**SUSPECT MOST CURRENT PICTURE**

**DESCRIPTION:**

(b)(7)(E) Station Border Patrol is in need of priority assistance in the Chicago area. An illegal alien with active warrant of arrest possibly still in the Chicago area enroute to Beverly Hills, California. Current restraining/protective order for stalking in California. If encountered Detain or call asap. POC: Detroit Border Patrol Sector Headquarters (b)(7)(E)

**CONTACT:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
<th>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</th>
<th>PHONE:</th>
<th>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TITLE:</td>
<td>Border Patrol Agent</td>
<td>E-MAIL:</td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORG:</td>
<td>DTM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. BORDER PATROL
DETROIT SECTOR (b)(7)(E) UNIT (b)(7)(E)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
Our department received information via email from [redacted] that [redacted] might be staying at his grandmother's house [redacted] is the grandmother to 2 of [redacted] children and she currently has custody of those two children. [redacted] stated her daughter [redacted] (see photo below) has visited Chicago area in January 2016. [redacted] stated her grandchildren recently told her that they visited in a hotel with a swimming pool. [redacted] stated her daughter has not returned home (Flushing MI) in the past 8 days and she believes they are together and possibly in the Northville Area. We have no information that definitively shows he is in Northville area, but it is possible due to other family in area.

Our department holds a valid felony warrant for [redacted] also has outstanding warrants in Oakland County (probation violation) and CRAWFORD County (civil bench). Our department has issued informational BOL for [redacted] in the past. [redacted] has made statements to his step father in the past that he will not be taken alive by law enforcement.

I have attached photos of [redacted] as well as previous BOL for [redacted] I have placed a copy of this BOL along with a printed copies of his warrants in the S/A book.
TAB Category: 

Requested NAls for Collection:

1. NAI# Zone Coordinates: Lat: (b)(7)(E) Long: (b)(7)(E)
   Coordinates are approximate

Intel Synopsis:

(b)(7)(E) will take place September 18-20, 2014.

This surge operation is designed to exercise multi-agency and bi-national law enforcement partnerships and gain greater understanding of cross-border smuggling vectors on (b)(7)(E) onboard law enforcement aircraft, ships and small boats will be used to identify vessels engaged in cross-border transits, which will be stopped and queried or boarded using pre-defined collection requirements. With increased LEO presence near the (b)(7)(E) and in (b)(7)(E) illicit traffic may move north or south around the operational area. (b)(7)(E) around that landmark and into (b)(7)(E) would increase enforcement efforts during the duration of the operation.

Point of Contact: DPAIC (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Phone: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Email: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Requested Elements of Collection:

Photo reconnaissance: All POIs

Special Instructions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM FOR: All Border Patrol Agents
Detroit Sector

FROM: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Chief Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector

SUBJECT: Task Force Agent Solicitation

Detroit Sector is soliciting volunteers to serve as a Task Force Agent (TFA) on designated State, Local, and Federal agency-hosted task forces. These positions are open to all Border Patrol Agents and Supervisory Border Patrol Agents throughout Detroit Sector and are non-funded, non-per diem details. Please note that no travel, lodging, or FLSA Travel will be provided without prior authorization.

Agents selected to serve as TFAs on these task forces will be required to fulfill specific reporting requirements to the and the various Border Patrol Stations for the duration of the detail. TFAs will be expected to complete and excel in a variety of tasks, including but not limited to, the following: intelligence collection and analysis, target work-ups, case documentation, operational planning, recruiting/developing sources of information, proffer meetings, extensive/detailed writing, preparation of criminal complaints and affidavits, defendant and material witness interviews, Grand Jury testimony, warrant preparation and service, criminal prosecutions, case and event de-confliction, court testimony, and asset forfeiture. TFAs may also be required to obtain and maintain a Secret clearance or higher as a requisite of the host Agency and will be expected to work long hours and irregular shifts as determined by operational tempo and caseload.

This solicitation includes, but is not limited to, the following groups:

- (b)(7)(E) current open TFA positions on the
- (b)(7)(E)
- (b)(7)(E) current open TFA positions located in Detroit
These details will vary in length from one (1) to two (2) years dependent upon the agreement with the host agency. Requests for extension will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Chief Patrol Agent, in consultation with the host Agency. Applicants to this solicitation will be evaluated and selected based on established criteria as listed in the National Border Patrol Council agreements with the Agency.

Agents wishing to apply for positions within this solicitation must submit a memorandum of interest listing their geographic availability, Agency entrance on-duty date, qualifications, experience, specialized skills, and be accompanied by a supervisory recommendation cover memo to Chief Patrol Agent [redacted] by close of business on April 24, 2014.
Agents involved in a shooting in the early morning hours this morning. They were assisting MSP in a search for a suicidal subject that had been missing for more than 24 hours.

Agents from the Soo located the tracks and tracked the subject to a remote cabin and were subsequently fired upon. They returned fire as they retreated to cover and maintained cover and visual while awaiting backup.

MSP, DNR, and other PA’s arrived to assist. MSP breached the door with a warrant a while ago and found the subject deceased. It is unknown whether or not the gunshot was self-inflicted or the gunshot death was a result of our return fire.

The Agents are uninjured.

Please direct inquiries to PAO (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) or (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); IA has activated (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and will most likely lead the investigation.
Ensure that Agents asking questions at muster are reminded of the necessity to always remain vigilant and to be prepared with mindset, training, body armor, and a survivor's attitude and that they are aware of the need to refer public or media questions appropriately.

“On Thursday, at approximately 2:30 a.m. EST, two U.S. Border Patrol agents assigned to the (b)(7)(E) station responded to a request for assistance by the Michigan State Police (MSP) and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to help locate a missing person near (b)(7)(E) Michigan. During the search the Border Patrol agents discovered footprints and tracked them to a remote cabin. The agents were fired upon by an unknown individual. Border Patrol Agents returned fire while moving to cover. It is unknown if the subject was hit. The situation is currently ongoing with Michigan State Police as the lead on the scene.”

Of course, we know now that the subject is deceased, just not exactly at the hand of whom. Otherwise, all media referrals to (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(E) 

Thank you,

Division Chief, Operational Programs
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Good morning Chief,

Last week we met with ASAC to discuss the creation of a station in the state of Ohio. The main group/team will be stationed in Cleveland and located in Youngstown, OH.

- It was requested that the be led by a USBP Supervisor and other components have agency leads
- The task force will fall under the current guidelines (attached), which highlights executive steering committee, meeting requirements and co-location etc.
- will dedicate one SA to work out of but will support with additional resources out of Cleveland as needed
- The task force will be structured as a two tiered approach: Interdiction and investigative components
- OAM has offered space for the Team to operate out of
- Lorrain County and the is on board
- The State Attorney General support and influence on local Sheriffs
- Resource sharing
- OAM support and OFO is interested

Thoughts/Concerns:
- We may want to consider this as a task force under With other CBP components involved, calling it a DTM task force may cause some heartache.
- should have representation on the TF, but the leadership should be at minimum an
- [redacted] is currently under staffed in SBPAs. The WC will be involved at the beginning, but we should strongly consider filling the 7th SBPA position on the TO.

- Partial Agent staffing on the [redacted] will need to come from existing task forces.

Path Forward:

- Determine USBP resources
- Communication with existing partners, may be some sensitivities
- Begin initial planning efforts
- Identify other partners
- Begin discussion on target/investigative focus

Thanks,

[redacted]

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Patrol Agent in Charge

(b)(7)(E) Station

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Desk

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cell
Station Border Patrol is in need of priority assistance in the Chicago area. An illegal alien with active warrant of arrest possibly still in the Chicago area enroute to Beverly Hills, California. Current restraining/protection order for stalking in California. If encountered Detain or call asap. POC: Detroit Border Patrol Sector Headquarters

See attached BOLO and picture

- [b7](E) has Asperger's Syndrome and is extremely intelligent and would be able to change his accent. Source stated that the subject is Schizophrenic and is violent and extremely dangerous.

- Information received from Toronto Police Department provides multiple criminal offenses, including Uttering Threats x2, Criminal Harassment x2, Extortion, Forgery, Attempt to use a Forged Document x4, Fabricating Evidence, Attempt to Obstruct Justice and Fail to Comply with Recognizance.

- [b6], [b7] has warrants from California related to stalking

- [b6], [b7] spent that last 6 months in jail in Toronto Canada.

- [b6], [b7] was released from jail in Toronto last Tuesday.

- [b6], [b7] was to report to mental health once a week, live in a shelter and have no contact with any of the women he has threatened and or harassed in the past.
BOLO: SUSPECT

NAME: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

YEAR / MAKE: N/A

MODEL / COLOR: N/A

STATE / TAG #: N/A

VIN #: N/A

ALIAS: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SUSPECT PICTURE

**SUSPECT MOST CURRENT PICTURE**

DESCRIPTION:
(b)(7)(E) Station Border Patrol is in need of priority assistance in the Chicago area. An illegal alien with active warrant of arrest possibly still in the Chicago area enroute to Beverly Hills, California. Current restraining/protective order for stalking in California. If encountered Detain or call asap. POC: Detroit Border Patrol Sector Headquarters (b)(7)(E)

CONTACT:

NAME: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

TITLE: Border Patrol Agent

ORG: DTM

PHONE: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

E-MAIL: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Alert #1 (b)(7)(E)

U.S. BORDER PATROL
DETROIT SECTOR

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
FEB 14 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Chief Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector

FROM: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector

SUBJECT: COMET Task Force Solicitation Supervisory Endorsement

Border Patrol Agent is one of the best agents in the Station, far exceeding his peers. He has been one of the most motivated agents I have observed in my 20 years with the Border Patrol. He has excelled in all aspects of the job to include field operations, service on the Station, and as a K9 handler. He is the catalyst of many of the Station operations, utilizing his contacts within the law enforcement community and developing within the community.

He will be a valuable addition to the Task Force and will do an excellent job representing the Border Patrol and completing our mission.
MEMORANDUM FOR:  

Chief Patrol Agent  
Detroit Sector  

FROM:  

Border Patrol Agent  
(b)(7)(E) Station  

SUBJECT:  

COMET Task Force Solicitation  

I respectfully request to be considered for the Task Force Agent position with the Michigan State Police led, County of Macomb Enforcement Team (C.O.M.E.T.).  

I entered on duty with the United States Border Patrol on (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). Over the last six and a half years, I have demonstrated the ability to be a self-motivated agent whom achieves results.  

As a Border Patrol agent, I gained a variety of experiences within the agency, as well as from working with other local, state, federal and international law enforcement agencies. While patrolling I made numerous arrest of drug users buying from the local criminal drug organizations. Through effective interviewing, I was able to identify a drug organization hierarchy and document the organizations tactics, techniques and procedures. I created a target folder on this organization and briefed the (b)(7)(E) on my findings. This collaboration led to numerous arrests and seizures.  

While assigned to the (b)(7)(E) Station (b)(7)(E), I developed numerous targets, documented target folders, and conducted thorough investigations accompanied by hours of (b)(7)(E) on cross-border smuggling, criminal aliens, and illegal trafficking organizations. For each target, I was responsible for creating operational and tactical plans for investigation and arrest. During this time, I recruited and developed several (b)(7)(E) on a variety subjects ranging from cross-border smuggling to criminal aliens. My ability to process and analyze this (b)(7)(E) allowed me to collaborate with other local, state, and federal agencies resulting in successful law enforcement action. With the help of the (b)(7)(E) is now registered as a (b)(7)(E) for the Border Patrol and has since been awarded over a thousand dollars in compensation.  

As a Border Patrol agent, I wrote criminal complaints, affidavits, and search warrants, conducted proffers with the Assistant United States Attorney, testified in court, and interviewed defendants and material witnesses. I have developed a proficiency in the query and interpretation of information gleaned from critical criminal and immigration systems to include: (b)(7)(E).
As a canine handler, I routinely work long and irregular hours stemming from calls for assistance for other agencies both on and off duty. I possess a unique skill set developed from education, training and work experiences, which align well with the characteristics sought after in a task force agent. I understand the importance of information dissemination and the ability to build and strengthen relationships with other agencies. If selected, I know my professionalism and work ethic will reflect the United States Border Patrol in a positive manner.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2016 8:55 AM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: DTM Staff Tasks  
Subject: C1 Speech for Major County Sheriff's Meeting

Please see below for a potential submission from PAIC of the Station relative to your RFI from yesterday.

- (b)(7)(E)

ô Working with the Marion County Ohio Sheriff’s Office, CBP-U.S. Border Patrol Agents from jointly participated in an enforcement action to dismantle a human trafficking organization

ô On December 17th 2014 Agents and their partners served search warrants on several dilapidated trailer homes

§ The agents discovered, 43 subjects including 8 minors, in horrendous conditions

§ Afterwards agents spent long hours over several days with interviews, processing, and transporting the victims

ô This operation led to felony charges against three individuals for: 18 USC 1594, Forced Labor Conspiracy, 1589, Forced Labor, 1512, Witness Tampering, 1001, False Statements, and 8 USC 1324 Alien Smuggling

§ Below are links on recent articles (5 days ago) from the Washington Post and Cleveland Plain Dealer receiving national attention on this subject

Northern Border Initiative:

- In 2009, the state of Ohio stood up the (b)(7)(E) (TF) with local Law Enforcement Agencies

- Sponsored with Operation Stonegarden funds, this TF, in conjunction with local CBP guidance, coordinates on the water patrols along the international border within Lake Erie

- Since its founding, it has provided approximately (b)(7)(E)

§ These hours allows CBP to assess the vulnerability of illegal cross border entries in (b)(7)(E)

Thank you,

Division Chief (Acting)

Detroit Sector Border Patrol
Good Evening Chief,

I am preparing for the questions that may arise tomorrow morning regarding this subject.

First of all, will an IP be generated?

Please provide information on the following:

1. After the subject was fingerprinted, what did the records checks indicate? All the I-44 says is that fingerprints returned with possible matches out of Missouri and California. Under what name were those records? Was the subject questioned as to those records? What was his response?

2. When Missouri State Highway Patrol was contacted and provided documentation verifying that this subject was a United States Citizen, what documentation did they provide to prove citizenship?

3. Just FYI... In reviewing the I-44 it shows the Place of Birth as Oakland, California, USA; however, the narrative says Missouri State Highway Patrol claimed the subject had been born in Clinton, Missouri.

4. How long was the subject in custody?

5. Why was the subject taken to the _______ (b)(7)(E) _______? Please let me know, since I am not yet familiar with your sector's procedures... is that normal procedure? If he was believed to be a USC, why wasn't he just released?

Chief, I appreciate your time. I know how tedious these things can be. Thank you for your assistance.

Assistant Chief
Border Patrol Headquarters

Sent from my BB

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2015 10:45 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: BOLO (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 05:35 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: BOLO (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Please see attached and below re: subject: (b)(6) l, (b)(7)(C)

Record checks and DL indicated he was out of Missouri. We became aware of a potential issue only after he called a woman in CA. That woman then called (b)(7)(E).

We are working to have him located. Last know report had him in Chicago.

More to come as it develops.

VR, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 3:18:06 PM
To: OICSPOTREPORT
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Station Border Patrol is in need of priority assistance in the Chicago area. An illegal alien with active warrant of arrest possibly still in the Chicago area enroute to Beverly Hills, California. Current restraining/protective order for stalking in California. If encountered Detain or call asap. POC: Detroit Border Patrol Sector Headquarters (b)(7)(E)

See attached BOLO and picture

- (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has Asperger’s Syndrome and is extremely intelligent and would be able to change his accent. Source stated that the subject is Schizophrenic and is violent and extremely dangerous.

- Information received from Toronto Police Department provides multiple criminal offenses, including Uttering Threats x2, Criminal Harassment x2, Extortion, Forgery, Attempt to use a Forged Document x4, Fabricating Evidence, Attempt to Obstruct Justice and Fail to Comply with Recognizance.

- (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has warrants from California related to stalking

- (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) spent that last 6 months in jail in Toronto Canada.
Was released from jail in Toronto last Tuesday.

Was to report to mental health once a week, live in a shelter and have no contact with any of the women he has threatened and or harassed in the past.
Agents were involved in a shooting in the early morning hours this morning. They were assisting MSP in a search for a suicidal subject that had been missing for more than 24 hours.

Agents from the Soo located the tracks and tracked the subject to a remote cabin and were subsequently fired upon. They returned fire as they retreated to cover and maintained cover and visual while awaiting backup.

MSP, DNR, and other PA’s arrived to assist. MSP breached the door with a warrant a while ago and found the subject deceased. It is unknown whether or not the gunshot was self-inflicted or the gunshot death was a result of our return fire.

The Agents are uninjured.

Please direct inquiries to PAO or IA has activated and will most likely lead the investigation.
Ensure that Agents asking questions at muster are reminded of the necessity to always remain vigilant and to be prepared with mindset, training, body a
I will reach out to Monday morning.

I don't think we want to get into the traffic stop business.

What about having MSP riding with us and vice versa? Did we explore that?

The operation plan states that a joint force comprised of HSI, MSP, and USBP agents will perform interdiction patrols along The joint force does not plan to conduct any fixed checkpoint operations. Traffic stops will be performed only upon reasonable suspicion. MSP officers would be utilized as much as possible to conduct stops based violations of state traffic laws (see question below). USBP agents would be on scene during the stop but would not extend the stop beyond its usual duration. If USBP agents develop additional reasonable suspicion of violations of federal law, the stop would be extended until such suspicion has been extinguished.
In addition, we are seeking OCC guidance on USBP authority under Michigan Code of Criminal Procedure Section 764.15d. This statute provides that a federal law enforcement officer may enforce state law if the officer is participating in a joint investigation conducted by a federal agency and a state or local law enforcement agency. Due to the inclusion of MSP in this operation, it is our understanding the elements of 764.15d would be satisfied which would allow BP Agents to conduct traffic stops under state law, if necessary.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 2:27 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Legal review for planned operation by Detroit Sector.

Ok. Let me see the answers.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 2:09 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Legal review for planned operation by Detroit Sector.

FYSA,

Questions from OCC.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 12:09 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Legal review for planned operation by Detroit Sector.
This document, and any attachment(s), may contain information which is law enforcement sensitive, attorney-client privileged, attorney work-product, or U.S. Government information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please consult with the CBP Office of Chief Counsel before disclosing any information contained in this message or any attachment(s).

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 11:14 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Legal review for planned operation by Detroit Sector.

Respectfully,

[Redacted]
The (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is assigned to the (b)(7)(E) that works with, specializes in mostly Ukrainian's and Russian's).

assisted with a subject that was illegally present in the US illegally, self-deported himself, and returned to the US after engaging in marriage fraud for his fake wife to obtain a diversity visa (which the derivative covers spouses and immediate family members). (b)(7)(E) assisted with a search warrant that was conducted at his residence and several follow up interviews as an immigration SME. Following the interviews and search, assisted in the prosecution that lead up to IJ Browns decision. The quote (in the initial email I sent was ICE Chief Counsels office quoting the IJ stating: "The Court found Special Agent (b)(7)(E) to be a highly credible witness."

This is another display by TFA (although busy working a major (b)(7)(E) case) he also assists other agents on the (b)(7)(E) in cases that relate to the border and illicit entries to the United States. is an agent that always gives 100 percent and has done an excellent job during his time spent on his current assignment.

What is the gist of this case?

Gents
Just an FYSA on good work by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), who is assigned to the (b)(7)(E) task force in Cleveland.
How did the testimony go today? Did they pursue the line you were expecting?

How was your cross-exam?
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 4:08 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry on arrests that just took place

Chief,

Just spoke with [redacted].
- BPAs working the border see 3 vehicles operating in tandem leave the [redacted] area
- One of the vehicles had a bad plate
- BPAs made the t-stop on [redacted] in front of one of the local party stores
- News Radio WWJ 950’s [redacted] happened to be driving by and witnessed the t-stop
- Mrs. [redacted] engaged BPA [redacted] asking for an interview; BPA [redacted] referred her to Mr. [redacted]
- Mr. [redacted] engaged Mrs. [redacted] she is ignorant about the USBP, who we are, what we do
- Mr. [redacted] took the opportunity to offer an interview and ride-along at a later date to help further educate Mrs.

5 arrested:
- 3 Mexican
- 2 Guatemalan
- 4 will be released due to not meeting the priorities
- 1 will be charged with 8 USC 1326
- all worked at a [redacted] and were in the wrong place/wrong time acting suspiciously (no local cross-border nexus)

Recommendation:
- SBPA [redacted] give interview and ride-along, coordinate with Mr. [redacted]
- No need to write [redacted] at this time
- No need to contact ACPA [redacted] at this time

Sir

Just spoke with the reporter and had no issues. She seems to be very pro law enforcement and asked a lot of basic questions about our job and how we do it. From the sounds of it she has no idea what the Border Patrol does locally. She did state that she has photos of our Agents as they were arresting the individuals today and asked if the public should be alarmed due to this arrest and I informed her that there is no reason for concern. She is very interested in
doing an interview with us as well as a ride along in a couple weeks if possible. Would sector approve an interview and ride along with CBS Radio Detroit? She asked to do the interview with someone within leadership and then out on a patrol for a shift with one of our field agents.

If approved I would like to aim to do this the week of Dec 7th if possible.

Thanks

CBP Public Affairs Officer
Selfridge ANGB, MI

Urgent after-hours media inquiries: cbpmediarelations@dhs.gov
Follow us on Twitter...@CBPGreatPlains
Follow us on Twitter...@CBPGreatLakes

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 3:16 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry on arrests that just took place

Please ensure we get all the details. Since this has garnered media interest, we may need to consider an (b)(7)(E) under that category (depending on what they come back with.)

Once we have good information, please let (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) know.

For: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 3:06 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Media inquiry on arrests that just took place

Gentlemen

Just wanted to give you a heads up that I have been contacted by WWJ radio after they saw (b)(7)(E) arrest five (5) illegal aliens outside of a middle eastern store today in Detroit. All 5 were in the United States illegally and are currently being processed. I only plan to confirm to the reporter that we currently have 5 individuals (Guatemalans and Mexicans) in custody for being illegally present in the United States. Please let me know if you have any issues with this before I respond to reporter.

Thanks
Absent any disqualifying criminality discovered on the biometrics; you are clear to NTA/OR if applicable. If needed, we can use the In Federal Interest (IFI) detention under PEP.

Let me know about the biometrics and your final recommendation.

Sir,

Agents made contact with associates of a current station target. Probable cause was established for both subjects being present in the United States illegally. Both are being transported to the station for further processing. It appears both subjects will not meet any of the DHS enforcement priorities. It does not appear they will qualify for deferred action under DACA. Both subjects entered after 16 years of age. Biometrics checks are still pending.

I need Sector authorization to NTA/OR these subjects.

DOB: [redacted]  
DOB: [redacted]  
DOB: [redacted]  
DOB: [redacted]  

COC: Guatemala
Respectfully,

Deputy Patrol Agent in Charge (Acting)

U.S. Border Patrol

[Redacted]

blackberry

[Redacted]
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 2:03 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: Possible smuggling

Yeah, my question to "How did the marina vulnerability check miss this one?"

Seems like the (b)(7)(E) just threw an electronic case on it for claim jumping later once this was reported- not something we care about as long as they participate in the before and after and make a contribution. There was no prior case that hadn’t been disclosed.

Thank you,

Deputy Chief Patrol Agent (Acting)  
Detroit Sector Border Patrol  

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 1:29 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: Possible smuggling

Weren’t they working on something last year where they (b)(7)(E) etc. and all the folks on the water? How did this one slip through?

Need to find out if a BPA was aware before I make any calls.
Detroit Sector

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 11:08 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Possible smuggling

Already asked a bunch of questions on it since it came up on the call yesterday.

Are already asking the questions. Biggest problem was that we were not the first call. says we will be now since they have reached out to the water treatment plant security.

The tape definitely looks like a family of six were smuggled. Seems like had some awareness ahead of time. If that is the case, then we need to make a call to and the Agent working it needs to come brief the Chief as per the MOU so we can decide how we are going to allow him to assist us in the case. There is, however, the possibility that a BPA was already aware, but I have no idea if that is the case.

Thank you,

Deputy Chief Patrol Agent (Acting)

Detroit Sector Border Patrol
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2016 10:15 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Possible smuggling

Just heard from MSP that they got a tip last week of a family landing on our side near the intake. They searched and found nothing but still, they got the tip.

Did we get anything on this? Please have (b)(7)(E) get with Intel to see what we know or not.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(A) DCPA - Detroit Sector
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

3
All –

This email serves as a reminder about the TFA meeting scheduled for Thursday, April 2nd @ 9am in the conference room. It also serves a vehicle to deliver the news that PLAIN CLOTHS IS AUTHORIZED. RDU is not required for the meeting.

Topics will include:

* CPA brief – where we were/where we are/future of TF assignments (Q & A)
* and the essential elements of information (E.E.I.)
* Targeting methodologies
* Sector priority targets
* Task force reports
* Open discussion

This meeting is mandatory for all assigned Task Force Agents with the exception of those with pre-approved master leave.

Please re-distro as necessary.

Thanks – see you Thursday.

(A) Executive Officer
Detroit Sector Headquarters
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2015 2:05 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Quarterly TFA meeting
When: Thursday, April 02, 2015 9:00 AM-1:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: conference room

All –

The next TFA meeting is to be held at DTM as indicated. Topics will include but not be limited to: CPA brief, IST brief, TF reports, targeting, and any issues you’d like to bring forward.

Uniform of the day will be RDU with applicable grooming standards.

This meeting is mandatory for all TFAs with the exception of those exercising pre-approved master leave.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Thank you Sir. I'll let ERO know.

Concur.

Good Morning Chief:

requests that the following case be deemed as Important Federal Interest (IFI):

a citizen and national of Egypt, was encountered via a request for assistance in identifying an unverifiable Subject by Dearborn Heights Police Department. was evasive in his responses during initial questioning and provided false statements when questioned about his last entry into the United States. has been charged and convicted of Domestic Violence, a misdemeanor, which involved physical abuse / assault.

Subject was a prior F-1 Student Visa holder, but had departed on his own volition in 2005. Please see the attached police report from 2008. Subject processed with NTA, PWA.

Thank you for your consideration, Chief. Respectfully,
Police report is attached. Appears from the report that there was an indication of actual physical violence involved. I will forward the report to [REDACTED] and let him know we are seeking the Chief's concurrence on an IFI.

Thanks,

Patrol Agent in Charge (Acting)
U.S. Border Patrol, [REDACTED] Station
Ofc: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]

Thanks [REDACTED] I'll get the amendments in motion. Someone from [REDACTED] Station staff will reach out to SDDO [REDACTED] soon.
Take care,

(Acting) Division Chief
Operations Division
U.S. Border Patrol
Detroit Sector Headquarters
Office
Cell

Dispatch 24/7
Are you able to assist with this? Below is the event info and the info farther down is a summary after ERO discussion with OCC. SDDO (b)(6), (b)(7)(c) has the work folder.

Event / Incident Information
- Event Number: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
- Event Occurred On: 12/07/2015
- Event Type: Administrative Non-Criminal Individual
- Operation: N/A
- Site: N/A
- Landmark: N/A
- Primary Agent: N/A
- Assigned On: 12/07/2015
- Event Supervisor: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
- Assigned On: 12/07/2015

Subject Information
- A-Number: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
- Control Name: N/A
- First Name: N/A
- Middle Name: N/A
- Maiden: N/A
- Nickname: N/A
- Living?: N/A
- Sex: M
- Transgender: N/A
- Marital Status: Single
- SSN: N/A
- Juvenile Verified: N/A
- Occupation: LABORER
• Priority: N/A
• Criminal Type: N/A
• Agg Felon: No Aggravated Felony Convictions
• Primary Citizenship: EGYPT
• Hair: BLK
• Eyes: BRO
• Complexion: MED
• Race: W
• Origin: N/A

Date of Birth: [b](6),(b)(7)(C)

• Age: 40
• Age at Encounter: 40
• Height: 64
• Weight: 170
• Role: P
• Role Comment: N/A
• Processing Disposition: Warrant of Arrest/Notice to Appear
• INS Status: Inadmissible Alien
• POE: UNKNOWN
• Entry Date: N/A
• Entry Class: PWA Canada
• Apprehension Date: 2015-12-07 02:00:00.0
• Site: [b](7)(E)

Landmark: (b)(7)(E)

• Arrest At/Near: DEARBORN HEIGHTS, MI
• Juvenile Status: N/A
• Accompanying Family Member Relation: N/A
• Accompanying Family Member Subject ID: N/A
• Consequence Delivery System Selection: FIRA

I-213 Narrative

Section 237(a)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (Act), as amended, in that at the time of entry or of adjustment of status, you were within one or more of the classes of aliens inadmissible by the law existing at such time, to wit: alien immigrants who are not in possession of a valid unexpired immigrant visa, reentry permit, border crossing identification card, or other valid entry document required by the Act, or who are not in possession of a valid unexpired passport, or other suitable travel document, or identity and nationality document if such document is required by regulations issued by the
To: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 1:11 PM

Subject: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

Sir,

ICE OCC will not file the NTA issued to Egyptian national: [b](6), [b](7)(C) for the following reasons:

- [b](5), [b](6), [b](7)(C), [b](7)(E)

Thank you,
[b](6), [b](7)(C)

Assistant Field Office Director
Removal Management
Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO)
Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
333 Ml Elliott, Detroit, MI 48227 [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Phone [b](6), [b](7)(C) Fax [b](6), [b](7)(C)

Disclaimer: This email and its content are confidential and intended solely for the use of the addressee. Please notify the sender if you have received this email in error or simply delete it.
The W file was dropped off this morning at our office. The alien is currently detained at the Calhoun County Jail.

Thank you.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 5:24 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sentencing of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Chief,

We ran some checks and as far as we can tell, he did not have a CCW nor did he possess a valid license. As far as the CCW piece, the two examples of Non-Immigrant possessing a CCW were in status when they applied. In order to get a CCW, they do need a valid drivers license. The issue remains that there is not a mechanism that links county issued CCWs to Non Immigrants who fall out of status. In order for that to happen, we need Ohio Legislative action and I am not confident that we are going to get there. I’m still waiting for the AG representative to get back with us from our conference call on Friday.

We were able to pull some limited information on the subject from (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) DOB (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) A (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

On 7/27/2015 subject (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was arrested by law enforcement authorities in Lake County (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) as a suspect in a rape, murder and a non-fatal shooting (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). He is a native and citizen of Mexico who entered the country illegally approximately five years ago (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was previously denied an I-130. Detainer issued with the concurrence of DET (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(E)

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Patrol Agent in Charge
(b)(7)(E) Station
Desk (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cell (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sentencing of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

10-4. I can’t imagine they’d want that info out there if it were the case.

I’m not proposing to release it but- if he had one- it would be good to have as info to provide those making the decisions on the CCW issue down there.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 2:39 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sentencing of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Chief,

I’m not sure if the Ohio systems will still reflect. However, we will check and advise.

Thanks,

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 2:14 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sentencing of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Do we know if this guy had a CCW permit?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 2:14 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sentencing of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
And I pretty sure we determined it was (b)(7)(E) agents.

Duct tape is like The Force. It has a light side and a dark side, and it holds the universe together.

Gentlemen

Do we have any info on the below query? Story claims BP declined to pick subject up prior to him committing murder. Looks like it was east of Cleveland.

Thanks
Hello,

I was wondering if ICE would like to release a statement on the sentencing of Juan Emmanuel Razo, an illegal immigrant who killed a woman in Lake County, Ohio in July 2015.


Razo was taken into custody by sheriff’s on 3 weeks prior to the murder, however when sheriffs contacted U.S. CBP, they declined to take him into custody.

We would like statement on this sentencing. Also, has anything changed in the way we handle illegal immigrants who are taken into custody by local authorities to prevent something like this from happening again?

Thanks,

BRANDON SIMMONS
Multimedia Journalist

3 wkyc
A TEGNA Company

| 1333 Lakeside Ave, Cleveland, OH, 44114 |
| P. 216.344.3433 | C. 216.346.0216 |
| BrandonSimmons@wkyc.com |
| Twitter: @216Brandon |
Sir,

Detroit Sector was tested at a transportation hub in and Detroit regarding aliens within their AOR’s.

The results yielded were variable in that each station was commended for their excellent tactics in approaching the transportation check, however, we were briefed that Station failed to inspect one of the actors for their immigration status and made contact appropriately but failed to identify the documents presented as fraudulent.

In the case of Station, the group declared themselves out of role prior to the end of the inspection and for the Agents relied too heavily on the behavior of the persons being inspected and overlooked/failed to notice the fact that the documents presented were false or presented by an imposter.

As a result of this finding, all stations were reminded to reinforce to all 1896’s that documents presented needed to be as thoroughly investigated as possible. We continue to conduct fraudulent document training through have trained 127 agents to date in trans check and legal updates, and continue to deploy biometric-reading capable resources as often as possible. We intend on training more agents in the use of the and acquiring more assets as budget allows.

Thank you,
Please see below re:red team testing, results and improvements.

Note the quick turn on this.

Thanks,

---

Chiefs and Deputies,

This is a short turn around RFI regarding testing.

We have all of the IA and OI data going back to 2009 on tests etc...

I’m looking for what your Sector has done as process improvement or highlights up to and including your “best practices”.
For example – in XYZ Sector based on [redacted] we initiated additional PRD training, or we initiated requests for a 2nd ID when presented with an immigration document...

If you are doing your own testing or have partnered with others to test that would be a notable comment.

Just a few highlights in Word bullets. The only metric we really could use on Sector testing or training is either the number of internal test or the number of Agents trained. If you have others, we will certainly take them.

We have a deadline to the 4th floor so I need whatever you can give me between now and 2PM DC time.

Please and thank you in advance –

[redacted]

Acting Deputy Chief of Law Enforcement Operations

[redacted]

U.S. Border Patrol Headquarters

[redacted] Office

[redacted] Cellular
Please see attached.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Patrol Agent in Charge

Detroit (b)(7)(E)

O (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

M (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

You got this (b)(G), (b)(?)(c)

Respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Executive Officer

Operations Division

Detroit Sector Headquarters

26000 South Street, BLDG 1506
Short turn around on this for Chief conversation with this afternoon.

Suspense is noon today, my apologies for the timing.

Assistant Chief
U.S. Border Patrol Headquarters
East/Central Division
Chiefs,

Apologies for the short turnaround on this RFI.

We are requesting the current total number of Northern Border agents assigned to task forces along with the task force names, lead/sponsoring agencies and task force locations. Chief is speaking with Mr. this afternoon to go over topics and some specifics for a round table discussion later this month in Detroit where he will be on a panel moderated by Mr. This information will inform both meetings.

A response, using the attached spreadsheet, is requested by noon today, 08 Oct 2015.

Given the short turnaround, if the sectors have the total number of agents assigned to task forces on hand we will take those by the noon deadline. We can accept and add in the additional information later today if that helps them expedite this RFI.

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Assistant Chief

U.S. Border Patrol Headquarters

Intelligence Division

Washington, D.C.

UNCLASS - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
HSDN - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

JWICS - (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK FORCE NAME</th>
<th>LEAD/SPONSORING AGENCY NAME</th>
<th>TASK FORCE LOCATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF AGENTS ASSIGNED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Peninsula Enforcement Team East</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Of Macomb Enforcement Team</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>(b)(7)(E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:21 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: US BP Associate Chiefs; US BP HQ Adjutants; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DP Command Staff; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(OPR); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) Command
Subject: RE: Shots Fired - (b)(7)(E) Detroit Sector

1120 UPDATE:
MSP made entry and stated the subject is deceased. It is unknown if this is a result of a self-inflicted wound or a result of our agents returning fire.

The Medical Examiner is en route.

More to follow as it develops.

VR,

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 10:54 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: US BP Associate Chiefs; BP HQ Adjutants; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DP Command Staff; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
OPR; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) Command
Subject: RE: Shots Fired - (b)(7)(E) Detroit Sector

1045 update:
We have not yet received any information on MSP serving the search warrant.

Local media has inquired with CBP OPA rep (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) who has a statement pending approval:
"On Thursday, at approximately 2:30 a.m. EST, two U.S. Border Patrol agents assigned to the (b)(7)(E) station responded to a request for assistance by the Michigan State Police (MSP) and Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to help locate a missing person near (b)(7)(E) Michigan. During the search, agents involved discovered foot prints and tracked them to a remote cabin where they were fired upon by an unknown individual. Border Patrol agents
returned fire from their service-issued weapons while taking cover. It is unknown if the subject was hit. The situation is currently ongoing with Michigan State Police as the lead in the case.”

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 9:54 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: Division Chiefs; BP-HQ Adjutants; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); DTM Command Staff; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Shots Fired - Detroit Sector

UPDATE:

Timeline, Shots Fired Incident

- 0225 hrs. (A)DPAIC notified telephonically by A(WC) of shots fired on while responding to back up the agents, GOV involved in a vehicle – deer accident (no injuries)
- 0250 hrs. Commissioner’s Situation Room notified by (A)DPAIC
- +/- 0310 hrs. Division Chief notified by telephone ((A)CPA and (A)DCPA also notified.)
- 0351 hrs. Significant Incident Report completed/submitted; an email with narrative of incident sent to all recipients on SIR distribution list.
- 0400 - 0800 hrs. (A)WC periodically gives telephonic and radio updates. Updates are passed to DTM Command and SIT Room
- Approximately 0500 hrs. notification voice mail left with Joint Intake Center.
- 0915 called station.
- 1000 team en route to the scene. ETA 3 hours.

Scene has been turned over to MSP and all agents are 10-13 to

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 9:41 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: Division Chiefs; BP-HQ Adjutants; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); DTM Command Staff; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Shots Fired - Detroit Sector

Thank you
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 9:40 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: DTM Command Staff; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Shots Fired - (b)(7)(E) Detroit Sector

The agents involved are

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

The M4 SSN:

Fellows H&K P2000: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Franklin H&K P2000: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Deputy Patrol Agent in Charge (Acting)
U.S. Border Patrol
(b)(7)(E) MI
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ext 3003
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) blackberry
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 9:30 AM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: OBP-LEOD, Associate Chiefs; BP-HQ Adjutants; DTM Command Staff; UFCE Command  
Subject: RE: Shots Fired - Drummond Island - Detroit Sector  

Chiefs et al,
I have added the UFCE Command mailing list to this string. We will continue to update this string as it appears to have a more complete list of those needing information.

VR,

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 9:09 AM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: OBP-LEOD, Associate Chiefs; BP-HQ Adjutants; DTM Command Staff; UFCE Command  
Subject: RE: Shots Fired Detroit Sector  

CLARIFICATION:  

Both agents are being brought back to the station by (A)WC at this time.

IT HAS COME TO OUR ATTENTION THAT THE SUBJECT FIRED AT LEAST ONE ROUND, POSSIBLY MORE, AND THAT BOTH AGENTS FIRED MULTIPLE ROUNDS IN RETURN. As soon as I have the weapon information, I will forward it.
As of now, the two agents involved are returning to the station. ETA is 2 hours. Before leaving the island they will give a tactical brief to MSP. MSP is still waiting on a search warrant to arrive at which time they will make entry into the house.
From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 7:38 AM  
To: FISHER, MIKE J; VITIELLO, RONALD D; LUCK, SCOTT A; MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
Cc: [b](6), [b](7)(C)  
Subject: Shots Fired - (b)(7)(E) Detroit Sector

UPDATE 0730 9/22/2015

- MI State Police ERT is staging at this time.
- (b)(7)(E) is engaged.
- (b)(7)(E) has been notified.

Updates to follow

Previously reported

- At approximately 2:25 AM, agents from station were fired upon by a subject on MI.
- (b)(7)(E) is a large remote (b)(7)(E) of It is fully developed, however, (b)(7)(E)
- Two agents were assisting Michigan law enforcement searching for a subject who had been missing for 24 hours.
- The subject was reported to be suicidal with a history of mental instability.
- Agents found foot prints and tracked them to a remote cabin.
- At that time, the agents were fired upon.
- One agent returned a single shot while they moved to cover and began forming a secure perimeter.
- Currently there are two agents on scene with MI State Police (MSP) and Department of Natural Resources conservation officers.
- Three additional agents and the shift's Acting Watch Commander have landed on the island and are in route at this time.
- It is not known if the subject was hit or incapacitated but the MSP and others pulled back, established a perimeter, and are currently awaiting the MSP Emergency Response Team (ERT).
- A perimeter will be maintained until the MSP emergency team arrives.
- There is no injuries to law enforcement reported at this time.

- While responding, one agent was involved in a car - deer vehicle accident.
- The vehicle airbags deployed; however, there are no injuries reported.
Acting Deputy Chief of Law Enforcement Operations

U.S. Border Patrol-Headquarters
1300 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20229
From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2015 2:46 PM
To: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Subject: RE: [b](7)(E) PAIC RPT 03-27-15.doc
Attachments:

My apologies,

It should not happen again.

---

Weekly Report

Report Date: 03/27/15

COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES:

03/20/15 – 03/27/15

- [b](7)(E) agents assisted OFO with south bound train check and outbound inspections. No anomalies were reported. (9 hrs.)

- [b](7)(E) K-9 assists at the POE. (0 hrs.)

- Routine OA assists (Fed/State/Local – Back-up calls, Vehicle Accidents, Directing Traffic, etc.). (4 hrs.)

- 03/24/2015 [b](7)(E) POE, attended by OFO, OBP, USCG, and OAM. Total number in attendance – 6
- (b)(7)(E) ONT., attended by OFO, OBP, OAM, OPP, CBSA. Total number in attendance – 10

- Joint Patrol. (0 hrs.)

(b)(7)(E) APPREHENSIONS/ LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY

- 03/26/15 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) apprehended after casual/consensual encounter in the (b)(7)(E) parking lot. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was planning to work with a crew at Walmart. He was not allowed to work, as he was not in possession of proper DOCs. (b)(6) processed as a FULL/VR/PD

LIAISON/COMMUNITY RELATIONS ACTIVITY:

- Bay Mills Conservation Law Enforcement. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) met with Lt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to discuss (b)(7)(E) operations and enforcement efforts.

- Chippewa County Sheriff’s Office. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) met with Deput (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) where they discussed current enforcement activities occurring in the county.

- MSP (b)(7)(E) Post. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) met with Sgt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and Sgt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) They discussed operations and law enforcement activities that (b)(7)(E) is handling in Chippewa and Luce Counties.

- OFO (b)(7)(E) Port of Entry. BPA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) met with Officer (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to discuss upcoming community events and collaboration of efforts on NMU CJ student visit, Canadian Police week and Engineers Day.

- BCL Admin Tasks (1 Subpoena submitted, Sault PD call to discuss hockey game)
BPA \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) (PLLA/BCL Collateral Duty Agent):

- Investigation. BPA \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) and \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) met at the Port of Entry to coordinate details of bringing \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) into the POE next week as developed with LBPA \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) and \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\). Plan is in motion for 3/31 at the POE.

- \((b)(7)(E)\) conducted by BPA \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) on \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) residence. BPA \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) and \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) combed for clues.

FLOAT HOURS:

Zero Hours / Winter
Weekly Operations Update-DTM

Marine Activities

Underway Hours
Riverine Patrols: 0
27' and 25' Safeboats pulled for season-in seasonal storage
Underway Hours w/USCG
USCG Station Sault: 0 Hours

Underway Hours w/OA
Co-Crew w/Chippewa Co SO: 0 Hours
Co-Crew w/Mackinac Co SO: 0 Hours

Current / Future Operations

- (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

Training

- UOF Quarterly training scheduled for 03/16-03/27/15.
- Forklift Train the Trainer date TBD
- FPQ2

Significant Events

- NTR
## Week Ahead DTMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCL</th>
<th>OA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Forest Service, Ranger Meeting (rescheduled)  
- Bay Mills Tribe  
- MSP Detectives  
- (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Investigation  
- 03/24/2015 (b)(7)(E) POE, attended by OFO, OBP, USCG, and OAM. Total number in attendance – 6  
- (b)(7)(E) ONT., attended by OFO, OBP, OAM, OPP, CBSA. Total number in attendance – 10 | NTR |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MISC</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - NTR  
- (b)(7)(E) removed from ice due to unseasonably high temperatures. |
Let's make sure we inform GFN if not done already

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

FYI / FYSA- At first glance, it appears that the BPA TFA [case] may have intersected with the (b)(7)(E) case in Grand Forks.

Office
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2015 5:31 PM
To: DTM TFA Reporting
Subject: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) November 2015
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, November 5, 2015 10:04 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: TASK: Sen. Johnson OPSG Oversight
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SBPA!_1,11,1.1,11,11c1 :will respond

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)___

Division Chief, Operational Programs
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 9:35 AM
To: DTM Staff Tasks
Subject: FW: TASK: Sen. Johnson OPSG Oversight

Please see below tasker for OPSG.

[(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

[(A)DCPA- Detroit Sector
[(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]]
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 6:15:22 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: TASK: Sen. Johnson OPSG Oversight

All:

Please see the request from our Stonegarden Coordinators below.

Tasker Name

TASK: Sen. Johnson OPSG Oversight

Lead Office(s)

Required Coordination
USBP Sector OPSG Coordinators

Product

BP HQ has been tasked with providing documents and data to FEMA as part of a response to a letter from Chairman Johnson of the Senate Committee of Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs regarding OPSG oversight. OBP SPAD requires information from the U.S. Border Patrol Sector OPSG Coordinators who have Sector operational oversight of the program.

Specific Task: each USBP Sector must submit to the OPSG Team, (5) complete chronologically consecutive Daily Activity Reports (DARs) for each sub-recipient in your AOR; e.g. Monday, November 2; Tuesday, November 3; Wednesday, November 4; Thursday, November 5; and Friday, November 6. You may use any week from Fiscal Year 2014. Please submit these documents in PDF format.

Notes

Questions and submissions may be directed to ASC or (b)(6), (b)(7) at: (b)(7)(E)

Due to BP Tasking

NLT 12pm Tuesday November 10, 2015

Required Coordinators - Please provide input to the lead office as soon as possible.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2015 12:58 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: Temporary Detail Opportunity
Attachments: 15-29740 CoS DISTRO.pdf

All:

Please see the attached solicitation for a Temporary Detail to (b)(7)(E). Please distribute as necessary.
Two examples that we can speak of would be the south Texas Detroit connection between four drivers who live in Detroit and all have been arrested in south Texas over the past 18 months. [REDACTED] who is assigned to [REDACTED] has opened up a financial case on one of the companies and has been a liaison with [REDACTED] in Texas, who is prosecuting one of the drivers. He is also been flown to Texas on [REDACTED] dime to sit in on a proffer and is going to try and find out what the connect to the four individuals in Detroit.

Also, [REDACTED] just kicked a lead to COMET on a guy [REDACTED] selling Marijuana out of a dispensary that they are interested in. Both of these examples exemplify what TF agents should be doing on TF regarding pushing and pulling info to help OUR mission and the TF's.

We have to many people on TF's, and really need to adjust some TF and move other. More importantly we need to put the right people on the right TF's.

I agree.

We’re at the point where we need to see something coming back after nearly year on this project. Do we have even one great example (or even a “good” one) from TFA action?

It’s high time we take a true assessment of the TF's (and TFA’s) and decide what is worth being a part of and what isn’t. Some really are as simple as a relationship. Others aren’t dependent on that.

Please work on some TP’s to that effect. Need them as soon as I can have them.

Thanks,
I suggest the CPA challenge the TFAs to articulate the ROI they have provided the USBP and the Detroit Sector over the past 10 months (pick one or more and put them on the spot).

- How is reporting under the heading TTP - “the subject has been living in his mother’s basement” ROI for us?
- How many targets germane to USBP mission have been presented to the for further development by TFAs?
- How do we measure the productivity of our personnel in the midst of another agency, particularly a state agency? Do they want this person for another year? I don’t believe we currently have a model by which to thoroughly evaluate at the one (1) year mark.

I don’t think this should necessarily be a feel good meeting. TFAs need a reality check.

---

From: (b)(G), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 8:35 AM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: TF meeting agenda

IN a nutshell, yes.

What is the IP for COMET on there for?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2015 8:24 AM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: TF meeting agenda

Was this what you are looking for?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 4:28 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: TF meeting agenda

Can you add those in as bullets?
Remember when [redacted] opened the presentation to me— all that stuff he talked about? I need that in notes tomorrow morning to give to the CPA.

Thanks,

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 12:43 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: TF meeting agenda

I would like the CPA to touch on where we were last year with [redacted] fingerprint in the TFs (little) to where we are now and where we are going.

Patrol Agent in Charge
Detroit: [redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 12:23 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: TF meeting agenda

Thanks.

What specific points do you suggest are covered by the CPA?

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 10:36 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: TF meeting agenda

Please see attached TF agenda
we need a TF meeting agenda. Please have a draft for me tomorrow.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2015 2:07 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: (b)(7)(E) Case Seizure

That is how they got to this.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Patrol Agent in Charge
Detroit

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

I'm curious now as to what was investigating? Why were they looking at this...and at this guy? Did we miss something on this? Was there specific intel that we didn't have?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2015 2:03 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: (b)(7)(E) Case Seizure

I'm curious now as to what was investigating? Why were they looking at this...and at this guy? Did we miss something on this? Was there specific intel that we didn't have?

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2015 1:54 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Tornado Bus Case Seizure

Deputy (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Please see attached I-44: (b)(7)(E) should have an analysis soon.
Sir,

The I-44 is pretty straight forward. [REDACTED] investigation led them to the bus stop in front of [REDACTED] in SW Detroit. Consent search led to the seizure of the cash.

Please let me know if you have further questions.

V/R,

PAIC Station

I'm going to need specifics in the next hour. Please make every effort to get something from your TFA.
Gents,

Any further info on this? I thought we'd get something more last night.
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Chief,

Good stuff here but info developing. Our TFA with the task force seized $65K from a USC aboard line.

More to follow as it develops.

VR,
FYSA

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(Acting) Division Chief  
Operations Division  
U.S. Border Patrol  
Detroit Sector Headquarters

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Office  
Cell

(b)(7)(E)  
Dispatch 24/7

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2015 2:58 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: BAMMER, COREY H  
Subject: FW:

(b)(7)(E)  
TFA seized $65K from passenger on the (b)(7)(E) I-44 in production.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
PAIC  
(b)(7)(E)  
Station  
Cell  
Desk

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2015 2:57 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject:
I was able to find a redacted version of the Transportation Check memo on the foiarr website. The church/school memo is available, un-redacted, in several open source locations. Please find attached the redacted TC memo, and links to sourcing below.

http://foiarr.cbp.gov/streamingWord.asp?i=1456 (Trans Check Redacted)

http://foiarr.cbp.gov/streamingWord.asp?i=1251 (Churches & Schools)

Best Regards,

[Redacted]

OK. Check on the internet to see if those are available in redacted version. I believe they are.
Secure net

Respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Executive Officer
Operations Division
Detroit Sector Headquarters
26000 South Street, BLDG 1506
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI 48045

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 12:16 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: DTMOIP
Subject: RE: Transportation Check and Churches & Schools Memos

Thanks.

Where were these obtained?
Sir,

Please find attached copies of the requested memos regarding transportation check and enforcement at or near churches and schools. The pertinent information on the transportation check memo should be found in the fourth and fifth paragraphs.

Best Regards,

[Signature]

Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector Headquarters

Strategic Planning Team

“Planning Ops and Tracking MOPs”
TRANSPORTATION CHECK OPERATIONS

TRANSPORTATION CHECK (TC) is a critical component of our National Border Patrol Strategy. It directly supports our mission to prevent the entry of terrorists, terrorist weapons, smugglers, illegal aliens, and other contraband into the United States, as well as to deny further egress away from immediate border areas. It is an integral part of a layered enforcement approach that helps establish a substantial probability of apprehension, thus further deterring transnational criminal organizations who might otherwise attempt to circumvent line watch and traffic check operations.

TC is the inspection of common carriers, such as buses, passenger and freight trains, aircraft, and watercraft to apprehend smugglers and illegal aliens who utilize these modes of transportation to further their illegal entry into the interior of the United States. This key enforcement tool is part of a defense-in-depth posture that effectively employs a minimum number of agents to accomplish substantial operational results, including a percentage of the arrests of illegal aliens from special interest countries.

Statutory authority for conducting inspections of common carriers is contained in 8 U.S.C. Sections 1225 and 1357 of the Immigration and Nationality Act. In broad form, the authority granted by these sections permits boarding and searching conveyances, including common carriers, for aliens. This authority has evolved from a series of court decisions interpreting these sections. If persons believed to be aliens are encountered during such inspections, they may be questioned regarding their right to be or to remain in the United States. Agents should be familiar with relevant sections of law and court decisions, as well as with court decisions, as well as when performing TC operations.

Initial egress transportation hubs are not always located within the immediate border area or a Border Patrol Sector.
Therefore, steady state operational plans for TC in immediate border areas will have predicate conditions relating to threats of illegal cross-border smuggling and illegal entry, potential benefits and liabilities associated with planned TC efforts should also be considered.

Nothing in this guidance is intended to restrict the ability to conduct TC. Conducting these operations effectively requires proper planning and procedural compliance in order to ensure that we are operating within our legal authority and that the chain of command is well informed of any enforcement efforts that may have a national impact.

Chief Patrol Agents will ensure that all supervisors and agents under their command are aware of and in compliance with these requirements. Staff may direct questions to Associate Chief or Assistant Chief of the Strategic Planning, Policy and Analysis Division - Policy Branch.
Thanks

Chief Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector, USBP

In response to Chief's question, the team had reached out to CHIEF TFA and OFO Intel Officer. The string below captures most updates. The string below captures most updates. I will notify of any significant results from the survey currently in Western MI.

Thanks sir,
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 2:56 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

FYSA
will open a on them tomorrow for the

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 2:49 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

See updates with open-source info below.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 2:18 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

These are the four individuals I believe you guys are following based on the information given below (2 blue encountered on , 2 green encountered by Detroit OFO follow-out):

1) DOB: COB/COC: Iraq, U.S. LPR A:
• Residence: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Winooski, VT (positive for a SAR re: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) embezzlement)
• Has historical travel to Syria
• Open-source: employment with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Winooski, VT
• Open-source: Studied at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) of Vermont

2) Residence: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Winooski, VT (negative)
• Open-source: affiliated to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Vermont

3) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) aka: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
COB/COC: Iraq, U.S. LPR A (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) I-485 pending, (b)(7)(E) results based on VT driver’s license number stated below
• Residence: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Winooski, VT (negative)
• Open-source: affiliated to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Vermont

DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
COB/COC: Iraq, U.S. LPR A (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) consecutive to #1 A number above – brothers?
• Residence: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Burlington, VT (negative)
• Taxi driver for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Burlington, VT

(b)(7)(E)
• Previous follow-out by Detroit OFO to (b)(7)(E) in Dearborn, MI (8/21/15)
• Has historical travel and possible residency in Syria (2012).
• Open-source: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) South Burlington, VT
Please let me know if these are the same guys you are following.

Thanks,

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 11:42 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

And it gets even better.
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 11:39:12 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

Our guys from the port back in August and they had just passed through Canada from VT, after a failed visit in Montreal. They decided to come to Dearborn, MI to “eat and explore the night life”. They followed them to the same and watched them eat at the same restaurant they are at now and return to. They had to pull off at the direction of the supervisors.

CBP Officer
Tactical Analytical Unit
Detroit Field Operations
Blackberry
Secure E-mail (HSDN):

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 11:21 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

Out at a place right now. I will call you when we start rolling again.

Current location -

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 10:57:05 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

Might want to do an outbound if we go that way. Out on survey in Dearborn now. Might be heading your way shortly. They are loading up the car now.

Cell -

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 10:22:15 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
U.S. Border Patrol
Detroit: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
C: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2016 3:11:29 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: Encounter w/ 2 Iraqi Permanent Residents

On 12/4/2016 at approximately 1145hrs, 700 notified Border Patrol Station that Michigan DNR had stopped 2 suspicious individuals that they needed help identifying and I and BPA responded. At approximately 0010hrs we arrived on scene. 2 Michigan DNR units had a white Nissan Altima (NY License Plate) detained. There were 2 occupants in the vehicle. Agent (b)(6) and I were notified by Michigan DNR that this car had driven past the security person after open hours. When stopped, both passengers stated that their reason for the visit was to take pictures of Both occupants had current Vermont Driver's licenses and allowed the DNR agents to search their vehicle. Upon examination of the rental agreement for the car, Michigan DNR Agents noted that the car was rented on 12/03/2016 at approximately 1900hrs, and was to be returned on 12/5/2016 at approximately (1800hrs) per rental agreement number Thrifty Car Rental. Finding these dates strange to drive to Detroit from Burlington, VT to take pictures of the area, and to plan to drive back to Vermont the next day, Michigan DNR asked if my partner and I could talk to the occupants.

Upon encounter with the occupants, both individuals claimed that they were Permanent Residents. The passenger Vermont driver's license showed a current valid United States Permanent Resident Card. The Driver Vermont driver's license stated that his Permanent Resident Card had been approved and he was waiting for it in the mail. Both occupants stated that they had asked security guard if they could enter due to a short stay in Detroit, and a 20 hr drive from Vermont. Both the driver and passenger stated that they were staying at the for the night with 2 other individuals they had traveled with. Those individuals were permanent Resident residing in Vermont, and US citizen Vermont (no birthday given). Immigration and Warrant checks were run on the occupants of the vehicle. Criminal History Checks were also run on the occupants of the vehicle. was confirmed a Permanent Resident who had crossed numerous times. was confirmed a Permanent Resident who had only 1 other crossing. Both subjects were confirmed citizens of Iraq. No derogatory information was found on either occupant (Copies of the checks are located in my mailbox). All documents given (2 DL's and 1 Permanent Resident Card) appeared official and current and photos matched. All information regarding immigration status was confirmed for both subjects.

Agent also questioned the subjects and confirmed the story that the subjects had entered the park that night against the orders of the Security Guard. The subjects also confirmed that they had driven from Vermont to take pictures of The subjects stated to Agent that they were planning on visiting Chicago, IL the following day and extending their rental agreement to accommodate this.

Midnight Temporary Supervisory Border Patrol Agent advised Agent and me that if no derogatory information was found on the 2 subjects, if there was no reason to believe that the documents presented were false, if no further immigration action was going to be taken, and if there was no reason to believe that any criminal activity was going on that the subjects should be released without any further investigation or detainment either on scene or at the station.
Finding no reason to continue detaining the subjects, they were released.

At Station I informed my shift supervisor (Temporary Supervisory Border Patrol Agent) of the stop. It was agreed that an email would first be sent out and a possible would be created later since both subjects were citizens of the of IRAQ and given the strange travel plans.

Car Information:

1998 Wht Nissan Altima
New York Plate
Thrifty Rental Car Agreement # Rented: 01/03/2016 1957
Return: 01/05/2016 1830
Burlington, VT Airport
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2015 2:29 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Write Up

[REDACTED] is acting Supervisor for the Border Patrol Agents. He currently supervises two (2) Border Patrol Agents, two (2) Field Intelligence Agents, An Enforcement Analyst Specialist (EAS), one National Guard Counter drug Analyst. Also has assigned Five (5) Agents who are currently assigned to Task Force Details under [REDACTED]. The following personnel is under [REDACTED] command:

- BPA [REDACTED]
- BPA [REDACTED]
- EAS [REDACTED]
- National Guard Analyst [REDACTED]
- BPA [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- BPA [REDACTED]
- BPA [REDACTED]
- BPA [REDACTED]
In total, Mr. supervises 11 employees in an acting capacity and has done so for five months as a GS 12. Mr. units in responsible for three (3) current Sector Priority targets development of for Detroit Sector. His BPA has provided each station within the with targeting training and the implementation of station targeting boards, which is a direct result of Mr. leadership and direction. Due to the Table of the organization of the Detroit I am unable to have a permanent supervisor at the.

I am requesting that consideration be given to place in a temporary pay status as a GS 13 for 120 days. has always put the mission first above family and pay. However, due to the aforementioned facts I am requesting concurrence in this matter.

Patrol Agent in Charge

Detroit

O

M
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2015 5:26 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

Subject: (b)(7)(E)

The below narrative references (b)(7)(E)

Subject Information:
Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
A#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
COB: Saudi Arabia
COC: Saudi Arabia
Status at Entry: F-1 Visa
Date of Entry: 12/27/2013
Place of Entry: Detroit Metropolitan Airport, Detroit, Michigan

Notifications:
(b)(7)(E) was contacted and ran all applicable record checks through (b)(7)(E) and reported no derogatory information.
Encounter/Interview:

On 04/28/2015, (b)(7)(E) Border Patrol Station received a request for assistance from (b)(7)(E). During a (b)(7)(E) interview, an agent and a BPA detailed to encountered (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) COB: Saudi Arabia). The agents transported (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to the for processing, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) is an F-1 Visa holder and has been attending the in an undergraduate mechanical engineering program. As per ICE, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) violated his F1 status by ceasing to attend classes at the Program start date was 08/25/2014 and the program end date is 05/31/2019. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) did not report to the administrator that he discontinued attending classes. determined that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) attended classes on 02/18/2015 and was terminated from the program on the same date. ERO was contacted and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) will be held without bond pending cancellation of his F-1 Visa and removal from the United States.

Current Disposition:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) will be processed as a WA/NTA (Non-immigrant Visitor out of status) and will be held pending ICE processing and removal at the Seneca County Jail in Tiffin, Ohio. No medical conditions were noted at the time of apprehension and processing.

All relevant information will be passed to appropriate partner agencies.

No updates expected

GPS Coordinates: (b)(7)(E)

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
On May 12, 2015, at approximately 4:15PM, known individual (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was arrested by Border Patrol Agents (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and arrested after he and his alleged wife (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) were interviewed at the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services field office located at (b)(7)(E), Detroit, MI 48214. During the course of the interview with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Officer (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) with the Department of USCIS Fraud Detection and National Security came to the conclusion that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was being untruthful regarding his alleged marriage and application for a K1 Visa. After (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)’s interview, Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) interviewed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) who admitted on video that her marriage to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was a fraud.

After arriving at the station (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) fingerprints, photograph, and irises were entered into the (b)(7)(E) systems. (b)(7)(E) confirmed (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) identity and matched systems checks from Detroit Sector Radio. The results are the following:

CRIMINAL HISTORY:
None.

IMMIGRATION HISTORY:
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has a pending K1 Visa.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) last entered the United States on February 8, 2015 at Detroit Metro Airport on flight (b)(7)(C). His B2 Visitor Visa was denied on February 24, 2015 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was due for departure on May 9, 2015, for his lack of a B2 Visa but he failed to board his outbound flight. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)’s pending K1 visa had granted him temporary status to remain legally in the United States.

DISPOSITION:
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was processed as a Warrant of Arrest/Notice to Appear.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was read and acknowledged his rights via service form I-214 at 1747 hours.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was not issued a bond as he is pending classification as a Priority One alien per (b)(7)(E) with ERO. Additionally, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) has failed to adhere to the guidelines of his B2 Visa and has alleged to have repeatedly given false statements to USCIS officers concerning his alleged marriage.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) appeared to be lucid and in good health.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) claimed that he does not have fear of torture or persecution if he is returned to his native country of Lebanon.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was informed of his right to contact the Lebanese Consulate at approximately 1726 hours to which he declined.

(b)(7)(E) Task Force Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was contacted.
was contacted. They stated that they have no local interest.

No derogatory information found.
Picture of vessel \( (b)(7)(E) \) under tow to impound by Wayne County Sheriff’s Department.

On Friday, June 19, 2015, at approximately 1800 EST, \( (b)(7)(E) \) Station, Border Patrol Agents \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) and \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) conducting riverine patrol operations in \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) on the Detroit River, responded to a call for assistance from the Wayne County Sheriff’s Department (WCSO). The WCSO patrol boat was flagged down by a concerned citizen stating that there was someone in the water. They pointed to a green 20 foot fiberglass boat bearing Michigan registration \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) that had become disabled and was “Not Under Command.”

Border Patrol vessel \( (b)(7)(E) \) arrived and Border Patrol Agent \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) boarded the disabled vessel and along with Wayne County Sheriff’s Deputies. The agent and deputy were able to tie a rope around an unconscious 300 lb. unidentified black male in the water and pull him into the disabled vessel. Agent \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) and the Deputies began CPR on the unresponsive subject while Agent \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) towed the vessel to the nearest port at Riverside Park.

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) and Agents \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) and \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) coordinated responding Detroit Police and EMS personnel to the vessel at Riverside Park in Southwest Detroit. Agents \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) then provided escort for the ambulance to Detroit Receiving Hospital where the subject was later pronounced dead.

The owner / operator of the disabled vessel, later identified as \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) of \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) Detroit, Michigan was agitated and uncooperative. He was turned over by Wayne County Sheriff’s Deputies to the Detroit Police Department pending investigation. Detroit Police Department \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) has opened case \( (b)(7)(E) \) on the homicide of the as of yet, still unidentified “drowning victim.” The vessel was towed and impounded by the Wayne County Sheriff’s Department.

Television media news crew from Fox News, local channel 2 arrived. No further Border Patrol action necessary. Further updates pending.
Very respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent

(b)(7)(E) Border Patrol Station

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Phone

“Only the guy who isn’t rowing has time to rock the boat” Jean-Paul Sartre
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 20, 2015 2:46 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Subject: SIR - Arrest of Agents (b)(7)(E) AND (b)(7)(E)

All,

Good afternoon.

Situation Room notified 1410, EST, Two SIRs generated (one for each agent, same narrative): (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(E)

Border Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

EOD: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SSN #: XXX-XX

Border Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

EOD: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SSN #: XXX-XX

Border Patrol Agents (BPA) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) were arrested after surrendering to Michigan State Police (MSP) on March 20, 2015 at the Jackson, MI County Courthouse. Both agents are charged with one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.505-C, Common Law Offenses (Misconduct In Office), a felony, punishable by up to five years in prison and/or a $10,000 fine and one count of violating Michigan Codified Laws Section 750.360, Larceny In a Building, a felony, punishable by up to four years in prison and/or a $5,000 fine.
The charges stem from an allegation first reported by MSP to the Detroit Sector (DTM) on December 30, 2014. At that time, MSP reported that an allegation of theft of personal property was brought forth by a resident of a home. BPA [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] assisted MSP in executing a search warrant of two homes during the early morning hours of December 24, 2014 which is when the alleged theft occurred. BPA [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] is alleged to have stolen a custom bar stool made from a motorcycle seat and BPA [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] is alleged to have stolen a brass weather device.

Upon notification of the allegation, DTM reported it to the Joint Intake Center on December 30, 2014 at approximately 3:30PM telephonically and via e-mail.

On January 5, 2015, Agents [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] forfeited their credentials, badges, weapon and other sensitive government property and were placed on administrative leave effective the following day.

The investigation is led by the Michigan State Police coordinating with the Department of Homeland Security’s Office of the Inspector General and Customs and Border Protection’s Office of Internal Affairs.

[(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

Patrol Agent in Charge (Acting)

U.S. Border Patrol [(b)(7)(E)]

Ofe: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

Cell: [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 1:30 PM
To: DTM (b)(7)(E)
Subject: SIR# (b)(7)(E)

Subject 1 Information:
Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
A#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
COB: Saudi Arabia
COC: Saudi Arabia
Status at Entry: F-1 Visa
Date of Entry: 08/24/2014
Place of Entry: John F. Kennedy Airport, New York, New York.

Subject 2 Information:
Name: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
DOB: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
A#: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
COB: Saudi Arabia
COC: Saudi Arabia
Status at Entry: F-1 Visa
Date of Entry: 12/20/2014
Place of Entry: Los Angeles International Airport, Los Angeles, California.

Notifications:
(b)(7)(E) was contacted and ran all applicable record checks through (b)(7)(E) and reported no derogatory information.
(b)(7)(E) was contacted and ran all applicable record checks, (b)(7)(E) record checks resulted in no derogatory information, (b)(7)(E) was issued for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(E) was issued for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

Encounter/Interview:

1
On 05/21/2015, Border Patrol Station received a request for assistance from Agents in Toledo, OH. During an interview, an agent and two BPAs detailed to encountered COB: Saudi Arabia) and (COB: Saudi Arabia). The agents transported both subjects to for processing. is an F-1 Visa holder and had been attending the program start date was 08/20/2012 and the program end date is 05/31/2017, did not report to the administrator that he discontinued attending classes; determined that ceased attending classes on 03/12/2015 and was terminated from the program on the same date. ERO was contacted and will be held without bond pending cancellation of his F-1 Visa and removal from the United States.

Subject (DOB COB Saudi Arabia, Saudi Arabian passport was also determined to be an F-1 Overstay, with his last filing having been denied as of 12/29/2014, was last enrolled at \((b)(6), (b)(7)(C)\) in Los Angeles, California. Immigration systems were just updated on May 19, 2015, indicating that may be a possible Status Violator stated that he was only in Toledo, Ohio while visiting his cousins ERO was contacted and will be held without bond pending cancellation of his F-1 Visa and removal from the United States.

Current Disposition:

Both subjects are being processed as a WA/NTA (Non-immigrant Visitor out of status) and will be held pending ICE processing and removal at the Seneca County Jail in Tiffin, Ohio. No medical conditions were noted at the time of apprehension and processing.

All relevant information will be passed to appropriate partner agencies.

No updates expected

GPS Coordinates:
Deputy Patrol Agent In Charge

Station

U.S. Border Patrol

Office:

Cell:
| Week | TTP | EIR | PIR | Heroin | Cocaine | Marijuana Plant | Marijuana (gm) | Currency | Current Cases | Cases Closed | De-Confiscation | Prosecutions | Weapons | Vehicles | Fraud Doc | Money
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MONTHLY TOTALS: 60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TTP</th>
<th>EIR</th>
<th>PIR</th>
<th>Heroin</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>Marijuana Plant</th>
<th>Marijuana (gm)</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Current Cases</th>
<th>Cases Closed</th>
<th>De-Confiscation</th>
<th>Prosecutions</th>
<th>Weapons</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Fraud Doc</th>
<th>Money</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>101.88</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>499289</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>TPP</td>
<td>EIR</td>
<td>FISL</td>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>Cocaine (gms)</td>
<td>Marijuana Plants</td>
<td>Marijuana (gms)</td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Current Cases</td>
<td>Cases Closed</td>
<td>De-Criminalization</td>
<td>Prosecutions</td>
<td>Weapons</td>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>Fraud Dues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9979032.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MONTHLY TOTALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TF</th>
<th>TPP</th>
<th>EIR</th>
<th>FISL</th>
<th>PHS</th>
<th>Heroin</th>
<th>Cocaine (gms)</th>
<th>Marijuana Plants</th>
<th>Marijuana (gms)</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Current Cases</th>
<th>Cases Closed</th>
<th>De-Criminalization</th>
<th>Prosecutions</th>
<th>Weapons</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Fraud Dues</th>
<th>Ammunition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.078.6</td>
<td>.497</td>
<td>9979366.818</td>
<td>14953</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UPSET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVAIL/PRIORITY/TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMET**

| 4                   |

**COMET**

| 4                   |

---

*UPSET*

| 4                   |

| 4                   |

---

*UPSET*

| 4                   |

| 4                   |

---

*UPSET*

| 4                   |

| 4                   |

---

*UPSET*

| 4                   |

| 4                   |

---

**COMET**

| 4                   |

| 4                   |

---

**COMET**

| 4                   |

<p>| 4                   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LE</th>
<th>TPPs</th>
<th>EIRs</th>
<th>PIRs</th>
<th>Heroin</th>
<th>Cocaine (gms)</th>
<th>Marijuana Plants</th>
<th>Marijuana (gms)</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Current Cases</th>
<th>Cases Closed</th>
<th>De-Confiscation</th>
<th>Prosecutions</th>
<th>Weapons</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Fraud Dates</th>
<th>Ammo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UPSET

UPSET
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TF</th>
<th>TPP</th>
<th>EIR</th>
<th>Pills</th>
<th>Heroin</th>
<th>Cocaine (gm)</th>
<th>Marijuana Plants</th>
<th>Marijuana (gm)</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Current Cases</th>
<th>Cases Closed</th>
<th>De-Confiscation</th>
<th>Prosecutions</th>
<th>Weapons</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Fronz Disc</th>
<th>Ammo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123670</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31252</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MONTHLY TOTALS**

<p>| 48 | 15048 | 8.14 | 1552 | 150.2 | 17117.95 | 536522 | 83 | 7 | 38 | 43 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LE</th>
<th>TTP</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>Cansine (gms)</th>
<th>Marijuana Plants</th>
<th>Marijuana (gms)</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Current Cases</th>
<th>Cases Closed</th>
<th>Dec-Cititation</th>
<th>Prosecutions</th>
<th>Weapons</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Fraud Dues</th>
<th>Amnor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMET</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTHLY TOTALS</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>FIRE</td>
<td>Phen</td>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>Cocaine (gm)</td>
<td>Marijuana Plants</td>
<td>Marijuana (gm)</td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Current Cases</td>
<td>Cases Closed</td>
<td>De-Confliction</td>
<td>Prosecutions</td>
<td>Weapons</td>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>Fraud Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTHLY TOTALS</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127.57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68840.81</td>
<td>2699198</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>TTPS</td>
<td>FLSH</td>
<td>PILK</td>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>Cocaine (g)</td>
<td>Marijuana Plants</td>
<td>Marijuana (g)</td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Current Cases</td>
<td>Cases Closed</td>
<td>Detention</td>
<td>Prosecutions</td>
<td>Weapons</td>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>Fraud Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MONTHLY TOTALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LE</th>
<th>TTPS</th>
<th>FLSH</th>
<th>PILK</th>
<th>Heroin</th>
<th>Cocaine (g)</th>
<th>Marijuana Plants</th>
<th>Marijuana (g)</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Current Cases</th>
<th>Cases Closed</th>
<th>Detention</th>
<th>Prosecutions</th>
<th>Weapons</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Fraud Debt</th>
<th>Ammunition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>1595.1</td>
<td>22.278</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>3527.71</td>
<td>47128</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTH</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Net-Purchase</td>
<td>Net-Sale</td>
<td>Net-Price</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Cross-Over</td>
<td>Re-Certification</td>
<td>Reclassification</td>
<td>Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>Maintenance Vehicles</td>
<td>Annual Budget</td>
<td>Five Year Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>101.88</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>499289</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7078.6</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>9979366.81</td>
<td>14093</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>1552</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>17117.05</td>
<td>536522</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>9418</td>
<td>67233.81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34159.96</td>
<td>176817</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>127.57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68840.91</td>
<td>2690198</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>1595.1</td>
<td>33 278</td>
<td>5527.73</td>
<td>47778</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FY TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>459</td>
<td>24929</td>
<td>1709.32</td>
<td>76258.58</td>
<td>905.3</td>
<td>10183101.45</td>
<td>3964847</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conversion
3.57 lbs 167.51 lbs 22373.53 lbs $3,964,047
3 Conversion
$120,640.00 $8,514,588.00 $51,674,589.60

2015 YTD Collaborative Financial Impact

$64,273,864.60
MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief Patrol Agents
Division Chiefs
Detroit Sector
Grand Forks Sector

FROM: XXXXXXXXXXX
Patrol Agent In Charge

SUBJECT: (b)(7)(E) command and control

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) will serve as operational guidance for (b)(7)(E) is responsible for providing Detroit Sector (DTM) and Grand Forks Sector (GFN) with special operations capabilities. This Memorandum does not supersede any previous doctrine or guidance.

in accordance with current (Chief Fisher's memorandum U.S. Border Patrol) Nov 13, 2014 will provide operational support to DTM and GFN Command Staff will ensure all operations are executed as expressed through the intent and guidance from DTM and GFN Chief Patrol Agents (CPA’s).

The PAIC has administrative control (ADCON) authority of personnel relative to internal discipline and accountability of ACON.

Operational Command (OPCOM) of the resides with DTM and GFN CPA’s. In practical terms this is overall command of and includes administrative and logistics matters not specifically authorized by ACON.

Operational Control (OPCON) is delegated to the PAIC by the Sector CPA’s.

For all deployments, training, and operations Command Staff will coordinate with the requesting Sector Command Staff to determine operational requirements and initiate the deployment of personnel and resources.

will ensure the notifications and coordinating instructions to supporting units, DTM and GFN Command Staff are made.
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2015 4:20 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: Quarterly TFA meeting

It's important you attend the TFA meeting. Is there any chance the deposition can be done on a different day, or in the afternoon time tomorrow?

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 3:36 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: RE: Quarterly TFA meeting

I wont be there. I have a deposition for that day.

Task Force Agent
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Detroit: (b)(7)(E)  
Romulus, MI. 48174  
Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
"America must win this war. Therefore, I will work, I will save, I will sacrifice, I will endure, I will fight cheerfully and do my utmost, as if the issue of the whole struggle depended on me alone"

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2015 2:04 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: Quarterly TFA meeting
When: Thursday, April 02, 2015 9:00 AM-1:00 PM.
Where: Conference room

All –

The next TFA meeting is to be held at DTM as indicated. Topics will include but not be limited to: CPA brief, IST brief, TF reports, targeting, and any issues you’d like to bring forward.

Uniform of the day will be RDU with applicable grooming standards.

This meeting is mandatory for all TFAs with the exception of those exercising pre-approved master leave.

Thanks,
From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2015 9:46 PM
To: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Cc: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Subject: SIR [b](7)(E) Other Agency Assistance

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)

Picture of vessel under tow to impound by Wayne County Sheriff's Department.

On Friday, June 19, 2015, at approximately 1800 EST, [b](7)(E) Station, Border Patrol Agents [b](6), [b](7)(C) and [b](6), [b](7)(C) conducting riverine patrol operations in [b](7)(E) on the Detroit River, responded to a call for assistance from the Wayne County Sheriff's Department (WCSO). The WCSO patrol boat was flagged down by a concerned citizen stating that there was someone in the water. They pointed to a green 20 foot fiberglass boat bearing Michigan registration [b](6), [b](7)(C) that had become disabled and was "Not Under Command."

Border Patrol vessel [b](7)(E) arrived and Border Patrol Agent [b](6), [b](7)(C) boarded the disabled vessel and along with Wayne County Sheriff's Deputies. The agent and deputy were able to tie a rope around an unconscious 300 lb. unidentified black male in the water and pull him into the disabled vessel. Agent [b](6), [b](7)(C) and the Deputies began CPR on the unresponsive subject while Agent [b](6), [b](7)(C) towed the vessel to the nearest port at Riverside Park.

Supervisory Border Patrol Agent [b](6), [b](7)(C) and Agents [b](6), [b](7)(C) and [b](6), [b](7)(C) coordinated responding Detroit Police and EMS personnel to the vessel at Riverside Park in Southwest Detroit. Agents [b](6), [b](7)(C) then provided escort for the ambulance to Detroit Receiving Hospital where the subject was later pronounced dead.

The owner/operator of the disabled vessel, later identified as [b](6), [b](7)(C) of Detroit, Michigan was agitated and uncooperative. He was turned over by Wayne County Sheriff's Deputies to the Detroit Police Department pending investigation. Detroit Police Department Sargent [b](7)(E) has opened case [b](7)(E) on the homicide of the as of yet, still unidentified "drowning victim." The vessel was towed and impounded by the Wayne County Sheriff's Department.
Television media news crew from Fox News, local channel 2 arrived. No further Border Patrol action necessary. Further updates pending.

Very respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

{b}(b)(7)(C) Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
(b)(7)(E) Border Patrol Station
(b)(6), (b)(7)(G) IPhone

“Only the guy who isn’t rowing has time to rock the boat” Jean-Paul Sartre
The following groups are represented in the AOR. Chippewa (Upper Peninsula Eastern Section – UPES), Marquette (Upper Peninsula Western Group – UPWG) and Alpena County.

- In FY 2014, only the Chippewa (UPES) group participated in road patrols.
- Marquette (UPWG) will be participating in road patrols starting with OPSG 2014 grant, which they will be starting later this month.
- Alpena County did not receive OPSG funding till the OPSG 2014 grant year so they did not conduct any road patrols in FY 2014 either. They have conducted road patrols (spending a portion of OPSG 2014 grant) in August and September of 2015.

Please see the attached file for the Chippewa (UPES) daily activity reports. The UPES group did not conduct five consecutive days of patrols at any time during the FY 2014 year, so I provided the closest thing that I had to it. The file includes the following agencies daily activities reports: Mackinac County Sheriff’s Office, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Michigan State Police, Luce County Sheriff’s Office, Alger County Sheriff’s Office and Cheboygan County Sheriff’s Office.

If you have any questions, concerns or need any additional information, please let me know.

Respectfully,

Border Community Liaison
Border Patrol Agent
Office: (b),(6), (b),(7)
Cell: (b),(6), (b),(7)
Fax: (b),(6), (b),(7)
Gentlemen,

HQ has tasked collection of DARs for each of our OPSG recipient partners. Please see below for specific tasking. Please provide me with five consecutive Daily Activity Reports for each of our OPSG grant partners they are looking for FY 2014.

If the partners have never conducted operations on five consecutive days please provide the closest possible. Please have them to me by COB Monday as I must send up the Sector submission Tuesday noon.

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
Operational Programs Division
Detroit Sector Headquarters
26000 South Street, Bldg. 1505
Selfridge ANGB, MI 48045
Office (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Fax

Can you put this together for next week?

Thank you,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Division Chief, Operational Programs
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 9:35 AM
To: DTM Staff Tasks
Subject: FW: TASK: Sen. Johnson OPSG Oversight

Please see below tasker for OPSG.
All:

Please see the request from our Stonegarden Coordinators below.

---

**Tasker Name** | **TASK:** Sen. Johnson OPSG Oversight  
**Lead Office(s)** | BP [b](7)(E)  
**Required Coordination** | USBP Sector OPSG Coordinators  
**Product** | BP HQ [b](7)(E) has been tasked with providing documents and data to FEMA as part of a response to a letter from Chairman Johnson of the Senate Committee of Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs regarding OPSG oversight. OBP [b](7)(E) requires information from the U.S. Border Patrol Sector OPSG Coordinators who have Sector operational oversight of the program.  

**Specific Task:** each USBP Sector must submit to the OPSG Team, (5) complete chronologically consecutive Daily Activity Reports (DARs) for each sub-recipient in your AOR; e.g. Monday, November 2; Tuesday, November 3; Wednesday, November 4;
Thursday, November 5; and Friday, November 6. You may use any week from Fiscal Year 2014. Please submit these documents in PDF format.

| Notes          | Questions and submissions may be directed to ASC [(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at
| Due to BP Tasking | NLT 12pm Tuesday November 10, 2015 |

**Required Coordinators** - Please provide input to the lead office as soon as possible.
NORTHERN BORDER OPERATIONS / ENFORCEMENT POSTURE
U.S. BORDER PATROL

(As of 7/19/2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATIONS</th>
<th>MANPOWER</th>
<th>FY 2015 APPREHENSIONS</th>
<th>FY 2014 APPREHENSIONS</th>
<th>FY 2013 APPREHENSIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Border Ops / Enforcement</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>2,055</td>
<td>3,338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACKGROUND

- The U.S.-Canadian border presents unique security challenges due to a combination of geography, weather, and volume of trade and travel. Encompassing more than 5,500 miles (including the border of Alaska), the Northern Border spans diverse terrain and climates, metropolitan areas, and open spaces. The Northern Border rests between two friendly nations with a long history of social, cultural, and economic ties that have contributed to a significant volume of cross-border trade and travel, amounting to more than a billion U.S. dollars a day.
- The U.S. not only shares economies, communities, and infrastructures with Canada, but also faces common threats and similar challenges. The U.S. Border Patrol’s (USBP) approach to the Northern Border recognizes, and indeed highlights, the historically unique relationship between the United States and Canada.

USBP RESOURCES ON THE NORTHERN BORDER

- The U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) applies a risk based approach to its operation along the northern border using traditional patrol methods, specialty units, liaisons and participates in task forces.
- Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, DHS has dedicated historic levels of personnel, infrastructure, and technology to the Northern Border. Border Patrol agent staffing on the Northern Border has increased by over 650 percent – from approximately 340 agents in 2001, to approximately 2,100 agents today at 49 Stations within eight Sectors covering 3,987 miles from Blaine Sector to Houlton Sector.
- The Northern Border does not experience the significant volume of illegal entries that the Southwest Border experiences. However, enforcement efforts often result in the apprehension, detention and arrest of criminal aliens and special interest aliens, specifically those with possible links to terrorism. In addition, United States and Canadian law enforcement have the challenge of...
NORTHERN BORDER OPERATIONS / ENFORCEMENT POSTURE
U.S. BORDER PATROL

mitigating illicit cross-border traffic entering and exiting both countries between ports of entry (POEs).

- Overall USBP northern border apprehensions have steadily decreased from a high of 6,123 in FY11 to 3,338 in FY14.
- Current trends for FY 15 indicate that the apprehension numbers will continue to decrease as indicated by the 17% reduction between FY14 YTD - 2,478 and FY 15 YTD - 2,052.
- Key to the decrease in apprehension numbers is the application of an integrated counter network strategy with communities of interest and our Canadian law enforcement partners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Data Types</th>
<th>BLW</th>
<th>BUN</th>
<th>DTM</th>
<th>GFN</th>
<th>HLT</th>
<th>HVM</th>
<th>SPW</th>
<th>SWB</th>
<th>NBO</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2013</td>
<td>APPs</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>3,230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>1,558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAR (lbs)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>82.34</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>331.63</td>
<td>442.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COC (lbs)</td>
<td>76.47</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>77.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HER (ozs)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MET (lbs)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>128.26</td>
<td>131.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XTC (lbs)</td>
<td>158.20</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>159.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2014</td>
<td>APPs</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>3,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>1,673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAR (lbs)</td>
<td>55.34</td>
<td>122.39</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>72.17</td>
<td>617.20</td>
<td>902.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COC (lbs)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>20.64</td>
<td>69.35</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>92.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HER (ozs)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>480.82</td>
<td>485.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MET (lbs)</td>
<td>133.28</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>158.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XTC (lbs)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2015TD</td>
<td>APPs</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>2,055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OTM</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>911</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAR (lbs)</td>
<td>93.54</td>
<td>23.99</td>
<td>24.11</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>455.47</td>
<td>596.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COC (lbs)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HER (ozs)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>40.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MET (lbs)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XTC (lbs)</td>
<td>75.71</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>70.87</td>
<td>147.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Border Patrol Interdiction Capabilities:

POC FOR FURTHER QUESTIONS
CBP Contact: Associate Chief
Office: CBP/U.S. Border Patrol
Office Phone: [redacted]
E-Mail: [redacted]
Date Prepared: July 21, 2015
U.S. Border Patrol Sectors on the northern border employ traditional patrol methods to monitor and disrupt illicit cross-border activity. Traditional patrol methods include the use of marked patrol vehicles, boats, all-terrain vehicles, horses, snow-mobiles, and bicycles. The use of technology, such as (b)(7)(E), is significant.

In addition to traditional patrol methods, many sectors on the northern border utilize specialized teams to mitigate identified threats in areas of increased risk. For example, Detroit Sector (DTM) employs a team of Border Patrol agents referred to as DISRUPT, to gather intelligence on specific TCOs and to (b)(7)(E) in an effort to make DTM an undesirable place for TCOs to operate.

Each Sector has the following interdiction operations:

- **Traditional patrol**
  - Marine patrol (where applicable)
  - ATV/Horse Patrol (where applicable)

- **Specialty Units**
  - refusals at POEs.

- **Intelligence**
  - Sector Intelligence Units provide strategic, operational and tactical intelligence for their Sector in collaboration with IBET, BEST, Regional Liaison, and Border Patrol Interdiction operations.

- In addition to the 120 Northern border land ports of entry, CBP operates 17 ferry land crossings, eight Border Patrol Sectors, eight Air and Marine Branches, (b)(7)(E) Units to protect against the illegal flow of people and goods at and between the POEs.

**Resident Agent Program**

- In 2009, the USBP initiated a Resident Agent Program with the intent of enhancing situational awareness through expanded partnerships and intelligence.
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capabilities. This program further developed our defense-in-depth posture and increased our risk identification by embedding Resident Agents in locations where routine Border Patrol presence is limited.

- Resident Agents reside in the immediate area of the communities they patrol.
- Currently there are (b)(7)(E) in Grand Forks Sector (b)(7)(E) in North Dakota (b)(7)(E) Minnesota, and (b)(7)(E) Wisconsin.

Northern Border Special Operations Detachments

- The USBP is implementing three regional northern border Special Operations Detachments (SODs) comprised of Border Patrol Special Operations Group components; the Border Patrol Tactical Unit (BORTAC), the Border Patrol Search Trauma and Rescue Unit (BORSTAR), and the Mobile Response Team (MRT).
- SOD will be regional and will encompass agents from every Northern Border Sector. The Northwest Regional SOD HQ will be located in Blaine, Washington. The Central Regional SOD HQ will be located in Detroit, Michigan. The Northeast Regional SOD HQ will be located in Swanton, Vermont.
- SOD activities are to be risk-based and intelligence driven and will support the Border Patrol Strategic Plan as well as sector and corridor goals and objectives. These highly adaptable and agile units will be capable of addressing rapidly emerging threats and responding to man-made and natural disasters through specialized skills and enhanced capabilities.
- SODs will also provide the necessary command and control structure for the establishment of a sustained MRT along the northern border in the future.
- USBP is currently working through the administrative process and anticipate having the SOD’s implemented by October 1, 2015.

Border Intelligence Centers

- Multi-Component Intelligence Fusion Center that facilitates the communication, intelligence and flow of information throughout a specific region to multiple law enforcement agencies. Northern Border Sector Intelligence Units (SIUs) have robust information and intelligence sharing protocols with their State, Local and Tribal (SLT) and Canadian agencies through their corresponding Integrated Border Enforcement Teams (IBET),
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comprised of U.S. and Canadian federal, state/provincial and local law enforcement personnel.

- **Blaine**
  - Agent assigned to the local [redacted] in Bellingham, WA but no fusion center or Border Intelligence Center (BIC)

- **Spokane**
  - Agents liaise with the Washington State Fusion Center in Seattle
  - Agents liaise with the Montana Analysis & Technical Information Center (MATIC) in Helena
  - Agents liaise with the Idaho State Fusion Center in Boise

- **Havre**
  - Agents routinely participate with the Montana Analysis & Technical Information Center (MATIC) in Helena

- **Grand Forks**
  - Pine to Prairie Drug and Violent Crime Task Force – Crookston, MN [redacted]
  - Pine to Prairie Drug and Violent Crime Task Force – Roseau, MN [redacted]
  - Paul Bunyan Drug and Violent Crime Task Force – Bemidji, MN
  - FBI [redacted] Task Force – Bismarck, ND (Part-time assignment to the North Dakota State and Local Intelligence Center (NDSLIC))
  - [redacted] Task Force – Grand Forks, ND
  - [redacted] Task Force – Fargo, ND
  - Ward County Narcotics Task Force – Minot, ND
  - HSI Document and Benefit Fraud Task Force – Minneapolis, MN
  - Lake Superior Drug and Violent Crime Task Force – Duluth, MN
  - Grand Forks Narcotics Task Force (HIDTA) – Grand Forks, ND

- **Detroit**
  - Operational Integration Center (OIC) located at the Detroit Sector Campus of Selfridge Army National Guard Base on Harrison Township, Michigan
  - Detroit and Southern Michigan Information & Intelligence Center (BPA-I assigned to Detroit HIDTA)

- **Buffalo**
  - Border Intelligence Center (BIC) located at Buffalo Sector HQ

- **Swanton**
  - No 24/7 Border Intelligence Center (BIC).
  - EAS assigned to the US Attorney’s Office in Plattsburgh, NY

POC FOR FURTHER QUESTIONS
CBP Contact: Associate Chief
Office: CBP/U.S. Border Patrol
Office Phone: (redacted)
Date Prepared: July 21, 2015
Agent assigned to the New York State Intelligence Center (NYSIC) in Albany, NY

- **Houlton**
  - Border Intelligence Center (BIC) located at Houlton Sector HQ (Not 24/7)
  - Agent co-located once a week at the Maine Fusion Center located in Augusta, ME

- **Public Land Liaison Program**
  - In 2005, the Border Patrol established the Public Lands Liaison Agent (PLLA) Program. Under this program, each sector designates an agent dedicated to interacting with organizations and agencies involved in land management issues. The PLLA’s job is to build and maintain solid working relationships with our land management agency counterparts so that we can capitalize on opportunities to collaborate and work through any issues that may arise. Borderland Management Task Forces increase communication and provide a unique opportunity to leverage resources and quickly identify and resolve any potential problems.
  - U.S. Border Patrol consistently provides assessments of the threat environment and vulnerabilities impacting border security on Federal lands to public land management partners and other members of the state, local, and tribal law enforcement community.

**CROSS BORDER COLLABORATION**

- At CBP, we recognize the importance of partnerships, intelligence, and information sharing to the success of our mission, and as such, we are engaged in several national initiatives to increase security and enhance economic prosperity on the Northern Border.
- Information sharing is invaluable to the development of intelligence and targeting of criminal organizations exploiting the Northern Border. Field leadership shares in this sentiment and is committed to continuing and expanding these efforts. USBP is also actively engaged in workgroups at the headquarters level, convened under the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), to enhance information sharing with Canadian law enforcement.
- USBP collaborates routinely with state, local and Canadian law enforcement partners along and across the Northern Border.
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- Northern Border sectors are commonly engaged in mirrored patrols with their Canadian counterparts. These operations include (b)(7)(E) patrols. Operational planning along the Northern Border may be negatively impacted by (b)(7)(E).

- All Northern Border USBP sectors engage in intelligence-led operations with state, local and Canadian law enforcement partners.

Integrated Border Enforcement Teams

- Our agents provide support to the Integrated Border Enforcement Teams (IBET), comprised of U.S. and Canadian federal, state/provincial and local law enforcement personnel, and encompassing 15 regions along the Northern Border. The IBET concept was formalized in December 2001 with five core agencies: CBP, USCG, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), and the Canadian Border Services Agency (CBSA).

- IBETs operate as intelligence-driven enforcement teams designed to increase information and intelligence-sharing capabilities among the appropriate U.S. and Canadian authorities. By incorporating integrated mobile response capability (e.g., air, land, and marine), the IBETs provide participating law enforcement agencies with a force multiplier that maximizes border enforcement efforts. The USBP and its partners use the IBET framework to plan and execute border interdictions and large scale exercises and security plans for special events.

Regional Network Strategy

- The USBP employ regional representatives in Canada to enhance existing efforts to close and mitigate information and intelligence gaps along our shared border. Currently, there are three Border Patrol agents posted as CBP Representatives in Canada (Toronto, Vancouver and Ottawa) with a fourth planned to be posted later this year for Winnipeg, Manitoba. Our agents are imbedded with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police as they represent the U.S. Consulate/Embassy during engagements with Canadian agencies related to the shared border.

- US Border Patrol assets in Canada enhance the CBP mission by supporting cross border law enforcement, preclearance and other initiatives. They also
provide support to other agencies within DHS such as Citizenship and Immigration Services, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Transportation Security Agency and U.S. Secret Service. Our liaison representatives work in close consultation with the Department of State and key federal, provincial, and municipal government agencies in Canada to promote a more secure border.

- Our Liaison officers are actively working with our Canadian partners such as the RCMP National Security Enforcement Team, the OPP Provincial Anti-Terrorism Section (PATS) to facilitate sharing terrorism related information with CBP components and enforcement units.

- Our Regional Liaison operation works with our northern border sectors to complement their interdiction and intelligence capabilities and to identify information and intelligence gaps over emergent situations such as the foreign fighter travel threat. Our Liaison also works with our Canadian partners to identify outbound criminal threats entering Canada.

Cross Border Law Enforcement Advisory Committee

- The U.S. and Canada are working to expand joint law enforcement initiatives along the border. To this end, a Cross Border Law Enforcement Advisory Committee was created to provide executive-level guidance to all cross-border law enforcement initiatives involving partnerships between United States and Canadian law enforcement agencies, along our shared border. This new initiative is designed to be inclusive of all cross border enforcement efforts that allow flexibility and unity of effort in a resource constrained environment.

- The Cross Border Law Enforcement Advisory Committee Statement of Cooperation intends to service all cross border law enforcement groups, task forces, teams, and units that are composed of joint partnerships between the core agencies (CBP, ICE, USCG, Royal Canadian Mounted Police and Canada Border Services Agency) and other stakeholders in the law enforcement community, including other federal, state, provincial, tribal, local, and municipal agencies.
  - Integrated Border Enforcement Teams (IBET)
  - Shiprider
  - Border Enforcement Security Task Forces (BEST)
  - Other binational enforcement units and initiatives with at least two of the core partners.
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Project North Star

- A bi-national forum that provides Canadian and U.S. law enforcement managers a mechanism to enhance existing communications, cooperation, and partnership between agencies and personnel that operates within the border area. Additionally, these agencies, in both the U.S. and Canada, collectively address problems and present consensus positions to the top leadership of their agencies, as well as their government’s legislatures.

Geographic Inhibitors

- CBP’s ability to effectively operate in the Canada / U.S. Border marine environment is negatively impacted by geographic features that if (b)(7)(E) In 2013, USBP helped negotiate a two year pilot agreement with the Canadian government to authorized agents to (b)(7)(E) while in possession of agency firearms and ammunition on 23 waterways.
  - The pilot was made permanent in 2015.
- Firearms Officer Exemption allows designated officers to transit through Canada while armed to bypass geographic inhibitors on an as needed and pre-approved basis. Managed from Federal Coordination Center at RCMP HQ.

Training

- The USBP is working with our Canadian partners to develop a joint intelligence and investigation course in support of our cross-border law enforcement initiatives.
- We are also training jointly to establish a joint gap analysis capability to ensure we are able to identify our gaps early that a threat can exploit and jointly plan against the risks they present. The Capability Gap Analysis Course in Buffalo, NY was attended by five members of the RCMP during the week of April 13, 2015. A C-GAP course and operational exercise is on-going this week near Stanstead, Quebec with the participation of RCMP, CBSA, and instruction by USBP agents.
TECHNOLOGY

- Technology acts as a force-multiplier, maximizing available resources, enhancing connectivity of information and communication systems, and augmenting the work of our personnel. Strategically deployed technology establishes and enhances a law enforcement presence where personnel is limited or otherwise not available. This technology significantly enhances domain awareness by increasing detection capability.

- Sectors on the Northern Border use technology proven effective in their AORs to address their specific threat and traffic areas. ([b](7)(E)

- There are numerous examples of cross-border collaboration between USBP and Canadian law enforcement with regard to technology. These examples include facilitating a common operating picture, review of historical information, and maximizing the use of limited technological resources.

- DHS Science and Technology (S&T) Directorate is developing new and/or CBP and RCMP Agents, using a combination of U.S. and Canadian sensor information. ([b](7)(E)

Domain Awareness

- As part of the Beyond the Border Action Plan, the Domain Awareness initiative commits Canada and the US to develop and implement processes, procedures, and policies to enable an effective, shared understanding of activities, threats, and criminal trends or other consequences in the air, land, and maritime environments. Central to this effort is establishing a joint gap analysis process to inform deployment and procurement plans.
The USBP is working with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police to meet the goals of the Beyond the Border Domain Awareness action plan. This effort aims to provide a joint domain awareness capability to address emerging threats early. We are working to coordinate and share detection feeds where appropriate in order to close gaps and avoid duplication of efforts.

Communication Interoperability

The USBP is also working with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police to develop a joint capability for secure law enforcement communications interoperability. This will provide essential ability to communicate securely in a binational radio interoperable system. Currently, CBP is leading a pilot in Blaine Sector andDetroit Sector to develop the appropriate technology solution to bridge this gap.

- Pilot began in 2013 in Blaine, WA/Surrey, British Columbia and Detroit, MI/Windsor, Ontario supported by $1.7m in FY14.
- The U.S. side is experiencing ongoing issues (b)(7)(E)
  - The pilot location in Blaine is functional but is not being used (b)(7)(E)
  - RCMP will not use the system until (b)(7)(E)
  - The pilot location in Detroit is (b)(7)(E)

OUTREACH

USBP has made a longstanding practice of conducting outreach efforts along the Northern Border. USBP’s efforts include informational patrols, orientation for new Canadian law enforcement officers, hosting citizens’ academies, presentations at Canadian law enforcement academies, participating in community and charity events, and conducting joint training.

Continued bi-national outreach efforts to engage and educate the public about border issues will assist USBP and Canadian law enforcement in leveraging the critical assistance of border communities to achieve enhanced situational awareness. USBP Headquarters is developing additional direction, standardized methods, and established metrics for outreach efforts along the Northern Border.
On the US side, the Border Patrol has a robust relationship with its State, Local and Tribal partnerships, which constantly garners cross training, joint and integrated operations and training opportunities. These relationships are boosted by the Operation Stonegarden grant program a FEMA grant which is jointly administered with the Border Patrol. The grant allows border law enforcement to buy assets and technology that is used in integrated border security missions with the Border Patrol and pays overtime to the agencies when they perform border security missions. This funding stream is critical to many smaller departments we work with, enabling them to better secure our shared border.

NORTHERN BORDER STRATEGY

DHS efforts to enhance Northern Border security are guided by the goals, means, and methods outlined in the Northern Border Strategy (NBS) released in June 2012.

The NBS, which was initiated at Secretary Napolitano’s direction, is the first Department-wide strategy to guide DHS policy and operations on the U.S. Northern Border. It is consistent with the Quadrennial Homeland Security Review (QHSR), the National Northern Border Counter-narcotics Strategy of 2012, the U.S. National Strategy for Global Supply Chain Security, and the goals of the Beyond the Border (BTB) declaration. In formulating the NBS, DHS extensively coordinated with interagency partners such as the Department of Justice.

Even in a time of fiscal constraint, the NBS will result in a more efficient and effective DHS on the Northern Border by ensuring we are fully leveraging the Department’s resources and avoiding duplication of effort.

The NBS outlines three goals for DHS at the northern border: 1) deter and prevent terrorism and other illegal activity; 2) safeguard and facilitate the secure flow of lawful trade and travel; and 3) ensure community safety and resilience before, during, and after terrorist attacks and natural disasters.

NATIONAL BORDER GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE STRATEGY (NBGIS)

Working in partnership with the CBP Office of Intelligence and other strategic partners. USBP has developed a robust strategy to use...
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Border to allow CBP to focus its capabilities against prioritized threats.

- There are 8 USBP Border Patrol Sectors responsible for 3987 total border miles (BM) along the Northern Border:
  - 3163.96 BM (79%) of the Northern Border have been identified for Deployment Density
  - 823.04 BM (21%) of the Northern Border will be designated as Deployment Density

- Collection of the Northern Border began in May 2015, starting with the target deck for Havre Sector. Collection will continue next in Swanton and Grand Forks Sectors, respectively, through the summer of 2015.
- Additional Sectors' target decks will begin collection throughout the remainder of FY15 and into FY16, as increased manpower and capabilities dictate.
- Currently $5 million have been allocated to the Office of Intelligence to increase their analytical capabilities to support this effort. It is anticipated that they will hire 8 analysts by the end of FY 15. Of the 8 analysts, 3 have been hired and are currently onboard, and the remaining 5 have been selected for hire.
- Another $3.6 million is budgeted for FY 16 to the Office of Intelligence to support the hiring of an additional 15 analysts to support the

ISSUES/CONCERNS

- CBP statistics consistently show that the US-Canada land border is not heavily crossed by known or suspected terrorists for the US Government. In May 2014, the most recent month for which published CBP statistics are available, there were 29 encounters with persons at land ports of entry (POEs) on the Northern border. For comparison, there were 10 encounters at Southern border POEs and 237 encounters arriving on international flights at US airports in May.
  - The majority of encounters processed at Northern border POEs are Canadian and US citizens. In Fiscal Year 2013, there were 115 Canadians, 86 US citizens, and 41 individuals comprising 16 other nationalities.
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- Much of the information contained in (b)(7)(E) on Canadian citizens is provided by Canadian partners. Canada Border Services Agency receives an export from (b)(7)(E) support its (b)(7)(E) system.
- CBP Officers usually refuse entry to non-US citizens unless they hold lawful permanent resident status or if they possess proper entry documentation and there is insufficient derogatory information to justify refusal.
- Since FY2007, the USBP has had 27 encounters with positive (b)(7)(E) and 4 apprehensions. Three of those apprehensions were as a result of illegal entry and one was a northbound smuggling attempt.
  - A previously deported citizen of Ireland was apprehended after illegally crossing the border near Massena, NY in July 2011 while attempting to join his US-citizen spouse.
  - A citizen of India and US resident pending revocation of his lawful permanent resident status was apprehended in February 2013 after illegally crossing the border near Blaine, WA.
  - A citizen of Jordan and overstay apprehended near Detroit, MI during marine operations in August 2011.
  - A citizen of Sri Lanka apprehended during an attempt to be smuggled into Canada near Swanton, VT in April 2012. Previously apprehended in Freer, TX and issued ER/CF.

Locality Pay for Bakken Region

- Locality pay for Border Patrol Agents in the western area of North Dakota is not commensurate with the true cost of living for the area. A large deposit of oil was discovered in Bakken, North Dakota in 1951. However, the technology to efficiently extract the oil did not exist until recently. The implementation of hydraulic fracturing (fracking) facilitated the extraction of oil in this area and has led to major economic boom for the area. As of May 2015, the average daily oil production in the Bakken region reached an estimated 1,201,159 barrels of oil per day. The overnight demand for housing and labor caused the local prices to increase exponentially beyond the previously established cost of living allocations determined by the Office of Personnel Management. Rental property costs and the purchase prices for new homes have sky rocketed, thereby pricing Border Patrol Agents out of the market for adequate housing.
Northbound Traffic in Grand Forks AOR

- Since June 2010, there have been 193 confirmed northbound events involving subjects from Eastern African countries. 435 Somalis, 29 Ethiopians, 5 Kenyans, 4 Djiboutians, 2 Nigerians, 1 Sudanese, 1 Eritrean, 1 Congolese, 1 Iraqi, and 1 Syrian. These confirmed crossings or attempts to illegally enter Canada occurred near Pembina, ND. This pattern reflects the self-removal of individuals with unsuccessful US asylum claims who seek inland refugee claims in Canada to avoid deportation from the United States. Thirteen of those individuals were listed in the [redacted] at the time of their apprehension by Canadian authorities.

- The USBP works closely with Canadian law enforcement agencies in order to identify and apprehend individuals who cross the international border illegally. The USBP coordinates with Canadian law enforcement through IBET teams to document and identify all subjects apprehended by Canadian authorities.
Detroit Sector Headquarters
PAIC Meeting Agenda
February 23 & 24, 2016

February 23, 2016 (12 pm - 4 pm)

12:00 - 12:45 pm  Opening Remarks by Acting Deputy Chief Patrol Agent
12:45 - 1:00 pm  Break
1:00 - 2:00 pm  PAIC/Station Updates
2:00 - 2:10 pm  Break
2:10 - 2:40 pm  NBCC Update
2:40 - 2:55 pm  Operation Stonegarden Update
2:55 - 3:05 pm  Break
3:05 - 3:45 pm  BEST Detroit/Toledo
3:45 - 4:00 pm  Wrap-up

February 24, 2016 (8 am - 12 pm)

8:00 - 8:30 am  Mission Readiness Division Staff Updates:
   - Finance and Budget
   - Human Resources
   - Garage

8:30 - 9:30 am  LER/Policy and Compliance

9:30 - 9:45 am  Break

9:45 - 10:30 am  Acting Division Chief, Operations
   - BEST TF Options
   - Open Discussion

10:30 - 10:45 am  Break

10:45 - 11:15 am  Acting Division Chief, Operational Programs
   - Mentoring, Recruiting, Training...program notes
   - Change of Command Ceremony

11:00 - 12:00 pm  Closing Remarks by Chief Patrol Agent Douglas Harrison
FIELD INFORMATION REPORT (FIR):

DTM-IBET:

(b)(7)(E)

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION (CBP)

WARNING: The information contained herein remains under the control of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), through the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection. This report has been designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and contains LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE INFORMATION. It is provided for intelligence purposes only. Unauthorized use or disclosure of the information contained in this report may result in disciplinary action, termination of employment and prosecution. The recipient is responsible for the security and privacy protection of this information. Recipients are authorized to disseminate this report to appropriate DHS/CBP/ICE personnel on a need to know basis. This information may not be released to any other person, office, or agency without prior approval from the originator. Requests for use or further dissemination of any material contained herein should be made to CBP Office of Intelligence and Operations Coordination, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20229 or by phone at (b)(6). (b)(7)(C).

OFFICIAL USE ONLY - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

(b)(7)(E) 7/1/2015
Status: DRAFT
Title: DTM-IBET:
FIR Number: (b)(7)(E)
Restricted: No
Archive Date: 07/01/2016
Query Notification: (b)(7)(E)
Category: (b)(7)(E)
Sub-Category: (b)(7)(E)
Reliability: 1 - HIGHLY RELIABLE
Evaluation: 1 - VERIFIED
Source Type: (b)(7)(E)
Description: Shiprider Arrest of [b][6, b][7(C)] COB: IRAQ, LPR

GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES
Location Name: Detroit River, Windsor, Ontario
Latitude: (b)(7)(E)
Longitude: (b)(7)(E)
Launch Universal Location Point Selector Window

Synopsis:
Shiprider encounter: On June 5, 2015 at approx. 1245hrs EST, in the Detroit River, area.

Shiprider (Royal Canadian Mounted Police and United States Coast Guard) stopped a white/grey vessel (b)[6, b][7(C)] with two male occupants of Arabic descent who illegally entered Canadian waters near Sterling Fuels on (b)(7)(E) Windsor, Ontario. (b)(7)(E) is very well known to local, Provincial police and RCMP as a common area for human smuggling/illegal entry via small boats/kayaks and OMG activity. It should also be noted that the reporting requirements for entering into Canada differ from the U.S. law in that private boaters are always required by law to report to a CBSA designated marine reporting site to obtain clearance prior to entering into Canadian waters. (Failure to report may result in detention, seizure of the vessel, or penalties up to $1000 CDN)

Records checks for (b)[6, b][7(C)] were conducted with the RCMP and USCG. According to USCG Constable of Belle Isle, records checks revealed subject was a USC, and was on the (b)(7)(E) Both reports from USCG were later proven inaccurate. (b)[6, b][7(C)] is not on a (b)(7)(E) and his U.S. citizenship was denied Feb 2015. RCMP checks revealed (b)[6, b][7(C)] had a 2007 outstanding warrant from Windsor.
Police Services (WPS), for Assault w/ Weapon x2, Resist Arrest, CDSA, Failure to Appear. RCMP arrested [b](6), [b](7)(C) put him in handcuffs and towed the grey vessel [b](6), [b](7)(C) and his passenger [b](6), [b](7)(C) to the HMCS Hunter, Canadian Coast Guard base. [b](6), [b](7)(C) was allowed to smoke a cigarette while waiting for WPS. After [b](6), [b](7)(C) was TOT Windsor Police, Shiprider returned to the U.S. (without reporting) and towed [b](6), [b](7)(C) vessel and passenger to Belanger Park boat launch, River Rouge, Detroit, MI turning custody of the vessel and passenger to [b](6), [b](7)(C) brother [b](6), [b](7)(C) (DOB [b](6), [b](7)(C) RCMP spoke with [b](6), [b](7)(C) and made arrangements for the vessel and his nephew. It should be noted that [b](6), [b](7)(C) requested an apology from RCMP when in conversation RCMP asked him if he was a "boater" [b](6), [b](7)(C) advised that this word is derogatory to people of Iraqi descent.

[b](6), [b](7)(C) was held at WPS Detention until 06/11/2015 when his family provided bond. He was escorted out of Canada by CBSA.

**Narrative:**

**Names of occupants:** [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**DOB:** [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**COB:** IRAQ, previous country of residence: Saudi Arabia.

**A#:** [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**Status:** LPR

**AKA:** DOB: [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**COB:** Unknown

**Vessel:**

Michigan plate [b](6), [b](7)(C) crossed at the Detroit/Windsor Tunnel on June 11, 2015 at 0935hrs to pick up [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**R/O**

[b](6), [b](7)(C) 2008 FORD SW 28

DEARBORN, MI 48126-3241

[b](6), [b](7)(C) EXPLORER

A single male driver crossed on 06/10 in the Michigan plate [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**DOB:** [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**Document:** [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**Occupants in MI plated vehicle on 06/11 were:**

1) [b](6), [b](7)(C) (f)

**DOB:** [b](6), [b](7)(C)

**USC**
She also crossed on 06/10 in another MI plated vehicle:

2)  
DOB: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
USC
Document: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

3)  
DOB: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
USC
Document: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

4)  
DOB: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]
USC
Document: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)]

He also is associated to a FL plated vehicle and a TX plated vehicle:

[b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and on 05/21/15 was referred to secondary in the FL plated vehicle at the Ambassador Bridge which revealed cell phone photos of freezer bags of marijuana, large quantities of currency and photos of injured pit-bulls.

TX plated vehicle: [b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  [VIN b)(6), (b)(7)(C)] and on 08/08/2014 was referred to secondary in the TX plated vehicle with another occupant from Saudi Arabia on an F1 visa. The TX plated vehicle has crossed the Laredo, TX border almost daily numerous times.

The vehicle has only crossed 3 times in 2015: June 8, 10, 11 - so all crossings must have been due to dealing with arrest.

TF Work Folder: [b)(7)(E)
Other Agency: Windsor PD  04/20/14 20:26:00 DTM L3 Opr:

Official Station: DTM  Assist: Void: N

Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack:  Salt: Agent: Cam: Cnt: 0

Windsor PD (SSGT [b](6), [b](7)(C)] called to inform [b](7)(E) that Ontario DNR released a 16' fisher, alum, beige colored vessel with [b](6), [b](7)(C) (approx 1830) on the Canadian side of the Detroit River (across frm Belle Isle) after giving the vessel operator several tickets. Subj was ran thru [b](7)(E) and returned pos 51/52 but the CAN originating agency would not pick up or extradite so Ontario DNR released subj [b](6), [b](7)(C) - DOB [b](6), [b](7)(C) USC) along with 2 other males who stated they were from Iraq on VISA. ON-DNR requested that Windsor PD notify USBP as the subjs acted suspicious. 200 units notified. Subj is career criminal, [b](7)(E) owns several vessels, pos 46 and previous smuggling and pos CPIC, pos 52. All requested checks ran.

Intel/WC/200 Sup notified.

*** Tickets for DTM: 1 ***
Other Agency MSP trooper 04/20/14 23:13:21 DTS L3 Opr: MSP trooper contacted regarding one possible at making contact

** Tickets for DTS: 1 **
AUTO 0446-1 Hole in the Wall Nor 04/20/14 01:52:41 GIB L1 Opr
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void
Star: Unit: Rsp:Ack RsLt:Unknown Cam: Cnt:1

AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 07:06:09 GIB L1 Opr
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void
Star: Unit: Rsp:Ack RsLt:Unknown Cam: Cnt:1

FISHING TRAFFIC, A LOT OF BOATS MOVING THROUGH AREA.

AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 08:03:43 GIB L1 Opr
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void
Record Last Updated: 04/20/14 08:13:43 by operator

AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 09:05:36 GIB L1 Opr
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void
Record Last Updated: 04/20/14 09:38:43 by operator

AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 09:33:57 GIB L1 Opr
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void

AUTO 0448-1 LOCAL TRAFFIC, FISHERMEN OFF LINE UNTIL 2 PM.

AUTO 0468-1 LOCAL TRAFFIC, FISHERMEN OFF LINE UNTIL 2 PM.
AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 15:23:36 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Agent Cam: Cnt:1
382
AUTO 0468-1 324 04/20/14 17:47:13 GIB L1 Opr: [b(6), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Agent Cam: Cnt:1
324
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 17:50:10 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Agent Cam: Cnt:1
[AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 17:58:39 GIB L1 Opr: [b(6), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Record Last Updated: 04/20/14 18:01:20 by operator [b(6) b(7)(C)]
Star: [b(6), b(7)(C)]
Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:1
AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 18:00:38 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:2
AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 18:04:27 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:1
AUTO 0447-1 Hole in the Wall Sou 04/20/14 18:04:55 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:1
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:05:13 GIB L1 Opr: [b(6), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:5
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:09:38 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:4
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:13:13 GIB L1 Opr: [b(6), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:6
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:17:36 GIB L1 Opr: [b(6), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:4
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:21:58 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:5
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:26:21 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:9
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:30:44 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:4
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:34:17 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:10
AUTO 0468-1 04/20/14 18:38:38 GIB L1 Opr: [b(8), b(7)(C)]
Official Station: GIB Assist: Void:N
Star: Unit: Rsp: Ack RsLt: Unknown Cam: Cnt:5
*** Tickets for GIB: 34 ***
Other Agency AT 11:22 HRS, ERIE C 04/20/14 11:35:13 SBY L3 Opr (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Official Station: SBY Assist: OA Void: N

Start [Star] Time: Unit: Resp: Resp Retl: NoViol Cam: Cnt: 0

AT 11:22 HRS, ERIE COUNTY SHERIFF DISPATCH [b][6] (b)(7)(C) DEPUTY ON STOP AT [b][7][E]

DEPUTY ON STOP AT [b][7][E] OF MASON OH. [b][7][E] CONTACTED AGENT [b][6] CONTACTED ERIE CO SHERIFF DEPUTY.

THE SUBJECT HAD THE PAPER WORK HE NEEDED. ALL BP AGENTS CLEAR.

(b)(7)(E) ID: SIR: FIR:

*** Tickets for SBY: 1 ***
*** Total Records for USBP Detroit Sector: 37 ***
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 11:04 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: 2015 DTM Task Force Analysis
Attachments: TF Eval Summary.docx

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2015 8:32 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: DTMOIP (b)(7)(E)
Subject: 2015 DTM Task Force Analysis

Sir,

Per your request, DPT has assembled the attached 2015 DTM Task Force Analysis. Please let us know if you would like any modifications made or if you need anything further.

Best Regards,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector Headquarters

Detroit Planning Team
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Cell

“Planning Ops and Tracking MOPs”
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2015 4:21 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cc:  
Subject: FW: Another Detroit Tractor trailer smuggling case  
Attachments: ASOJAN.pdf  

Sir,

As per our discussion, here is the information that BPA-I, a member of the DTM TAG forwarded to HSI TFA for further investigation. BPA-I post seizure analysis and led to the mapping of the 4 addresses below. The subjects at the addresses within the same event driving tandem loads through the same checkpoint where all the seizures took place. I will forward a follow up email to you.

Regards,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Acting Deputy Patrol Agent in Charge  
United States Border Patrol  
Detroit Sector Intelligence Unit  
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  

WARNING: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 USC 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with Department of Homeland Security policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need to know" without prior approval from the sender.
This one was from 01/27/2015 and had similar TTPs to the ones in December and the big one in November. The map below depicts how close their addresses are to each other in Detroit. All are east siders.
3 Cases, 4 different tractor trailers all in LRN in the last six months. The principal’s addresses in Detroit are represented below.
Chief,

I want to make sure that you are aware of some of the specific assistance I have given in this matter because of our meeting yesterday. Thus, I am forwarding the below email and I will be forwarding one additional email regard the same subject.

Thank you,

Assistant U. S. Attorney
Acting Chief, National Security Unit
United States Attorney’s Office for the E.D. of MI.
Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Desk: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

It is recommended that you make the following changes to the SEARCH WARRANT AFFIDAVIT:

1. (b)(5)
Affidavit for the Complaint.

Follow the same directions above but only as applicable to a complaint. If you have the information you may want to include a 18 USC 922(g)(5)(A) charge in the complaint.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 4:07 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: AUSA
Subject: Arrest and Search Warrants

Attached are the documents supporting the arrest and search warrants for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). We look forward to hearing from you. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Station
U.S. Border Patrol
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Looks like we’ll be assisting ICE on this one, or the other way around, pretty quick. No word yet on immigration status.

Thank you,

Division Chief (Acting)
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

Good Afternoon Division Chief,

Attached, please find a one-pager on a real-time developing case initiated yesterday from information gleaned from an area cab driver. Thank you sir.
One-Pager as requested.

Watch Commander

Detroit Border Patrol Station

11700 East Jefferson Ave.

Detroit, Michigan 48214

“the only way to find your life is to lay your own life down” Andrew Peterson
See attached.
ISSUE / BRIEFING TOPIC:
On Thursday, January 21, 2016, DTS agents received a call from a taxi driver in Detroit regarding a suspicious fare from that day. The taxi driver believed that the passenger was conducting illegal activities but did not exactly know exactly what.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Detroit Border Patrol (DTS) agents interviewed the taxi driver on the evening of the 21st. The taxi driver advised that he was hired by (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to drive her from the Detroit, MI to a business in Wixom, MI where she picked up merchandise in cardboard boxes.

Upon returning to the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) checked out of her hotel room because she "had revealed herself" then requested to go to the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in Detroit, MI where she checked into room At this time Ms. realized that she was short two boxes and contacted the business in Wixom. She was advised that she would have to return on the 22nd to pick up the boxes because they were closing for the day. instructed the cab driver that she would be requiring his services in the morning to return to Wixom in order to retrieve the missing boxes.

On January 21st, DTS agents responded to the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in unmarked vehicles along with SIU agents. Agents were able to follow (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) who was still using the same cab driver, went into the store and left shortly thereafter in the cab. She returned to the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for the night.

SIU agents contacted the cab driver to gather more information. DTS agents went and interviewed the clerk at the (b)(7)(E). The clerk advised that Ms. said that she will be shipping merchandise from the store to an unknown address and will be using the (b)(7)(E) address as the return address.

DTS agents and SIU conducted records checks on (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) to discover that she was a citizen of Great Britain born in Eritrea with no derogatory info.

On January 22nd, agents (b)(7)(E) on with the assistance of the cab driver. DTS agents followed the cab to the store in Wixom. After the two missing packages were recovered from the location, DTS agents entered the store and spoke with a female employee. The employee advised that (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was dealing in counterfeit merchandise and that they refused to ship it.

DTS agents contacted ICE HSI and advised them of the situation.

CURRENT SITUATION:
DTS agents are (b)(7)(E) on who is currently at the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). Once she drops the packages off at the (b)(7)(E) the agents will contact ICE HSI who has committed to seizing the counterfeit merchandise.
As discussed. See below message.

Sirs:

The Michigan Civil Air patrol is very interested in working with DHS to secure our Northern border along Lake Superior. Our new Wing Commander Col. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) will be briefed in detail this Fri, Jan 23rd. The Michigan Deputy Director of Operations, Lt Col (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) would like to discuss this matter with someone from DHS before he briefs Col. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). We will pattern our MOU after the Texas Civil Air Patrol which has been flying hundreds of sorties in support of CBP along our Southern Border with 100% of the cost paid by Hdq CAP!!

If you have a contact, please call or e-mail me before Wed Jan 21st so (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and I can prepare the briefing. As you are well aware, the recent audit done by DHS has criticized the huge expense of Predator drones for the Southern border ($12,000/hr!!) and has recommended the use of manned aircraft. (CAP cost ~$140/hr!!)
Sirs, please review and forward to OCC for consideration.

This subpoena is in regards to a search warrant I assisted with while assigned to HSI.

FYI
Thank you again for your help. Please have contact me on my cell phone so I can touch base with him regarding this investigations. I will give him a more specific time for his testimony once we have the jury selected.

This is in regards to a task force case he worked with us in an illegal massage parlor in Washington Township/Wyandotte.

Thanks

Detective Sgt. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Macomb County Sheriff's Department

Major Crimes Unit

Desk# (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cell# (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
PEOPLE VS: DANIEL MICHAEL ROBIN

IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN
YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR BEGINNING AT:
10:00AM ON Tuesday, May 19, 2015
FOR Circuit Court Jury Trial
BEFORE [b](6), (b)(7)(C)
40 N. Main Street
Mt. Clemens, MI 48043

To: [b](6), (b)(7)(C)

SUBPOENA
04/23/2015
CASE NO.
COMP NO.

STATE OF MICHIGAN
16th Judicial Circuit Court
Macomb County

YOU ARE UNDER SUBPOENA UNTIL YOU ARE
EXCUSED BY THE COURT OR THE PROSECUTOR.
FAILURE TO APPEAR AS ORDERED MAY SUBJECT
YOU TO PENALTIES FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT.

[b](6), (b)(7)(C)
Prosecuting Attorney
by: [b](6), (b)(7)(C)

Dear Witness:

The Court has issued a subpoena ordering you to appear as a witness. The Court, in accordance with the statute, will pay you for each day you are needed to testify. PLEASE BRING YOUR SUBPOENA WITH YOU. After attending court, please present it to a representative on the 3rd floor of the County Administration Building.

I know that making time for court-ordered appearances can be extremely difficult. However, you must appear on the specified date and comply with the order unless the officer in charge of the case notifies you otherwise prior to the trial date.

I thank you for your cooperation. If you have questions, please contact my office at [b](6), (b)(7)(C), 8:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m., and we'll try to answer them for you.

[b](6), (b)(7)(C)
Macomb County Prosecuting Attorney
I stand corrected, sorta. I do remember now that BPA picked up the project and produced this document (attached), which was also left in a folder on your desk. He consolidated everything submitted to BPA and generated this stand-alone document. I will still keep searching my Outlook account for the each station’s submittal.

*Please note that DTS DEA Group 6 TF was discontinued; mutually agreed upon.

*Please note that GIB DEA Group 7 TF is currently being serviced by a DTS BPA; it is recommended that GIB should go back to oversight upon completion of current rotation has extended.

Patrol Agent in Charge

U.S. Border Patrol - Detroit Station

11700 E. Jefferson Avenue

Detroit, Michigan 48214

Office

Fax

Cell

Dispatch 24/7
Subject: Corrected: 2015 DTM Task Force Analysis

Sir,

Per your request, DPT has assembled the attached 2015 DTM Task Force Analysis. Please let us know if you would like any modifications made or if you need anything further.

Best Regards,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Border Patrol Agent
Detroit Sector Headquarters

Detroit Planning Team
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C), Cell

“Planning Ops and Tracking MOPs”
Detroit Sector 2015 Annual Task Force Assessment

Background

On June 30th, 2015 a request for information was sent to Detroit Sector station management requesting an issue paper assessment of their current, respective task force posture and status. Results were to be sent to the Detroit Sector Division Chief of Operations.

Executive Summary

Detroit Sector received twenty-four task force reports with recommendations in response to the June 30th, 2015 request. Of the twenty-four reported on:

- Eighteen recommend extending participation at current levels
- One taskforce recommending continued participation but replacing the task force agent at the request of the task force supervisor
- Three taskforces recommended (b)(7)(E)
- One task force was recommended discontinued after the current term
- There was one request that a new task force be added to replace one of the (b)(7)(E)

The following pages contain results and recommendations by station in both graphic and narrative format. All information contained in this document was derived directly from the station issue papers sent to the Division Chief of Operations.
Detroit Station (DTS)

COMET Taskforce:
This taskforce primarily focuses on street-level narcotics and is transitioning to look at the source of narcotics.

Supporting Actions:
This taskforce has increased our partnerships with local law enforcement and gives the Border Patrol a better view of narcotics in the county of Macomb.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

Detroit Police Department Gang Taskforce:
This taskforce primarily focuses on gang activity and the apprehension of violent and high-profile criminals.

Supporting Actions:
In addition to increasing our partner involvement with DPD, this taskforce also provides a large potential benefit to the stations intelligence program.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
**FBI Violent Crimes Taskforce:**
This taskforce primarily focuses on violent crimes and street gang activity.

**Supporting Actions:**
This taskforce has actively assisted station agents with their investigations and casework.

**Recommendation:**
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended. (b)(7)(E)

---

**HSI BEST Taskforce:**
This taskforce focuses on border-related crime, controlled substances, weapons violations, and crimes relating to national security.

**Supporting Actions:**
This taskforce has supported DTS with numerous cases and targets.

**Recommendation:**
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

---

**DEA Team 6 Taskforce:**
This taskforce primarily focuses on narcotics violations.

**Supporting Actions:**
This taskforce has yet to provide support to the station and has only provided limited support to DTM SIU.

**Recommendation:**
It is recommended that the taskforce position be extended, but that BPA be removed. The DEA Team 6 supervisor has requested his removal.
DEA Group 7 Taskforce:
This taskforce primarily focuses on large-scale narcotics through

Supporting Actions:
This taskforce has supported GIB and the GIB SID immensely in operations, intelligence, and as a liaison to federal, state, and local law enforcement partners.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

HSI Outbound Currency Smuggling Taskforce:
This taskforce focuses on international narcotics smuggling through

Supporting Actions:
This taskforce has supported GIB and the GIB SID through station investigation assistance. It also helps to identify TCOs and criminal networks operating at

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

HSI BEST Taskforce:
This taskforce focuses on human trafficking.
Supporting Actions:

This taskforce has supported GIB through de-confliction. It supports the Border Patrol through liaison work and access to ________________ and prosecutorial capacities.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

FBI CHIEF Taskforce:

This taskforce focuses on large-scale narcotics trafficking in _________________.

Supporting Actions:

This taskforce benefits the Border Patrol by giving us visibility on criminal networks and TCOs utilizing ________________ for illicit and illegal activities. It also gives us access to valuable FBI casework, and through liaison work with federal, state, and local law enforcement.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
**Marysville Station (MRV)**

**Port Huron Police Department, Neighborhood Enforcement Taskforce:**

This taskforce primarily focuses on neighborhood identification and prosecution of criminal enterprise groups.

**Primary Benefit:**

This taskforce has the potential to target groups responsible for drug trafficking, money laundering, alien smuggling etc.

**Recommendation:**

It is recommended that slot be dissolved after current term, unless intelligence is produced.

**Thumb Area Narcotics Unit**

This taskforce is a Michigan, Police let, multi-jurisdictional task force focusing on illicit trafficking.

**Primary Benefit:**

Cross-border smuggling events have occurred in the area historically. MRV believes that illicit cross border traffic\[(b)(7)(E)\] the Thumb area of Michigan. Although the return on investment for this area make take years to yield results. An Agent imbedded with MSP will allow the station access to information that would otherwise be unable or untimely.

**Recommendation**
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

**St. Clair DTF**

This taskforce primarily focuses on street-level narcotics and is transitioning to look at the source of narcotics.

**Primary Benefit**

In the course of investigation, information has developed of a **(b)(7)(E)** from the West Coast to the Port Huron area. Case work has also identified **(b)(7)(E)** originating at the Arizona border into the Port Huron Area.

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
Sandusky Bay Station (SBY)

OCTF Taskforce:
This taskforce primarily focuses on targeting high level TCO’s involved with organized crime.

Primary Benefit:
This TF will continue to target TCO’s that are involved with organized crime after the conclusion of these current investigations.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

HSI BEST TF:
This TF targets mid to low-level objectives with relatively short investigations.

Primary Benefit:
Having an affiliation with this TF results in a large volume of information for SBY.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

JTTF-Toledo TF:
This TF provides access to classified information on (b)(7)(E) within the SBY’s AOR.
Primary Benefit:
Having direct involvement with this TF allows SBY to regularly assess \( (b)(7)(E) \) within the AOR.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

**HSI Immigration Group:**
This TF specifically addresses SBY’s legacy mission of immigration.

Primary Benefit:
This assignment has built the relationship of trust between SBY and HSI Cleveland.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

**Lorain County Drug TF:**
This TF primarily focuses on narcotic violations.

Primary Benefit:
Having an agent on the LCDTF gives SBY access to other LE departments within Lorain County.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

**DEA Cleveland TF:**
This TF primarily targets high level TCO’s involved in narcotics smuggling in the Cleveland area.

Primary Benefits:
Having an affiliation with this TF results in a large volume of information for SBY.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
Northern Ohio Law Enforcement TF:

This TF targets large scale narcotic trafficking.

Primary Benefit:

This TF has partners at other federal, state, county and local levels. This will allow for interaction at several LE levels, and expected intelligence. Although this TF does focus on long-term gains, if approved, this would complete SBY’s portfolio of TF’s with three focused on long term gains, and four focused on short to mid-term gains.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
Sault Saint Marie Station (SMM)

UPSET Taskforce:
This taskforce primarily focuses on narcotics.

Supporting Actions:
This taskforce has supported SMM by increasing partnerships with state, local, and tribal law enforcement, for cross-border activities, increasing a 360 law enforcement view of the SMM AOR, and exposing a smuggling TTP.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended and that additional training be provided.

TRIDENT Taskforce:
This taskforce primarily focuses on narcotics.

Supporting Actions:
This taskforce has supported SMM by increasing partnerships with state, local, and tribal law enforcement, for cross-border activities, increasing a 360 law enforcement view of the SMM AOR, and exposing a smuggling TTP.

Recommendation:
It is recommended that this taskforce be extended and that additional training be provided.
FBI Joint Task Force

This is a multi-jurisdictional task force focusing on TCO’s and illicit drug trafficking.

Primary Benefit

This position has adequately served as a useful conduit to pass Border Patrol generated intelligence to the FBI JTTF

Recommendation

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.

(b)(7)(E) Task Force

This is a multi-jurisdictional task force focusing on TCO’s and illicit drug trafficking.

Primary Benefit

This position has adequately served as a useful conduit to pass Border Patrol generated intelligence to the FBI JTTF

Recommendation

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
HIDTA Detroit

This TFA plays a role in information sharing and agency representation in the law enforcement and intelligence community.

Primary Benefit

TFA regularly \(\text{(b)(7)(E)}\) specific to our mission involving both northern and southern border activity. This position also has assisted as a de-confliction service to station Commanders throughout the Detroit Sector.

Recommendation

It is recommended that this taskforce be extended.
ALCON,

Please see below as I just received this from my Group Supervisor. It outlines the new processing SOP for CTCEU cases that HSI Detroit will be investigating. The attachment discusses the new immigration priorities and the email below explains some processing changes, specifically the I-213 narrative. Of note, all CTCEU cases are deemed Priority 1A and should be detained. If you have any questions, feel free to call me or my GS, [contact information in his signature below).

Thank you.

---Original Message---
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 6:22 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: CTCEU Processing

As requested, this is for your supervisors:

When processing CTCEU subjects, use this line as the first annotation in the I-213 narrative:

This is a Homeland Security Investigations (HSI), Counterterrorism Criminal Exploitation Unit (CTCEU) investigation.

This serves primarily as a message to ERO and OPLA. The leadership of ERO and OPLA in the SAC Detroit AOR are fully aware of the information this message conveys. This simple statement has a great deal behind it; meetings, discussions, and agreements in an effort to move these cases forward and comply with the intent of DHS directives. Please do not reference the DHS priorities or directives in your reports. We don’t want to get locked into any framework that limits options in court or otherwise.

As we discussed in the VTC about this issue: Please also call ERO as soon as possible during or after processing to convey that the subject headed their way is a CTCEU arrest. If arresting and/or processing these subjects with Border Patrol assistance, please encourage their internal and HQ communications to include the line noted above as well.

For the cases determined to have possible “compelling equities,” please reach out to \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) for his input in preparation for ASAC \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) review.

Call me anytime if you have any questions.

\( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \)

Group Supervisor
Counter Terrorism Criminal Exploitation Unit (CTCEU) & Human Trafficking Task Force (HTTF) Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) – Detroit
Desk: \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \) | Cell: \( (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) \)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 6:24 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: FW: Day One

fyi

Respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Executive Officer
Operations Division
Detroit Sector Headquarters
26000 South Street, BLDG 1506
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI 48045

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:09 PM  
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Subject: FW: Day One

STX BIC orientation, a 1 on 1 look at how STX BIC operates with all the different agencies.

1
JTT War room orientation with the guys that are the enforcement/targeting team for the target deck. JTT works directly with STX to (b)(7)(E) however the JTT puts (b)(7)(E) and performs all after action interviews for intelligence scrub.

Spoke to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) [Mexican Liaison] and his counterpart a Mexican Federally Analyst. This to me was very informative as we can start sending all of the biographical data on all Mexican/Central American OTM's we encounter to (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). That information can be run on the south side to see criminal or DTO affiliations. This will help us target those individuals we are releasing back on the street that don't meet requirements for deportation on our side of the fence.

LETC brief. Two way radios are used to monitor traffic, doesn't seem applicable to what we are doing. Situational awareness of the Laredo AOR.

Ended the day with a brief with Chief (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and his staff. Mr. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was very inquisitive as to why someone isn't here!!!

Thanks,
Visa waiver overstay

Thank you,

Division Chief (Acting)
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

Ok. What is her immigration status?

Detroit Sector
Looks like we’ll be assisting ICE on this one, or the other way around, pretty quick. No word yet on immigration status.

Thank you,

Division Chief (Acting)
Detroit Sector Border Patrol

Good Afternoon Division Chief,

Attached, please find a one-pager on a real-time developing case initiated yesterday from information gleaned from an area cab driver. Thank you sir.

Patrol Agent in Charge
U.S. Border Patrol - Detroit Station
11700 E. Jefferson Avenue
Detroit, Michigan 48214

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, January 22, 2016 2:48 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW:

One-Pager as requested.

Watch Commander
Detroit Border Patrol Station
11700 East Jefferson Ave.
Detroit, Michigan 48214

"the only way to find your life is to lay your own life down" Andrew Peterson
See attached.
Got it

Thank you,

Sirs, please review and forward to OCC for consideration.
This subpoena is in regards to a search warrant I assisted with while assigned to HSI.

Thank you again for your help. Please have contact me on my cell phone so I can touch base with him regarding this investigation. I will give him a more specific time for his testimony once we have the jury selected.

This is in regards to a task force case he worked with us in an illegal massage parlor in Washington Township/Wyandotte.

Thanks

Detective Sg

Macomb County Sheriff’s Department

Major Crimes Unit
Desk# (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cell# (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)