

# CBP

## Trade Support Network Requirements Report

October 31, 2017



U.S. Customs and  
Border Protection



# TSN Requirement Report - ALL COMMITTEE REQUIREMENTS

Requirement #	GIF	TSN Requirement Description	Submission date	Status	Release (s)	Comments
ACT-001		The Trade must have the capability to establish and define their own accounts.	8/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2	Update 4/20/09 - Consider requirement closed. Any new account types will be covered by future GIFs.
		(Portal requirement)				Update 3/25/08 - CBP needs to approve the account structure.  Update 4/11/2007: In scope for A1  Importer accounts delivered in Account Creation (Release 2). Periodic Payment (Release 3) establishes initial broker and truck carrier accounts. Other account types are scheduled for future releases. Account structure is subject to approval by US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Periodic Payment (Release 3) will provide for multiple business categories for an account.
			Owner:	Monica Crockett		
ACT-001.1		A. The Trade must also be able to establish relationships between accounts in different trade roles.	8/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2	Update: 4/20/09 - Considered closed. Future requirements will be addressed with GIFs.
		B. The Trade must be able to link multiple (sub) accounts across corporate structure.				Status 4/11/2007: In scope for A1, A2, A3.
		C. ACE will generate a unique identifier for each defined account and will ensure that the account being defined does not duplicate another with the same name.				
		(Portal requirement)				
			Owner:	Monica Crockett		

Available ONLY in ACE	ACE APP	ACE Available Environment	ACE Docs Posted	Superseded ACS APP Names	ACS Available	ACS Shut-off Date
ACE Cargo /Manifest/ Entry Release Status Query	CQ/C1	Yes Production	Yes	IN/IS II/IR *	No	
ACE Cargo Release	SE/SX Update Action	Yes Production	Yes	LN/LS PS -Bill of Lading Update	No	
ACE Cargo Release	SE/SX	Yes Production	Yes	HN/HS -Border Cargo Release	No	
ACE Cargo Release	SE/SX	Yes Production	Yes	HI/HR - Cargo Release	No	
ACE Cargo Release Status Notification	SO	Yes Production	Yes	RR	No	
Broker Download	BD	Yes Production	Yes	BD	No	
Census Warning Override	CW/CO	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
Census Warning Query	CJ/CL	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
Customs eBond Create/Update	CB/CX	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
Customs eBond Status Notification	BS	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
Document Imaging System	Not Applicable	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
Entry Summary Create/Update	AE/AX	Yes Production	Yes	EI/ER US	No	
Entry Summary Status Notification	UC	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
Importer/Bond Query	KI/KR	Yes Production	Yes	KI/KR	No	
Importer/Consignee Create/Update	TI/TR	Yes Production	Yes	TI/TR	No	
Importer Security Filing	SF/SN	Yes Production	Yes	SF/SN	No	
Importer Security Filing Status Advisory	SA	Yes Production	Yes	SA	No	
In-Bond	QP/QT WP/WT-In-Bond	Yes Production	Yes	QX/XT WX/WT Air In-bond	No	
Line Release	XR	Yes Production	No	XR	No	
NAFTA Duty Deferral	NE/NX	Yes Production	Yes	KJ/KL	No	
Participating Government Agencies	Sent within other APPS*	Yes Production	Yes	Sent within other APPS	No	
Periodic Monthly Statement	MS	Yes Production	Yes	MS	No	
Periodic Monthly Statement Reroute	MO/MQ	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
PGA Data Corrections	CA/CC	Yes Production	Yes	CP/CT	No	
Quota Query	QA/QB	Yes Production	Yes	UI/UR	No	
Stand Alone PGA Data	PE/PX	Yes Production	Yes	WP/WT-Prior Notice	No	
Status Notification for Stand Alone PE	PO	Yes Production	Yes	new functionality in ACE	No	
<b>Available concurrently in ACS and ACE:</b>	<b>ACE APP</b>	<b>ACE Available Environment</b>	<b>ACE Docs Posted</b>	<b>ACS APP Names</b>	<b>ACS Available</b>	<b>ACS Shut-off Date</b>
Entry Summary Query	JC/JD	Yes Production	Yes	JI/JR	Yes	TBD
Extract Reference File - Reference Files Query	FQ/FO	Yes Production	Yes	FI/FR	Yes	TBD
Query Manufacturer File	MA/MY	Yes Production	Yes	\$N/\$S	Yes	12/9/17
<b>Scheduled to transition from ACS to ACE:</b>	<b>ACE APP</b>	<b>ACE Available Environment</b>	<b>ACE Docs Posted</b>	<b>ACS APP Names</b>	<b>ACS Available</b>	<b>ACS Shut-off Date</b>

Add Manufacturer Name & Address	\$I/\$R	In CERT Now In PROD 12/9/17	Yes	\$I/\$R	Yes	12/9/17
Daily and Periodic Daily Statement	PF	In CERT Now In PROD 12/9/17	Yes	QR	Yes	12/9/17
Foreign Trade Zone	FT/NF FZ/NF ZD	In CERT Now In PROD 12/9/17	Yes	FT/NF FZ/NF ZD	Yes	12/9/17
Request Reroute of Statement	MO/MQ	In CERT Now In Prod 12/9/17	Yes	QO/QQ	Yes	12/9/17
Statement Update transaction	SU/SQ	In CERT Now In PROD 12/9/17	Yes	HP/HT	Yes	12/9/17
Automated Surety Interface	AQ, AR, AS, BO	In PROD 2/24/18	Yes	AP, AQ, AR, AS, BO	Yes	2/24/18
Courtesy Notice	NR	In PROD 2/24/18	Yes	NR	Yes	2/24/18
Drawback - Core	DE/DX	In PROD 2/24/18	Yes	JJ/JL	Yes	2/24/18
Reconciliation	RE/RX	In PROD 2/24/18	Yes	RA/RB	Yes	2/24/18
Temporary Importation Bond Expiration Notice	TS	In PROD 2/24/18	Yes	TS	Yes	2/24/18
Temporary Importation Bond/Extension/Closure	TE/TX	In PROD 2/24/18	Yes	XN/XS	Yes	2/24/18
Drawback - TFTEA		TBD		n/a	n/a	n/a
AD/CVD Case Information Query	AD/AC	In CERT Now	Yes	CN/CS IP/IT	Yes	TBD
Automated Clearinghouse	RM/PZ	Cannot test payment	Yes	QN/QS PN/PZ	Yes	TBD
Automated Surety Interface SEACATS	AP	In CERT Now	Yes	AP	Yes	TBD
Harmonized Tariff Schedule	HA/HY VR	TBD	Yes	WI/WR VR	Yes	TBD
Harmonized Tariff Schedule	HB/HZ - HSU updates	TBD	Yes	FI/FR	Yes	TBD
Request Reroute of statement ACH authorization (Collections)	MO/MQ	Cannot test reroute of payment	Yes	QO/QQ	Yes	TBD
<b>Transitioned to other on-line resource</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>On-Line Environment</b>	<b>Column1</b>	<b>Superceded ACS APP Names</b>	<b>ACS Available</b>	<b>ACS Shut-off Date</b>
Protest	Portal	Yes in Portal		PJ/PL QJ/QL RJ/RL SJ/SL SS	No	8/27/16
Currency Update	on-line	Yes in cbp.gov		%R	Yes	TBD
OGA Query - FDA Product Code Build Query	on-line	Yes in fda.gov		MJ/ML	No	9/16/17
OGA Query - Product Code Query PA/PY	on-line	Yes in fda.gov		NP/NT	No	9/16/17

Will not transition:	ACE APP	ACE Available	ACE Shut-Off Date	Old ACS APP Names	ACS Available	ACS Shut-off Date
Automated Invoice Required	n/a	No		US	No	2/28/16
Automated Invoice Interface	n/a	No		CI/CR	No	9/16/17
Consignee Name/Address	n/a	No		KN/KS IJ/IL	No	9/16/17
Entry Date Update Transaction	n/a	No		DN/DS	No	9/16/17
Error Statistics Query	n/a	No		#I/#R	Yes	TBD
OGA Query - FDA FEI Add/query	n/a	No		PP/PT	No	9/16/17
OGA Query - FWS Species Query	n/a	No		FN/FS	No	9/16/17
PGA Affirmation of Compliance Query	AA/AY	No	10/28/2017	OP/OT	No	
PGA Product Code Query	PA/PY	No	10/28/2017	NP/NT	No	9/16/17
Query Laboratory/Gauger	n/a	No		GN/GS	Yes	TBD

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-002</b>	<b>968</b>	The Trade must have the capability to access all data pertaining to their account, both administrative and transaction.  (Portal requirement)	8/1/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-968) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-002, ACT-002.1 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>Status 3/25/08 - ad hoc reports capabilities are scheduled for A2.1 Additional data will also be made available in A2.1</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>All ACS transadctional data will not be available with Account Creation (Release 2) and Periodic Payment (Release 3). Additional data will be available in the future Data availability will expand as ACE becomes the system of record. Initial transactional data will be updated nightly. Compliance data will be updated monthly. Access privileges to account data are controlled by the Account Owner. Ad hoc report generating capabilities will not be provided because of concerns with both band width and systems performance. Periodic Payment (Release 3) will provide additional capabilities to the trade in terms of being able to select specified fields for reports. Capability to run 60 plus reports will be provided in Periodic Payment (Release 3). Cross over with other business processes. Trade will have access to the transaction data in ACE that is available in ABI today</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-002.1</b>	<b>968</b>	The Trade must have the capability to access all data pertaining to their account, both administrative and transaction. A. Access must be "immediate, real time."  (Portal requirement)	8/1/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-968) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-002, ACT-002.1 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Some data like release notifications will be real time. All other data will have mostly a 24 hour delay. Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Cross over issue with multiple business processes. Transaction data is real time. If the data is coming from the business warehouse then there will be a lag time. Trade will have access to the transactin data in ACE that they have access to in ABI.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-002.2</b>	<b>971</b>	B. Access to account data includes access to other accounts that have been linked hierarchically and/or across corporate structure	8/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1,A2, A3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-971) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-002.2, ACT-002.3, ACT-003.3, FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>Update 3/25/08 : Implemented in A1 thru cross account access</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Tied to cross account access which will be delivered in A1.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-002.3</b>	<b>971</b>	C. Access by the trade to account information must be prohibited unless the account-owner grants access.	8/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-971) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF ALSO COVERS ACT-002.2, ACT-002.3, ACT-003.3 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Cross account access - Role based access privileges will remain as they are today. The Trade Account Owner or proxy controls access to the portal.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-002.4</b>		D. An ad-hoc report-generating tool for creating reports from ACE must be available, with capabilities to support analysis.	8/1/2001	Accepted	A1, A2	<p>Update 4/20/09 - Checking with Committee on whether requirement can be closed as met.</p> <p>Update 3/25/08 - Ad hoc report generating planned for A2.1 U.pdate 4/11/07: The account committee recommended the requirrequirement be put on hold until we see the authorized data extract and whether that will meet the needs of this requirement.</p> <p>Ad hoc report generating capabilities will not be provided because of concerns with both the band width and systems performance.</p> <p>Need to revisit with CBP once reporting tool has been selected.</p> <p>1/17/2007 - The bulk data download capability (authorized data extract) will allow the user to download data to their own system and create their own reports.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-003</b>	<b>969</b>	The Trade must have the capability to maintain all account data created/ submitted by their users.  (Portal requirement)	10/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-969) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF ALSO COVERS ACT-003, ACT-003.1 ACT-007.11 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>Status: 03/25/2008 - ESAR review - this functionality is and will be available for all releases.</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implemenation.</p> <p>In Account Creation (Release 2), the Account Owner will be able to maintain their account structure through the portal. Access privileges to update account data are controlled by the Account Owner .</p> <p>In Account Creation (Release 2), the Account Owner will be able to create and update account relationships across the account structure.</p> <p>Merging of accounts will be handled in Periodic Payment (Release 3) and will be a collaborative effort with the Account Manager.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-003.1</b>	<b>969</b>	A. Maintenance must be "immediate, real-time."	10/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-969) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF ALSO COVERS ACT-003, ACT-003.1 ACT-007.11 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Only some data like release notifications will be near real time; all other data will mostly have a 24 hour delay in availability</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Current design will be maintained.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-003.2</b>		B. The Account Owner must have the capacity to maintain links to other accounts.	10/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1,	<p>03/25/08 - ESAR review - Available in A1 through cross account access.</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Will be addressed by cross account access.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-003.3</b>	<b>971</b>	<p>The privilege to maintain account data rests with the Account Owner who can grant maintenance privileges to other users.</p> <p>In addition to granting maintenance privilege to a specific user, the Account Owner can authorize an agent to act on their behalf.</p> <p>ACE must validate transactions to ensure submission by only an authorized user.</p>	10/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-971) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-002.2, ACT-002.3, ACT-003.3 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>03/25/2008 - TAO and Proxy has ability in each release to grant maintenance privileges.</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implemenation.</p> <p>The Trade Account Owner will continue to assign user roles which will control account access privileges.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-004</b>	<b>970</b>	Capability for the Trade to access the list of the compliance data elements and definitions, including how CBP tracks/measures them.	8/1/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2.3, A2.4, A3, M1, M2.1, M2.3, M3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-970) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-004, ACT-007.5, ACT-007.14, ACT-014.1FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>062909: Trade looking for compliance information for ocean/rail manifest.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Planned for A2.2</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Account Creation (Release 2) provides for aggregated cargo exam compliance reports and entry summary compliance reports with the ability to drill down to the discrepancy details. Periodic Payment (Release 3) will provide further filtering capabilities for the reports and will allow the user to generate a report on one discrepancy flag indicator in addition to the Account Creation (Release 2) report which shows all flags. The ability to review remarks is under review by legal policy.</p> <p>Cross over with multiple business processes. Compliance measurement information as delivered in Release 2 will continue to be provided to brokers and importers with ACE portal accounts. CBP does not provide information on the methodology they use to track company compliance.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-005</b>		Electronic power of attorney capability whereby an account can establish and maintain a POA relationship	10/1/2001	Rejected	2	<p>Requirement rejected previously.</p> <p>Account Creation (Release 2) will provide the importer a report by filer code.</p> <p>Periodic Payment (Release 3) will provide the ability for the importer to designate brokers who can file on their behalf. In a future release a courtesy notice will be send to the importer when a non-designated filer files an entry showing their IR number.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-006</b>		<p>ACE must include a contact log capability whereby significant interactions between the Trade and CBP are captured and recorded by CBP.</p> <p>A. The contact log must be searchable and sortable, e.g., by account, date, initiating party, person contacted.</p> <p>B. The trade requires the capability to record and maintain contact information in the log. Specifically, there must be a field for each recorded contact in which the Trade can enter its own after-the fact annotations or commentary.</p>	8/1/2001	Withdrawn	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M2, M3	<p>June 29, 2009 - This requirement does not apply to M1 because carriers are not managed accounts - WITHDRAWN</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - BAL functionality delivered. The Accounts Committee is scheduling a meeting with the ITDS Committee to discuss communications between PGAs and the trade. Additional GIFs will follow as need. Trade will not be updating this requirement recommendation.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: The account committee will draft a survey to identify communications nees and either revise or cancel this requirement based on survey results and what is delivered in A1 to further utilize the BAL.</p> <p>Account Creation (Release 2) provides for a contact log to capture significant interactions between the trade and CBP. The contact log is searchable by date range, keyword in the description or type. Additional enhancement to the contact log will occur in Periodic Payment (Release 3) and future releases. Periodic Payment (Release 3) provides the ability to do a search within a search, as well as the ability to search attachments.</p> <p>The SAL provides for associated contacts. There is not a separate field provided for the trade to enter annotations or commentary for internal purposes only. The full description field, however, could be used for additional notations.</p> <p>An enterprise level communications tool has not been selected. This requirement will be updated when additional information is available.</p> <p>GIF 216 was prepared addressing the features requested but not included in current releases. Submitted to CBP on 2/16/2006.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007</b>	<b>972</b>	Importer Web Portal Requirements including view/list/search capabilities needed, maintenance functions required, query and download capabilities, status notifications and searches and interactive notes.	6/14/2002	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2.3, A2.4, A3, M1, M2.1, M2.3, M3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-972) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO COVERS ACT-007, ACT-007.1, ACT-007.12, ACT-007.8, ACT-007.9</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - The Accounts Committee will be preparing GIFs providing more specificity on requests included in ACT 007.</p> <p>3/25/08 - The CSMS has been delivered and meets the Administrative Message capabilities of this requirement.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: Several GIFs will be prepared to address various capabilities requested in this requirement; including viewing Admin Messages via the portal and reports. GIF 216 submitted covering overall communications.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>Initial functionality is provided in Account Creation (Release 2). Additional functionality will be provided in subsequent releases. Some points in this requirement are subject to CBP policy considerations.</p> <p>This requirement will be review ed point by point with further input provided to the Accounts Committee.</p> <p>This requirement covers multiple business process owners.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.1</b>	<b>972</b>	The importer account portal should contain the following additional detail:  b. List/search capability for all Protests/Petitions/PEAs/SILs filed and status	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	Post Core	<p>DEC 1 2015: Post Core Development; Per Monica Crockett - search capability via the Protestant Account for protest only will be developed for protests entered via the Portla. All other searches will be available in ACE reports.</p> <p>October 2014: PSC data is available via ACE reports. Protests/Petitions data will also be made available via ACE reports once capability is delivered.</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-972) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO COVERS ACT-007, ACT-007.1, ACT-007.12, ACT-007.8, ACT-007.9</p> <p>3/25/08 - Post Summary Corrections (replacing PEAS) is planned for A2.3. Protests will be in A2.4</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will be implemented in late A2 or A3. Will require a GIF</p> <p>Display capability will be available. Searches will be by entry number. 1/17/07 Post Summary Corrections will replace SILs and PEAs with A2.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-007.10</b>		Maintenance of Importer/Account information (CF 5106) - initial input of data	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1	<p>Status: 03/25/2008 - ESAR review - Available in A1 drop - ACCEPTED</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: In scope for implementation for A1 &amp; A2 when continuous bond is on file.</p> <p>1/17/07 - A1 will provide the capability for the importer to create the 5106 via the ACE Secure Data Portal.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-007.11</b>	<b>969</b>	Maintenance of Importer Account information	6/14/2002	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2.2, A2.3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-969) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF ALSO COVERS ACT-003, ACT-003.1 ACT-007.11 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>Status: 03/25/08 - Functionality delivered and ongoing since Release 2</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: In scope for implementation</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.12</b>	<b>972</b>	Reports needed: 1. Detail of Refund checks issued 2. ACE filers need the ability to query the Account Profile system for bond information 3. Check the status of a quota from the web portal	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1, A2.3, A2.4, A3	<p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ACCEPTED BY CBP.</p> <p>update: 3/25/08 - Refund checks planned for A 2.3; Bond query requested planned for A2.3; and checking the status on a quota is also planned for A2.3</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement for item 2 will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update: 6/18/2007</p> <p>1. Detail of refund checks issued: Valarie Neuhart needs to review refund detail on how CBP will implement</p> <p>2. ACE filers need the ability to query the account profile system for bond information : CBP plans to accept this requirement pending legal policy review. Phyllis Henry will verify if a separate GIF is required. If so a GIF will be prepared to provide the filer ability to query ACE for bond information.</p> <p>3. Check the status of a quota from the portal: This is a post release requirement - Valarie Neuhart needs to review and determine how CBP will implement.</p> <p>As this time there are no plans to make quota status available via the web portal.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.13</b>	<b>973</b>	PGA status information - This is release information and also if the shipment is being detained, for what reason. What is missing that the importer needs to file so that the release can be obtained.  List/search release status	6/14/2002	Accepted	CR	<p>OCTOBER 4, 2011 - This requirement was accepted by CBP</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release. This requirement will be covered with the PGA disposition codes that will contain PGA status/hold information.</p> <p>JANUARY 11, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - JIM SWANSON - Some of the PGA functionality will be delivered in M1. The agency placing the hold, the hold type text and the hold type will be returned to automated partners in M1. There is also the ability to place public remarks in the message.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-973) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Being reviewed by CCR and ITDS as part of the release coordination process between CBP and the PGAs</p> <p>Need input from CCR. The CCR team and the CBP/AST ITDS team need to review this requirement with similar ITDS requirements that pertain to a coordinated release policy between CBP and the PGAs.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Vincent Annunziato			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.14</b>	<b>970</b>	View compliance measurements by port and nationwide by EIN for rejections, exam errors, CF 28s, CF29s, liquidation changes, disclosures, late filings, etc.	6/14/2002	Accepted	Inc 10, 11	<p>DEC 1 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED</p> <p>October 2014: The ACE reports team will continue to work with the trade and Agile teams to determine what data to make available as capabilities become available.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-970) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-004, ACT-007.5, ACT-007.14, ACT-014.1 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Needs more requirement specificity for CBP to address. The Accounts Committee will be preparing GIFs as needed to clarify this request.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: Not all complete. Operational functions belong to V. Neuhart. Compliance functions belong to K. Bridgford. Exam errors belong to K. Santos. May need a GIF. Future discussions will be held and CBP/AST will prepare a GIF, if required, for committee review.</p> <p>1/26/07 - Accepted in part as some of the requested data is currently available.</p> <p>Transaction data will be available but maybe not in the 'score card' format that the requirement is requesting.</p> <p>Cross over with multiple business processes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-007.15</b>		List/search entry summaries with Census errors	6/14/2002	Accepted	A2.2	<p>Update 4/20/09 - The Accounts Committee will evaluate the new report provided with A2.2 to see if it meets user needs.</p> <p>Update: Planned for A2.2 - portal functionality. The Accounts Committee is preparing a GIF covering additional data elements that need to be available in a report on Census warnings.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will be included as a report data element.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.2</b>		The importer account portal should contain the following additional detail:  c. List/search capability for all Rulings affiliated with importer	6/14/2002	Rejected	3	Status 4/11/07: REJECTED - Rulings will not be in ACE. Trade may want to pursue with a GIF.  No expectation to do this in ACE. CROSS will remain separate.  <i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett
<b>ACT-007.3</b>		The importer account portal should contain the following additional detail:  i. List/search capability for lines flagged, reconciliation filed, status and etc.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	2, 3, 4, A2.3, A2.4, A3	AUGUST 28, 2009 - This report is included in the A2.3.2 baseline so we can map the requirement to A2.3.2 and close it.  Update: 3/25/08 - Planned for A2.3 but need additional specifics. The Accounts Committee will provide additional information as needed.  8/9/07 - Data elements will be available for download in a report. Anita Brown will clarify the data elements necessary.  <i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett
<b>ACT-007.4</b>	<b>977</b>	The importer account portal should contain the following additional detail: h. ii. See a flag on each line where the HTS was changed in liquidation	6/14/2002	Accepted	INC 10	DEC 1 2015: THE CURRENT VERSION OF THE ES IS AVAILABLE IN ACE REPORTS.  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -977) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-023, ACT-007.4  Updated: 3/25/08 - This functionality should come when liquidation is delivered in A2.4  8/9/07 - This refers to liquidation information. CBP will provide an ABI liquidation query and the information can be obtained in a report download.  This functionality is not currently planned.  <i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.5</b>	<b>970</b>	The importer account portal should contain the following additional detail: j. Exam Status section	6/14/2002	Accepted	4, M1, M2, M2.3, M3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-970) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-004, ACT-007.5, ACT-007.14, ACT-014.1 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>1/26/07 - R4 provided the capability to identify that an exam took place on an entry.</p> <p>(Being reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>Confirm what is being delivered for the trade to know the exam status of a shipment.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-007.6</b>		Add maintenance link for setting privileges for other users or filers	6/14/2002	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Is this covered by cross account access?</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: In scope for implemenation.</p> <p>Will maintain current role based design..</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.7</b>	<b>842</b>	Add USC Administrative messages  List /search open CF 28/29s by importer and filer  List/search closed CF 28/29s by importer and filer	6/14/2002	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 1 2015 - THIS DATA IS AVAILABLE IN ACE REPORTS</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - Fro importers this functionality was ACCEPTED and delivered in A2.2 and will be completed in A2.3.1a. For Brokers this functionality is included in CSPO-GIF-842-ACT-801-A2.3-BROKER ACCESS TO CBP FORMS 28, 29, &amp; 4647 VIA THE PORTAL OR EDI.</p> <p>Update 4/20/2009 - A2.2 delevered a CBPF 28, 29, 4647 status report. Account committee members will be evaluating whether report meets needs. Portal also provides a search capability for importers.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Administrative messages delivered with CSMS. List/search open CF 28s 29s is planned for A2.2</p> <p>4/3/2007 - CR 1896 will deliver a listServ approach to receiving administrative messages for all parties.</p> <p>GIF 217 has been prepared covering the request to receive CBP Administrative Messages via the ACE portal. Presented to CBP on 2/16/2006.</p> <p>1/17/07 A CR has been created for the administrative messages.</p> <p>Administrative messages - current plans are to continue to provide Admin Messages thru ABI. An enterprise communications tool has not been selected.</p> <p>ACE is not tracking open and closed 28s and 29s. The trade will be able to search an entry individually to determine if a 28 or 29 has been issued.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-007.8</b>	<b>972</b>	List open increased Duty Bills/Sanction	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-972) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO COVERS ACT-007, ACT-007.1, ACT-007.12, ACT-007.8, ACT-007.9</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Planned for A2.4</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - Should be owned by V. Neuhart or P. Henry. Need to discuss further.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-007.9</b>	<b>972</b>	List open outstanding Duty Bills (all duty bills that do not have a paid status)  (portal requirement)	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-972) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO COVERS ACT-007, ACT-007.1, ACT-007.12, ACT-007.8, ACT-007.9</p> <p>Update: 03/25/08 - Rev GIF 669 submitted covering request 7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update : 6/18/2007: Does the trade want a query (pull) or a push capability. CBP can provide a query capability. If the trade wants a pull a separate GIF will be required</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-008</b>		Bond Application Requirements including a list of data elements the sureties recommend ACE capture for bond application information.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A2.4	<p>Initial functionality is planned for a future release. This requirement needs to be reviewed by the Accounts Committee. The requirement being prepared by the eBond Subcommittee might replace this requirement. Some points in this requirement are subject to CBP policy considerations.</p> <p>09/23/04 This requirement has been withdrawn and will be covered in the eBond requirement submitted.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009</b>		Surety Web Portal Requirements including view and query capabilities needed, maintenance functions required, download capabilities and the ability to file bond riders and terminate a bond.	6/14/2002	Replaced	A1, A2.4, A3	<p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS BEING REPLACED BY SEVERAL CSPO GIFs RELATING TO SURETY FUNCTIONALITY.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Need GIF to address the ability to file bond riders and terminate a bond. eBond functionality is scheduled for A2.4</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Several GIFs will be prepared to address various capabilities requested in this requirement; including viewing Admin Messages via the portal, surety downloads and reports via the portal.</p> <p>Initial functionality is scheduled for a future release. Additional functionality will be provided in subsequent releases. Some points in this requirement are subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement will be reviewed point by point with further input provided to the Accounts Committee. (1/26/07 - Has been completed.)</p> <p>This requirement covers all business processes.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.1</b>		The surety account shall include the surety code as well as contact information, company name and service of process address information and Treasury limit. Several addresses and contacts to be included, i.e. mailing addresses, corporate/physical address.	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - In scope for implementation.</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04</p> <p>1/17/07 - Surety account set up</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.10</b>	<b>985</b>	<p>The surety account shall have the ability to query/view protest data by importer and entry number or bill number with the ability to file a protest through the portal.</p> <p>It shall also provide the ability to view/query/petitions and offers in compromise by importer and entry number or case number, with the ability to file a petition or offer in compromise through the portal.</p> <p>(Portal requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Accepted	INC 11	<p>DEC 1 2015: SEARCH CAPABILITY VIA THE PROTESTANT ACCOUNT WILL BE DEVELOPED FOR PROTESTS ENTERED VIA THE PORTAL. USER WILL VIEW ONLY THOSE ENTERED BY USERS. ALL OTHER SEARCHES WILL BE IN ACE REPORTS</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIRMENT IS COVERED IN CSPO-GIF-985-ACT-009.9-A2.4-ACCESS TO CLAIM INFORMATION.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Need to determine if a GIF was filed providiing more specifics by the Revenue Committee. Protests are planned for A2.4</p> <p>8/9/07 - Ability to file a protest via the Portal is not in scope for ACE. May require legal policy determination on access to the data. Need more specific information on what data the surety wants to query. Will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop.</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04.</p> <p>This point includes two recommendations to be tracked.</p> <p>Query/view functionality will be available. There are no plans at this time to allow protests to be filed via the portal.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.11</b>		<p>The surety account shall have portal access to any Administrative Messages and District/Port "Pipelines" issued by CBP. In Addition, Pipelines issued by CBP shall be added to surety download data.</p> <p>(Portal &amp; download requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Accepted	A2.2	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - CSMC allows sureties to view administrative messages. Delivered Feb. 08</p> <p>4/11/07 - Port pipelines are out of scope for ACE.</p> <p>4/3/2007 - CR 1896 will deliver a ListServ approach to receiving administrative messages for all parties.</p> <p>GIF 217 has been prepared addressing the request to receive CBP Administrative Messages via the ACE portal. Submitted to CBP on 2/16/2006.</p> <p>1/17/07 - A CR has been created for the administrative messages. The administrative messages are not tied to an ACE release.</p> <p>Requirement revised 9/23/04.</p> <p>An enterprise level communications tool has not been selected. Admin message will be delivered via ABI.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.12</b>	<b>959</b>	<p>SURETY DOWNLOAD REQUIREMENTS PART 1</p> <p>The surety account shall continue to be provided the ability to receive regular, periodic downloads of its continuous bonds, and entries (and related courtesy notices), in-bond movements, increased/supplemental duty bills, and FP&amp;F cases arising from activities secured by its bonds.</p> <p>(Download requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 1 2015: ASI CAPABILITIES WILL BE DEVELOPED IN ACE FOR DATA THAT IS COLLECTED IN ACE. AS DATA IS ADDED THE CAPABILITIES WILL BE MODIFIED.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE.</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF=959-ACT-828-A2.3-EXTRACTS TO SURETIES ASI DATA DOWNLOAD.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Further investigation needed to determine if GIF was filed or still being prepared.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: This is a surety download issue that requires further review by the ESAR A1 and A2 teams. This is part of the data download issue and needs to be discussed further to determine if an additional GIF is required.</p> <p>Requirement revised 9/23/04.</p> <p>No FP&amp;F data. Surety download in A1 will be thru ABI.</p>

*Owner:* Monica Crockett

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.13</b>	<b>985</b>	SURETY REPORT DOWNLOAD REQUIREMENTS The surety account shall have the ability to export data from entry, bill, and FP&F portal reports in order to manipulate for output or internal processing. Would be similar to the currently available entry summary and importer/bond queries under ABI.  (Download requirement)	6/14/2002	Accepted	Inc 10, 11, 12	DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED. USERS CAN DOWNLOAD DATA FROM ANY REPORT THEY HAVE ACCESS TO.  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF-985-ACT-009.9-A2.4-ACCESS TO CLAIM INFORMATION.  03/25/08 - A GIF will be prepared by the Surety Subcommittee to address this request  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04.  No FP&F data. The reporting tool for ESAR is still under investigation.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.14</b>		The surety account shall provide the ability to maintain its POA filings: to grant, change, and revoke powers of attorney electronically. The surety account shall provide the ability to view and maintain its POA (CF-5297) activity by individual attorneys-in-fact (by SSN), by port, or countrywide. As part of this, there should be a history log indicating who, when and what was changed.  (Portal Requirement)	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1, A2	Update: 3/25/08 - The ability for the TAO to create and maintain the CSPOA was delivered in A1. Any future requirement will be covered by a GIF submitted by the Surety Account Subcommittee  Status 4/11/07 - CBP working on providing this capability. The TAO will have access to this data. K. Bridgford will determine if additional GIF is required.  1/17/07 - Initial capability will include the ability for the surety to view the CSPOA via the portal. CBP is discussing the maintenance from a legal perspective.  Requirement revised 9/23/04
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.15</b>		The surety account shall have the ability to view bond application data. (the request to file applications has been removed - that was covered under E-bond.)  (Portal Requirement)	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A2.4, A3	AUGUST 28, 2009 - THE ACCOUNT COMMITTEE WILL WITHDRAW THIS REQUIREMENT - IT IS COVERED BY THE E-BOND REQUIREMENT SUBMITTED BY THE REVENUE/E-BOND SUBCOMMITTEE.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 if covered under eBond; if not subcommittee will prepare a GIF  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.16</b>	<b>959</b>	SURETY AND EXTRACT REFERENCE FILE REQUIREMENTS - The surety account shall have the ability to view, query and download information from the "Extract Reference File" as currently identified in ABI, or its equivalent under ACE. The surety account requests the ability to query any code in the extract reference file, such as but not limited to claims and status codes. (Also referenced in Rev-008.)  (Portal & download requirement)	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER SEACATS MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF=959-ACT-828-A2.3-EXTRACTS TO SURETIES ASI DATA DOWNLOAD.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 if not earlier  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04  ABI filers can download reference data. CBP is currently reviewing whether all reference data will be available on the portal.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.17</b>		The surety account shall have the ability to query and view for multiple surety codes for surety companies that are related by common ownership or management, and have the ability to show the results of its queries on a "consolidated" or "group" basis.  (Portal Requirement)	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1, A2	Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1 - surety account can add surety doces to their portal accounts  Status 4/11/07 - In scope for implementation. Must be at the surety code level to view bonds. Reports will allow user to run a report for everything.  Requirement revised 9/23/04.  Cross account access.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-009.18</b>	<b>985</b>	CLAIMS RESOLVED DETAILS - All claims should indicate how a claim was resolved (petitioned, protested or paid) and by whom (i.e. importer or surety, or set-off).  (Portal & download requirement)	9/23/2004	Accepted	Inc 12	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS BEING DEVELOPED IN INCREMENT 12 AND WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF-985-ACT-009.9-A2.4-ACCESS TO CLAIM INFORMATION  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3 - Surety subcommittee will be preparing a GIF to cover specifics of the request  /25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.19</b>	<b>986</b>	Access to the periodic monthly statement reports. This should include the ability to view at the continuous bond number level, importer ID level and Filer code level.  (Portal requirement)	9/23/2004	Accepted	Inc 10, 11	October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -986) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3 pending legal review and approval by CBP.  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04.  Need bond number on receivable. Reporting tool for ESAR still under investigation.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-009.2</b>		With regard to the ability to change surety account information, a history log should be maintained identifying who changed information, and when (date) and what was changed.  (Portal Requirement)	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1	Update: Delivered in A1.  Status 4/11/07 - In scope for A1.  A history log will be available but will not indicate the account users who made the change.  1/17/07 - A new tab on the ACE Secure Data Portal for A1 will be the Change History tab.  Revised 9/23/04
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.20</b>	<b>986</b>	Access to the A/R reports. This should include the ability to view at the continuous bond number level as well as the importer ID level. (question: is the bond number currently available?? It could be at the CBP view level and not at the trade's view level.  (Portal requirement)	9/23/2004	Accepted	Inc 10, 11	DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -986) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3 if CBP legal agrees that sureties can see this information.  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04.  Need bond number on receivable.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.21</b>	<b>987</b>	FILTERING SURETY OUTPUT: Include any default as an instant alert (i.e., periodic statement.) Allow each surety to filter output based on default type and status on a per portal user basis.  (Portal requirement)	9/23/2004	Accepted	Inc 10, 11	DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -987) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3 if GIF was created at October workshop. Need to verify with Surety Subcommittee and Revenue Committee  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04  Under review.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.22</b>	<b>988</b>	SURETY PAYMENT - The portal should have the capability for a surety to set up payment to CBP through it. It should allow a surety to identify an item which will be paid in full, mitigated amount paid, or if an offer in compromise is being paid. The access to this part shall be controlled by the surety/account owner.  (Portal requirement)	9/23/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -988) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 - tied to Payment tab GIF  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 9/23/04
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.23</b>	<b>989</b>	SURETY: TRACKING PAYMENT: The surety and CBP should have the ability to track payment by bond. (i.e.: CBP should be aware when a surety has paid its full limit of liability and the bond can no longer be called upon).  (Portal requirement)	9/23/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: BOND SUFFICIENCY CAPABILITY IS ON THE BACKLOG FOR POST CORE E-BOND WORK.  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -989) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 at the latest 7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12  Requirement revised 09/23/04
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.24</b>	<b>959</b>	SURETY DOWNLOAD REQUIREMENTS PART 2 The surety download shall provide information at various times: daily (entry data), weekly (FPF data), monthly (CTB data), and quarterly (re-fresh of entry data). It shall also be able to download up to 15 gigabytes of information to any surety.  (Download requirement)	9/23/2004	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 1 2015: ASI CAPABILITIES WILL BE DEVELOPED IN ACE FOR DATA THAT IS COLLECTED IN ACE. AS DATA IS ADDED THE CAPABILITIES WILL BE MODIFIED. THE CAPABILILTIES ARE BEING DEVELOPED TO ALIGN WITH EXISTING ACS ASI CAPABILITIES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF-959-ACT-828-A2.3-EXTRACTS TO SURETIES ASI DATA DOWNLOAD.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 at the latest</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Requirement revised 09/23/04.</p> <p>For A1, the surety download will remain thru ABI.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.3</b>	<b>982</b>	<p>The surety account shall include the ability to view all continuous and single transaction bonds and all types of riders on file including their effective and termination dates, with look up by importer identification number when you are the surety, or importer name with wild card.</p> <p>The surety should able have the ability to view history of bonds (i.e., name change, address change, etc.)</p> <p>(Portal &amp; download requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Accepted	Inc 5, 6, 7	<p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P input for cargo release. It is part of the electronic single transaction bond process.</p> <p>MAY 3, 2011 - This GIF was determined to be partially in scope for cargo release - CBP will provide an ABI Query. The GIF is still listed in "Review" status in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011 - Cargo Release Revalidation comment - This GIF could also be met with GIF 574 and the ability to search and view both continuous and single transaction bonds. The GIF covers bothe the ability to view all STBs in the portal as well as revising the current Customs Bond Report AM 5024 to include STBs.</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -982) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Ability to view continuous bond information will be available by A2.1. Single transaction bond will be 2.4</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12</p> <p>Revised 9./23/04</p> <p>10/16/05 Need to coordinate with Finance Team. Will any funtionality be included in A1? When will single transaction bonds be included in ACE?</p> <p>1/17/07 - In A1 the surety will be able to view information on continuous bonds thru the ACE Secure Data Portal.</p>
<b>ACT-009.4</b>		<p>The surety account shall include the ability to establish and maintain users for which the surety permits access under the surety account. The surety account shall also include the ability to limit access to view.</p>	6/14/2002	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered A1</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - In scope for A2, A3.</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04.</p>

*Owner:* Monica Crockett

*Owner:* Monica Crockett

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.5</b>		<p>The surety account shall provide access to the Importer/5106 file, or its equivalent, for importers using a surety's bonds, including history of past bonds and rider activity for surety inquiry.</p> <p>(Portal Requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A2.2	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - With A1 the importer has access to their bond and rider information. The surety does as well</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.6</b>	<b>959</b>	<p>SURETY: VIEW LIQUIDATED AND UNLIQUIDATED ENTRIES</p> <p>The surety account shall provide the ability for the surety to view/query all entry data, liquidated and unliquidated for all entries for any bond a surety writes.</p> <p>Part II: It is requested that this include line item HTS data, ADD/CVD case number, open CF 28/29 and closed CF 28/29 information and A1A documents available to surety for public access.</p> <p>(Portal &amp; download requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF-959-ACT-828-A2.3-EXTRACTS TO SURETIES ASI DATA DOWNLOAD.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A 2.4 at earliest if CBP legal allows.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Need more information from committee on what data elements are needed. May need legal policy determination on access to data. Will discuss further at the October 2007 Revenue Workshop. Will require a GIF.</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04</p> <p>Trade will be able to query an entry summary to determine if a 28 or 29 was issued.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.7</b>	<b>983</b>	SURETY VIEW OF ENTRY SUMMARY DATA - The surety account shall allow the surety to view or query entry data including periodic payment and reconciliation flags secured by any of its bonds by importer identification number.  (Portal requirement)	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>FEBRUARY 3, 2011 - This GIF was determined to be not in scope for cargo release. The GIF is still listed in "Review" status in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011- This GIF was updated and submitted to CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -983) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 at the earliest if no legal issues.</p> <p>8/9/07 - ACE can provide status field for reconciliation that can be downloaded in a report. Need to confer with Phyllis Henry on periodic payment data. Will discuss at the October 2007 Revenue Workshop. Will need a GIF</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-009.8</b>	<b>984</b>	<p>ALLOW MULTIPLE SURETIES TO VIEW ENTRY DATA - ACE shall provide the ability for more than one surety to view entry data for an importer. An importer may use one surety for a continuous bond and a different surety for the single transaction bond (i.e., ADD or CVD). All sureties should have access to that data, not just the surety for the continuous bond.</p> <p>A new field to notify the surety of a change in exposure is also required (i.e., entry cancellation, superseding bond).</p> <p>All sureties and their bond type should be identified.</p> <p>(Portal &amp; download requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Accepted	A2.4. CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per CBP legal policy review this requirement is accepted for cargo release</p> <p>MAY 3, 2011 - This GIF was accepted as part of cargo release. This GIF is still listed in "Review" status in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -984) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.4 if allowed by CBP legal</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-009.9</b>	<b>985</b>	<p>SURETY: GUI REQUIREMENTS</p> <p>I. The surety account shall provide the ability for the surety to view/query, both by importer number or entry number or bill/case number, the Increased Duty/Additional Duty/Supplemental Duty Bill or FPF Case. These claim types include: FPF claims, Increased Duty/Additional Duty/Supplemental Duty Bills, Passenger User Fees, Debit Vouchers/ACH Defaults, Liquidated Damages, and Penalties.</p> <p>II. The surety should be able to view detailed information on each claim type above by status: open, closed, protested, protest decision, petitioned, petition decision, offer in compromise, offer in compromise decision, formal demand on surety, billing cycle statuses, and sanction eligibility. (For purposes of this document any reference to "bill" is considered an increased duty bill, additional duty bill or supplemental duty bill).</p> <p>(Portal requirement)</p>	6/14/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER SEACATS MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -985) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3</p> <p>/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Same status as ACT-009.12</p> <p>Revised 9/23/04</p> <p>No FP&amp;F data</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-010</b>		<p>The Ability of one broker to see another filer's information: This requirement focuses around the ability for one filer to view another filer's data when providing certain services for an importer. Through ACE the importer is going to be provided with data that is inherent to his Account. This data is critical to the success of performing certain post release functions, such as Reconciliation, Drawback, Consulting, etc. The Broker Subcommittee would like the ability to get access to this data, if authorized by the importer to do so, through their portal. This would involve giving importers the ability to further define access as it relates to their data and who can access it for viable business needs.</p>	6/17/2003	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1 thru cross account access</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - In scope for A2, A3.</p> <p>1/17/07 - Cross account access will meet this requirement. With one single sign on the broker will be able to access multiple accounts based on privileges granted. Brokers will use a pick list to move between accounts.</p> <p>Currently under legal policy review by CBP.</p> <p>Cross Account access</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-011</b>	<b>591</b>	<p>Broker Quick Views &amp; Reports: In this requirement the brokers are requesting quick views and reports that support their compliance efforts and business needs. Below are a few of the sample views &amp; reports:</p> <p>Quick Views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. CM Compliance rate</li> <li>b. ABI/ACE reject rate</li> <li>c. Total duties/taxes/fees paid by broker</li> </ul> <p>Reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Port reports providing the entered value, entries filed, duties, taxes &amp; fees, etc.</li> <li>b. % broker's share of the entry summaries, value &amp; duties, taxes &amp; fees, etc.</li> </ul>		Accepted	3, A1, A2.3, A2.4, A3, M2	<p>October 2014: Status unchanged</p> <p>May 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF-591) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW. PREVIOUS GIF 213 IS CLOSED. This requirement was re submitted as GIF 591 which is in review status in the Dimensions data base</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Quickviews A &amp; C delivered with A1. B will be partially delivered in A2.1 Report A delivered with A1. Report B would be 2.3 at the earliest</p> <p>8/9/07 - New GIF no = CSPO-GIF-279 -ACCEPTED - 6/27/07 ECP-CR-1748</p> <p>Initial functionality will be provided in Periodic Payment (Release 3). Additional functionality will be provided in subsequent releases.</p> <p>Reporting tool is still under investigation.</p> <p>A GIF will be prepared addressing the ABI ACE Reject Report and the Port Monitoring Report. GIF 213 was submitted on 2/16/2006. Referred to determine available of data elements for A1.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>1/17/07 - Under review.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-012</b>		<p>Broker Access Levels: The Broker Account Subcommittee feels that ACE needs to provide Accounts the ability to limit user access levels to members of their own organization. Organizations vary in size and function, so consequently, access levels to data and the ability to either view or manipulate data would be dependent upon their position within the brokerage company. This involves controlled access throughout the portal, including data.</p>	6/17/2003	Accepted	3, 4, A1,	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered in Release 4 and A1. New GIF presented by Broker Subcommittee to limit report access approved and slotted for A2.3.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - In scope for A1. There is no geographical information included.</p> <p>1/17/07 - The broker account tree will be changed with A1 to more accurately reflect the account structure.</p> <p>Access privileges to account data are controlled by the Account Owner. Additional access controls at the tab level will be provided in Periodic Payment (Release 3). Subject to CBP policy considerations. A clarification on the geographic need referenced in the requirement is requested from the Broker Account Subcommittee.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-013</b>	<b>842</b>	CF 28's & 29's: This requirement involves the introduction of the CF 28, 29 and 4647 into the ACE portal. Quite often, brokers are involved in responding to the CBP's requests on CF 28s and 29s for importers. In order to do so in a timely manner, it would be very advantageous for importers and brokers to be notified through the account portal as soon as CBP creates a CF 28 or 29. An alert message could appear on the Account's home page notifying them of a new item (potentially within the SAL). If so, SAL would also need to display the creation date or due date of the request. Also, the advent of a reminder notice as the CF 28 or 29 due date approaches, would allow importers to be even more compliant.	6/13/2003	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: (REF ALSO ACT-013 GIF 842) IMPORTERS WHO SIGN UP TO RECEIVE COMMUNICATIONS VIA THE PORTAL ALREADY HAVE THIS CAPABILITY. THIS CAPABILITY WOULD HAVE TO EXTEND TO BROKERS AND SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHEN WE ENHANCE/REFACTOR ACE ACCOUNTS.</p> <p>tober 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>JANUARY 21, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-842) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.2 importers only. At the January 2007 meeting with trade brokers requested not to push forms to brokers via the portal. The Broker Account Subcommittee will be preparing a GIF requesting this capability in A2.3</p> <p>8/9/07 - Accepted in part. There will be no alert or reminder notice. Brokers would need to request the information and let CBP know the preferred method of communication.</p> <p>Currently under review. Subject to CBP policy considerations.</p> <p>Notification will be thru ABI or paper.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-014</b>	<b>974</b>	<p>The trade needs the ability to download entry summary data, and other compliance related results and statistics via the Internet Account portal.</p> <p>A. All entry summary elements that have been transmitted to CBP should be available for download.</p> <p>B. These downloadable elements should include all data and data fields referred to in the CATAIR.</p> <p>C. An authorized user would be able to download entry data for a specific period, for a specific importer or record, and even for a specific filer, SCAC or port of entry.</p> <p>D. Data should be downloadable in a variety of formats such as Adobe Acrobat, MS Excel, Dbase formats, comma delimited, etc. (R3)</p>	1/30/2004	Accepted	Inc 8, 9, 10, 11	<p>DEC 1 2015: THIS DATA IS AVAILABLE IN ACE REPORTS</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -974) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>: Will there be an extract download capability for carriers with M1?</p> <p>8/9/07 - The data will be available from the authorized data extract and data can be downloaded with the new reporting tool.</p> <p>Concern is band width and systems performance.</p> <p>(072704: Changed to L/P. SM)</p> <p>The data download capability is currently being investigated. Reporting tool is under investigation.</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared covering the request for a bulk data download capability (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). Approved as part of CR 1687.</p> <p>An authorized data extract capability is scheduled to be provided after the move to the new ACE reporting tool.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-014.1</b>	<b>970</b>	All related compliance data, such as exam results, including Stratified compliance exams, and related commodity specialist (and entry specialist) review notations, should be available to download.	1/30/2004	Accepted	A1, A2.3 A2.4, A3, M1, M2, M2.3, M3	<p>October 2014: Statuss unchanged</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-970) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-004, ACT-007.5, ACT-007.14, ACT-014.1 FOR ALL FUTURE RELEASES.</p> <p>062909: Will there be an extract download capability for carriers with M1?</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - will be delivered prior to A2.1 via the authorized data extract. The Account Committee is drafting an updated GIF requesting all information be available in one download in lieu of multiple downloads.</p> <p>This is the data download issue and has not been totally resolved yet.</p> <p>This requirement needs further discussion. What exam information will be available routinely and what will be available thru a FOIA request? The data download request is currently being investigated.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>1/26/07 - Comments/review notations will be available through FOIA requests.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-014.2</b>	<b>974</b>	E. The minimum "maximum time/date parameter" should allow the user to download data for a period covering at least one year. F. The ability to download data on a daily, weekly or monthly basis must be provided. G. If there are limitation parameters on the size of the download, then the requestor needs to be notified.	1/30/2004	Accepted	A1, A2.3, A2.4, A3, M1, M2.1, M2.3, M3	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 -THIS REQUIREMENT IS COVERED IN CSPO-GIF-974-ACT-014-ACCESS TO BULK DATA DOWNLOAD CAPABILITY.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered prior to A2.1 with the authorized data extract</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - the authorized data extract download will meet this requirement. The coverage period will be dependent on the company.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>1/26/07 - Business rules for this capability being defined.</p> <p>10/17/05 This issue is currently being discussed to see what can be provided. Business rules will be established to govern what can be done. This requirement will need to be updated when final decisions are made. Data will be available as each release is rolled out.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-015</b>	<b>975</b>	<p>The "24-hour Rule" makes advance manifest notification to CBP mandatory. The trade needs to make use of the same data for enhanced efficiency with respect to proper and timely entry of merchandise. Bill of lading data would be directed to the authorized user/Account using key identification codes for each party.</p> <p>A. For all modes, there should be an optional consignee identification field which would allow ACE to forward the pertinent manifest details to the Consignee's ACE account portal or via EDI.</p> <p>B. For all modes, there should be an optional filer identification field which would allow ACE to forward the pertinent manifest details to the designated entry filer's ACE account portal or via EDI. This could be accomplished through the use of a secondary party notify.</p> <p>C. For all modes, there should be an optional additional notify field which would allow ACE to forward the pertinent manifest details to the designated notify ACE account portal or via EDI.</p>	1/30/2004	Accepted	M1	<p>DEC 3 2015: BUILT FOR TRUCK BUT WILL NOT BE BUILT FOR OTHER MODES</p> <p>October 2014: Will SNP notices satisfy this requirement?</p> <p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR M1. M1 is meeting the EDI requirements for this GIF. Broker subcommittee needs to determine if they want to update the GIF to request Portal functionality.</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -975) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - a GIF will be drafted to cover this if needed</p> <p>4/11/07 - Requires updated status information from CCR team.</p> <p>This requirement has been forwarded to the Multi Modal Manifest Committee for their review.</p> <p>Need input from CCR</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>ACT-016</b>		<p>ACE will notify the CBP Account Manager and/or Account Administrator and the Trade Account Owner, by either sending a message or flagging the transaction, when an unauthorized Customs Broker/Filer conducts Customs business on their behalf. Unauthorized Customs Broker/filer is defined as the importer not having granted a Power of Attorney to the broker/filer to conduct Customs business on their behalf. Thus the broker/filer is not listed in the importer's account as a designated broker.</p>	12/10/2003	Withdrawn	A1	<p>3/25/08 - The Accounts Committee has decided that the report currently available in the portal meets the intent of this requirement and nothing further needs to be done.</p> <p>8/9/07 - CBP will not provide list on unauthorized brokers. Account owners can access data on unauthorized filers/brokers filing on their behalf via the current reporting tool. Anita Brown will discuss with Accounts committee on further action.</p> <p>Periodic Payment (Release 3) will allow the importer to designate brokers in their account. In a future release a warning message will be sent to the importer when a broker not designated in their account has filed an entry for their IR number.</p> <p>Importers can generate a report in Account Creation (Release 2) showing all filers who have made entry on their behalf.</p> <p>Not planned for A2. Need to confirm with CCR.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-017</b>		<p>The trade is requesting that user access be granted 1/14/2004 by branch code indicator.</p> <p>A. An Account Owner/proxy Account Owner should have the ability to grant a user access to information related to all branch codes, multiple branch codes and/or a single branch code.</p> <p>B. An Account Owner/proxy Account Owner should be able to specify access permissions to a user based on branch codes, multiple branch codes, and/or single branch codes.</p>		Withdrawn	2	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - The Broker Account Subcommittee is re-evaluating the need for this requirement. If needed a new GIF will be prepared</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - This requirement is on hold pending delivery of A1 so brokers can look at their new account structure and determine if they still need this flexibility.</p> <p>10/13/05 Question: Is this completed in A1?</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-018</b>		<p>The trade has defined the following functional needs for the Significant Activity Log (SAL). In addition the trade has identified approximately 87 SAL issues that can occur on a daily basis and for which they need greater visibility to actively monitor, resolve and effectively manage their compliance activity.</p> <p>A. The SAL needs to provide the ability to view/list/sort options by Specified SAL Sub-category. The Sub-categories are defined in the 87 issues identified and include issues like, 28's, 29's, detentions, etc.</p> <p>B. SAL functionality should allow the Account to identify the responsible account user for each category/sub-category.</p> <p>C. A new SAL should be identified to the responsible user at the time of login.</p> <p>D. The task should be able to be flagged with a specified date.</p> <p>E. One the due date has passed, the item should be found in the task list in red.</p> <p>F. The SAL should provide some indicator that the issue has been opened or read by the account.</p> <p>G. The SAL should provide data download functionality. The content of the SAL should be included in the report tool so the user can further sort data in Excel, Access or any other format defined in reports.</p> <p>H. There should be a date field in the SAL which indicates when the SAL was posted. The SAL should also include the deadline for response date, if one is legally required.</p> <p>I. The SAL should provide the ability for the user to add, change and delete additional file folders, so as to group certain SAL issues together on the portal.</p> <p>J. The SAL should provide the functionality to enable the user to customize a default view within the SAL. Customization could be selected by the following fields: category, sub-category, date posted, due date, posting party name.</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	3, A1, A2, A3, M2, M3	<p>June 29, 2009 - This does not apply to M1 because carriers are not managed accounts.</p> <p>update: 3/25/08 - The Accounts Committee has complete the analysis of the results of the Communications Questionnaire and will be preparing GIFs as needed. They will also be meeting with the ITDS Committee to address PGA requests from the trade.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - The account committee will draft a survey to identify communications requirements and either revies or cancel this requirement based on the survey results and what is delivered in A1 to further utilize the BAL.</p> <p>GIF 216/276 was prepared addressing recommended improvements to the SAL and overall trade communication needs as discussed at the business blueprinting workshop. Submitted to CBP on 2/16/2006. Referred.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>This requirement is being returned to the Accounts Committee for further evaluation. The communications tool to be used by ACE in further releases has not been determined. The Committee will have the opportunity to define their future needs as opposed to enhancements to current SAL functionality.</p> <p>An enterprise level communication tool is under investigation.</p> <p>Point E, F, H and I should be delivered in A2 and A3. There appears to be no new functionality in A1 for the trade.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-019</b>		<p>The trade needs the ability to ensure that an Account User once deactivated by either the Account Owner or the Proxy Account Owner is NOT reinstated without their knowledge, approval and confirmation.</p> <p>When initially deactivating an Account User, the trade recommends an additional pop-up stating "If you continue, the user will be deactivated" with an OK/Cancel button to click on. This will help ensure that the Account Owner or Proxy does not accidentally deactivate a user on the system.</p>	9/23/2004	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1</p> <p>Need to meet with portal team to determine how deactivation will work in A1.</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared covering recommended improvements in the deactivation and reactivation of an Accounts User (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006).</p> <p>The ability to distinguish between current and deactivated ACE portal users is scheduled to be delivered with ESAR A1.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-019.1</b>		<p>The Account Owner or Proxy Account Owner should be able to re-instate the Account User. If that fails for whatever reason, then the Account Owner or Proxy will contact the Help Desk requesting re-activation. Some form of positive identification should be required to ensure the request is being made by the Account Owner or the Proxy.</p>	9/23/2004	Rejected	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - There is a Help Desk procedure in place to do this.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - REJECTED - The trade will need to develop a GIF if they want to pursue.</p> <p>1/17/07 - The Trade Account Owner or proxy will need to input all information to recreate a user who has been deactivated.</p> <p>Need to meet with portal team to determine how deactivation will work in A1.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-019.2</b>		<p>The trade also needs the ability when they view the Account contact list to determine which Account Users have been deactivated; preferably by the deactivated users' name being removed from the Account contact list.</p>	9/23/2004	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1 as part of Manage Access</p> <p>1/17/07 - A1 capabilities will include a means for the Trade Account Owner or proxy to determine which are current users and which have been deactivated</p> <p>Need to meet with portal team to determine how deactivation will work in A1.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-020</b>	<b>702</b>	<p>MULTIPLE HTS NUMBER REPORT. An authorized user should have the ability to request a report that reflects all entry summary lines that were classified within a range of HTS numbers or for multiple HTS numbers with total value, quantity, and a list of entries under which any were filed.</p> <p>A. An authorized user should have the ability to request a report that reflects all entry summary lines associated with HTS number groupings (e.g. clocks, American goods returned, etc) even if there isn't a value reported for each associated HTS number.</p> <p>B. ACE should be designed to allow the user to specify up to the maximum HTS numbers per line item (currently eight) when requesting a report.</p> <p>C. Each entry summary line where the HTS was changed in liquidation should be flagged such that a report can be generated reflecting the changes.</p> <p>D. A link to rulings pertaining to any of the specified HTS classifications, including rulings affiliated with the importer should be provided.</p> <p>E. An Authorized user should be able to run a report that reflects the HTS data for a specific period, a specific importer, port of entry, entry type, mode of transport, release message, liquidation status, reported primary and secondary quantities, MID, TIB status, "entry query status stuff".</p> <p>F. Report elements should include all data and data fields referred to in the CATAIR.</p> <p>G. The requestor should receive a message notification that either the requested size of the report exceeds the maximum allowed size parameter or that the return report contains only partial information.</p>	1/30/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: A GOOD PORTION OF THIS DATA IS ALREADY AVAILABLE. THE FEW THAT ARE NOT AVAILABLE ARE THINGS THAT ARE NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME SUCH AS A LINK TO RULINGS. ADDITIONAL DATA CAN BE DEVELOPED POST CORE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>APRIL 11, 2008 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-702) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - The Accounts Committee is drafting a GIF to address running a report with multiple HTS numbers. An indication of where the HTS was changed in liquidation should be available with A2.1. There will be no link to ruling (D). There will be no release message (E).</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement needs to go back to the Entry Committee for review/input. Is this requirement still relevant given the reports that are available today? If the Committee is seeking a separate report for "entry date," a GIF will be required</p> <p>8/9/07 - Item A - Accepted Item B - Accepted Item C - Accepted Item D - Rejected - CBP will not provide a direct link Item E - Should be an M2 requirement. Does Entry have a GIF on this? This is a mix of entry and entry summary data. Steven Lubel and Anita Brown will coordinate resolution. Potential GIF. Item F - Need data on CATAIR messages referred to. Item G - Partial data is available at current time.</p> <p>Timeframe for reports will be based on design.</p> <p>Reporting tool is under investigation.</p> <p>A GIF will be prepared addressing the two reports requested: Additional HTS Range report and Additional HTS Multiple report.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-021</b>	<b>278</b>	<p>QUICK VIEWS BY CALENDAR YEAR. In addition to the current Quick Views by CBP fiscal year, the trade needs the ability to generate quick views by specified user calendar year.</p> <p>A. An authorized user should have the ability to display Quick Views based upon a user-specified date range.</p> <p>B. Quick View default customization should allow for display by CBP fiscal year, Julian calendar year, the date range that coincides with the user's specified fiscal year or any specified date range.</p>	1/30/2004	Accepted	A1, A2	<p>JANUARY 26, 2007 - CSPO-GIF-278 LISTED AS REJECTED IN CCB WITH REASON "TO BE DELIVERED IN BUSINESS OBJECTS DROP (CR 1748) SCHEDULED FOR FEBRUARY 2007.</p> <p>June 29, 2009 - This requirement does not apply to M1 because carriers are not managed accounts.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered prior to A1 with the new reporting tool. Can use aggregate reports and tally specific columns of data</p> <p>Status 4/11/07: ACCEPTED - This requirement will be satisfied in the new reporting tool.</p> <p>GIF 214 was prepared addressing Quick Views by calendar year or user specified year. GIF submitted on 2/16/2006. Meeting scheduled with ESAR Team.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>1/17/07 - The move to the new reporting tool will provide the trade with the ability to run quick views by CBP fiscal year or calendar year.</p> <p>Timeframe for reports based on design.</p> <p>Reporting tool is under investigation.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-022</b>	<b>976</b>	<p>In addition to its current capabilities, the ACE Secure Data Portal needs to provide the ability to schedule reports to automatically run at a specified time interval (e.g. nightly, weekly, etc.).</p> <p>A. An authorized user should be able to schedule any saved report to automatically run at a selected time interval (e.g. nightly, weekly, monthly, quarterly, etc).</p> <p>B. Users should be notified when the results of a scheduled report are available.</p> <p>C. Scheduled reports requested prior to an established submission deadline (e.g. midnight Eastern Time) would be run automatically and the results routed to the requestor's portal. Reports requested after the established submission deadline would be run during the next automated report opportunity.</p> <p>D. The report elements should include all data and data fields referred to in the CATAIR.</p> <p>E. In the event the scheduled report results exceed an allowable file size or maximum number of records, the requestor and/or recipients should receive a message notification that either the requested size of the report exceeds the maximum allowed parameter or that the report returned is only partial fulfillment of the request.</p>	1/30/2004	Accepted	M1,	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -976) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Scheduled for delivery prior to A2.2</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared addressing the trade's need to be able to schedule reports (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). CR 1687.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>1/17/07 - The new ACE reporting tool to be delivered prior to A1 will allow the user to schedule reports.</p> <p>Timeframe for reports based on design.</p> <p>The ability to schedule reports may be tied to the data download functionality which is being investigated now. The ability to schedule reports is one of the criteria being considered in evaluating a new reporting tool. The new reporting tool is still under investigation.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-023</b>	<b>977</b>	<p>The ACE Portal needs to provide a list/search capability that provides a single line on the report for each entry summary regardless of the number of line items, totaling the entered value and estimated duties, fees and taxes, listing the MID code that is in box 21 of the Customs Form 3461 or 7501.</p> <p>A. Each entry summary that was changed in liquidation should be flagged. The user should be able to drill down on any flagged entry summary line within a flagged entry.</p> <p>B. A properly authorized user should be able to run an entry summary report that reflects the data for a specific period, a specific importer or record (for which the user is authorized) and for a specific port of entry.</p> <p>C. In the event the entry summary report exceeds the allowable file size or maximum number of records, the requestor should receive a message notification to that effect.</p> <p>D. Eighteen data elements were recommended for the report.</p>	1/30/2004	Accepted	A1, A2.4, A3, October 2014: Accepted	<p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -977) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-023, ACT-007.4</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - All available except for request "A". A will be available via ABI in A2.4</p> <p>8/9/07 Item A - Data available in liquidation report Item B - Can drill down now on the entry no. to see line item detail. Item C - Message will be available Item D - If data is available from the ACE data warehouse it can be downloaded for the report.</p> <p>Timeframe for reports based on design.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-024</b>		<p>The customs broker account portal must include discreet functionality that fully enables access, set-up, maintenance, and management of importer accounts with the exact same window, perspective, navigational, maintenance, management and report capabilities as if operating directly through the importer account portal. This access, functionality, and view must be available WITHIN the broker account portal, without a need to logoff and logon to the importer's account portal, and without the need for multiple usernames &amp; passwords.</p> <p>*For purposes of this requirement it is understood that this in-broker-portal functionality will only be available to the broker account if the importer account owner has designated the broker as a "proxy owner" of his account.</p> <p>NOTE: by Proxy Owner, we are referring to the firm or company, not an individual.</p>	5/11/2004	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1 with cross account access</p> <p>1/17/07 - Cross account access will allow the broker with a single sign on to access multiple accounts based on privileges granted. Brokers will use a pick list to move between accounts. They will not be required to sign off and sign back on to move between accounts.</p> <p>Preliminary approval granted depending on technical feasibility and associated cost to implement.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy decision. A Focus Group meeting will be held with the Broker Account Subcommittee to further define business needs. (072704: Changed to L/P. SM)</p> <p>Revised September 23, 2004 to reflect Proxy Owner as the firm or company, not the individual. Subject to Technical review.</p> <p>None of the functionality described will be delivered. It conflicts with CBP security policy. Brokers will use the importer's portal (cross account access) and will need to log off and log back into their account to move from one account to another.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-025</b>		A broker must be able to fully maintain any ACE importer account for which he has been duly empowered as Proxy Owner. In this capacity, a broker must be able to perform all maintenance and data update functions that the importer Account Owner can perform.	9/23/2004	Accepted	A1	Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered in A1 with cross account access  1/17/07 - Cross Account access will meet this requirement. With one single sign on the broker will be able to access multiple accounts based on privileges granted. Brokers will use a pick list to move between accounts.  10/13/05 - Cross Account Access
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-026</b>		A broker must be able to fully manage all aspects of any ACE importer account portal for which he has been duly empowered as Proxy Owner. In this capacity a broker must be able to perform all management functions that the importer Account Owner can perform, including but not limited to the following: perform customizing of all views; make structural additions and changes; maintain (create, update, delete) links to other accounts or sub-accounts in order to maintain account relationships across the corporate structure; set up standardized queries (as the portal functionality will allow); set up reports; set up access rights for all parties (with the exception of those of the Account Owner and with the exception of being able to designate another Proxy Account Owner; download data; manage periodic monthly statement activity; manage and maintain contacts; participate in the use of the Significant Activity Log (SAL) and in any communications interface capability incorporated into the account portal.	9/23/2004	Accepted	A1	update: 3/25/08 - Delivered with A1 via cross account access  Status 4/11/07 - In scope for A1  10/13/05 Cross Account Access. Access will be determined by the privileges the importer grants.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-027</b>		A broker must be able to initiate the set-up of an ACE Importer Account with Portal View directly with CBP on behalf of all importers for whom he has been duly authorized and empowered as importer proxy. A broker's submission of a request to set up an ACE importer account would be the first and only contact between the importer and CBP in this manner.  This functionality would be available to set-up an Account with Portal View, whether or not a CF 5106 is currently on file. Again, the submission may or may not include an initial 5106, depending on whether one is already on file or not with CBP.	9/23/2004	Rejected	3	Status 4/11/07 - REJECTED  Pending legal policy review. This requirement is linked to ACT 030 - Master Terms and Conditions document.  There are no plans to change the current requirements that a 5106 record must exist before setting up an account with a portal view.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-028</b>		<p>ACE must support batch account set-up of importer Accounts with Portal View by a customs broker who has been authorized and empowered by various importers as their Proxy Account Owner. (This is similar to the transmission of a CF 5106 in today's ACE environment to put an importer of record "on file" with CBP).</p> <p>This batch functionality would be available to set-up an Account with Portal View, whether or not a CF 5106 is currently on file. Again, the submission may or may not include an initial 5106, depending on whether one is already on file or not.</p> <p>This functionality would be available for batch sizes of 1 to n and would allow for batch process and batch response.</p> <p>This functionality would be available via the broker account portal and available via all ACE-accepted EDI formats, including stand-alone XML string via the portal for uploads and downloads.</p>	9/23/2004	Rejected	3	<p>Status 4/11/07 - REJECTED ACE will not allow batch set up for portal accounts</p> <p>Pending legal policy review. This requirement is linked to ACT 030 - Master Terms and Conditions document.</p> <p>Please note this requirement implies the development of a new EDI message to accomplish the batch set-up of accounts.</p> <p>No planned EDI batch set-up of importer accounts with a portal view.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-029</b>		<p>A broker must be able to participate in interactive communications with CBP via the web portal. Such communications must include (but are not limited to): Action plans and action items; interactive use of the Significant Activity Log (SAL); responses to CF 28s, CF 29s, entry summary rejects, marking notices, redelivery notices, protests, SILs, PEAS, daily &amp; periodic monthly statement, duty drawback, CBP issued bills, etc. The broker Proxy Owner must be able to participate in the use of any communication interface capability incorporated into the account portal, as if it is the importer Account Owner responding directly to CBP.</p>	9/23/2004	Withdrawn	A1, A2, A3	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Should be possible by A2.4</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - The accounts committee will draft a survey to identify communication needs and either revise the requirement (prepare a GIF) or cancel the requirement based on the survey results and what is delivered in A1 to further utilize the BAL</p> <p>An enterprise level communication tool is still under investigation.</p> <p>GIF 216 was prepared addressing overall communication needs including those identified by the trade as part of the business blueprinting workshop. Submitted to CBP on 2/16/2006. Referred.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-030</b>		<p>A broker must be able to enter into a "master" Terms and Conditions agreement on behalf of all importers for whom he has been duly authorized and empowered as importer proxy. A broker's submission of a request to set up an ACE importer Account with Portal View would signal the acceptance of the Terms and Conditions on behalf of the importer.</p>	9/23/2004	Withdrawn	3	<p>Status 4/11/07 - This requirement will not be needed when the Terms &amp; Conditions Federal Register Notice is issued .</p> <p>Pending CBP Legal policy review</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-031</b>		<p>The Portal view of a customs broker's ACE account will include the records and transactions of hundreds and even thousands of importers of record. In addition, when a broker has been designated as a Proxy Account Owner of an importer's ACE Account with Portal View, the broker will have the need to specify and navigate to that IR's record (and/or hyperlink, etc.) from within [the broker's] own portal view, in order to then "jump" to a fully functional window of that IR's ACE Account Portal view.</p> <p>With hundreds or even thousands of IR numbers, it is not practical to memorize IR numbers and suffixes. These need to be displayed by ascending and descending alpha-numerically by IR number AND by ascending and descending alpha-numerically by IR name. The records should be should always be displayed with BOTH the IR name and the IR number (with any suffix) so that the portal user can readily verify that he is selecting the appropriate IR record.</p> <p>Due to the overwhelming volume of IR records within a broker's portal view, methods for "shortcut" navigation to the correct IR record should be employed. These should include, but not limited to, such display navigation shortcuts as:</p> <p>  A   B   C   D   .....   X   Y   Z  </p> <p>Other methods such as the following should also be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Stepped or progressive search</li> <li>•Tabbed, indented or categorical GUI's</li> <li>•Partial alphabetical or numeric keys</li> <li>•Fuzzy logic - sounds like or similar to key word</li> </ul> <p>The indexing that is needed in the ACE Portal in order to facilitate the selecting and sorting (ascending and descending, alpha-numeric, other) of records, reports, and queries are, but not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) IR numbers and names</li> <li>b) Filer Codes</li> <li>c) District Port of Processing (DDPP)</li> <li>d) Branch designation (for Filers who uses Branch Designation)</li> <li>e) User-specified "regional", or "other", groupings of the above</li> </ol> <p>Transactional indexing requirements should include but are not limited to:</p>	9/23/2004	Accepted	A1	<p>pdate: 3/25/08 - Delivered in A1 thru cross account access as well as via ACE reports that allow a broker to sort importer information.</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - Verify if A1 delivery meets broker subcommittee requirements. If not, subcommittee needs to prepare GIFs accordingly</p> <p>GIF 215 submitted on February 16, 2006. Being looked at by the ESAR Account Management team for A1. Cross Account Access, which is part of the A1, will include a single sign on for the user as well as the ability to use a pick list to move from account to account, based on privileges granted by the other Trade Account Owner.</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared addressing the trade's need for 'shortcut' navigation tools to access various accounts who have granted access to their portal.</p> <p>Subject to Technical feasibility and associated costs. Design may be other than specific recommendations.</p> <p>Need to meet with the portal design team. Cross account access. All aspects of the requirement may not be met.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		f ) Entry Date g) Entry Type h) Mode of Transportation i) Statement Date				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-032</b>		When a broker has been appointed as Proxy Account Owner for an Importer's ACE Account, he will have the discreet, authorized ability to "hyperlink" (from within the broker portal view) directly into the specified Importer's ACE Account with Portal View. This must be accomplished without the need for additional logins and passwords and without the need to logout of his own portal view. Once finished inside the portal view of the Importer's account, he must be able to effect "return" to his own [broker] portal view, again without the need to logout and re-login to the broker portal view.	9/23/2004	Accepted	A1	Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered in A1 with cross account access  1/17/07 - Thru cross account access, the broker will be able to access multiple accounts based on privileges granted with one single sign on. Brokers will use a pick list to move between accounts. Logging off and logging back on will no longer be necessary.  Preliminary approval granted depending on technical feasibility and associated cost to implement.  No hyperlink from within the broker's portal will be available. Cross account access. The broker will be required to log out of one account and log in again to move from account to account. This is a security requirement.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-033</b>	<b>285</b>	The current 20,000 report line limit renders many of the reports unusable by the trade. This capacity needs to be greatly increased to allow large to mid size Account to run transactional reports and Quick Views. If reports are too large in size for the ACE Secure Data Portal to accommodate, then another mechanism needs to be provided whereby the trade and CBP can have access to the information.	9/24/2004	Accepted	A1	AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-285) WAS DELIVERED AS PART OF ECP_CR_1748  update: 3/25/08 - Delivered. Current limitations are 200,000 for regular reports and 1,000,000 for the authorized data extract  Status 4/11/07 - the new reporting tool will have a 240,000 line limit.  A GIF has been prepared addressing the current report line limitation and the fact that another mechanism needs to be provided to access transactional data (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). CR 1687  1/17/07 - A CR has been created to raise the limit above the current 20,000.  If the download capabilities currently be explored are delivered in A1, then this limit will not be as critical.  Reporting tool is still under investigation.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-034</b>	<b>284</b>	ACE Account Owners and Proxy Owners need to be able to add multiple users in one location without re-keying all the information. ACE should auto-populate fields with know data whenever available (e.g., When establishing Filer or IR contacts for existing users, ACE should automatically populate fields with data from the User's profile).	9/24/2004	Withdrawn	A2.4	<p>MAY 8, 2008 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-284) WAS CLOSED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Partially met with POC functionality delivered in A1. GIFs have been prepared by the Broker Account Subcommittee recommending improvements in Manage Access.</p> <p>GIF 664 and 665 presented and approved by Lou for A2.4</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - account committee needs to determine what data fields to be auto populated. Need to verify if A1 address functionality meets all or part of the requirement.</p> <p>1/17/07 - A1 will eliminate some redundant key strokes when entering contact/address information. Will not totally meet GIF. CBP has determined this GIF is not a priority and disapproved it. The trade will raise this concern again.</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared addressing the need for ACE to provide for auto-population of fields in the portal (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). Being considered by the ESAR Account Management Team for A1. A1 will eliminate redundant key strokes when entering contact information as well as provide a means to copy a user profile.</p> <p>Subject to Technical solutions available.</p> <p>Need to meet with portal design team to see what is being delivered.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-034.1</b>		When the data is not available to allow ACE to auto-populate fields, ACE should provide the ability to select, copy and paste data for multiple fields (e.g., provide data manipulation capability similar to Microsoft Outlook). Currently all data needs to be manually keyed when the data already exists in the user's profile.	9/24/2004	Withdrawn	A2.4	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Scheduled for A2.4</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 Same status as ACT-034</p> <p>1/17/07 - A1 will eliminate some redundant key strokes when entering contact/address information. Will not totally meet GIF. CBP has determined this GIF is not a priority and disapproved it. The trade will raise this concern again.</p> <p>Subject to Technical review</p> <p>Need to meet with portal design team to see what is being delivered.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-035</b>	<b>657</b>	<p>The Customs Broker account portal must include the ability for the Broker to use their web portal to satisfy specific Administrative reporting duties that are required by 19 CFR 111. Specifically these include, but should not be limited to:</p> <p>Annual Permit payment Recording the Name of the individual broker that obligates each permit, or waiver Employee Hire and Terminations Corporate Triennial reporting</p> <p>Depending on Statutory requirements, the Corporate Triennial reporting submission process should be evaluated to determine if the requirements can be met by filing the hiring and terminations reports or providing some other form of "submission" to satisfy the statutory requirements in order to remove duplicity from the process.</p>	9/24/2004	Replaced	A2.4	<p>AUGUST 28, 2009 -THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF-657-ACT-GIF-657-A2.3-BROKER REPORTS RESTRICTING PROCESS.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Most functionality was delivered with A1. The rest is scheduled for A2.3</p> <p>Status 4/11/07 - Will be fully implemented in A2</p> <p>1/17/07 - Part 111 requirements will be maintained in the portal. (1) Brokers will be able to maintain their employee list in ACE. (2) Brokers will be able to maintain their corporate information in ACE. (3) The ACE portal will contain license qualifiers at the port and corporate level. Four new reports are planned for brokers for A1. They are: Permit User Fees, Open Broker Permits, Broker Employee List and Broker's Local and National Permits - scheduled for A1</p> <p>Two GIFs have been prepared, one addressing the two requested reports for A1 (Employee list and Permitted broker reports) and the other the two reports for A2 (Open permit report &amp; Triennial fee report).</p> <p>Subject to Legal Policy Review and meeting current statutory requirements.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-036</b>	<b>978</b>	<p>1. Securely track the type, value and movement of merchandise entering, exiting and reentering the US under foreign and US Carnets.</p> <p>2. Eliminate the movement of paper by CBP from one port to another (matching up Carnet entry and exit vouchers), and to the US National Guaranteeing Association (USCIB)</p> <p>3. Automate claims handling on Carnet shipments: CBP should electronically notify USCIB of liquidated damage claims.</p> <p>4. Electronically notify the carrier that goods under a Carnet can be released.</p> <p>5. Eliminate the review and acknowledgement by CBP of USCIB generated notifications to CBP of all CF 5955A's received by USCIB.</p>	2/1/2005	Rejected	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is rejected for cargo release</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF has been updated and submitted to CBP for Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>AUGUST 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF -978) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-023, ACT-007.4</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A GIF has been drafted and is under review by the Subcommittee</p> <p>4/11/07 - Will require a GIF from Accounts committee.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Vincent Annunziato		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-037</b>	<b>703</b>	ABI/ACE REJECT REPORT: Report should include: - filers ABI/ACE reject rate - allow filers to monitor compliance - sort by port and reject reason - Summarize by: - number of entry summaries filed by port - number of entry summaries rejected by port - Percent of entry summaries rejected by port - By filer code by port code - Need subtotals displayed	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 reports workshop. This requirement was partially covered, and therefore replaced by GIF 703. The remaining portion will be addressed in a separate GIF.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-038</b>	<b>977</b>	ACE LIQUIDATION REVENUE ADJUSTMENT REPORT: Report should include: - ability to identify all entries liquidating - allow an importer to identify all refunds and amounts owed -	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009- This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by GIF 977.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-039</b>	<b>702</b>	ADDITIONAL HTS REPORT - Provide entry summary lines associated to a range of HTS numbers - Enhance compliance performance by importers and brokers by increased access to data associated with multiple HTS numbers.	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-702
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-040</b>	<b>278</b>	QUICK VIEWS BY CALENDAR YEAR: - Provide entry summary information for a user specified date range SUMMARIZATION CRITERIA: - Total entered value - Total estimated duty - Total estimated fees - Total estimated ADD - Total estimated CVD	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-278
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-041</b>		ENTRY SUMMARY REPORT: List the available entry summaries filed within a given time interval at specific ports. FILER CRITERIA: - date range - importer of record number - entry number - filer code - port code  <i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. This report is available today with the exception of the bill of lading number which is covered by CSPO-GIF- 187/981 and CR 1731. The request for liquidation is covered in CSPO-GIF-077
<b>ACT-042</b>	<b>955</b>	ANTI DUMPING/COUNTERVAILING CASE NUMBER REPORT: Shows the entry summaries by antidumping/countervailing case number. Sort by antidumping/countervailing duty case number importer of record number. FILER CRITERIA: - antidumping/countervailing case number - importer of record number - Manufacturer ID number (MID)  <i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-955 AND 956
<b>ACT-043</b>	<b>955</b>	ANTIDUMPING/COUNTERVAILING AGGREGATE DUTY REPORT: Listing of aggregated AD/CVD duty (estimated, paid, bonded) by importer  <i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.3	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-955 and 956

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-044</b>	<b>1079</b>	BOND AUTHORIZATION LISTING REPORT: Listing of a surety's bond authorization by filer code (all or specific) for blanket, specific or both authorizations, including current only or used or expired authorizations.	3/30/2005	Accepted	Inc 7	<p>Part of ebond deployment</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending review of the electronic single transaction bond process.</p> <p>MAY 4, 2011- This GIF is listed as pending review of the single transaction bond process in cargo release. Still listed as in "Review" in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1079) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked in the Surety Account subcommittee.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-045</b>		BROKER EMPLOYEE LIST REPORT: Display a list of all broker employees by port as required under section 111.28 Responsible Supervision	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	<p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been accepted by ACT-035 and delivered in A1.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-046</b>		CENSUS WARNING REPORT: Reflects the number of Census warnings received during a specified period and the reason the warnings were received.	3/30/2005	Accepted	A2.2	<p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A2.2</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-047</b>	<b>985</b>	CLAIM LIST BY ACCOUNT REPORT List claims (open & closed) by account including FP&F, supplemental duty bills and any claims as they are added to ACE	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ADDED AS AN ATTACHMENT TO CSPO-GIF-985. REFERENCE CSPO-GIF-985 - ACT-009.9 "ACCESS TO CLAIM INFORMATION"</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Accounts Subcommittee.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-048</b>		CONTINUOUS BOND DATA BY CUSTOMS BOND NUMBER REPORT: Display all information related to a continuous bond to verify bond data in the Customs system and the surety systems.	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A1
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-049</b>		CONTINUOUS BOND DATA BY ACCOUNT REPORT: List continuous bonds related to an account by importer number to verify bond data in Customs systems to the surety systems and confirm a surety's exposure for an account.	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A1.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-050</b>	<b>1075</b>	BROKER PERMIT REPORT: This report will capture permits specified under section 111.19 to reflect the permits held by the broker as well as the qualifying licensed individual for local amd matopma; [ermits to meet section 111.19 provisions.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: THIS SHOULD BE PART OF THE ACCOUNT MANABEMENT ENHANCEMENT EFFORTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR POST CORE PENDING LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1075) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A1 and then taken down after a problem was discovered with the report. It is currently covered by a problem ticket report.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-051</b>	<b>985</b>	DEMAND ON SURETY CLAIM LISTING REPORT: List all claims that have reached demand on surety including FP&F, supplemental duty bills and any claims as they are added to ACE so that the sureties can review claims which CBP has demanded payment.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNTE REV MOD  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ADDED AS AN ATTACHMENT TO CSPO-GIF-985. REFERENCE CSPO-GIF-985 - ACT-009.9 "ACCESS TO CLAIM INFORMATION"  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Account subcommittee.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-052</b>	<b>1080</b>	RECONCILIATION REPORT: View entries flagged for reconciliation.	3/30/2005	Accepted	INC 13	<p>DEC 1 2015: RECON REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1080) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the account committee.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-053</b>		FILER CODE QUERY REPORT: List the names and address of all offices of all filer codes. As part of its investigation of a claim, a surety may need to contact an entry filer regarding the underlying transaction.	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	<p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A1</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-054</b>	<b>1081</b>	FP&F CLAIM DISPLAY REPORT: Display all information including current status, status history and payment information regarding a particular FP&F claim to provide a current status of any FP&F claim to complement the weekly FP&F download.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER SEACATS MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1081) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Account subcommittee.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ACT-055</b>	<b>1076</b>	LATE FILES/NO FILES REPORT: This report will capture when an entry summary has not been filed 10 working days after release of the cargo and when it has been filed late. This report will be run by compliance managers to ensure all entry summaries are filed timely and to identify those which were missed or filed late.	3/30/2005	Accepted	Inc 11	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1076) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the account committee.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-056</b>	<b>977</b>	LIQUIDATION REPORT: Provide importers and brokers with their entry liquidation status.	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-977
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-057</b>	<b>591</b>	MONTHLY PORT ACTIVITY REPORT: Ability to see a filer's import activity/volume by port as compared to the overall port activity to allow filers the ability to access/manage their share of a ports activity.	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-591
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-058</b>	<b>1077</b>	OPEN BROKER PERMITS REPORT: This report shows those ports where the permitted broker has been terminated. When the permit qualifier for the port leaves, the broker has 180 days to provide a new permit qualifier. The report will track that process.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: THIS SHOULD BE PART OF THE ACCOUNT MANABEMENT ENHANCEMENT EFFORTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR POST CORE PENDING LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1077) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A1 and then taken down after a problem was discovered with the report. It is currently covered by a problem ticket report.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-059</b>	<b>773</b>	STATUS REPORT OF CR-28, 29, 4647 AND OTHER NOTICES: This report will allow the importer or broker to query the status on all CBP notices sent by type of notification to allow the importer or broker to track and respond in the established time period to all CBP notices.	3/30/2005	Accepted	A2.2	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was writted as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A2.2 and covered by ACT-800, CSPO-GIF- 773.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-060</b>	<b>986</b>	MONTHLY STATEMENT REPORT FOR SURETY: 3/30/2005 This report lists all entries for an importers that have been flagged to be paid on a monthly statement to allow the surety to evaluate the outstanding duty owed to CBP on an importer basis.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ADDED AS AN ATTACHMENT TO CSPO-GIF-985. REFERENCE CSPO-GIF-986 - ACT-009.19 "ACCESS TO ACCOUNT REVENUE REPORTS"  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worded by the Surety Account subcommittee. This requirement is partially covered by CSPO-GIF-986 but a sample report is not included.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-061</b>		POWER OF ATTORNEY FILE LISTING: 3/30/2005 List from the power of attorney file the current POAs on file with CBP. The sureties would use this report to help keep POA issues via CF 5297 up to date with their current needs.	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. This requirement was delivered in A1.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-062</b>		POST SUMMARY CORRECTION REPORT: 3/30/2005 This report reflects all of the post summary corrections made by the importer or broker to verify their post summary corrections.	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	OCTOBER 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS REPLACED BY ACT-835 (CSPO-GIF-1097) POST SUMMARY CORRECTION REPORT AND IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the account committee.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-063</b>	<b>1096</b>	RECONCILIATION ENTRY REPORT: 3/30/2005 List of all flagged reconciliation entries with no reconciliation entry filed.	3/30/2005	Replaced	A2.4	OCTOBER 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS REPLACED BY ACT-GIF-834 (CSPO-GIF-1096) AND IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the account committee.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-064</b>	<b>1082</b>	SANCTION ELEGIBLE FP&F CASE LISTING: List of FP&F claims that have reached sanction eligible status for a surety. Sureties will want to review claims which CBP has demanded payment and could result in a sureties sanction.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER SEACATS MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1082) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Account subcommittee.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-065</b>		SPECIAL PROGRAM INDICATOR REPORT: This report will allow the trade to view their imports by special program indicators and allow the trade to monitor their imports which qualify for special trade programs	3/30/2005	Accepted	A1	<p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been delivered with existing ACE reports functionality</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-066</b>	<b>1083</b>	SINGLE TRANSACTION BOND DATA BY TRANSACTION NUMBER: Display bond related information for single transaction bonds. Used by the surety to verify bond information in CBPs system to the surety's system.	3/30/2005	Accepted	Inc 5, 6, 7	<p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 3, 2011 - This GIF is pending acceptance for TMAC review for cargo release pending review of the single transaction bond process. This GIF is still listed as in "Review" in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1083) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW. ACT-067 is also included in this GIF.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Account subcommittee.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-067</b>	<b>1083</b>	SINGLE TRANSACTION BOND DATA BY ACCOUNT REPORT: List single transaction bonds related to an account by importer number. Used to verify bond data in CBPs system to the sureties systems and confirm a surety's exposures for an account	3/30/2005	Accepted	Inc 5, 6, 7	October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1083) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW. ACT-066 is also included in this GIF.  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Account subcommittee.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-068</b>	<b>1084</b>	SUPPLEMENTAL DUTY BILL REPORT: Display all information including current status and payment information regarding a particular supplemental duty claim.	3/30/2005	Accepted	Inc 5, 6, 7	October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1084) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It is currently being worked by the Surety Account subcommittee.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-069</b>	<b>1144</b>	TEMPORARY IMPORTATION UNDER BOND (TIB) REPORT: This report will track TIBs and allow the filer to track TIBs for compliance purposes.	3/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	Inc 5, 6, 7	October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  JULY 8, 2010 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1144) IS PENDING CPB CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. This requirement has been partially accepted/delivered. The remaining portion will be addressed in a separate GIF
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-070</b>	<b>1078</b>	TRIENNIAL REPORT (PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR CORPORATION) This report provides for the Triennial Report as required in section 111.30 (d). The triennial report is a status report and fee payment that must be submitted every three years by all licensed brokers, whether they are licensed as in individual, corporation, partnership or association. :	5/30/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: THIS SHOULD BE PART OF THE ACCOUNT MANABEMENT ENHANCEMENT EFFORTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR POST CORE PENDING LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1078) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It was delivered in A1 an then taken down after a problem was discovered with the report. It is currently covered by a problem ticket report.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-071</b>	<b>1085</b>	ANTI DUMPING COUNTERVAILING DUTY REPORT: The Surety Account Subcommittee is requesting a report that lists aggregate AD/CV duty (estimated, paid, bonded) by importer. The report should contain the following data elements: o Bonded ADD o Bonded CVD o Entry Number o Entry Type o Estimated ADD o Estimated CVD o Importer Name o Importer Number o Paid ADD o Paid CVD o Surety Code o Value The report should contain the following variables: o Importer Number (Default All) o Entry Date Range o Entry Type (Default All) o Surety Code (Default All) Security for this report needs to be based on the surety code reported on the entry summary.	10/19/2009	Accepted	Inc 10, 11	DEC 1 2015: AVAILABLE IN ACE REPORTS.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1085) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-800</b>	<b>773</b>	<p>STATUS REPORT FOR CBP FORMS 28, 29 AND 4647:</p> <p>This report will allow the importer to query the status of all CBP notices sent by type of notice. The prompts the trade would like to see as filters are the following:</p> <p>Importer of Record Number Filer Port of Entry Code Type of Notice Notice Date (Date of Request) Due Date Status</p> <p>Data elements to be included in the report:</p> <p>Importer of Record Number Port of Entry Code Type of Notice Due Date Notice Date (Date of Request) Entry summary number Entry type Filer code Status Date Response is Received Control Team</p> <p>All data elements on the CBPF 28, 29, 4647 or any other CBP form provided for in A2.2 should be available to trade to further customize the report.</p>		Accepted	A2.2	<p>AUGUST 25, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ACCEPTED FOR A2.2. STATUS IN DIMENSIONS IS LISTED AS "REVIEW" - SUBMITTED A REQUEST TO CHANGE THE STATUS IN DIM.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 773) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW. The report is ESM 7025 CBP Form 28, 29, 4647 Status Report.</p> <p>ACT -800-A2,2 Status Report of CBPF 28, 29 4647</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-801</b>	<b>842</b>	<p>BROKER ACCESS TO CBP FORMS 28, 29, 4647 VIA THE ACE PORTAL OR EDI</p> <p>Brokers are requesting the ability to receive their courtesy copy of CBP Forms 28, 29, 4647 through the ACE Portal. They would also like the ability to respond to CBP Forms on behalf of their importer clients through their broker portal account. The functionality being requested by brokers through the ACE portal is similar to what is available to importers with ACE accounts in A2.2. Brokers will also need the ability to select their method of communication to receive their courtesy copy of the forms.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: (REF ALSO ACT-013 GIF 842) IMPORTERS WHO SIGN UP TO RECEIVE COMMUNICATIONS VIA THE PORTAL ALREADY HAVE THIS CAPABILITY. THIS CAPABILITY WOULD HAVE TO EXTEND TO BROKERS AND SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHEN WE ENHANCE/REFACTOR ACE ACCOUNTS.</p> <p>tober 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 842) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-824</b>	<b>957</b>	FREEZING IMPORTER RECORD NUMBERS VIA THE ACE PORTAL: Trade would like to request the ability through the ACE portal to freeze/unfreeze importer or record numbers at the 11 digit level and to identify the users in a company that are authorized to request changes to the IR number. The Trade Account Owner and Proxy Trade Account Owner, along with designated users should have the ability to freeze/unfreeze the importer of record number or make changes to the related information. The authorization of each user will be to each importer of record number at the 11 digit level. This functionality is applicable to the importer and carrier views only. The freeze should apply to both ACS and ACE entry summaries.	8/7/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 1 2015: POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  Oct 014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-957) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  April 14, 2009 - Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-825</b>	<b>955</b>	AD/CVD PORTAL REPORT: Trade would like to request a report that will display ALL AD/CVD entry summaries, regardless of entry summary date, where liquidation is not final (includes the protest period). Entry summaries should remain available on the report until liquidation has become final for one year.	4/14/2009	Accepted	A2.3.1a	FEBRUARY 24, 2010 - This requirement was deployed in A2.3.1a. It is still reflected as in "REVIEW" status in the CCB Dimensions data base.  AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-955) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  April 14, 2009 - Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-826</b>	<b>956</b>	AD/CVD EXTRACT (ADE) REPORT The trade would like an extract that will display ALL AD/CVD entry summaries regardless of entry summary date, where liquidation is not final (includes the protest period.) Entry summaries should remain available on the extract until liquidation has become final for one year	4/14/2009	Accepted	A2.3.1a	FEBRUARY 24, 2010 - This requirement was deployed in A2.3.1a. It is still reflected as in "REVIEW" status in the CCB Dimensions data base.  AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-956) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  April 14, 2009 - Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-827</b>	<b>958</b>	<p>BROKER EVALUATION &amp; ANALYSIS REPORT (BEAR) EXTRACT.</p> <p>As CBP and the Trade prepare for the BSA (Broker Self Assessment) it is critical that all brokers have the necessary tools and controls to help manage their own compliance. To assist all parties in moving that direction, brokers would like to be able to generate the equivalent of the BEAR report/extract in ACE. The BEAR report is currently provided to managed accounts by their National Account Managers. The report is in the form of an Excel spreadsheet with multiple tabs at the bottom of the report. The tabs reflect the following: - SEE REQUIREMENT FOR DETAILED TABS</p>	8/7/2009	Rejected	Inc 12 and beyond	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF IS REJECTED</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-958) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-828</b>	<b>959</b>	<p>EXTRACTS TO SURETIES (AUTOMATED SURETY INTERFACE) DATA DOWNLOAD.</p> <p>The trade is requesting capability through the ACE Portal and an EDI download to retrieve information about entries and claims to sureties that result from bonds they issue, similar to those currently provided. The sureties currently receive nightly downloads, through the Automated Surety Interface (ASI) in ACS, of entry information, including liquidation for all entries on which the surety is obligated.</p> <p>The sureties require:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All existing data elements within each data file to remain the same (current and new data elements attached).</li> <li>• Information on entries covered by both single transaction bonds and continuous bonds.</li> <li>• Coordination with the eBond process, including providing information about single transaction bonds and the related entry information. (Coordinate with "eBond Concept" CSPO GIF 692).</li> <li>• Information must be provided at release, summary and any time there is an update to any of the data elements.</li> <li>• System to recognize that more than one surety may be obligated on the entry and provide information to all the sureties that are obligated.</li> <li>• If a surety is no longer obligated on an entry, it should be notified. If it is found that a claim was issued to the wrong surety, notification to the "wrong" surety should be provided that they are no longer responsible for that claim. For instance, when an entry is submitted with the wrong surety code, the wrong surety receives entry information. When later the surety code is corrected, that wrong surety stops receiving entry information and has no way to know what has happened on the entry to close its files. Therefore, the trade is requesting if a surety starts to received data regarding an entry, but it is later found that it will not continue to received this data, the surety should be notified.</li> <li>• Any changes which may limit a bond's liability under that entry, such as filing a superseding bond, must be communicated in the entry download information.</li> <li>• Changing the current weekly download of Fines, Penalty and Forfeiture cases and other bills (Supplemental Duties, etc) to daily. This consists of information regarding bills or cases which have been issued and for which the surety may be obligated.</li> <li>• Information on any new claim system, such as those resulting from the debit voucher system, should also include a surety extract feature.</li> </ul>	8/7/2009	Accepted	Inc 7	<p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>FEBRUARY 3, 2011- This GIF was determined to be not in scope for cargo release. Sill listed in "Review" status in Dimensions.</p> <p>AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-959) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>• Continued type of access to the Entry Summary Query and Importer/Bond Query in ACS. The sureties need to have access to all information they are entitled to see when they are entitled to receive it.</p> <p>The current data elements are in the attached document (ASI Layout). The new data elements the surety requires are in the attached spreadsheet which contains seven worksheets within it (ASI New Data Elements 1-2008). As ACE develops and additional data elements are defined, this request will be updated.</p>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-829</b>	<b>960</b>	<p>SUBMITTING ITAR EXEMPTIONS VIA ACE. The trade requests the ability to transmit International Trade in Arms Regulations (ITAR) exemptions via ACE cargo release and entry summary. This information should then be available to filers of Shippers Export Declarations when they reference the import entry number. Currently the broker is required to override a paperless release and submit documents to the port of entry to register the import exemption. If the goods are subsequently exported, the shipper must prove that the goods were in the US via the exemption by submitting copies of the import paperwork. This manual processing causes delays and unnecessary work for both the trade and CBP.</p> <p>The electronic submission of the exemption code would allow CBP to apply automated targeting to these data points. This would improve security and tracking of these items for both the importation and exportation of the goods.</p> <p>A list of the commonly used import exemptions is being provided for convenience. This list may not be all inclusive. The trade would like the ability to transmit the code only. For example, 22CFR123.4a1. CBP should allow for the field to be large enough to accept the correct format. Some of the regulations are case sensitive as shown above. A lower case "A" may appear as an upper case letter, and CBP will need to allow slight deviations from the actual citation due to the limitations. Also, the format may or may not include the parenthesis ( ) separating the fields. If included, additional field space will need to be allocated.</p>	8/7/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: CBP will coordinate development with State Dept.</p> <p>AUGUST 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-960) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-830</b>	<b>979</b>	<p>SURETY ACCOUNT PORTAL BOND QUERY OPTIONS:</p> <p>Query capabilities are important as any one surety can have thousands of bonds on file with CBP. The bond tab in the surety portal currently only allows the surety to query a bond by bond number, activity code, and bond status. The portal should allow for querying by importer of record number, bond type (continuous vs single), principal name, bond effective date, filer code and agent ACE ID. In addition, the portal should allow for querying by a date range and by terminated and active bonds. Today large sureties with ACE Portal accounts are unable to view all of their bonds because of an error when bonds are being loaded for their account after opening the bond page. While there is a search capability that was delivered for CBP and the sureties, that capability for the trade only searches the first 2000 bonds thus rendering the search capability useless for large sureties. In the future, when single transaction bonds are in the system, the portal should also allow for querying by entry number and entry type.</p>	8/18/2009	Accepted	Inc 7	<p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P review for cargo release. This requirement is related to the electronic single transaction bond process.</p> <p>MAY 4, 2011 - This GIF was accepted as in scope for cargo release. Still listed in "Review" status in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011 - This GIF was updated and submitted to CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review to cover searching for STBs by entry number and Importer Record number as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-979) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-831</b>	<b>980</b>	<p>ENHANCEMENTS TO PERIODIC MONTHLY STATEMENT:</p> <p>If there is an Office Code other than spaces, the ABE record for the Filer Code + Port Code + Office Code never indicates they are a periodic monthly statement participant. This is because when ACE sends periodic monthly statement participant messages to ACS, only the Filer Code and Port Code are used. The Office Code is not used in ACE for periodic monthly statement participation. If the Office Code for a RLF Daily Statement is spaces there is no problem with processing the PMS entry summaries. It is only with statements that have an Office Code other than spaces that the problem exists. Programs need to be changed to allow periodic monthly statement to work with remote location filing and an office code.</p>	8/18/2009	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN THE ACE DEVELOPMENT OF STATEMENTS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-980) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-832</b>	<b>1094</b>	OPEN RECONCILIATION REPORT: The purpose of this report is to allow CBP and trade to view all entry summaries that contain one or more line items which has been flagged for reconciliation and for which the reconciliation entry summary (entry type 09) has not been filed. Trade recommends to accomplish this that either a separate reconciliation report be created of that ESM 7008 be modified as follows: The following additional data elements should be available to drag into ESM 7008: SEE REQUIREMENT FOR DATA ELEMENTS	10/30/2009	Accepted	Inc 13	DEC 1 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES. RECON REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 30, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1094) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-833</b>	<b>1095</b>	OPEN RECONCILIATION EXTRACT REPORT: The purpose of this extract report is to allow CBP and trade to view all entry summaries that contain one or more line items which has been flagged for reconciliation and for which the reconciliation entry summary (entry type 09) has not been filed. The extract should include the following data elements:	10/30/2009	Accepted	Inc 13	DEC 1 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES. RECON REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 30, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1095) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-834</b>	<b>1096</b>	RECONCILIATION REPORT: The purpose of this report is to allow CBP and trade to view entry summaries flagged for reconciliation and to associate those underlying entry summaries with the reconciliation (entry type 09) entry summary. This report is designed for importers and brokers. A separate report GIF will be submitted by the sureties. The report should contain the following data elements: SEE REQUIREMENT FOR LIST OF DATA ELEMENTS	10/30/2009	Accepted	Inc 11	DEC 1 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES. RECON REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 30, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1096) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-835</b>	<b>1097</b>	<p>POST SUMMARY CORRECTION REPORT: This GIF contains a request from the trade community related to a post summary corrections report for brokers and importers. While a list of data elements is provided below, this is not the final list. This GIF will need to be updated once CBP identifies all data elements to trade that can be corrected via post summary correction.</p> <p>The first request is for a new report which would show all versions of the entry summary making it possible to trace the history of the summary from when it was initially transmitted (version 1.0), through any post summary corrections to final liquidation. The report should contain the following data elements as known on October 7, 2009 : SEE REQUIREMENT FOR LIST OF DATA ELEMENTS</p>	10/30/2009	Accepted	Inc 11	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY CBP.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 30, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1097) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ACT-836</b>	<b>1098</b>	<p>POST SUMMARY CORRECTION EXTRACT: This GIF contains a request from the trade community related to a post summary corrections extract for brokers and importers. While a list of data elements is provided below, this is not the final list. This GIF will need to be updated once CBP identifies all data elements to trade that can be corrected via post summary correction. The extract should contain the following data elements as know on October 7, 2009: SEE REQUIREMENT FOR LIST OF DATA ELEMENTS</p>	10/30/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: PSC DATA IS AVAILABLE VIA ACE REPORTS TODAY. IF ADDITIONAL DATA IS ALLOWED TO CHANGE VIA PSC, REPORTS WILL BE MODIFIED TO INCLUDE THE NEW DATA ELEMENTS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 30, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1098) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-837</b>	<b>1099</b>	<p>ABILITY TO FILE A PSC THROUGH THE ACE PORTAL:</p> <p>CBP has delivered the ability for the broker and self filer to file post summary corrections via ABI for ACE entry summaries. However, the ability for the importer to make their own corrections to their entry summaries has been taken away since CBP will no longer accept paper Post Entry Amendments (PEA) from the importer if the entry summary was filed in ACE. Many importers both large and small file their own PEAs today. This is a means of ensuring compliance from their perspective. Importers are therefore requesting that ability to maintain control over their own corrections by being provided the means to make post summary (pre-liquidation) amendments and corrections to the entry summary data initially filed and accepted by CBP through the ACE Portal.</p> <p>The ACE Portal should provide the ability for the importer and the broker or a third party designee to correct an individual entry summary through the ACE Portal.</p> <p>Notifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•When a PSC is made through the portal, an initial system generated acceptance or rejection message should be displayed in the ACE Portal once CBP has edited the entry summary. The acceptance message should include a date. If the correction is rejected the message should include a date and the reject reason.</li> <li>•The filer/broker associated with the entry summary should receive a notification through ABI or the portal when a PSC is received from the importer.</li> <li>•When a broker files a PSC on behalf of the importer, the importer should be notified through the ACE Portal that a correction has been made on their behalf. The same information that was provided to the filer through ABI should be included in the portal notification to the importer.</li> <li>•If a PSC is filed by a third party, then both the importer and the broker should be notified of the change.</li> </ul> <p>Portal Functionality:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•All functionality available to the importer through the Portal must be available to the broker through their ACE portal account.</li> <li>•When entering a correction, users should be able to enter an entry number and the most recent version of the entry summary displays with all line items shown for the user to input their corrections.</li> <li>•Each PSC will create a new version of the Entry Summary or, if there was a previous PSC, it will replace that. Corrections will be subject to CBP validations upon submission. Note: If trade corrects the HTS number on a line item, they will be</li> </ul>	10/30/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	Post Core	<p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>NOVEMBER 10, 2011 - This GIF was revised and resubmitted to the CBP Change Control Board for review. New text is included.</p> <p>OCTOBER 30, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1099) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>



responsible for making any additional changes needed to duty, taxes and fees both at the line and header level.

- If a change to duty is posed and a change to fees is not but fees should have been adjusted as well, an error message will display to the user (not display when the MPF is at max).

- Users need to be able to view the version number associated with the entry summary through the ACE portal.

- Users should receive an initial system generated "Accepted CBP Status notification" or a "Rejected notification" through the ACE portal once CBP has successfully edited the entry summary. The acceptance or rejection notice should include a date and the reason for the rejection. The initial acceptance of the PSC should start the clock for final approval of the PSC by CBP.

- When a post summary correction is accepted through the ACE portal, the source of the correction (portal or ABI) needs to be captured such that the party and user making the updates can be identified. This indicator likewise will need to be available in any post summary correction report.

- The importer will be able to make multiple PSCs prior to liquidation. Trade will have 270 days from the entry summary date to file the post summary correction, but not less than 20 days prior to liquidation.

- Trade would like the ability to request an extension of liquidation through the ACE portal.

- Corrections through the ACE Portal is to the line or lines since that might impact the fees for the entire entry summary, the changes should be processed as a complete replace of the entry summary.

- The ACE Portal should provide the ability for a filer other than the PSC filer to be able to make a correction to the entry summary through the ACE Portal, as designated/authorized by the importer.

#### Types of Corrections:

- PSCs should be allowed for all types of corrections. Users should be able to enter the individual correction (s) at the header or line level on the entry summary and ACE should treat the corrected summary as a full replace.

- The trade would also like the ability to make corrections to other governmental agency requirements, as agencies participate in PGA filing. In addition, if the PGA is not a filing participant but is a DIS participant, we would like the ability to PSC a DIS-identified form.

#### Security/Access Requirements:

- The Trade Account Owner should be able to designate who can make post summary corrections

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>on behalf of the Account. Trade requests user access should be defined as “No Access”, “Read Only Access” and “Full Access.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The Trade Account Owner should be able to designate PSC capability by account type to allow for a broker, consultant or attorney to file on their behalf.</li> <li>•When a user is removed from portal access, ACE should capture the expiration date and display the field under “AllPersons.” This would allow the TAO to monitor, limit, and reauthorize access with greater ease due to personnel changes, vendor consolidations, etc.</li> <li>•The portal should prevent more than one user from editing an entry summary at the same time and display an “entry summary under edit” message.</li> <li>•When submitting a post summary correction through the ACE portal, trade requests an “Are you sure” message appear after hitting “Submit” such that the user would need to confirm they want to submit the correction.</li> <li>•<input type="checkbox"/></li> </ul> <p>Extension of Liquidation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Since a post summary correction beyond 270 days and within 20 days must include a request to extend liquidation, the ACE portal needs to provide the ability for trade to request liquidation be extended.</li> </ul> <p>Comparing Different Versions of the Entry Summary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Trade is requesting the ability to view through the ACE Portal the entry summary before and after the post summary correction. This could be accomplished through some sort of a comparison view where the user could see version 1 and version 2 displayed simultaneously.</li> <li>•The other option would be to have a report that would display multiple versions of the entry summary.</li> </ul>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-838</b>	<b>1162</b>	PAPERLESS ITAR LICENSE & EXEMPTION PROCESSING: ACE shall automate ITAR processing to provide the ability to track and trace goods subject to arms regulations from export to import or in reverse from import to export. A. Trade requests the ability to transmit ITAR exemptions via ACE cargo release and entry summary and should be available to AES filers when they reference the import entry number. B. ACE should provide functionality to report Department of State (DOS) license information and maintain this data as part of the entry information C. ACE should build functionality in the ACE Portal to manage DOS licenses used for temporary import/export activity.	1/12/2011	Accepted	CR	SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This GIF was accepted for cargo release.  January 7, 2011 - This GIF was submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>ACT-839</b>	<b>1163</b>	COMBINE MANIFEST & CARGO ENTRY DATA IN ACE REPORTS: Trade request the ability to run ACE reports that will allow the user to pull data elements currently available in the manifest universe and combine them with data elements from the cargo entry universe.	1/12/2011	Rejected	NA	DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF IS REJECTED. NOT POSSIBLE TO DO.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ input for cargo release under TMAC.I;k  January 7, 2011 - This GIF was submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-840</b>	<b>1202</b>	REVISION TO CARGO ENTRY REPORTS FOR SIMPLIFIED PROCESS: CBP is currently planning for a simplified entry process that would allow importers who meet specified criteria to file 12 data elements that will serve as both the ISF filing and the cargo entry. The existing Aggregate and Detail Cargo Entry Reports need to be modified to include all of the data elements from the simplified entry that are not currently available in the existing cargo entry reports. In addition a new field needs to be added to the aggregate and detail cargo entry reports reflecting the fact that this is a simplified entry filing.	10/4/2011	Accepted	Inc 12	DEC 2 2015: ACE CARGO RELEASE REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT WITH DEPLOYMENT SCHEDULED FOR EARLY 2016.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  OCTOBER 4, 2011 - This requirement/GIF was submitted to the CBP Change Control Board for review.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-841</b>	<b>1215</b>	REPORT REQUEST TO INCLUDE DOCUMENT IMAGE SYSTEM (DIS) INFORMATION: Trade community requests access to DIS information in ACE Reports through the ACE Portal and a report housed within the DIS Portal or through the ACE Portal using the current reporting tool. If access is through the ACE Portal, DIS data elements should be added to any applicable entry summary extract.	12/7/2011	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 2 2015: DIS REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT IN 2016</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>DECEMBER 7, 2011: This GIF was submitted to the CBP Change Control Board (CCB) for review.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-842</b>	<b>1216</b>	ACCESSSS TO DOCUMENT IMAGE SYSTEM (DIS): Trade requests access to images submitted electronically to CBP through the DIS. The ACE Portal should provide the option for viewing DIS data and the image itself either through the ACE Portal or by linking from the ACE Portal to the DIS Portal.	12/7/2011	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: REQUIRES TRADE SECURITY TO BE IN PLACE FOR N-ACE. DIS ACE REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR 2016</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>DECEMBER 7, 2011- This GIF was submitted to the CBP Change Control Board (CCB) for review.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT- 855</b>	<b>1240</b>	AMEND PGA MESSAGE SET VIA EDI AND PORTAL Ability to Correct the PGA Message Set: As part of cargo release the trade is requesting the ability to make changes to the PGA Message Set via EDI and through the ACE Secure Data Portal.	4/17/2013	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS CAPABILITY IS BEING DEVELOPED FOR FDA IN INCREMENT 12. IT WILL BE DEVELOPED FOR OTHER AGENCIES AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>Sept 2014 - Pending development in Increment 7. There will be no portal application.</p> <p>August 20 2013: CSPO GIF 1240 was submitted for CBP Change Control Board Review on 4/17/2013</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ACT-856</b>	<b>1241</b>	PGA MESSAGE SET DATA: Ability to Include PGA Message Set Data Elements in ACE Reports: The trade is requesting the ability to add PGA Message Set data elements whether transmitted in support of cargo release at the time of entry summary filing to cargo entry and entry summary reports.	4/17/2013	Accepted	Inc 12-13	<p>DEC 2 2015: WE ARE AIMING TO BEGIN ROLLING THIS OUT WITH THE NEW CARGO RELEASE REPORTS SCHEDULED FOR INC 12. THE PGA DATA WILL, HOWEVER, BE DEPLOYED ON A ROLLING BASIS AS DATA BECOMES AVAILABLE. THERE IS NO TIMELINE FOR FINALIZING THIS CAPABILITY.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>August 20, 2013: CSPO GIF 1241 was submitted to the CBP Change Control Board for review on 4/17/2013</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-857</b>	<b>1242</b>	VIEW PGA MESSAGE DATA VIA THE ACE PORTAL Ability to view the PGA Message Set via the ACE Portal: The trade is requesting the ability to view the PGA Message Set via the ACE Portal or a link from the ACE Portal to where the data is housed.	4/17/2013	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: POST CORE GIF FOR CARGO RELEASE AND PORTAL. CLARIFY OWNERSHIP AND PLANS FOR DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  August 20 2013: CSPO GIF 1242 was submitted to the CBP Change Control Board for review on 4/17/2013
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 187</b>	<b>981</b>	ACCESS TO BOL NUMBER FOR REPORTS: Make the bill of lading field from the 7501 available to "add" to reports. The bill of lading number should be available on all cargo entry and entry summary detail reports. Often times the trade has a BOL number and needs to tie the bill back to the entry/entry summary data. If the BOL field was available under the "add hoc or modify" feature of reports, reports such as AM_008, ESM_008, etc could be used to cross reference the data. The bill of lading number should be available on all cargo entry and entry summary reports as well as ACE Entry Summary reports. This change should be designed so that any house bill of lading or sub-house bill of lading numbers would also be provided once such data is transmitted or available. This GIF covers trade user requirement ACT-GIF-197 dated 12/6/2005. A CR was approved for this GIF but has not been scheduled. Please note that GIF 187 was approved and CR 1731 created. The CR has never been scheduled.	12/6/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: THIS IS NOT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE. WE ARE WOPKING WITH TASPDP TO BRING IT INTO EDW FOR INCLUSION IN THE NEW CARGO RELEASEL (AND EVENTUALLY ES) UNIVERSE. THERE IS NO TIMELINE FROM TASPDP YET, BUR WE ARE HOPEFUL THAT IT WILL BE AVAILABLE UPDON DEPLOYMENT OF THE NEW UNIVERSE.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ input for cargo release in TMAC.  JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.  AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-981) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. CR 1731 WAS CREATED TO HANDLE THIS GIF.  Update: 3/25/08 - Approved for A2.3  4/11/07 - will be met with new reports tool  1/17/07 - Scheduled to be part of the conversion to the new ACE reporting tool. Will be delivered prior to A1.  GIF was approved by PBE Board on January 17, 2006. CR 1731 created.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 188</b>		Make the Release Date available as an "add attribute" in all Release 3 Detail Reports. This includes, Cargo Entry, Cargo Exam, Entry Summary and E.S. Compliance detail reports. Since there are instances when CBP updates the release date, the original release date and the updated release date should both be available for inclusion in the report. The release date should also be available in the Account Revenue reports for the trade to view at the entry summary detail level.	12/6/2005	Accepted	A1, A2	<p>update: 3/25/08 - Delivered</p> <p>GIF was approved by PBE Board on January 17, 2006. CR 1732 was created.</p> <p>1/17/07 - Scheduled to be part of the conversion to the new ACE reporting tool. Will be delivered prior to A1.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ACT-GIF 189</b>		Make the Entry Date available in all Release 3 Account Revenue reports which allow the trade to drill down to the periodic daily statement and the underlying entry summaries.	12/6/2005	Accepted	A1, A2	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - delivered</p> <p>1/17/07 - Scheduled to be part of the conversion to the new reporting tool. Delivery expected prior to A1.</p> <p>GIF approved by PBE Board on January 17, 2006. CR 1732 created.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 203</b>	<b>974</b>	<p>As part of the overall Automated Commercial Environment Account Portal, the trade needs the ability to download entry summary data, and other compliance related results and statistics via the Internet "account portal". In addition, carriers require the capability to download all data related to their Account including history of manifest transactions, penalty/liquidated damages, bond obligations, compliance data, statistical data, etc.</p> <p>1. A properly authorized user would be able to download entry data for a specific period, a specific importer of record number (for which the entry has been filed), and even for a specific filer, SCAC or port of entry. This data would be downloadable in a variety of formats such as Adobe Acrobat (.pdf), MS Excel (.xls), Dbase formats (.dbf), comma delimited, etc.</p> <p>2. The minimum "maximum time/date parameter" for a single download should enable an authorized account user to download data for a period covering at least one year. Additionally, the ability to download data on a daily, weekly or monthly basis must also be provided.</p> <p>•3. All entry summary elements (on all entry summary lines) that have been transmitted to CBP should be available to download. In addition, all related compliance data, such as exam results, including Stratified compliance exams, and related commodity specialist (and entry specialist) review notations, should be available to download.</p>	1/9/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: MOST OF THIS DATA IS AVAILABLE VIA ACE REPORTS. ANY REQUIREMENTS NOT AVAILABLE TODAY VIA ACE REPORTS WILL BE ADDRESSED POST CORE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 18, 2009 - THIS GIF (CSPO-GIF-974) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW. THIS GIF COVERS ACT-014, AND ACT-GIF-203.</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - This requirement is covered by CR1687</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Authorized data extract to be delivered prior to A2.1. Carrier authorized data extract is scheduled for A2.2</p> <p>1/17/07 - The capability to do an authorized data extraction is scheduled to be delivered about 6 weeks after the conversion to the new reporting tool. (Current GIF #288)</p> <p>Reference TSN Requirements - (ACT 014 &amp; MMM FR 05.15). This GIF was submitted to CBP on January 9 and was reviewed by the Production Baseline Enhancements Board on January 31, 2006. The ability to do a bulk data download will be added to an existing PBE Change Request.</p> <p>Please note that exam results will not be part of the data download as requested by the trade. The trade will need to request that information thru a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. Information provided will be subject to CBP policy.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 204</b>	<b>287</b>	<p>The trade needs the ability to ensure that an Account User once deactivated by either the Account Owner or a Proxy Account Owner is not reinstated without their, knowledge or approval. Today when an Account User is deactivated in the portal there is no designation by the Account User's name that they have been deactivated. This is a concern to the trade based on conditions that can arise when a person leaves a company under unfavorable conditions.</p> <p>The trade needs the ability when they view the Account contact list to determine which Account Users have been deactivated, preferably by the deactivated users' name being removed from the Account contact list.</p>	1/9/2006	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered via Manage Access with A1</p> <p>1/17/07 - Trade will be able to distinguish between current/active and deactivated ACE users. (Current GIF# 287)</p> <p>This GIF references ACT 019. The GIF was reviewed by the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on January 31, 2006. This should be addressed with planned ESAR A1 capabilities.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-GIF 205</b>	<b>286</b>	<p>The Automated Commercial Environment Secure Data Portal needs to provide the ability to schedule reports to automatically run at a specified time interval (e.g., nightly, weekly, etc.). This ability would allow large reports to run at off hours or weekends and be available the next day for use by the trade.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A properly authorized user should have the ability to schedule any saved report to automatically run at a selected time interval (e.g., nightly, weekly, monthly, quarterly, etc.).</li> <li>• Users would be notified when the results of a scheduled report were available (e.g., e-mail notice, note on user's portal interface).</li> <li>• Scheduled report(s) requested prior to an established submission deadline (e.g., midnight Eastern Time) would be run automatically and the results routed to the requestor's portal and/or the portals of other properly authorized users. Reports requested after the established submission deadline would be run during the next automated report opportunity.</li> </ul> <p>.In the event that the scheduled report results exceed an allowable file size or maximum number of records, the requestor and/or recipients should receive a message notification that either the requested size of the report exceeds the maximum allowed size parameter or, that the returned report is only partial fulfillment of the request due to it exceeding the maximum allowed size parameter.</p>	1/9/2006	Accepted	A1, A2	<p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement. A separate GIF will be entered for the "M" releases (CSPO-GIF-976-ACT-022-M-Schedule Reports and Extracts)</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - This requirement is covered by CR1687.</p> <p>Update: 03/25/08 - The ability to let large files run at off hours, etc will be available prior to A2.2. The ability to have results routed to the portal of other authorized users will not be made available.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Need to confirm with CCR</p> <p>1/17/07 - The ability to schedule reports will be part of the conversion to the new ACE reporting tool scheduled to be delivered prior to A1. (Current GIF #286)</p> <p>This GIF references ACT 22, The GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on January 31, 2006.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 206</b>	<b>285</b>	The current 20,000 report line limit renders many of the reports unusable by the trade. This capacity needs to be greatly increased to allow large to mid size Accounts to run transactional reports and Quick Views. If reports are too large in size for the ACE Secure Data Portal to accommodate, then another mechanism needs to be provided whereby the trade and CBP can have access to the information.	1/9/2006	Accepted	A1	<p>MAY 19, 2009 - This requirement is covered by CR 1748.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Current row limit is approximately 200,000. The authorized data extract will allow the user to extract up to 1,000,000 rows</p> <p>4/11/07 - new report tool will allow 240,000 lines</p> <p>1/17/07 - The move to the new ACE reporting tool will provide a means for the trade to download large reports. A CR has been created to raise the limit to greater than 20,000 lines. (Current GIF #285)</p> <p>This GIF references ACT 033. The GIF was reviewed by the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on January, 31, 2006.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-GIF 207</b>	<b>284</b>	ACE Account Owners and Proxy Owners need to be able to add multiple users in one location without re-keying all the information. ACE should auto-populate fields with known data whenever available (e.g., When establishing Filer or IR contacts for existing users, ACE should automatically populate fields with data from the User's profile). Today the Account Owner and Proxy are forced to type the same information in multiple locations in the portal when the information exists elsewhere in the account.	1/9/2006	Withdrawn	A1, A2	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Partially instituted in A1 for POC information. Will be enhanced in A2.4 (GIFs 664 and 665)</p> <p>4/11/07 - CSPO-GIF-284 ACCEPTED - ECP-CR-2353</p> <p>1/17/07 - A1 will eliminate some redundant key strokes when entering contact/address information. CBP has determined this GIF is not a priority and has disapproved it. The trade wishes to continue pursuing this GIF. (Current GIF #284)</p> <p>This GIF references ACT 034. It was reviewed by the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on January 31, 2006 and was approved.</p> <p>1/29/08 - accepted but no change request created.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 213</b>	<b>591</b>	<p>BROKER QUICK VIEW REPORT- MONTHLY PORT ACTIVITY REPORT</p> <p>This requirement addresses the quick views and reports the brokers would like to see available in their portal, for example quick views should include their ABI/ACE reject rate, the total duties, taxes and fees paid by the broker, etc. Brokers also receive separately from the local ports a monthly port activity report which should be made available thru ACE. Having the quick views customized to meet brokers needs allows them to better manage their business</p>	2/16/2006	Accepted	Inc 11	<p>DEC 2 2015: MOST OF THIS DATA IS AVAILABLE VIA ACE REPORTS.ANY REQUIREMENTS NO MADE AVAILABLE TODAY VIA ACE REPORTS WILL HAVE TO BE ADDRESSED POST CORE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MARCH 21, 2008 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-591) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - This requirement is replaced and covered under CSPO GIF 591 (See ACT-011 for more detail)</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Can be accomplished thru new report tool by running aggregate reports. See comment on ACT 011.</p> <p>New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-279: Accepted 6/27/07 ECP-CR-1748</p> <p>This GIF references ACT 11. The GIF was reviewed by the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on March 7, 2006. We are checking the status of the GIF for A2. (Current GIF #279)</p> <p>1/29/08 - accepted but no change request created.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 214</b>	<b>278</b>	<p>The trade needs, in addition to the current quick views by CBP fiscal year, the ability to run quick views by user specified calendar year. The current Quick View capability provides properly authorized users with information regarding their entries within the U.S. CBP fiscal year. The fiscal year for many importers and brokers does not coincide with the U.S. CBP fiscal year.</p>	2/16/2006	Accepted	A1	<p>Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered</p> <p>4/11/07 GIF ACCEPTED ECP-CR-1748</p> <p>This GIF references ACT 021. The GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on March 7, 2006. A change request has been created. This GIF is part of the conversion to the new reporting tool and will be delivered prior to A1. The trade will be able to run quick views based on either CBP fiscal year or the calendar year. (Current GIF #278)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 215</b>	<b>277</b>	Due to the overwhelming volume of IR records within a broker's portal view, methods for "shortcut" navigation to the correct IR record should be employed. In addition, with hundreds or even thousands of IR numbers, it is not practical to memorize IR numbers and suffixes. These need to be displayed by ascending and descending alpha-numerically by IR number AND by ascending and descending alpha-numerically by IR name. The records should always be displayed with BOTH the IR name and the IR number (with any suffix) so that the portal user can readily verify that he is selecting the appropriate IR record. This requirement addresses A1 cross account access planned functionality and the design of that functionality.	2/16/2006	Withdrawn	A1	4/11/07 - CSPO-GIF-277 - WITHDRAWN - This requirement will be covered in the sort function  This GIF references ACT 031. The GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on March 7, 2006. Cross Account Access which will be delivered in ESAR A1 will address shortcut navigation.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 216</b>	<b>276</b>	This GIF address the overall needs of the trade when communicating with CBP and PGAs. The current SAL does not provide the needed functionality to make the tool truly usable. From a broker perspective, they must be able to participate in interactive communications with CBP via the web portal. Such communications must include (but are not limited to): Action plans and action items; interactive use of the Significant Activity Log (SAL); responses to CF28's, CF29's, entry summary rejects, marking notices, redelivery notices, protests, SIL's, PEA's, daily & periodic monthly statement, duty drawback, CBP issued bills, etc.	2/16/2006	Withdrawn	A1	New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-276 - REJECTED 1/24/07 ECP-CR-1986  This GIF references ACT 006, ACT 018 and ACT 029 and ACT 007 and ACT 009. The GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on March 7, 2006.  1/17/07 - Further analysis is required. (Current GIF#276)  4/30/2007 - This GIF will be returned to the Accounts Committee for further review and discussion on overall needs of the ACE Accounts for a communications tool.  1/29/08 - withdrawn by Committee. A communications questionnaire was sent to the trade for input. Results are currently being analyzed and new GIFs will be created if needed.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 217</b>		Today Administrative Messages are only available to ABI filers. Administrative messages are NOT available to carriers, importers who are not self filers and sureties. This information is need by all parties involved in international trade to support informed compliance and to ensure the movement of legitimate trade.	2/16/2006	Accepted	A1	Update: 3/25/08 - Delivered thru the Cargo Systems Message Service (CSMS) in Feb. 08  4/3//2007 - CR 1896 will delived a ListServ approach to receiving administrative messages for all parties.  1/17/07 - This GIF has been approved and a change request has been prepared.  This GIF references ACT 007.7, ACT 009.11, MMM FR 05.7 & MMM HL 27. The GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on March 7, 2006.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 220</b>	<b>274</b>	<p>When alterations are made on the Melon Bank site for a conveyance, the ACE ID changes. The cab number also changes. Having a duplicate ACE ID is not useful and is very time consuming for the carriers because they have to go into the system and manually delete the outdated information.</p> <p>It is recommended that the ACE ID be tied to the VIN number of the conveyance. That way the ACE ID would remain with the conveyance and a duplicate would not be created.</p>	3/14/2006	Rejected	4	<p>4/11/07 - New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-274 "REJECTED"</p> <p>GIF 220 submitted to CBP on 3/14/2006. PBE review 2/2/2006.</p> <p>1/17/07 - In review status. (Current GIF#274)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 221</b>	<b>273</b>	<p>Currently the only way you can order IR#s within the account tree is by making an IR# or group of IR#s a child or subsidiary. Accounts want to organize their IR#s in a particular order within their account tree without making subsidiaries or children.</p> <p>Allow accounts to order IR#s within their account tree. It appears that the IR#s are shown as they are added for lrs on the same level of the tree.</p>	3/14/2006	Rejected	A1	<p>4/11/07 - New GIF number - CSPO-GIF-273 - REJECTED</p> <p>GIF submitted to CBP on 3/14/2006. Reviewed by PBE on 4/4/2006. Referred to ESAR Account Management Team for A1 consideration.</p> <p>1/17/07 - Planned A1 will allow users to sort IR numbers numerically.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 222</b>	<b>272</b>	<p>You can presently order the IR numbers numerically in the box for IR#s available to be assigned (left hand box) by moving an IR from that box to the assigned box and then back. At that point, the left hand box organizes itself in numerical order. However, the right hand box or "assigned IR#s" is in random order. It is difficult to check if a particular IR has been assigned without going thru the entire contents of the box. If they were arranged numerically, it would be easier to manage.</p> <p>Ensure the "assigned IR#s are in numerical order in the right hand box after being assigned. This would also apply to SCACs and Filer/port codes.</p>	3/14/2006	Withdrawn	A1	<p>1/17/07 - This GIF is not a priority since there is a workaround available to resolve the problem. GIF cancelled. The workaround will sort the left column but not the right one. The committee will continue to discuss the need for this GIF. (Current GIF#272)</p> <p>Sent to CBP on 3/14/2006. Reviewed by PBE Board on 4/4/2006.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 223</b>	<b>1030</b>	ALPHABETIZING DATA OBJECTS: Modify data element lists shown in the dropdown boxes for Add attribute and Add metric on the Analyze Tab so that the data elements are listed in alphabetical order rather than a random order. This change needs to be made for Account Management, Account Revenue and Transactions reports.	3/14/2006	Accepted	INC 10	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1030) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THIS FUNCTIONALITY IS NOT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE IN BUSINESS OBJECTS.</p> <p>DECEMBER 18, 2006-THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-271) WAS REJECTED BY THE CCB BECAUSE THIS FUNCTIONALITY WILL BE AVAILABLE WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF BUSINESS OBJECTS.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Data elements are in alphabetical order now under the available objects after a report is run. Any further work on this will be completed by A2.2</p> <p>1/17/07 - With the conversion to the new ACE reporting tool, the list of available objects will be in alphabetical order. Scheduled prior to A1. (Current GIF#271)</p> <p>Sent to CBP on 3/14/2006. Reviewed by PBE on 4/4/2006.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-GIF 224</b>	<b>270</b>	The Description column of the Task List indicates a new SAL activity. It should also indicate to the trade at what level and where in the account structure they can find the new SAL activity.	3/14/2006	Rejected	A1	<p>1/17/07 - This GIF has been rejected by CBP. (Current GIF#270)</p> <p>Sent to CBP on 3/14/2006. Review by PBE Board on 4/4/2006.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-GIF 225</b>	<b>269</b>	Provide a historical record for the trade of requests submitted to their account managers for approval of changes to the account structure. In the event the account owner must resubmit that request and especially if there is more than one request for approval with the account manager, they know which one to resubmit. This would also be a reminder that when approved, these new IR#s can be assigned to proxies and users who need them.	3/14/2006	Rejected	A1	<p>4/11/07 - Items will remain on the task list for 186 days.</p> <p>1/17/07 - A proposal to have the request be retained for 186 days unless the Account removes it is being discussed by the Accounts Committee. Additional review is needed. (Current GIF#269)</p> <p>Sent to CBP on 3/14/2006. Reviewed by the PBE Board on 4/4/2006.</p> <p>1/29/08 - current status is rejected.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 226</b>	<b>268</b>	The trade needs the ability to have a backup for the account owner with all of the privileges of the account owner. This could be accomplished adding a radio button to the current assignment of access privileges under the Manage People tab that would allow the account owner to assign full privileges to his/her proxy as a backup or by creating a new user role. This would allow the backup for the account owner to not only add IR numbers but to be able to assign those IR numbers to users without the account owner having to first assign them to the backup or super proxy.	3/14/2006	Rejected	A1	Update: 3/25/08 - Upon further review, GIF was rejected by CBP  1/17/07 - CBP has approved the GIF. (Current GIF#268)  GIF sent to CBP on 3/14/2006. Reviewed by the PBE Board on 4/4/2206.  1/29/08 - Closed - Because of all of the work to be done, this is not viewed as something essential.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 227</b>	<b>267</b>	Current company names in the account tree reflect the name shown on the 5106. Thus the same company name can appear multiple times in a large account. Accounts need to ability to enter an alternate name which will appear in the account tree.  The Account tree structure needs to be revised to allow the trade to enter an alternate name in the account tree. This will eliminate duplicate names from appearing in the account tree.	3/14/2006	Rejected	A1	3/25/08 - Subsequently rejected by CCB  1/17/07 - This is included in a change request for A2. (Current GIF#267)  GIF sent to CBP on 3/14/2006. Reviewed by PBE Board on 4/4/2006.  1/29/08 - rejected. Because of all of the work to be done this is now view as essential but a nice to have.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 243</b>	<b>261</b>	If there is an Office Code other than spaces, the ABE record for the Filer Code + Port Code + Office Code never indicates they are a periodic monthly statement participant. This is because as noted above, when ACE sends periodic monthly statement participant messages to ACS only the Filer Code and Port Code are used. The Office Code is not used in ACE for periodic monthly statement participation. If the Office Code for a RLF Daily Statement is spaces there are no problems with processing PMS entry summaries. It is only with statements that have an Office Code other than spaces that the problem exists. Change the necessary programs to allow periodic monthly statement to work with remote location filing and an office code. This is critical and cannot wait for the A2 release in 2008.	6/28/2006	Replaced	A2.3	SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 - REPLACED BY ACT-831 (CSPO-GIF-980)  New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-261 - REJECTED 1/8/07- THIS GIF WAS REJECTED BY THE CCB BECAUSE THE FUNCTIONALITY WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN A2 AND SHOULD NOT BE IMPLEMENTED IN ACS.  GIF presented to Production Baseline Enhancement Board on July 11, 2006. GIF is being evaluated to determine the number of entry summaries filed RLF where an office code is used. Once this evaluation is completed, the GIF will be discussed again.  1/17/07 - CBP has cancelled this GIF because with the redesing planned for A2, this will not be an issue. (Current GIF#261)
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 245</b>	<b>260</b>	<p>CARGO ENTRY REPORTS - Currently there is no way to distinguish between entries that were completed and entries that were cancelled when running cargo entry reports and filtering by create date or entry date. If an entry is cancelled for what ever reason, it is not removed from ACE. Thus if you run any cargo entry detail reports those cancelled entries will be part of the report. When running entry summary detail reports, if you filter by create date there is not way to exclude cancelled entry summaries from the report.</p> <p>Add a field with a flag code for cancelled entries such that those entries/entry summaries can be eliminated by the user when running ACE cargo entry and entry summary detail reports. This flag should be available on all entry and entry summary detail reports as a filter before launching the report. This would be better than having to run the report and then filter for either cancelled or not cancelled entries.</p> <p>It would also be advantageous to be able to simply run a cancelled entry/entry summary report for a specified date range</p>	7/20/2006	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 2 2015: ACE CARGOR RELEASE REPORTS ARE PLANNED FOR DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 10, 2009- THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY CBP BUT NOT YET DELIVERED.</p> <p>Update: 4/20/09 - Committee is checking A2.2 ad hoc report capabilities for ACE entry summaries to see if a cancelled entry status is shown.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3</p> <p>News GIF number = CSPO-GIF-260 - ACCEPTED 1/10/07</p> <p>This GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on July 24, 2006.</p> <p>1/17/07 - CBP has approved this GIF but there is no corresponding change request with money.. (Current GIF#260)</p> <p>1/28/08 - to be baked into 2.2 functionality</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 246</b>	<b>259</b>	<p>CARGO EXAM REPORTS: AMS provides data that is not presently incorporated in the ACE Reports/Cargo Exam data repository, e.g. APHIS, other government agency, Customs holds and VACIS exams. The information is classified by the trade as "cargo exam" data. The incorporation of such data, in addition to performing a refresh of cargo exam data nightly, will provide the trade with visibility of ALL cargo exams which we can then use to define predictability for our own import process. This information is only available through our Customs Account Manager today.</p> <p>The trade recommends adding the following fields to the cargo exam report: 1) EXAM TYPE (examples of exam type would be: Manifest Hold, VACIS exam, Stratified Compliance, Compliance Measurement, Intensive, APHIS, Agriculture Hold, etc.) 2) BILL OF LADING NUMBER 3) CONTAINER NUMBER 4) ARRIVAL DATE 5) RELEASE DATE. The trade recommends updating cargo exam statistics nightly.</p>	7/20/2006	Accepted	Inc 11	<p>DEC 2015: AIR MANIFEST REPORTS ARE CURRENTLY BEING DEVELOPED.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP Trade Modernization &amp; ACE Coordination (TMAC) review. Comment: There are two parts to this comment. The first deals with incorporating specific AMS information in the ACE reports /cargo exam data repository requires a policy review. The second aspect recommends adding information fields into the cargo exam reports (exam type, bill of lading number, container number, etc.) warrants further discussion during the next phase of development. Additionally the timing of cargo exam statistics updates also warrants further discussion during the next phase of development.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 12, 2006 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-259) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Current GIF number = CSPO-GIF-259 = In review by CCR team.</p> <p>This GIF was presented to the Production Baseline Enhancement Board on July 24, 2006. The GIF is being referred to Cargo Control and Release for consideration.</p> <p>1/17/07 - Under review. (Current GIF#259)</p> <p>1/29/08 - Under review</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 425</b>	<b>425</b>	<p>Importers will have the ability to flag entries for reconciliation at the line item level in A2. Currently they can only flag the entries at the header level. Surety companies receive a download of all entries secured by that surety's bonds. This entry data is summary data - the surety does not receive line item data. With the change in reconciliation flagging, the surety may not be aware of reconciliation entries if the entry is not flagged at the header level.</p> <p>CBP must ensure that the surety will receive the entry data flagged for reconciliation at the header level even if the importer is flagging only one line item on the entry.</p>	9/26/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ACCEPTED BY CBP BUT HAS NOT YET BEEN DELIVERED.</p> <p>FEBRUARY 26, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSP0-GIF-425) WAS ACCEPTED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - A2.3</p> <p>1/17/07 - In review status.</p> <p>1/29/08 - to be baked into 2.3 functionality</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 552</b>	<b>552</b>	<p>Current ACE Accounts have requested a portal report which would allow the Trade Account Owner and the proxy to view all active users of their account by user name and the assigned privileges each user has. Ideally the report would include the IR numbers, filer codes, port codes, SCACs, etc. that each user has been granted access to. The trade would like to know if the access is thru cross account access if there is a way to identify this access.</p>	2/1/2007	Withdrawn	3	<p>OCTOBER 9, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS CLOSED IN CCB</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 574</b>	<b>979</b>	<p>SURETY BOND PORTLET - The screens that will be available to the surety include a "Bond Portlet". While the screens presented at the Dec 2006 TSN conference are for CBP use only, the surety subcommittee is commenting on how the surety screen should be set up and queried. Query capabilities are important as any one surety can have thousands of bonds on file with CBP. The portlet should allow for querying by bond type (continuous vs single), bond activity code, ACS/ACE bond number, IRS/Importer number, Principal Name, Bond Effective Date, filer code and Agent ACE ID. In addition, the portlet should allow for querying by a date range and by terminated and active bonds. In the future, when single transaction bonds are in the system, the portlet should also allow for querying by entry number and entry type.</p>	3/20/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>ACCEPTED IN PART AND REMAINING FUNCTIONALITY COVERED BY ACT-830 (CSPO-GIF-979).</p> <p>MAY 8, 2008 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS CLOSED IN CCB</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Surety will have partial query capability prior to A2.1</p> <p>1/29/08 - CR in place for searching by bond number. A new CR will be created to address other A2 functionality.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 575</b>	<b>575</b>	The surety account concept calls for an optional ACE ID number for a surety administrator/agent. This Surety Agent ACE ID number should be a mandatory field in the surety account portal, as well as the Surety Producer Account Number. The Surety Agent ACE ID must be built into the BAF. This should be available in A1 with the ability for the surety to update existing bonds with an Agent ACE ID.	3/20/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	Post Core	<p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>FEBRUARY 3, 2011 - This GIF was determined to be not in scope for cargo release.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011 - This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>JUNE 12, 2008 - CCB STATUS IS DEFERRED. REASON FOR DEFERRAL WAS THAT MORE INFORMATION WAS REQUIRED.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 637</b>	<b>637</b>	Prior to A1 an importer with multiple IR numbers in their account could order the IR numbers numerically in the box for IR#s available to be assigned (left hand box under Manage Access/User Access) by moving an IR from that box to the assigned box (right hand box)and then back. At that point, the left hand box organizes itself in numerical order for easy in assigning access to IR #s. That capability was lost with the A1 drop. This makes it extremely difficult for the Trade Account Owner or Proxy to locate from a long list of IR#s or port codes which they need to assign. The IR numbers or ports in the box on the left do not appear in any logical order; by that I mean they do not match the order of the IR numbers or port codes in the account list. Once you locate the IR numbers or port code and move them to the box on the right, they appear in the order they were moved. It is difficult to check if a particular IR or port has been assigned without going thru the entire contents of the box. If they were arranged numerically, it would be easier to manage.	11/15/2007	Accepted	A1	<p>NOVEMBER 26, 2009 - WITHDRAWN IN CCB. WILL BE IMPLEMENTED AS PTR 20776.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Scheduled for April 08</p> <p>1/29/08 - PTR already created for trouble ticket opened. GIF no needed to address issue.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 664</b>	<b>1036</b>	ACE PORTAL: 19 CFR 111 REQUIREMENTS - The functionality delivered with ESAR A1 does not allow the mid to large size broker to take advantage of using the ACE Portal to meet their 19 CFR 111 requirements. On average it takes 20 minutes to manually enter information on one employee. Additionally there is no copy paste functionality to help reduce the time it takes to enter employee information. To fully take advantage of the new functionality delivered, the trade is requesting the ability to upload employee information from an Excel spreadsheet into the ACE portal. All data elements currently required would be included in the spreadsheet. In addition, the trade is recommending that the actual update date should also be captured. The update date would allow CBP to know how current the information is. Trade would like to receive a confirmation that the upload worked correctly to ensure they remain compliant with regulations. The message should confirm the number of records received. If for some reason the upload is not successful, error messages should be returned that are understandable. The option to upload an entire file each month should be available as well as the ability to upload changes only. While the trade would like to start with an Excel spreadsheet as a means of providing employee information, ultimately the trade would like to have an EDI option as well.	3/1/2008	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF WAS IMPLEMENTED WITH THE ACE PORTAL UPLOAD CAPABILITY</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1036) WAS RESUBMITTED FOR CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW TO ENSURE THAT THE FUNCTIONALITY WILL BE DELIVERED</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 664) IS LISTED AS "CLOSED" AND "DEFERRED" IN THE DIMENSIONS DATABASE. THE CLOSED STATUS LISTED THE FOLLOWING CONDITION " Will be included in A2.4". THE DEFERRED STATUS LISTED THE FOLLOWING CONDITION "Lower priority; doesn't need to be completed at the present time".</p> <p>Update: 03/25/08 - Approved and deferred until A2.4</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ACT-GIF 665</b>	<b>665</b>	The Manage Access tab should be used for all aspects of an individuals' access, information, associations, etc within the ACE portal. This would include the ability to associate a person to a specific port for reporting requirements, license qualification for brokers at all levels, and all designations (licensed) etc. In essence, the manage access tab would be used as a database to feed all the other tabs. The current functionality requires identical input of data in two separate areas. This is redundant and results in a loss of productivity. The original vision was to have a single entry point of data for all information with regards to people.	3/1/2008	Rejected	INC 10	<p>DEC 1 2015: THIS GIF IS REJECTED. THE SECURITY MODEL WOULD HAVE TO CHANGE TO ACCOMMODATE THIS REQUEST.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 665) WAS DEFERRED BY THE CCB ON MARCH 19, 2008 WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS "Lower priority; doesn't need to be completed at the present time".</p> <p>Update 3/25/2008 - Approved and deferred until A2.4</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 702</b>	<b>702</b>	In addition to current report capabilities a report needs to be available where the user can retrieve line item HTS data when multiple HTS numbers are associated with one line item. Specifically the trade needs to be able to run a report that specifies two or three HTS numbers reported as part of a single line item. The report should display all similar combinations of multiple HTS numbers reported on a single line on all entry summaries within a given time period. The query needs to be applicable to both HTS numbers so that it will search, for example, a 9817 in either the first or second HTS number position.	4/11/2008	Withdrawn	A2.3	OCTOBER 6, 2009 - WORKAROUND TO GET THIS DATA IS AVAILABLE CURRENTLY IN ACE.  MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 702) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  Replaces ACT 020.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ACT-GIF 703</b>	<b>703</b>	The Broker Account Subcommittee requests a report that shows entries/entry summaries that are reject by CBP Officers, Entry Specialists and Import Specialists. Brokers at some ports receive an email from the port with limited information today. All brokers should be able to receive this information.	4/11/2008	Accepted	A2.2	MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 703) IS LISTED AS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. THE FUNCTIONALITY HAS BEEN DELIVERED IN A2.2. SENDING REQUEST TO HAVE STATUS CHANGED IN DIMENSIONS DATA BASE.  Update 4/20/2009 - ACE reject report delivered with A2.2. Account committee to evaluate.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 707</b>	<b>707</b>	<p>AUTHORIZED DATA EXTRACT REPORTS - The current delivery of the Authorized Data Extract (ADE) allows the trade to access currently existing reports in a way that allows for more data to be pulled at one time and that allows the user to obtain the data in a .CSV format so that it can be analyzed offline from ACE. Each of the current data downloads cover a portion of the entry/entry summary process. In separate downloads, the trade can obtain the following information: entry summary data, ADD/CVD information, entry processing (cargo release data), exam information, team review results, Accounts Receivable information, and AR Aged information. The trade had requested, in the past, to get all of this data in a single download, so that the entire history of an entry could be seen at the same time. It has been proposed that these separate downloads can be somehow tied to one another, by the trade, in order to see that history. However, since these separate downloads have separate filters (some use release date, some use summary date as an example), and each dataset uses different keys in the results. Some downloads are at a detail level and some are at a summary level. So, it is not possible for the trade to align these separate downloads into one complete history of a single entry, because the data periods may or may not align as it is currently designed.</p> <p>It is the request of the trade that ACE align this data as appropriate so that the trade can obtain a complete import life cycle of the transactions filed with CBP in a single data download (as is received today in the ITRAC data download). While we understand that the data mart has been designed to support these particular reports for efficiency, it is imperative that the trade be able to trace a transaction throughout the data in a single download. This is not possible in the current delivery of ADE. This request data download may not be support by the existing data views, but it can be managed and optimized in a new data view in the data mart.</p>	4/26/2008	Accepted	Inc 12	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS DATA IS CURRENTLY BEING DEVELOPED IN ACE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP TMAC review for cargo release. Much of the information requested falls outside the scope of cargo release. However, all data that will be housed in the data warehouse will be available to query for authorized users.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was updated and submitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 707) WAS DEFERRED BY CPB CCB ON MAY 8, 2008 WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITION "Meeting will be held to discuss in further detail. GIF will be brought back to a future CSPO CCB".</p> <p>Update: 4/20/2009 - Submitted to CBP for review</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 823</b>	<b>823</b>	<p>SURETY ACCESS TO CARRIER BOND AUTHORIZATION TABLE IN M1:</p> <p>For more than a decade, custodial entities that use the deployed CBP Automated Manifest System (AMS) have called for additional system validations to ensure that their CBP301 Activity code 2 bonded carrier bonds were not improperly invoked on CF-7512 Transportation Manifests or via the electronic equivalent in AMS and ABI "QP".</p> <p>The bonded carrier in whose name the CBP301 is issued remains liable for the safe and timely delivery of the bonded cargo. The new custodial bond validation routine in M2 will provide an additional firewall to ensure that only the entities known to and approved by the bond holder are using the custodial bond of the bond holder.</p> <p>In M1, the bonded carrier will maintain a reference table in ACE of third party users authorized to obligate his bond. CBP will not allow third party users to obligate his bond unless authority to do so is reflected in the reference table. The third party user is identified in the table by SCAC number, IRS number, name and port code. The bond owner will be able to place an expiration date on the port authorization record to terminate the specific authorization on a specific date.</p> <p>The surety should have the ability to view the reference table in ACE for Activity Code 2 bonds which the surety underwrites. This could be a new element in the bond tab of the surety portal which would allow the surety to view the authorized users on the Activity Code 2 bond as well as all additional specifications for each third party user (i.e., IRS number, name, SCAC code, port code, expiration dates). Specifically, the surety needs to see the "list of ports option" and the "expiry option".</p>	11/19/2008	Rejected	CR	<p>FEBRUARY 3, 2011 - This GIF was determined to be not in scope for cargo release. Still listed in "Review" status in Dimensions.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011 - This GIF was updated and resubmitted for CBP Change Control Board (CCB) review as part of the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>NOVEMBER 21, 2008 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-823) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF 657</b>	<b>657</b>	<p>REPORTS: ACCESS/CONTROL PRIVILEGES:</p> <p>With A1 implementation, brokers are now able to input and view data related to their 19 CFR 111 reporting responsibilities, for instance, the reporting of broker employees and employee information such as social security number, home address, previous employment history, etc.</p> <p>Brokers need to be able to restrict access to this personal data in such a manner that only certain of their employees can edit or view. Currently, access can be denied a user by completely removing that user's access to the Accounts tab. However, any user that has permission to run reports can run any of the newly created reports found in the "Public Folders" under "Account Management"/"Broker Permits and Employees" sub-folder. The ability must exist to allow users to run reports such as those found in the "Aggregate Reports", "Detail Reports", and "Quick Views" sub-folders, while restricting that same user from "Broker Permits and Employees" reports which contain confidential personal information.</p> <p>One way to do this would be to allow the Trade Account Owner or the Proxy to assign access privileges to the various report folders (i.e. Account Management folder, Account Revenue folder, Personnel Records folder, etc) in lieu of just being able to grant access to the Reports Tab. See attached illustration. This would ensure the privacy and confidentiality of personnel reports for brokers, cartmen, lightermen, warehouses and in the future foreign trade zones. It would also address an earlier recommendation that access to Account Revenue reports be restricted to those with a need to know the financial information in the company.</p>	2/25/2008	Rejected	NA	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF IS REJECTED. THIS WOULD REQUIRE A CHANGE TO CBP SECURITY AND ACCESS.</p> <p>MAY 19, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ACCEPTED BY THE CBP CCB ON MARCH 12, 2008.</p> <p>Update: 3/25/08 - Approved but with a low priority</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ACT-GIF-721</b>	<b>721</b>	<p>PROXY TRADE ACCOUNT OWNER:</p> <p>With ESAR A2.2, importers will have the ability to elect to use the ACE Portal as their means of communication for such CBP forms as 28s, 29s, and 4647s when the entry summary is filed using the AE record identifier. These forms will appear in the Task List for authorized trade users to respond to within the designated time frame. It therefore becomes imperative for the Trade Account Owner to have a true backup to ensure compliance with CBP rules and regulations. The Accounts Committee is recommending the creation of a new trade user role, Proxy Trade Account Owner. The Proxy Trade Account Owner would serve as the back up for the TAO and would have all the same privileges the TAO has with the exception of being able to create another Proxy Trade Account Owner. The Proxy Trade Account Owner by default would have access to all IR numbers in the account, all port codes, all SCAC codes, all surety codes, etc. They would be notified as the TAO is when new IR numbers, SCACs, etc. are added to the account. In addition, the Proxy Trade Account Owner, like the TAO does today, would be able to assign IR numbers, port codes, SCACs, etc. to proxies and trade users. Although a proxy TAO today has almost all of the abilities of a TAO, what they don't have, which the Proxy Trade Account Owner would have is, once an identifier/account has been added to the organization tree, to automatically have access to that account, run reports, manage access, etc. to that account. Each account would be able to designate one or two Proxy Trade Account Owners. Currently the proxy may add an identifier/account to the organizational tree, but they do not have access until the TAO give them access. This means that only when the TAO is available to grant the proxy access can the proxy or any trade account user get access to the new account. If the TAO is on vacation, out of the country on business, on maternity leave, etc. no action can be taken on a new account until the TAO gives access to a proxy or user. The trade views the Proxy TAO as an intricate part of the business continuity program. Failure to have an option for emergencies, contingencies, disasters is detrimental to managing their portal account. Unfortunately the current workaround is "password sharing" by the TAO. TAO's do NOT approve of "password sharing" but find no alternative currently. This GIF applies to all Trade Account types.</p>	5/23/2008	Accepted	A2.3	MAY 28, 2008 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-721) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>BUS-001-HL</b>		<p>Pending a decision made at the June 2004 Plenary Session, and documented in the Transition/TSIS Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004, this requirement has been revised to reflect the following: "Allow for the ability to send pictures to reference an entry or an account, where necessary."</p> <p>Allow for the ability to send pictures to reference an entry or an account, where necessary. (revised as of 7/26/2004, pending decision reached at the June 2004, Plenary Session. Decision documented in the Transition_TSIS Committee Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004)</p> <p>This requirement was formerly as follows: Applying imaging technology to support referencing scanned 3D images of merchandise and/or cargo contents.</p> <p>(This is a PR and ACE Technical Team issue) (This requirement should also pertain to entry summary)</p>	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/27/09: This requirement has been withdrawn: it has been overtaken by events (AST White Paper on EDI Imaging); formerly referred to as GIF 200</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review confirms status as accepted.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Images of documents will be accepted in ACE.</p> <p>The creation of an online database of images as referred to within the requirement recommendation needs to be further reviewed. The benefit of having source a resource available is apparent, however, the feasibility of maintaining such an account specific database needs to be discussed further. A more feasible approach for handling this data may be on a case by case basis through the use of a communication tool, such as a the SAL, etc. Key to the process is the receipt and use of an image to expedite the clearance process with minimal damage to the cargo.</p> <p>This requirement recommendation has been revised to reflect the following: "Allow for the ability to send pictures to reference an entry or an account, where necessary" (this revision was submitted to CBP on 9/18/2004)</p> <p>BUS-001, BUS-002, and INT-3 have been combined and replaced by GIF 200 (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). The GIF was drafted to recommend the imaging of scanned documents. STATUS of GIF 200: Withdrawn by the Committee on 2/27/06: CBP has already decided to approve the imaging of scanned documents.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Susan Maskell		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>BUS-002-HL</b>		<p>Pending a decision made at the June 2004 Plenary Session, and documented in the Transition/TSIS Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004, the requirement below asking for the ability to store forms should be an exception based process.</p> <p>Use imaging technology to support transmission and storing of scanned forms.</p> <p>(This is a PR and ACE Technical team issue)</p>	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/27/09: This requirement has been withdrawn: it has been overtaken by events (AST White Paper on EDI Imaging); formerly referred to as GIF 200</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review confirms status as accepted.</p> <p>9/9/07 - Images of documents will be accepted in ACE.</p> <p>In release 2 and 3, Accounts can utilize the functionality provided within the SAL to attach documents or images to SAL Entries. The SAL however, is intended to deal with large, repetitive issues and not necessarily individual transactions. Does this recommendation extend to the individual transaction level?</p> <p>This requirement recommendation has been clarified to reflect that the ability to store forms should be an exception based process (clarification submitted to CBP on 9/18/2004).</p> <p>BUS-001, BUS-002, and INT-3 have been combined and replaced by GIF 200 (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). The GIF was drafted to recommend the imaging of scanned documents. STATUS of GIF 200: Withdrawn by the Committee on 2/27/06: CBP has already decided to approve the imaging of scanned documents.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Susan Maskell			
<b>BUS-003-HL</b>		<p>Provide high-speed internet access to CBP E-business applications that will enable business transactions and reviewing account status</p> <p>(This is a PR and ACE technical team issue)</p>	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	2,3, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>2/29/08: Subsequent to Committee review, this requirement has been withdrawn (i.e., overtaken by events).</p> <p>8/9/07 ACE Portal applications are available via high speed internet access on the internet via internet explorer. Users access speed is dependent on their internet service provider.</p> <p>Part of the account portal development process. Release 2 &amp; 3 focus on providing access to account management &amp; periodic statements through the ACE web portal.</p> <p>Release 4 will provide the ability to submit an automated truck manifest through the portal. This portion will be replaced with MMM-HL-01 &amp; MMM-HL-013.</p> <p>Are there other more specific transactions the trade would like to perform through the web? If so, new recommendations should be specified and created.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Susan Maskell			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>BUS-004-HL</b>		Provide access to data content independent of data presentation in a common representation to eliminate data redundancy. Support role protected data at the application level.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	2, 3, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>This requirement was "withdrawn" by the Transition Committee on 4/23/07. This requirement has already been worked into the development of past and/or current releases</p> <p>This recommendation is being delivered in Release 3 with the advent of an enhanced reporting tool. Both the trade and CBP will have access to the same application for the purposes of view and downloading data. Access can be achieved via the ACE web Portal independent of the data presentation, most often ABI.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>
<b>BUS-005-HL</b>		Provide secure access to CBP E-business solutions	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	2, 3, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>This requirement was "withdrawn" by the Transition Committee on 4/23/07. This requirement has already been worked into the development of past and/or current releases.</p> <p>Available through the users account portal in release 2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>
<b>BUS-006-HL</b>		Use innovative technologies to address the training and testing processes that will need to support the introduction of new applications and processes.  (This should also be an ACE technical team issue)	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	2, 3, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>2/29/08: Subsequent to Committee review, this requirement has been withdrawn (i.e., overtaken by events).</p> <p>8/9/07 CBP is providing.</p> <p>Various training mechanisms have been used in the initial training of end-users on the portal. These mechanisms have included computer based training (CBT), workshops, online help &amp; tutorials, CD's and 24 hr help desk support. Some of these mechanisms will continued to be offered in the future releases.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>BUS-007-DET</b>	<b>935</b>	Category: Account Profile Provide users the web-based functionality to access and manage account profile data.	5/15/2001	Accepted	2, 3, A1, A2.1, A2.2, A2.3, M1, M2, M3	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>July 16, 2009: This requirement (GIF 935) is pending CBP Change Control Board (CCB Review)</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review - this functionality will be continuous in ESAR A2.1, A2.2, A2.3 and A2.4.</p> <p>This functionality to access and manage account profile data is being provided within Release 2 &amp; 3 for Importers, Brokers and Carriers. Other account types proposed for future releases include FTZ's and Sureties.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell						
<b>BUS-008-DET</b>		Category: Account Activity Status Provide users the web-based functionality to access account activity status and the ability to view information from role-based views.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	3, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>This requirement was "withdrawn" by the Transition Committee on 4/23/07. This requirement has already been worked into the development of past and/or current releases.</p> <p>Role based user functionality and access controls levels have been provided for in release 2 &amp; 3. Also the ability to obtaining account information with respect to findings, compliance ratings, and amounts due is being provided in release 3 with the advent of an enhanced reporting application.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell						
<b>BUS-009-DET</b>		Category: Payment Activity Status Provide users the web-based functionality to access payment activity status data with the ability to view via role-based views (importer, broker, all)  (PORTAL REQUIREMENT)	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	3, A2, A3	<p>2/29/08: Subsequent to Committee review, this requirement has been withdrawn (i.e., overtaken by events).</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Partly done in R3. Will require a GIF for the A2 and A3 releases.</p> <p>Release 3 provides payment activity status to accounts filing transactions and importer(s) of record. This capability is being provided through the release 3 enhanced reporting functionality.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>BUS-010-HL</b>		Category: Payment Activity Status Provide services to authorize on-line transaction payments by a single agent.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	3	<p>This requirement has been withdrawn (request for withdrawal was submitted to CBP on 9/18/04)</p> <p>Pursuant to a decision reached at the June 2004, Plenary Session and documented in the Transition_TSIS Committee Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004). This requirement can be closed out since it is something that can be accomplished within the current ACE structure.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>
<b>BUS-011-DET</b>		Link payment to account profile, using encryption.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	3	<p>This requirement has been withdrawn (request for withdrawal was submitted to CBP on 9/18/04)</p> <p>Pursuant to a decision reached at the June 2004, Plenary Session and documented in the Transition_TSIS Committee Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004). This requirement can be closed out since it is something that can be accomplished within the current ACE structure.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>
<b>BUS-012-DET</b>		Category: Payment Activity Status Provide the functionality to offset underpayments and overpayments to the extent the law permits.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	3, A2.4	<p>This requirement has been withdrawn (request for withdrawal was submitted to CBP on 9/18/04)</p> <p>Pursuant to a decision reached at the June 2004, Plenary Session and documented in the Transition_TSIS Committee Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004). This requirement can be closed out since it is something that can be accomplished within the current ACE structure.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>
<b>BUS-013-DET</b>		Category: Payment Activity Status Provide the web-based functionality for users to tag items that may be in dispute.	5/15/2001	Replaced	A2.3	<p>This requirement was replaced by ENT-041 which is being reviewed internally by the Entry committee as a potential GIF.</p> <p>This recommendation may be satisfied with ENT-041, Entry Summary Adjustments. These post-summary corrections can be made through the portal or edi messaging during the 10 month period when corrections by the trade will be allowed.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>BUS-014-DET</b>		Category: Payment Activity Status Provide the functionality for users to generate projected statements on demand with both retrospective and prospective views.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	3, A2, A3	<p>2/29/08: Subsequent to Committee review, this requirement has been withdrawn (i.e., overtaken by events).</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Partly done in R3. Will require a GIF for A2 and A3 functionality.</p> <p>Release 3 - will provide users the ability to view open / unpaid periodic statement. It also provides users the ability to execute reports that enable them to see if entry summaries have been paid.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell						
<b>BUS-015-DET</b>		Provide the capability to select entry track on a transactional basis. When entries are prepared, there should be provisions to select submissions via different tracks.	5/15/2001	Withdrawn	2, 3, 4, A1, 2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>This requirement has been withdrawn (request for withdrawal was submitted to CBP on 9/18/04)</p> <p>Pursuant to a decision reached at the June 2004, Plenary Session and documented in the Transition_TSIS Committee Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004). This requirement can be closed out since it has been overtaken by events. "Tracks" no longer exist within the ACE structure.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Susan Maskell						
<b>BUS-016-DET</b>	<b>936</b>	Category: Security Implement data privacy and provide for role-based access according to user levels.	5/15/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>JULY 16, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 936) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review - this functionality will be provided throughout the ACE releases.</p> <p>Role based access to trade account data is being provided in Release 2 &amp; 3.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>CSPO-GIF-601</b>	<b>601</b>	Make Permit To Transfer (PTT) available as a release type in truck e-manifest. CBP States that once a PTT is available as a release type through the truck e-manifest in ACE, the 3214 will be available to Foreign Trade Zones receiving goods within a Port via truck using the PTT process.		Accepted	4	<p>8/23/07 - OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. GIF was accepted by GIF Review Board on 8/15/2007.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-001	919	ACE should provide for widely available release of cargo on minimal data (Track 4). A. Minimal data may vary by commodity to meet participating government agency requirements. B. ACE should allow importers that qualify under published standards to file minimal data by registering with CBP without prior approval. C. Minimal data should be applicable to all modes of transport. D. An importer should have the option of obtaining release on the submission of complete data.	6/20/2001	Accepted	CR	<p>JANUARY 11, 2012 - this requirement has been taken over by simplified entry and will be implemented in that release.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>JULY 15, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF- 919) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>4/20/09: Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review.</p> <p>Minimal data for FAST Preferred e-Release shipments has been defined for eManifest - Truck (Release 4) in conjunction with the Multi Modal Manifest Committee.. Subject to CBP policy determination and possible regulatory changes. Additional release functionality is scheduled for future releases.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-002	689	Release: ACE Should allow for advance submission of non-variable minimal data elements (updated when required) to reduce the amount of data flowing between filers and CBP with each shipment. A. Pre-registration of data into an account profile including importer identifying data, product data, and PGA information. B. Continual update of data C. Means for CBP to communicate with the trade to request additional information or provide status notifications on various issues.	6/21/2001	Withdrawn	M2.3	<p>4/20/09: Pending Committee input (this requirement also references the ITDS Concept Paper, ITDS Recommendations)</p> <p>3/18/08: This requirement was submitted to CBP for GIF Board review and assigned CSPO-GIF-689. The trade will be able to add and maintain certain data in ACE.</p> <p>3/6/07: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review.</p> <p>eManifest - Truck (Release 4) will provide for the storing of cargo, conveyance and crew data as part of the truck carrier's account. Status notifications will be provided to the carrier s in eManifest - Truck (Release 4). Functionality will be expanded in future releases. Subject to CBP policy considerations. A clarification is requested from the Entry Committee on product data. Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: CT&amp;E and ACCT Mgmt only (not PR) (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-003	690	<p>Release: Implement Importer Activity Summary Statement (IASS) to provide an option for aggregate filing of statistical and revenue data for multiple releases on a monthly basis.</p> <p>A. The IASS will consist of "reconfigured entries", i.e., entries filed on an IASS which substitute for all or part of an importer's entries. In general, reconfigured entries will each contain rolled-up data, aggregating values and quantities of common elements such as multiple imports of goods with the same tariff number. In any case, the reconfigured entry will be a legal entity for purposes of finality and for purposes of satisfying the requirement that summary information be filed (e.g., The summary declaration for a month) for filers who use it instead of individual entry summaries.</p> <p>B. If Customs needs to extend for reasons allowed by law (i.e., additional information is needed from the importer/filer), the entire IASS (all reconfigured entries filed on an IASS) should not be extended. Rather, either via extension or a new reconciliation requested by Customs, only those reconfigured entries on the IASS with respect to which it has insufficient information to should remain open.</p> <p>B. Multiple refunds per IASS must be allowed.</p> <p>C. Multiple IASS's can be filed for a month.</p> <p>D. Importers need the flexibility to structure the IASS in a manner that best comports with their business process.</p>	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>July 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 690) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>3/18/08: CSPO-GIF-690</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. GIF 003 includes ENT 003.1 and ENT 003.2</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ALL IASS AND RECONFIGURED ENTRY REQUIREMENTS NEED TO BE SUBMITTED AS SEPARATE GIFS.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. This requirement recommendation is based on the IASS being the legal entity and thus needs to be re-written. The requirement is being returned to the Entry Committee for incorporation in one comprehensive requirement addressing IASS.</p> <p>Revised 9/24/04 to clarify that the IASS will consist of reconfigured entries. Pending reconfigured entry legislation.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-003.1	690	In addition, because the reconfigured entries in an IASS will cover aggregate import activity during an entire month, multiple protest (and request for re-liquidation) issues are likely to abound. For some issues, Customs may be willing to grant a protest, while other issues may be subject to judicial contest. The use of reconfigured entries on an IASS enables each issue with respect to the covered imports to be handled individually without reference to other reconfigured entries.	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 690) IS PENDING CBP CHANGER CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review. GIF 003 includes ENT 003.1 and ENT 003.2</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ALL IASS AND RECONFIGURED ENTRY REQUIRMENTS NEED TO BE SUBMITTED AS SEPARATE GIFS.</p> <p>Revised 09/24/04 to reflect the IASS will consist of reconfigured entries. Pending reconfigured entry legislation.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-003.2	690	The use of reconfigured entries on an IASS enables each issue with respect to the covered imports to be handled individually without reference to other reconfigured entries. This allows importers to receive refunds without having to wait for all issues to be resolved as would be the case if the entire IASS was the legal entity and required re-liquidation. This protects importers' interest in maintaining cash flows and solves the government's dilemma of accumulating large interest obligations on uncontested principal amounts that would otherwise have to await resolution of other issues in the IASS. Importers should have flexibility to structure the IASS in the manner that best comports with their business practices (e.g., either by importer number, port, etc.). Multiple IASS's can be filed for a month. This flexibility simplifies the aggregation rules required for an individual IASS.	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF690) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review. GIF 003 includes ENT 003.1 and ENT 003.2.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ALL IASS AND RECONFIGURED ENTRY REQUIREMENTS NEED TO BE SUBMITTED AS SEPARATE GIFS.</p> <p>Revised 9/24/04 to reflect the IASS will consist of reconfigured entries. Pending reconfigured entry legislation.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-004	922	Post Release: Importers should have flexibility to use an IASS or any of the prescribed methods for completing statistical and accounting requirements. A. ACE should accommodate full data at release, or within a specified period after release. B. ACE should accommodate release followed by the filing of an IASS containing statistical and revenue data for each release not later than a specific number of days after the close of the month in which a shipment is released. C. ACE should accommodate release followed by an IASS summarizing statistical and revenue activity for the previous month, filed no later than a specific number of days after the closed of the month in which a shipment is released.	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>LY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 922) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ALL IASS AND RECONFIGURED ENTRY REQUIREMENTS NEED TO BE SUBMITTED AS SEPARATE GIFS.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. This requirement recommendation is based on the IASS being the legal entity and thus needs to be re-written. The requirement is being returned to the Entry Committee for incorporation in one comprehensive requirement addressing IASS. Pending reconfigured entry legislation.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-005	923	The IASS, as originally introduced into the law, was a consolidation/aggregation of periodic entry summary data; it was envisioned as the legal entity (i.e., the entry) for purposes of finality. The trade community required a change to this status so that if CBP extends liquidation it should extend only those lines on the IASS with respect to which it has insufficient information to finalize the IASS. As a result, the "reconfigured entry," as described in ENT-003 was developed. Consequently, for purposed of extension, it is the reconfigured entry (both for filers that report each release in list in the IASS and for those that summarize aggregated/rolled-up monthly import data) that will be extended, rather than the entire IASS.	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 923) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ALL IASS AND RECONFIGURED ENTRY REQUIREMENTS NEED TO BE SUBMITTED AS SEPARATE GIFS.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. It has now been decided that the IASS will not be the legal entity. The IASS summary itself will function as a box. Each line item on the IASS will be considered a reconfigured entry summary and will be subject to liquidation, protest, extension and etc. This requirement recommendation is based on the IASS being the legal entity and thus needs to be re-written. The requirement is being returned to the Entry Committee for incorporation in one comprehensive requirement addressing IASS.</p> <p>Revised 9/24/04 to reflect the reconfigured entry.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-006	921	IASS IMPORTER BUSINESS PRACTICES FLEXIBILITY - Post-release. Importers should have flexibility to structure the IASS in the manner that best comports with their business practices (e.g. by importer number, port, etc.) A. The trade should not have a flag at the time of release, indicating the type of follow-up: individual summary of IASS. B. The release should be treated as an IOU, with satisfaction coming through the actual filing of an individual summary (currently within 10 working days) or through an IASS.	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 921) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ALL IASS AND RECONFIGURED ENTRY REQUIREMENTS NEED TO BE SUBMITTED AS SEPARATE GIFS.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. This requirement recommendation is based on the IASS being the legal entity and thus needs to be re-written. The requirement is being returned to the Entry Committee for incorporation in one comprehensive requirement addressing IASS.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-007</b>		Provide as an alternative, for a period of not more than 21 months from the beginning of the fiscal year for the filing of the reconciliation entry where the reconciliation is based on the importer's fiscal year.	6/21/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; Withdrawn</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further internal review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement is to be reviewed by the Entry Committee; has this been overtaken by events?</p> <p>8/9/07 - Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. A SEPARATE GIF WILL BE REQUIRED</p> <p>This requirement is accepted pending necessary statute changes.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Exception: "based on the importer's fiscal year". This is not planned for A2. Need L&amp;P approval before implementing in a future release. It is "21 months" that is to be implemented in A2.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-008	1087	RECONCILIATION: DATA ELEMENT EXPANSION:6/21/2001 Expansion of data elements under reconciliation that can be revised. Additionally, proposal of new narrower flags, e.g., value assist and broad flags. A. All data elements should be subject to revision through the filing of a post-entry amendment or reconciliation. B. Provide for both broad flagging of an issue like value and for narrow flags like dutiable commissions to reduce contingent liabilities.		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1087) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>6/29/09: this requirement was submitted to the ESAR team for review as part of the reconciliation requirements; this requirement is also still outstanding for legal/policy review (email sent to legal on 1/27/09)</p> <p>4/20/09: Pending further Committee review (also submitted to legal/policy review on 1/27/09)</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All reconciliation requirements are to be reviewed by the reconciliation subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require HQ and legal policy approval. A SEPARATE GIF WILL BE REQUIRED.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. There is an addition requirement submitted by the Reconciliation Subcommittee providing recommendations for addition reconciliation issues. This requirement is being referred to the Entry Committee for further input. CBP is rejecting the request for narrow flags.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Partially implemented in A2. the reconciliation reasons are not being changed for A2 with the exception of an additional reason for AD/CVD Reconciliation. The Post Summary Correction component is being implemented in A2. Will continue to review the requirement.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-009	908	Aggregate Reconciliation: ACE should accommodate current policies/options to file aggregate reconciliations. In addition, once CBP has been satisfied that its release control function requirement has been met, there should be no need to link reconciliation back to individual releases.	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF- 908) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>6/29/09: this requirement was submitted to the ESAR team for review as part of the reconciliation requirements</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All reconciliation requirements are to be reviewed by the reconciliation subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Aggregate reconciliation is in scope for A2. WILL REQUIRE A SEPARATE GIF.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. This requirement is being referred to CBP for additional input on aggregate reconciliation. CBP is rejecting the statement that once CBP has been satisfied that its release control function requirement has been met, there should be no need to link the reconciliation back to the individual releases. (072704: Changed to L/P. SM)</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Partial acceptance in A2. Still being reviewed by CBP.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-010</b>	<b>924</b>	<p>IASS RECONCILE/REVISE - Importers should be able to designate either prospectively, or retrospectively those elements of an ES/IASS they want to reconcile or revise. Business reality dictates that an importer will frequently not know or be able to identify on a prospective basis the types of adjustments it will need to make. While an importer filing computed value reconciliations will always know ahead of time that Computed Values will have to be adjusted, an importer using Transaction Value may not have such a general knowledge. For example, a given importer knows that for the vast majority (99.9%) of its business, Transaction Value will be based on the price paid or payable, without any adjustments to price or for the other statutory additions provided for in 19 USC 1401a(b). On occasion, however, certain business transactions may occur which may impact dutiable value. For a specific group of SKU's, months after import, the importer may receive a bill for a special mold that had to be provided to the manufacturer. Upon performing a review, the importer's compliance department discovers and validates that the mold expense relates to merchandise previously imported. At that point in time, the importer is ready to signal intent to reconcile as to the specific SKU's (and issue) affected.</p> <p>Retroactive flagging does not guarantee that every issue will be identified in a manner timely enough to capture within the reconciliation process, i.e., discovery of the issue may still occur after the deadline for retroactive flagging, necessitating an SIL or prior disclosure. However, many importers do not believe that aberrational adjustments warrant the prospective blanket flagging of all import activity just in case a Reconciliation adjustment may be necessary. Such blanket prospective flagging would require that the importer who might have an assist covering ten out of ten thousand SKU's, flag each and every summary/IASS covering all SKU's. Moreover, it is inequitable to create huge contingent liabilities with respect to an importer as a result of flagging all activity for the few aberrations (for finality purposes, Customs currently treats each flagged element as open (unliquidated) for one year after the Reconciliation is filed plus extensions, when applicable). Retroactivity provides the means to pinpoint the exact merchandise and issues subject to adjustment. Such pinpointing allows every thing else to liquidate.</p> <p>Under current legal and policy protocols, Customs needs to know what will be reconciled prior to liquidation, so that the items (e.g. IASS line (s)) will</p>	6/21/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-924) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 927)</p> <p>6/29/09: This requirement was submitted to the ESAR team for review as part of the reconciliation requirements</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review this requirement needs to be split into an IASS component and a Reconciliation component. Reconciliation is scheduled for development in ESAR A2.3 and IASS is scheduled for development in ESAR A3.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements will need to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - WILL REQUIRE A SEPARATE GIF FOR IASS AND RECONCILIATION.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. ENT 039 also references prospective and retrospective flagging in more detail.</p> <p>Revised 9/24/04</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: partial acceptance in A2. Retroactive flagging for reconciliation will be supported in A2 via a Post Summary correction. It is unknown how this will be supported in IASS.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>remain open for adjustment by the importer. However, in the case of Aggregate Reconciliation, where the aggregate adjustments are never traced back to individual entry summaries, there is no systems logic to flagging summaries or IASS's. In the latter case, the items are flagged and then the flags are resolved as a pro-forma process.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>				
<b>ENT-011</b>		<p>ACE should provide payment options under which calculation and payment of duties and other monies owed is separate from the release process.</p> <p>A. System should offer payment approaches to address the needs of large and small importers, transaction-based and account based.</p> <p>B. System should provide for payment without incurring interest except as provided under current law for late payments.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>	6/21/2001	Withdrawn	R3, A2.4	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>Updated 6/18/2007: This requirements was met in R3 and will be completed in A2</p> <p>Initial functionality for periodic payment will be delivered in Periodic Payment (Release 3) and continues throughout the development process. Subject to CBP policy and statutory and regulatory issues.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Ownership by Finance</p>
<b>ENT-012</b>		Trade notification to CBP of completed reconciliation	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>This requirement will be withdrawn by the Entry Committee since it is no longer needed.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ENT-013</b>		Electronic ability to make multiple corrections to original entry	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review this requirement will be implemented with Post Summary Corrections in ESAR A2.3.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/9/07 - In scope for implementation</p> <p>Functionality will be developed along with the entry/entry summary. Subject to CBP policy and statutory and regulatory issues.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-014</b>		ACE must allow for multiple refunds, i.e., consistent with the statutory and regulatory authority provided to CBP, entries and reconfigured entries can be subject to pre-liquidation refunds of [available] funds, as well as liquidation and post liquidation refunds (e.g., protests). In the case of pre-liquidation refunds, the system premise is that claims should be assumed to be valid unless there is evidence to the contrary. Money would be refunded immediately, but the transaction would still be subject to CBP final approval, per the liquidation process. The Trade community will bear responsibility for interest charges related to erroneous refunds.	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A2.4	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn; this requirement has been transferred to the Revenue Committee</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Need to prepare GIFs for the A2 and A3 functionality. Need a GIF for the IASS portion. Check with Phyllis Henry on cross over Revenue issues.</p> <p>Functionality will be developed in conjunction with the entry summary. Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement is being referred to the Entry Committee for further clarification of issues.</p> <p>Revised 9/24/04 to provide clarification.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan. Crossover with Finance.</p> <p>11/2/05: Secondary ownership by Finance Partial acceptance in A2. This will be implemented as part of Post Summary corrections. IASS impacts will be determined in A3.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-015</b>		ACE must provide an audit trail that identifies the date and reason for all corrections and refunds.	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3, A2.4	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn; this requirement has been transferred to the Accounts Committee (as pertains to the audit trail) and the Revenue Committee (as pertains to the audit trail for refunds)</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review CBP needs to know if this will be via Portal or ABI.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement needs to be further reviewed by the Entry Committee</p> <p>8/9/07 - ACE will have an audit trail and the trade community can access data they have authority to view. If trade needs more specific information they need to submit a more specific GIF.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Secondary ownership by Finance This will be implemented as part of Post Summary Corrections. Fiance Team will need to confirm if this is also true for refunds.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-016	925	IASS NATIONAL REPORT - The Importer Activity Summary Statement (IASS) should be national, not port specific. However, the port needs to be identified for statistical purposes.	7/11/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-925) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 927)</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. This requirement recommendation is based on the IASS being the legal entity and thus needs to be re-written. The requirement is being returned to the Entry Committee for incorporation in one comprehensive requirement addressing IASS.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-017	926	IASS MULTIPLE FILINGS PER MONTH - Multiple IASSs can be filed for a month. The Trade community should have the flexibility to determine what to put on an IASS and how many to file. This flexibility simplifies the aggregation rules required for an individual IASS.	7/11/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-926) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 927)</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. This requirement recommendation is based on the IASS being the legal entity and thus needs to be re-written. The requirement is being returned to the Entry Committee for incorporation in one comprehensive requirement addressing IASS.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-018</b>		The Periodic Payment Statement should have the capability to cover all financial transactions (All IASSs, individual entries for the period = Daily ABI statement x 30). Provision should be made for importers or brokers to file and pay individual daily statements in addition to a Periodic Payment Statement.	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements will need to be reviewed by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: CBP will require a GIF for anything that covers IASS. CBP will not do a preliminary statement. The final statement in ACE is the preliminary statement in ACS.</p> <p>Initial functionality for periodic payment for transactions related to release of cargo will be in Periodic Payment (Release 3). Functionality will expand in subsequent releases. Periodic Payment (Release 3) excludes entry types 08 and 09, entries with taxes and entries with Census warnings. Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes. The issue of netting is being referred for CBP Legal Policy review. TSN Revenue providing further input (SM).</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan. Census warnings allowed pursuant to 08/08/05 FRN. (SM)</p> <p>11/2/05: A2</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-019</b>	<b>920</b>	The Trade's records can serve the release control function that ensures full linkage between release and summary for all imports into the US.	7/11/2001	Rejected	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was rejected for cargo release. Focuses on providing flexible release control measures for importers who satisfy specific requirements. Desire to create a de-linking feature between release and summary data.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-920) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible statutory and regulatory changes.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-020</b>		Trade community needs to be able to access CBP importer account data to determine the account status. This means that information including, but not limited to, transactional data (e.g., entry data), aggregate account data, compliance measurement data (including transactions contained therein) and strategic trade data that is authorized for release should be available to importers/accounts and authorized filers.	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A1, A2, A3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/9/07 - This data is currently available if the user has been given access privileges by the importer.</p> <p>Initial functionality begins with Account Creation (Release 2) and the establishing of importer accounts. Periodic Payment (Release 3) will establish broker and carrier accounts. Additional functionality will be provided in future releases. Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement recommendation is being referred to the Entry Committee for clarification as to what CBP data includes. Is the requirement addressing Account data?</p> <p>Revised 9/24/04 to clarify requirement.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan. Crossover between PR and Accounts.</p> <p>11/2/05: Ownership by S&amp;T, Acct management, Post release, and CT&amp;E. Partial acceptance in A2. This requirement is very generic. In general, transaction data will be available. Certain pre-defined aggregate data will also be available. Only ESAR-related data will be available in A2.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-021</b>		Aggregate must be retained as a feature. Trade Community wants flexibility to choose between aggregate and entry by entry filing. Affects how IASS will be aggregated.	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A2.4, A3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. Need clarification on why this impacts how an IASS will be aggregated. (072704: Changed to L/P. SM)</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: OPEN</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-022</b>		In electronic world, there should be no difference in processing informal and formal entries. The same process should be used for both; the computer can identify the type of entry.	7/11/2001	Withdrawn	A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>This requirement is being replaced by ENT-031 which addresses the courier consolidated entry.</p> <p>Status: Replaced</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-023</b>	<b>1088</b>	<p>SECURE EXPEDITED RELEASE REQUIREMENT: 6/10/2002</p> <p>In summary, this requirement, recommends the following:</p> <p>1) the ability to file as early as possible, even prior to being laden overseas;</p> <p>2) CBP should advise the trade as early as possible with regard to the release of cargo.</p> <p>ACE should continue to support all current release mechanisms.</p> <p>A. The various release mechanisms should allow data to be submitted in existing formats and new formats.</p> <p>B. ACE should accept options for filing data sets either fully electronic or via a combination of electronic and paper.</p> <p>C. Any type of CBP Business should qualify for release at primary.</p> <p>D. Secure Expedited Release should consist of multiple levels.</p> <p>E. ACE should accommodate importers who wish to certify for release from the entry summary.</p>	6/10/2002	Rejected	NA	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is rejected for cargo release. CBP determined the current system transmits release information appropriately</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1088) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>10/20/2009: The Committee has revised this GIF and will submit it to CBP</p> <p>4/20/09: Pending further Committee review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/17/07 - ACE will not accept BRASS per CBP policy decision.</p> <p>Initial truck release functionality will be delivered in eManifest - Truck (Release 4). eManifest - Truck (Release 4) provides for both Preferred and Standard e-Release. Additional release functionality will be delivered in future releases.</p> <p>This requirement has been referred to the Multi Modal Manifest Committee for input.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-024</b>		ACE shall provide for the automation of the Application for Foreign Trade Zone Admission and/or Status Designation. A. ACE shall accommodate an electronic signature/approval for zone admissions. B. ACE shall send an acknowledgment to the filer and the zone operator when the merchandise has successfully been admitted into the zone.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/28/07 - Status completed via E-214.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement is being referred to the FTZ Subcommittee to determine whether ENT 043 includes all functionality requested in this requirement. The 214 has been automated and is currently in test production at selected Ports.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan. *Need to update ENT-024 - 029 with ACE FTZ information.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-025</b>		ACE shall provide flexibility in reporting formats and means of communication. A. ACE shall provide the option to report electronic data via the web for the zone admission process. B. ACE shall likewise accept the ANSI X12 data set for reporting zone admissions. C. ACE shall accept an XML format.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>4/20/09: This requirement is deferred</p> <p>8/28/07 - The E-214 will be ABI CATAIR only. Item A - REJECTED Item B - REJECTED Item C - REJECTED</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement is being referred to the FTZ Subcommittee to determine whether ENT 043 includes all functionality requested in this requirement.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-026</b>		ACE shall accommodate the filing of aggregate data either on a weekly or monthly basis by approved filers. A. Zones shall have the flexibility to structure the IASS or weekly admission in a manner that comports with their business practices.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All IASS requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a Gif.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement is being referred to the FTZ Subcommittee to determine whether ENT 043 includes all functionality requested in this requirement.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: OPEN to determination of what and when FTZ data will be available in ACE. Is this an IASS requirement? Or an entry summary requirement?</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>ENT-027</b>		ACE shall provide the ability to accept multiple revisions to data previously submitted. A. ACE shall send an acknowledgment to the filer when the revision has been accepted. B. ACE shall provide an audit trail to track all revisions.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: Overtaken by events; withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/9/07 - This requirement should be satisfied with Post Summary Correction functionality.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy decisions. This requirement is being referred to the FTZ Subcommittee to determine whether ENT 043 includes all functionality requested in this requirement.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan. Crossover with CT&amp;E?</p> <p>11/2/05; Partial acceptance in A2 Post Release Team will implement this functionality. However, not exactly as described here. ACE will acknowledge the receipt of the PSC but not necessarily the immediate "acceptance" of the PSC. ACE will have an internal audit trail, however this may not be visible to the Trade.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-028</b>		ACE shall use the acceptance of the Application for Foreign Trade Zone Admission and/or Status Designation to close out the in-bond move from the port to the zone.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	M1	<p>4/20/09: Withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review.</p> <p>8/28/02 - ACCEPTED - Will be implemented</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement is being referred to the FTZ Subcommittee to determine whether ENT 043 includes all functionality requested in this requirement.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan. With the continued implementation of the 214 this will be accepted in the future.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>
<b>ENT-029</b>		ACE shall retain the Direct Delivery Procedure (19 CFR 146.39). A. ACE shall accept a direct delivery indicator as part of the required zone admission data elements. B. ACE shall provide the capability of filing the written application for direct delivery via the web portal. C. ACE shall provide notification to the applicant of the acceptance or denial of the application. D. ACE shall also notify the applicant of any revocation of approval.	6/14/2002	Withdrawn	M1	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review.</p> <p>8/28/07 - Item A - ACCEPTED - Will be implemented. Item B - ACCEPTED - Will be implemented at Port level via written application. Item C - ACCEPTED - Will be implemented at Port level via written application.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. This requirement is being referred to the FTZ Subcommittee to determine whether ENT 043 includes all functionality requested in this requirement.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-030	917	LAB SAMPLE NOTIFICATIONS ACE shall notify the importer when a sample is taken. A. ACE shall identify the reason the sample is being taken. B. ACE shall identify the date the sample was sent to the laboratory. C. ACE shall identify the lab (location) where the sample was sent. D. ACE shall provide lab findings and reports from CBP and PGAs. E. ACE shall display the information on the web portal.	6/10/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-917) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  1/27/09: This requirement was submitted to the ESAR team for review.  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review.  1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF; additional input is also needed from CBP  8/28/07 - Requires further review by OFO - Jim Swanson  Subject to CBP policy considerations. Points A, B and E have preliminary CBP approval while points C and D are being referred to CBP for input.  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.  11/2/05: CCR, not PR.

*Owner:* Monica Crockett

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-031	928	ACE shall automate the filing of the Consolidated Informal Entry Summary provided for under 19 CFR 142.17a (One Consolidated Entry Summary for Multiple Ultimate Consignees). ACE shall post the consolidated entry summary on the daily or periodic statement for payment. ACE shall maintain the existing liquidation cycle for informal entries.	5/26/2003	Accepted	INC 10	<p>CEC 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-928) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement is to be reviewed by the Entry Committee; Has a GIF already been prepared by Don Woods?</p> <p>8/9/07 - Need to be an ABI filer to file PMS. Need input from Phyllis Henry on crossover Revenue issues.</p> <p>Subject to CBP legal and policy considerations.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
<b>ENT-039</b>	<b>915</b>	<p>IASS DE-FLAG AND RECONFIGURED ENTRIES FOR RECONCILIATION - The trade needs the ability to de-flag entries and reconfigured entries/IASS lines previously flagged for reconciliation. The trade also needs the ability to retroactively flag entries and reconfigured entries/IASS lines for reconciliation.</p> <p>A. A reason code will be provided to indicate why the entry is being de-flagged.  B. CBP's approval is required for some reason codes but not for "no change".  C. ACE should provide the flexibility for an importer or their designated broker to do aggregate de-flagging, by this we mean an importer should be able to de-flag a group of entry summaries at one time as opposed to individually.  D. Importers may NOT retroactively add reconciliation flags after the entry or reconfigured entry liquidates.  E. ACE should allow de-flagging either through the portal or via EDI.  F. De-flagging would need to occur within the 21 month time frame set for filing the reconciliation entry.  G. In addition to de-flagging, the trade needs the ability to change the reconciliation issue flag.  H. The filer should have the option of transmitting minimal data elements or the complete entry summary to accomplish the de-flagging, retroactively flagging or changes to the reconciliation issue flag.</p>	5/17/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	<p>POST CORE DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-915) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>6/29/09: This requirement was submitted to the ESAR team as part of the reconciliation requirements review</p> <p>7/14/09: ENT 003 and all of its subparts have been incorporated into one requirement (that is, GIF 690). Additionally, this requirement incorporates by reference, the following: IASS White Paper; ENT 004 (CSPO GIF 922); ENT 005 (CSPO GIF 923); ENT 006 (CSPO GIF 921); ENT 010 (CSPO GIF 924); ENT 016 (CSPO GIF 925); ENT 017 (CSPO GIF 926); ENT 039 (CSPO GIF 915)</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review this requirement should be split into an IASS and Reconciliation component. IASS is scheduled for development in ESAR A3 and Reconciliation is scheduled for development in ESAR A2.3.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08  All IASS requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the IASS subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. Points A through E have preliminary CBP approval. Point F has preliminary approval but the time period should read 10 months. Point G requires additional explanation from the Subcommittee and CBP input. Point H also requires CBP input.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan. Deflagging has been rejected. Retroactive has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>11/2/05: Partial acceptance in A2  Retroactive flagging will be supported in A2 via a Post Summary Correction. IASS impacts are unknown.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-040	1089	<p>EXPANSION OF RECONCILIATION ISSUES: ACE should provide the ability for the trade to file quantity reconciliations, except on quota lines where a quantity change could indicate an admissibility issue.</p> <p>ACE should provide for the expansion of the use of HTS reconciliation to include American Goods Returned when AGR has been claimed at the time of summary filing.</p> <p>ACE should provide the flexibility for additional reconciliation categories as might be needed in the future to reconcile trade agreements.</p>	5/17/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1089) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>6/29/09: This requirement was sent to the ESAR team as part of their reconciliation requirements review</p> <p>4/27/09: This requirement is awaiting further Committee review</p> <p>3/06/2008 - This requirement has been integrated into ENT-008 and is pending further Entry committee review.</p> <p>1/25/08 All reconciliation requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the reconciliation subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Needs legal policy input and will require a GIF.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations. (072704: Changed to L/P. SM)</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: This is not planned for A2. Need L&amp;P approval before implementing in a future release.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-041	930	<p>The trade needs the ability to make post-summary corrections through the portal during the 10 month period when corrections by the trade will be allowed. Changes to the entry summary and the reconfigured entry may occur at the header or the line level.</p> <p>A. The trade also needs the ability to make post-summary corrections via EDI.</p> <p>B. The trade needs to be notified when CBP makes a change to the entry summary or reconfigured entry.</p> <p>C. The trade should not need to retransmit the entire entry or reconfigured entry if just one piece of information is changing.</p> <p>D. ACE should accommodate either a retransmission of the entire entry summary/reconfigured entry or a correction to certain fields. Corrections at the line level may result in corrections to the entry totals.</p> <p>E. The trade requires that any electronically made adjustment have the capability to credit or debit the subject entry's duties, taxes, and fees.</p> <p>F. The trade needs the ability to electronically correct entries/reconfigured entries via ABI as well as through the web portal and EDI.</p> <p>G. The trade will supply a reason code, general in nature, which describes the asserted change.</p> <p>H. The trade should have the ability to designate the change as being covered under prior disclosure provisions.</p> <p>I. The trade requires this electronic feature to provide similar features to the current Post Entry Amendment process. The trade also needs the option to report any change immediately whether major or minor.</p>	5/17/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: SOME CAPABILITIES HAVE BEEN DELIVERED. OTHERS WILL BE COMPLETED POST CORE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-930) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>6/29/09: This requirement was submitted to the ESAR team as part of their post summary corrections requirements review</p> <p>4/20/09: This requirement will be revised and will be transferred into a GIF; this requirement was discussed with CBP during the 3/31-4/1 Trade Ambassador Work Session; the request for PSC via the Portal will be submitted as a separate GIF by the Accounts Committee</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review: Item C - trade requested retransmission of entry summary not just one piece.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Post Summary Corrections will be available and the time period will be 183 days not 10 months.</p> <p>Item A - OK</p> <p>Item B - Yes as an ABI query - not a notification.</p> <p>Item C - Valarie Neuhart needs to confirm.</p> <p>Item D - OK</p> <p>Item E - OK</p> <p>Item F - not in scope for the Portal in A2.</p> <p>Item G - OK</p> <p>Item H - Not in scope</p> <p>Item I - OK</p> <p>Points A and B have preliminary CBP approval. Points C and D need to be referred to the Reconciliation Subcommittee. CBP would like one decision from the trade community. Point E is referred for CBP Legal Policy review. Point F and G have preliminary CBP approval. Point H needs to be clarified by the Reconciliation Subcommittee and point I has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Partial acceptance. This is currently only planned via ABI and not via the ACE Portal.</p> <p>1/26/07: Post Summary Correction is currently planned to be allowed up to 183 calendar days from the date of Release.</p>

*Owner:* Monica Crockett

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-042</b>		<p>The trade needs the ability to view and generate reports covering entries and lines flagged for reconciliation through the portal. The view should also include the legal date CBP has in ACE indicating when the reconciliation is due.</p> <p>A. Reports should be available for both liquidated and un-liquidated entries.  B. Reports should be available for smaller importers or those who do not have portal access.  C. The trade also needs the ability to request the report via batch, not just through the Portal and to specify the fields the report should contain.  D. The trade needs these reports to be available electronically in a format that can be downloaded for further analysis and internal manipulations.</p>	5/17/2004	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn; this requirement has been transferred to the Accounts Committee</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08  All reconciliation requirements are to be reviewed/revised by the reconciliation subcommittee</p> <p>9/9/07 - This is a report requirement. Need to prepare a report GIF</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Secondary ownership by PR.</p> <p>A GIF will be prepared addressing reconciliation reports for liquidated and un-liquidated reconciliation entries.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043</b>		Foreign Trade Zone automation process to include: A. FTZ Process - General Requirements B. FTZ Process Flows - Initiate Initiation of Process Prevention of Duplicate Data Entry Admission Data Processing In-Bond Destined for the Zone Processing Permits to Transfer for Intra-Port Movements Account Viewing and Data Processing C. FTZ Process Flows - Direct Delivery Admission Automatic Direct Delivery Approval Arrival of Goods Receipt of Goods Direct Delivery Status Maintenance CBP Approval D. FTZ Process Flows - Non-Direct Delivery Admission Temporary Deposit Operator Interaction with Non-operator Applicant Viewing Status of Admission CBP Automatic Approval CBP Manual Approval E. FTZ Process - Periodic Occurrences Admission Corrections Interaction with PGAs	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 -            B. FTZ PROCESS FLOWS-INITIATION OF PROCESS:            - Prevention of duplicate data entry = ACCEPTED            - Admission Data = ACCEPTED            - Processing in-bone destined for the zone = ACCEPTED            - Processing Permits to Transfer for intra-Port movements = Still working on truck.            - Account Viewing and Data Processing = ACCEPTED IN M1            C. DIRECT DELIVERY ADMISSION            - Automatic Direct Delivery Approval - Refer to ENT-029            - Arrival of Goods = ACCEPTED            - Receipt of Goods = ACCEPTED            - Direct Delivery Status Maintenance - Refer to ENT-029            - CBP Approval - ACCEPTED            D. NON-DIRECT DELIVERY ADMISSION            - Temporay Deposit - ACCEPTED            - Operator Interaction sith non-operator applicant - ACCEPTED            - Viewing status of admission - Done via Portal query            - CBP Automatic approval - ACCEPTED            - CBP Manual approval - ACCEPTED            E. PERIODIC OCCURRENCES            - Admission Corrections - ACCEPTED            - Interaction with PGAs - ACCEPTED pending PGA CONOPS &amp; MOU</p> <p>The requirement has been initially reviewed by CBP. Some points have been accepted, others rejected and in several cases CBP has asked for further definition including a scenario. The request for additional input has been forwarded to the FTZ Subcommittee. Several legal policy issues have been identified and referred to CBP. In addition some requests have been referred to other Committees for input.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.1</b>		<p>FTZ Process - General Requirements</p> <p>1. .Ability for electronic approval by any authorized entity has the same force of law as a manual signature.</p> <p>2. Ability to enter 1 to n (unlimited) detail line items of admission data</p> <p>FTZ Process Flows - Initiate</p> <p>3. Ability to initiate the admission process at any point in time from the departure of goods from the foreign country or zone (wheels up) until the receipt of the goods at the receiving zone .</p> <p>4 .Ability to initiate the admission process regardless of physical location of applicant and/or zone to which the admission is to be made (remote processing</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Item 1 - ACCEPTED</li> <li>- Item 2 - ACCEPTED (Plan to increase to 9,999 lines)</li> <li>- Item 3 - ACCEPTED</li> <li>- Item 4 - Can be done now (not really a CBP business process)</li> </ul> <p>1 &amp; 2 - Preliminary CBP Approval</p> <p>3 - Under evaluation, a scenario is needed</p> <p>4 - Pending legal policy review - further definition is needed.1</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.10</b>		<p>Processing In-Bond Destined for the Zone</p> <p>16. Ability to transfer liability from one bond to another with the movement of goods from one point of the movement to another (as in the case of a movement involving several locations between the originating point to the final destination point).</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - ACCEPTED</p> <p>Pending further input from the committee</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.11</b>		<p>Processing Permits to Transfer for Intra-Port Movements</p> <p>17 .Ability to initiate a permit to transfer movement through the ACE portal.</p> <p>18 .Ability for a carrier to receive notification that a permit to transfer movement has been initiated by a zone under the carrier's bond.</p> <p>20 .Ability to submit multiple permits to transfer for a single shipment at the master bill level, house bill level, and/or container level, at any point in the process including after arrival at the destination</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - Processing Permits to Transfer is EDI based for ocean and rail. TBD for air.</p> <p>- Item 17 - Not available for truck yet but being worked.</p> <p>- Item 18 - ACCEPTED</p> <p>- Item 20 - ACCEPTED (Will be done for ocean and rail only and only at the master bill level).</p> <p>17 &amp; 18 - preliminary CBP approval</p> <p>20 - Need further definition</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>
<b>ENT-043.12</b>		<p>Processing Permits to Transfer for Intra-Port Movements</p> <p>19. Ability for a carrier to review a permit to transfer movement initiated by a zone under the carrier's bond.</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - Not planned but the filer can query data via the Portal to see who is using their bond data, i.e. capability is available.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.13</b>		Account Viewing and Data Processing 23. Ability for ACE user to download 1 to n detail lines of information (unlimited) relating to the Foreign Trade Zone process from ACE.	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - ACCEPTED (This would be an E-214 transaction and will be available on the Portal in M1).</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>
<b>ENT-043.14</b>		Account Viewing and Data Processing 24. Ability for ACE user to upload 1 to n detail lines of information (unlimited) relating to the Foreign Trade Zone process to ACE	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>8/28/07 - REJECTED - Will not be done.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.15</b>		<p>FTZ Process Flows - Direct Delivery Admission</p> <p>25. Ability for the system to prevent consignees operators (owner or purchaser of goods) not approved for direct delivery from flagging shipments as direct delivery</p> <p>26. Ability for the carrier to know the goods are automatically authorized to move to the destination zone based on controller identifier, bill of lading number, inbound number container numbers, firms code of the destination, bonded carrier (if applicable)</p> <p>27. Ability for the system to automatically compare the manifest consignee to the list of approved direct delivery zones operators/account# that have requested that all merchandise approved for Direct Delivery shipments will automatically proceed under direct delivery to the zone and then to inform carrier that the shipment may proceed upon entry of manifest into the system unless exam is required or there are failed edits</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08  Item 25- requires further Entry Committee review to clarify the requirement and determine if a GIF will be required  - Item 26- requires further review by the FTZ subcommittee; has this requirement been overtaken by events?</p> <p>8/28/07 -  - Item 25 - Requires further Entry committee review to clarify requirement and determine if GIF will be required - (Steven Lubel action).  - Item 26 - FTZ operator will need to put in request for PTT (Steven Lubel action).  - Item 27 - REJECTED (Filer can manually request the PTT to get information)..</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>
<b>ENT-043.16</b>		<p>FTZ Process Flows - Direct Delivery Admission</p> <p>28. Ability for an operator consignee to review all shipments in route, and selectively indicate which containers are requested to be direct delivered if they do not opt for the 'all shipments direct delivery' described in 27.</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>8/28/07 - REJECTED</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.17</b>		<p>Arrival of Goods</p> <p>29 .Ability to indicate an in-bond shipment has arrived at a zone and is available for immediate use upon official receipt.</p> <p>30 .Ability to indicate an in-bond shipment receipt is the last of those to be compared to a particular in-bond movement. This indication starts the count for the 15-day requirement for filing a zone admission for the movement (19CFR4.37(b)).</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08- This requirement needs to go to CBP for further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - - Item 29 - ACCEPTED - Item 30 - Requires further CCR team review of 19CFR 4.37(b).</p> <p>29 - Input into ICRS 30 - Further definition needed</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>
<b>ENT-043.18</b>		<p>Arrival of Goods</p> <p>31. Ability to close in-bond data using the admission data including any corrections noted during acceptance.</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - ACCEPTED</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.19</b>		<p>Receipt of Goods</p> <p>32. Ability to indicate discrepancies on the admission upon receipt of goods along with a pre-set reason code</p> <p>33 .Ability to validate that a shipment is covered by direct delivery automatically from an indicator of zones operators approved for direct delivery as well as a list of the HTS's (six ten digit level) and Actual Countries of Origin (not related but individual lists) for which each zone is approved upon post receipt filing of the admission in ACE</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 - This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and will also require additional Entry Committee input</p> <p>8/28/07 - - Item 32 - Committee will need to specify reason codes beyond what they get today. Will require a GIF (Steven Lubel action). - Item 33 - Requires further committee review to clarify and determine if a GIF will be required. (Steven Lubel action).</p> <p>33 - referred to legal policy</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.2</b>		<p>Prevention of Duplicate Data Entry</p> <p>5. Ability to obtain information previously entered on the advance manifest (AMS) automatically for the completion of an admission using an identifier (e.g. Bill of Lading, IT number, etc.): a. Current CF214 header information b. Including Date of Sailing for Export date</p> <p>6. Ability for the user and operator to view the manifest data with the 6-digit HTSUS classification for such activities as checking against the zone's direct delivery auto-approval list, correction of incorrect classification, and performing due diligence regarding goods being received in the zone.</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 - This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - - Item 5 - One master bill at a time could be considered but requirement is rejected as written. Will require Entry committee review to determine if GIF will be required. (Steven Lubel action). - Item 6 - CBP doesn't always have the 6 digit HTS. Will not be done.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.20</b>		<p>34. Ability to indicate a receipt exception (i.e., quantity, value, Country of Origin, Classification, etc.) versus lost cargo upon entering corrections during acceptance of admission</p> <p>35. Ability to add, edit, and delete Direct Delivery auto-approval database information (within the scope of the FTZ Board approval and the Annual Blanket – CF216) to be used for comparison to a post receipt admission notification</p> <p>36. Ability for automatic notification to port director when a zone's direct delivery auto-approval list is modified</p> <p>37. Ability for a port director to electronically approve modifications to a zone's Direct Delivery auto-approval list</p> <p>38. Ability to have auto approval of a change to a zone's direct delivery auto-approval database if there has been no review within 2 business days of submission of the change</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 - This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - - Item 34 - Requires internal committee review to clarify. (Steven Lubel action). - Item 35 - Will not be done. - Item 36 - Will not be done. - Item 37 - Will not be done. - Item 38 - Will not be done.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.21</b>		<p>CBP Approval</p> <p>39. Ability for CBP electronic approval of the post receipt admission</p> <p>41. Ability for the filer, receiver of goods, carrier, and applicant to receive notice of approval of an admission</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - Item 39 - Will be done. - Item 41 - Will be done.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.22</b>		CBP Approval 40. Ability for the filer, receiver of goods, carrier, and applicant to perform a status query regarding an admission at any given time, depending on its assigned permissions including confirmation of the receipt of an admission in the system	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - Will be done.  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.23</b>		FTZ Process Flows - Non-Direct Delivery Admission Temporary Deposit 42. Ability to flag an admission for temporary deposit electronically and goods included on the request are not subject to direct delivery. Such flagged admissions go through an approval process requiring less data than a non-temporary (* regulatory affirmation, if needed)	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - Will be done.  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.24</b>		<p>Operator Interaction with non-Operator Applicant</p> <p>43. Ability for an operator to enter into the system a list of applicants for whom the operator has admitted goods to the zone in the past</p> <p>44. Ability for the operator to see an automatic indicator if an applicant has requested to admit goods to the zone and there has never been an admission of this applicant's goods to the operator's zone in the past</p> <p>45. Ability for the operator to approve or deny admission by a previously un approved applicant</p> <p>46. Ability for the operator to provide comments regarding the reason the admission was denied</p> <p>47 .Ability for the operator to indicate acceptance of liability</p> <p>48 .Ability to for an operator to designate applicant firms whose requests to admit are automatically approved without individual manual review by operator</p> <p>49 .Ability for the system to inform the operator that an admission from a pre-approved applicant has been entered into the system</p> <p>50 .Ability for applicant to edit an admission if denied by operator or CBP and re-submit the modified admission for reconsideration</p> <p>51 .Ability for the system to prevent distribution of operator denial comments made on an admission upon resubmission of the corrected admission</p> <p>52. Ability for the operator, upon accepting liability, to document any corrections to previously entered information while retaining the originally entered information</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 -This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - - Item 43 - REJECTED. Filer must maintain list. - Item 44 - REJECTED - Item 45 - REJECTED - Item 46 - ACCEPTED - Item 47 - ACCEPTED - Item 48 - REJECTED - Item 49 - ACCEPTED - Item 50 - ACCEPTED (with understanding that 'applicant' will be changed to 'filer'). - Item 51 - REJECTED - Item 52 - Will require internal committee review to determine if GIF will be required (Steven Lubel action).</p> <p>Some parts are accepted, other possibly rejected. Overall further clarification is needed.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.25</b>		<p>Viewing Status of Admission</p> <p>53. Ability for the system to inform the applicant that the admission data was changed upon acceptance and admission</p> <p>54. Ability for the system to inform the filer, receiver of goods, carrier, and applicant of an admission of the activity occurring with the admission</p> <p>56. Ability to update the admission throughout the time goods are traveling to the zone</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - - Item 53 - Will require a GIF for implementation (Steven Lubel action). - Item 54 - ACCEPTED - Item 56 - ACCEPTED</p> <p>53 is accepted 54 and 56 - additional clarification is needed.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.26</b>		<p>Viewing Status of Admission</p> <p>55. Ability for the system to provide a view to the zone user to show all admissions created by the zone user</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - ACCEPTED FOR M1</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.27</b>		<p>CBP Automatic Approval</p> <p>57. Ability to receive an automatic system edit/data validation upon entering an admission with the ability to adjust data as needed to pass validation without penalizing the submitter.</p> <p>58. Ability for the system to inform the applicant that an admission request has been rejected due to a data error. The applicant can then correct the data error and resubmit the admission request.</p> <p>59. Ability for the system to automatically compare the admission to a CBP determined list of rules for automatic approval. Upon comparison of the admission request the following events can occur:</p> <p>a. Authority to admit to the zone</p> <p>b. Additional review required</p> <p>60. Ability for the system to notify applicant if goods are subject to AD/CVD and were not reported as PF status on the admission</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - Item 57 - ACCEPTED (but cannot commit to no penalization). - Item 58 - ACCEPTED - Item 59 - ACCEPTED (for direct delivery) - Item 60 - Will require a GIF for implementation.</p> <p>Some parts of Automatic CBP approval are accepted, other parts possibly rejected and other parts need further clarification.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.28</b>		<p>CBP Automatic Approval</p> <p>61 .Ability for the applicant to change zone status of goods subject to AD/CVD that were designated as PF status on the admission or file an entry for the goods</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>8/28/07 - Will require a GIF for implementation (Steven Lubel action).</p> <p>Rejected pending additional committee input or clarification</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.29</b>		CBP Manual Approval 62 .Ability for the system to reject and inform an inspector the trade that additional information is required for an admission. The inspector CBP can then review the admission request and interact with the applicant to work out the approval of the request	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - ACCEPTED  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan. (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)  <i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler
<b>ENT-043.3</b>		Prevention of Duplicate Data Entry 7. Ability for applicant or operator to initiate admission when automated advance manifest is not available (i.e., domestic good brought into zone in zone restricted status, and zone to zone transfer) a.Current CF214 header information b.Including Date of Sailing for Export date c.Carrier delivering the goods to the zone	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - ACCEPTED  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)  <i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler
<b>ENT-043.30</b>		CBP Manual Approval 63. Ability for CBP electronic approval of the non-direct delivered admission	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - ACCEPTED (based on zone operator acceptance of goods).  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)  <i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.31</b>		FTZ Process - Periodic Occurrences Admission Corrections 64. Ability for correction to an admission after goods are received into the zone	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - ACCEPTED  Refer to legal policy  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		
<b>ENT-043.32</b>		FTZ Process - Periodic Occurrences Calculation and Payment of Harbor Maintenance Fees 65. Ability for the system to generate a calculation for the HMF due for the admission (including any corrections noted during acceptance) using the known information of HMF requirements for the provided port (without requiring the user to provide an indication of HMF requirements)  66. Ability to choose to accept the calculated HMF or to disagree by entering an independently calculated HMF amount	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  8/28/07 - - Item 65 - REJECTED - Item 66 - REJECTED  8/9/07 - Will be referred to Kim Santos.  66 - rejected pending clarification  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.33</b>		<p>FTZ Process - Periodic Occurrences Calculation and Payment of Harbor Maintenance Fees</p> <p>67. Ability to initiate an ACH payment for the HMF amount (either the one calculated by the system or the one entered by the user)</p> <p>68 .Ability to pay HMF manually, and match that payment to the HMF bill tracked by the system</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: A separate GIF will be required for items 67 and 68.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Secondary ownership by PR (not planned for A2) If HMF continues to be self-reporting this si not in the design (since there will be no "receivable" present in the system. If we can receive information from CT&amp;E we may be able to create a receivable and put it in the design.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		
<b>ENT-043.34</b>		<p>Interaction with PGAs</p> <p>69 .Ability for the system to provide admission data entered upon the completion of the process automatically to Census without requiring further action by system user</p> <p>a. Census must accept the admission previously submitted</p> <p>b. Admission must include a Census filer identifier for instances where the applicant is not the filer of the Census data</p> <p>70. Ability for PGA's to draw data that has been entered into the system as needed by the PGA rather than requiring the operator to take action (details to follow)</p> <p>71. Ability for the system to notify the operator when information has been provided to the PGA</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07 - Item 69 - ACCEPTED - Item 70 - Will be done depending on PGA authority as specified in the PGA CONOPS and MOU. - Item 71 - Will be done in M2.3</p> <p>69 - approved by Census 70 - further details requested</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.4</b>		Prevention of Duplicate Data Entry 8. Ability to obtain information previously entered in the in bond process (AMS and QP/WP) automatically for the completion of an admission using an identifier (e.g. Bill of Lading, IT number, Container number, etc.): a. Perhaps using the in-bond number and/or bill of lading as the identifier b. Including the name of the inbond carrier (person responsible for moving from port to zone)	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input  8/28/07 - Pending further review with OFO sponsor - Jim Swanson  Additional clarification needed.  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)  <i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler
<b>ENT-043.5</b>		Prevention of Duplicate Data Entry 9. Ability to search for previously entered in-bond information for a particular destination firms code	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  1/25/08 This requirement will need to be converted into a GIF and requires Entry Committee further review/input  8/28/07 - Pending further OFO review - Jim Swanson  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)  <i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.6</b>		<p>Admission Data</p> <p>10. Ability for applicant or operator to add information to an admission if not obtainable from previously entered data (i.e. Advanced Manifest) or to enter data when data is not available (i.e. domestic good brought into zone in zone restricted status, and zone to zone transfer):</p> <p>a. Current CF214 header information</p> <p>b. Date of Sailing for Export date</p> <p>c. Transaction identifier that will be unique specific to applicant (using Operator ID such as account number and Zone number to ensure uniqueness)</p> <p>d. Applicant identifier of the admission applicant (to allow access to the admission information within the system) – this is the entity that owns the goods being admitted</p> <p>e. ACE FTZ Operator identifier of the receiver of the goods (may be the same as the applicant and may not)</p> <p>f. Number of packages</p> <p>g. Comments (optional – never mandatory)</p> <p>11.1 Ability for applicant or operator to add detail information to an admission (for each of COO, HTSUS and Status being admitted, the following will be provided):</p> <p>a. COO</p> <p>b. Description</p> <p>c. HTSUS</p> <p>d. Rpt Qty</p> <p>e. Gross Weight – All will require kilograms, some (petroleum) require pounds also</p> <p>f. Value</p> <p>g. Aggregate Charges</p> <p>h. Zone Status</p> <p>i. Required Prior Notice Information</p> <p>j. PGA information required for admission</p> <p>k. HMF – line item level</p> <p>l. Line # with the to include the above applicable items at line item level</p> <p>m. Admission #</p> <p>n. Bill of Lading #</p> <p>o. Inbond # when applicable</p>	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	<p>4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 through ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).</p> <p>8/28/07</p> <p>- Items 10 &amp; 11 - Deferred pending further OFO review on partial data submissions. - Jim Swanson</p> <p>Refer to legal policy</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-043.7</b>		Processing In-Bond Destined for the Zone 12. Ability to initiate an in bond movement through the ACE portal  13. Ability for a carrier to receive notification that an in bond movement has been initiated by a zone under the carrier's bond	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - - Item 12 - ACCEPTED - Item 13 - REJECTED (carrier will be able to query the system via the Portal and can restrict user access).  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.8</b>		Processing In-Bond Destined for the Zone 14 .Ability for a carrier to review an in –bond movement initiated by a zone under the carrier's bond.	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - ACCEPTED  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-043.9</b>		Processing In-Bond Destined for the Zone 15 .Ability to submit multiple admissions to a zone, entries, or bond movements for a single shipment at the master bill level, house bill level, container level, and/or the invoice line level at any point in the process including after arrival at the destination	1/30/2004	Withdrawn	M1, A2.3	4/20/09: This requirement is withdrawn  3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Committee for review. All parts of ENT-043 (that is, ENT-043.1 though ENT 043.34, have been integrated into ONE GIF).  8/28/07 - ACCEPTED FOR ALL EXCEPT AT INVOICE LEVEL.  Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current program plan.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-044</b>		<p>The trade needs the flexibility to be able to transmit only those lines that have changes from the original entry summary, along with the entry identification (header level information to tie the reconciliation to the entry) and revised numerical totals at the entry level. In order to do this, the system should provide the ability to transmit data line-by-line for the reconciliation, the same ability as is already available for the filing of the original entry summary, i.e., entry summary lines are transmitted individually. With respect to the transmission of the revised numerical entry level totals, the trade wants to continue to do what is currently done: the filer would transmit the baseline totals contained in CBP's data base together with the new, revised total resulting from the reconciliation. In this manner, it will be apparent that CBP and the filer are working from the same baseline information.</p> <p>For the line level information, the trade wants to be able to transmit only the new (reconciled) values and only for those lines that contained information that has changed from the original entry summary. The trade does not want to be required to transmit at the line level both the original summary line information (contained in CBP's data base) and the new entry summary line values.</p>	8/8/2004	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>SEPTEMBER 9, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN OBE. SEE ENT-041 (CSPO-GIF-930)</p> <p>7/7/09: This requirement was sent to the ESAR team as part of the reconciliation requirements review</p> <p>4/20/09: This requirement is pending further Committee review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement is on HOLD pending further Committee review.</p> <p>1/25/08 Requires further Entry Committee review/input</p> <p>8/9/07 Valarie Neuhart needs to verify status.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-045	907	ACE should allow the paperless release on warehouse withdrawals for consumption (type 31) on the classes of merchandise where a paperless release is currently allowed in ACS on a consumption entry (type 01).	9/24/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>SEPT 2014 - Dependent on Entry Summary Types 21-22. Planned for development in Increment 7 (Aug-Nov 2014)</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is accepted in part for cargo release. Additional review is required. CBP supports a paperless warehouse withdrawal, however, electronic blanket withdrawals and inventory controls are out of scope.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-907) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review. ENT-045 includes both ENT045.1 and ENT-045.2</p> <p>8/9/07 Pending legal policy review.</p> <p>May require legal policy review</p> <p>Pilot is currently proposed under ACS</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: subject to pilot programs initiated by CBP</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-045.1</b>	<b>907</b>	In the same vein, ACE should allow paperless release on the classes of merchandise entered for warehouse (types 21 and 22) that ACS allows a paperless release when entered for consumption (type 01).	9/24/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>SEPT 2014 - Functional development in Increment 7 (Aug-Nov 2014)</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-907) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review. ENT-045 includes both ENT045.1 and ENT-045.2</p> <p>8/9/07 - pending legal policy review</p> <p>May require legal policy review.</p> <p>Pilot is currently proposed under ACS</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: subject to pilot programs initiated by CBP</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-045.2</b>	<b>907</b>	To enable the paperless release of warehouse entries, ACE must provide a mechanism to allow the specific designations that are currently manually indicated on the face of the CF7501 to be electronically transmitted such as requests for blanket withdrawals provided for in 19.6(d), use of the FIFO method of recordkeeping required in 19.12(f)(6), and the statement when merchandise is entered "For Export Only."	9/24/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>SEPT 2014: Functional development Increment 7 (Aug-Nov 2014)</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-907) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review. ENT-045 includes both ENT045.1 and ENT-045.2</p> <p>8/9/07 - In scope for implementation</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan. Crossover with Accounts</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-046	909	Provide a mechanism whereby a consolidated withdrawal for consumption (type 31) can be processed through ACE. The system should provide a vehicle enabling the filer to pay duty applicable to all underlying entries under a single CF 7501 via ACH. MPF should be calculated at the individual entry line level.	9/24/2004	Rejected	CR	<p>LSEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was rejected for cargo release. Determined to be out of scope for cargo release. Related to entry summary not cargo release.</p> <p>JULY 14,2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-909) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW - ENT-046-M2.3-CONSOLIDATED WAREHOUSE WITHDRAWAL FOR CONSUMPTION</p> <p>7/16/09: This may require coordination with BEMS</p> <p>July 13, 2009: This requirement (GIF 909) is pending CBP Change Control Board (CCB Review)</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement to be reviewed/revised by the bonded warehouse subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Neend crossover review by Phyllis Henry for Revenue issues.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: This would be subject to CBP policy decisions/change. Not in A2</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-047	916	Provide a mechanism to allow electronic payment of duties to document physical inventory shortages against warehouse entries via ACH through ACE. The mechanism should provide a means for the filer to consolidate the payment of duty applicable to all underlying entries in a summary format. MPF is not applicable to withdrawals to document physical inventory shortages, and should therefore NOT be calculated in the amount due and payable.	9/24/2004	Rejected	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was rejected for cargo release. Determined to be out of scope for cargo release. Entry Summary related.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-916) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>7/16/09: This may require coordination with BEMS</p> <p>4/20/09: Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor; also submitted for legal/policy review on 1/27/09</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement to be reviewed/revised by the bonded warehouse subcommittee</p> <p>8/9/07 - Neend crossover review by Phyllis Henry for Revenue issues. Will require a GIF.</p> <p>Possible legal policy review</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: Secondary ownership by Finance Team This is not planned for A2. Further review needed by CBP HQ.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-048	911	<p>To provide a mechanism whereby entries and withdrawals covering domestic merchandise in a bonded warehouse can be processed through ACE. Such identification must include a separate way to identify that the country of export/origin is for US domestic merchandise, as opposed to the current country code of 'US' which designates American Goods Returned.</p> <p>For the Census Bureau and the trade to be able to track this merchandise separately, a new entry/withdrawal type is recommended or some other mechanism to identify domestic merchandise being entered or withdrawn from a bonded warehouse.</p>	9/24/2004	Rejected	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- Per legal policy review this requirement was rejected for cargo release. Determined to be out of scope for cargo release. Related toe entry summary not cargo release.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-911) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW - ENT-048-M2.3-COUNTRY OF EXPORT/ORIGIN FOR DOMESTIC MERCHANDISE.</p> <p>7/16/09: This may require coordination with BEMS</p> <p>July 13, 2009: This requirement (GIF 911) is pending CBP Change Control Board (CCB Review)</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will require a GIF; further input required from the bonded warehouse subcommittee.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Not currently planned - will require a FIF for further CBP review.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: This is not currently planned. Not in A2.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-049</b>	<b>912</b>	<p>ACE should allow the transmission of data and paperless release on withdrawals for Diplomatic Use or for Foreign Military Official or Personal Use. Due to the exemption on duties, taxes, and fees for these withdrawals provided for under HTSUS 9806.00.40 through 9806.00.55, ACE must provide a mechanism to accept the withdrawal data where the duties, taxes and fees are calculated and transmitted without triggering the payment of such duties, taxes and fees.</p> <p>A separate entry type is suggested to differentiate "duty free" withdrawals exempt from payment for Diplomatic Use/Foreign Military Official or Personal Use from withdrawals for consumption where duties are due and payable (type 31).</p> <p>The system should also provide a vehicle enabling the filer to consolidate individual orders on one withdrawal by date.</p>	9/24/2004	Rejected	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- Per legal policy review this requirement was rejected for cargo release. Determined to be out of scope for cargo release. Related to entry summary not cargo release.</p> <p>JULY 13, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-(GIF- 912) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW - ENT-049-M2.3-DIPLOMATIC/MILITARY WITHDRAWALS.</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - Per ESAR review legal policy approval will also be required.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review. ENT-045 includes both ENT045.1 and ENT-045.2</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will require a GIF; further input required from the bonded warehouse subcommittee.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Not in scope for implementation at the current time . This is a new entry type. Determine if Entry committee wants to pursue. Will require a GIF.</p> <p>May require legal policy review</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: OPEN This has not been discussed. Not in A2.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-050</b>	<b>913</b>	<p>ACE should allow paperless release on warehouse entries (types 21 and 22) on the classes of merchandise where ACS currently allows a paperless release when entered for consumption (type 01). Reference ENT 045.</p> <p>To enable the paperless release of warehouse entries, ACE must provide a mechanism to allow the specific designations that are currently manually indicated on the face of the CF 7501 to be transmitted electronically such as requests for blanket withdrawals provided for in 19.6 (d), use/approval of the FIFO method of recordkeeping required in 19.12 (f)(6), and the statement when merchandise is entered "For Export Only".</p> <p>ACE must also allow transmission of cargo selectivity on entry type 22.</p>	11/1/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	Post Core	<p>Pending Post Core Development</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is accepted in part with additional review required. CBP supports a paperless warehouse withdrawal, however electronic blanket withdrawals and inventory controls are out of scope.</p> <p>JULY 13, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF- 913) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW - ENT-050-M2.3-PAPERLESS RELEASE ON WAREHOUSE ENTRIES (TYPES 21 &amp; 22).</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>4/8/08: This requirement has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will require a GIF; further input required from the bonded warehouse subcommittee.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF for further CBP review.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: OPEN Needs further discussion by CBP.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-051</b>	<b>914</b>	<p>WAREHOUSE ENTRIES: ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED FOR TAX EXEMPT GOODS. ACE should provide a mechanism that will permit warehouse entries (types 21 and 22) covering tax exempt goods of domestic origin (US Country of Export) to be electronically transmitted and processed through ACE. (Reference ENT-048). The mechanism must provide a means to differentiate tax exempt domestic goods entered for warehouse from entireties of American Goods Returned.</p> <p>For the Census Bureau and the trade to be able to track this merchandise separately, a new country code for tax exempt domestically produced goods is recommended; the existing "US" country of origin code is designated for American Goods Returned only.</p>	11/1/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	INC 13	<p>DEC 1 2015: WAREHOUSE ENTRY SUMMARIES WILL DEPLOY FEBRUARY 2016.</p> <p>JULY 13, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 914) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW - ENT-051-A2.3-AUTOMATE WAREHOUSE ENTRIES FOR TAX EXEMPT DOMESTIC GOODS/US COUNTRY OF EXPORT.</p> <p>1/27/09: Transferred into a GIF and submitted for legal/policy review</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will require a GIF; further input required from the bonded warehouse subcommittee.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF for further CBP review.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: This is not planned. Not in A2.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-052</b>	<b>918</b>	ACE should expand the eligibility qualifications for Remote Location Filing to include entry types 21 (warehouse) and 22 (rewarehouse).	11/1/2004	Accepted	INC 13	<p>DEC 1 2015: RLF WILL EXTEND TO ALL ENTRY SUMMARY TYPES ONCE REMAINING ENTRY SUMMARY TYPES ARE DEPLOYED IN ACE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-918) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>3/6/08: This requirement has been transferred to a GIF and is with the Entry Committee for further review.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement will require a GIF; further input required from the bonded warehouse subcommittee.</p> <p>8/9/07 Will require a GIF for further CBP review.</p> <p>Updated 10/16/2005 to reflect current ESAR plan.</p> <p>11/2/05: This has not been discussed. Still possible for A2 if appropriate direction provided by CBP OFO.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-062	896	<p>Trade members consisting of law firms and economic consulting firms would like to request a new and separate ACE Account category, entitled AD/CVD Interested Parties. For the most part, this Account would be available to those law firms and economic consulting firms who participate in the Department of Commerce and International Trade Commission proceedings for specific AD/CVD cases. However, other Interested Parties, such as trade associations, could also apply for ACE Interests Party Account status.</p> <p>As ACE Accounts, approved AD/CVD Interested Parties would have the same access, through their Portal, as importers, filers and sureties will have to the Commerce AD/CVD Case Information Management System that was previewed for the Trade Ambassadors at the December 17, 2008 ESAR Trade Session.</p> <p>Additionally, AD/CVD Interested Party Accounts would have limited functionality with regard to AD/CVD Reports. Accounts should be able to get reports on AD/CV duties paid, AD/CV duties bonded, and AD/CV duties liquidated during a specific Commerce Period-of-Review (POR). These summary reports would only be available at the 7-digit case level, not the 10-digit case level. Under this restriction, no individual entry information would be available, nor any value summary information. The information proposed for these reports is already provided by Customs on their website, but on a fiscal year basis.</p> <p>This GIF would require no additional information. It would only involve establishing certain limits to existing data and reports.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: UNDER THE NEWLY CREATED PROTESTANT ACCOUNT, ATTORNEYS WILL HAVE ACCESS TO THE REFERENCE TAB, THEREBY GIVING ATTORNEYS ACCESS TO THE AD/CVD CASE MANAGEMENT MODULE. THE REMAINING CAPABILITIES IN THIS GIF WILL NOT BE ADDRESSED UNTIL CBP LEGAL APPROVES PROVIDING ACCESS, INCLUDING REPORTS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-896) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>5/4/09: This GIF was discussed during the March 31-April 1 ESAR Trade Ambassador Work Session. This GIF was further discussed during a 5/1/09 internal call with the Office of International Trade and a determination is pending from that office.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-063	903	<p>TRADE ASSOCIATION OR INTERESTED PARTIES ACCOUNT; Trade members consisting trade associations and other interested trade associated firms would like to request a new and separate ACE Account category, entitled Trade Associations or ACE Interested Party. For the most part, this Account would be available to those associations dealing with trade as its primary function or serving as an association for such firms, and who have an interest in AD/CVD cases as well as other reference only material.</p> <p>As ACE Accounts, approved ACE Interested Parties would have the same access, through their Portal, as importers, filers and sureties will have to the Commerce AD/CVD Case Information Management System that was previewed for the Trade Ambassadors at the December 17, 2008 ESAR Trade Session, as well as other reference only data available.</p> <p>Additionally, ACE Interested Party Accounts would have limited functionality with regard to AD/CVD Reports. Accounts should be able to get reports on AD/CV duties paid, AD/CV duties bonded, and AD/CV duties liquidated during a specific Commerce Period-of-Review (POR). These summary reports would only be available at the 7-digit case level, not the 10-digit case level. Under this restriction, no individual entry information would be available, nor any value summary information. The information proposed for these reports is already provided by Customs on their website, but on a fiscal year basis.</p> <p>This GIF would require no additional information. It would only involve establishing certain limits to existing data and reports.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: THESE CAPABILITIES WILL NOT BE ADDRESSED UNTIL CBP LEGAL APPROVES PROVIDING EXPANDED ACCESS, INCLUDING REPORTS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-903) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>5/4/09: This GIF was discussed during the March 31-April 1 ESAR Trade Ambassador Work Session. This GIF was further discussed during a 5/1/09 internal call with the Office of International Trade and a dermination is pending from that office.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-065</b>	<b>1071</b>	<p>oACE should provide functionality to report Department of State licenses and International Traffic in Arms (ITAR) exemptions as they apply to U.S. imports as part of the release and entry declaration process, and maintain this data as part of the entry related information.</p> <p>oThe license and exemption information, together with entry number and date, should be loaded into ACE to allow future reports showing entries claimed under these exemptions / licenses. (See also CSPO-GIF-960 for Account Management, "Submitting ITAR Exemptions via ACE".)</p> <p>oFor entries requiring Department of State licenses at time of entry, capture the associated value and quantity declared against that license in ACE. Ensure this information is available for future use in license balancing (see also CSPO-GIF-943-EXP005 for Export Process, "Export Control &amp; Licensing via ACE".)</p> <p>Certain ports have also released specific bulletins on this issue addressing the current gaps in ACS and attempting to implement manual workarounds to compensate for this gap (See for example, Information Notice Number 09-FO-017, issued on April 29, 2009, from the Port of Buffalo, N.Y.)</p>	10/15/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: CBP will coordinate development with the State Dept.</p> <p>OCTOBER 15, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1071) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-066	1090	<p>ABI REPORTS TO REPLACE DAILY STATEMENT:10/23/2009</p> <p>When ACE is fully integrated with the new financial system [need name] the result will be a full separation of the trade's operational and financial interface with CBP. When this occurs, the operational/financial flow of information to the filer that currently exists under ACS will change. As a result, filers utilizing the Periodic Monthly Statement (PMS), will no longer receive the Daily PMS Statements (PDS) that incorporates both the financial information and operational information currently used by the trade to manage its workflow. This change creates the need for something in ACE to replace the statement. It also raises a number of operational questions.</p> <p>Today, customs brokers and self filers utilize the Daily PMS Statement (PDS) to manage their workload to create the checks and balances necessary to manage (validate) the PMS statement process on a daily basis. Under ACE PMS, the PDS represents all releases for a particular date that will be due for payment, in aggregate, the following month. In addition, the PDS is used as a daily transmission sheet for "documents due;" that is, trade uses it to monitor the 10-day clock to file documents required for specific entries identified on the PDS. It also is used to track the acceptance and finalization of duty free and fee free entries where no payment is due.</p> <p>From an operational standpoint, after full ACE financial integration, brokers and self filing importers that will handle any volume of transactions will still need daily information to manage their operations. Waiting until the end of the month to receive the first ACE PMS statement is not an option. The inability to manage this large volume of data could subject the filer to late filing penalties. More frequent access to this information allows the importer/filer to incorporate "due diligence" into the process.</p> <p>Requirement:</p> <p>The trade would like the ability to have three reports that would replace the PDS and provide, essentially, the same information. The reports covered by this GIF are specifically aimed at the filers and exchanges only through ABI EDI interfaces in ACE as this is the way all entry filers work with CBP and the one that will have the immediate critical need for this once the revenue processing is broken away from the commercial operations in ACE. It is expected that some similar requests may come using the portal, but this request is not intended to cover the more limited needs of the group using the Portal.</p> <p>The first report (Report A) would be provided to the</p>	10/23/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS THIS GIF FOR CONSIDERATION UNDE REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1090) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>filer (push by CBP via ABI) on a daily basis. It would be similar to the current PDS and would be managed the same way. Unless a different day was selected through the portal, the report would be provided eight working days after the date of entry and would list all entries (free and dutiable) for that release date. If documents were due for those entry summaries, the report would indicate what is due. The second report (Report B) would be a selectable ABI report that would allow the filer to pull a report, with the same data as Report A. Report B would allow the filer to select a single date of release or a range of release dates.</p> <p>The last report (Report C) would also be a pull report via ABI. This report would provide information about open "docs required" requests. In simplest form, this report would list the entry number, date of release, documents requested by CBP and the due date. The request could be made for all open "docs required" requests, or based on due date. For example, a filer could request all open "docs required" requests from CBP that are expiring within 5 days.</p> <p>While these are general outlines of reports that will be needed, it is necessary for CBP to have a sufficient number of work sessions with the trade to fully vet the needs and methods to satisfy them with CBP and the programmers to finalize agreement on data details, timing, role of the Portal in the filer selecting time periods and other options. There are still a number of issues that need further dialogue include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Proof of payment requirement for due diligence under 19 CFR 111.29 to satisfy CBP's auditors. It has been suggested that there may need to be a further message from CBP indicating that an ABI entry has been satisfied.</li> <li>•Question as to whether reports A&amp;B can be combined into one regular request from the broker/importer-filer as a daily pull request from the filer. Questions arise as to whether CBP wants all these messages.</li> <li>•The whole "docs required" changes need to be reviewed in the context of this change and the proposed changes by CBP. There were a number of discussions as to ways for the filer to batch paper document submissions on a daily basis and CBP to issue one receipt for all -- as opposed to CBP and the trade having to process each one separately.</li> <li>•Different size filers may need to process their workload differently depending on their size. A filer that submits large volumes will need daily management, but smaller filers may want to manage on a weekly basis. We need input from both types of filers.</li> </ul>				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<hr/>						
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<hr/>						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-067	1091	<p>ULTIMATE CONSIGNEE AND ACE ID GENERATION:</p> <p>CBP and the trade are considering a proposal to replace the data elements “ultimate consignee” and the “manufacturer identification code (MID)” with four data elements to enhance targeting. In addition, CBP and the trade need to better align all trade entities in the manifest, entry/entry summary, and the Importer Security Filing (ISF). The trade entities should be clearly defined and consistent across the entire supply chain and all CBP applications. The new data elements replacing the ultimate consignee and MID would identify the ‘sold to’ and “deliver to” parties and the “manufacturer/producer/grower” and “exporter/shipper/seller” parties, respectively. To identify these parties, an ACE ID would be used as an alternative to the employer identification number (EIN), social security number, and the manufacturer identification code (MID) on the entry (cargo release) and the equivalent CBP Form 3461.</p> <p>In the current environment, use of the EIN, social security number, and the MID code to identify the trade entities is very problematic. Importers are very concerned about using their tax number on customs transactions, especially individuals with the growing concern about theft identify. Additionally, the MID code presents a host of problems and challenges itself. The ACE ID will be used instead of the EIN, the social security number, and MID to identify the trade entities. Currently, there isn't a mechanism to generate the ACE ID for this purpose. This GIF sets forth the requirements for generating the ACE ID to replace the current identifiers.</p> <p>To generate an ACE ID to identify trade entities, the following information is needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full legal name</li> <li>• Complete address</li> <li>• One numeric identifier such as an EIN, social security number, DUNS number, driver's license number, passport number, date of birth (individuals), telephone number, GPS coordinates, and other commercially verifiable information. This third piece of data is needed for independent verification of the trade entity. The trade entity should have the ability to enter multiple pieces of data rather than just a single piece of data.</li> </ul> <p>A separate ACE ID should be created whenever the address and the third piece of identifying information are different from the name.</p>	10/23/2009	Accepted	INC 10	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1091) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>This will allow the same entity with different operating divisions a way to create unique ACE IDs.</p> <p>The role of the entity assigned an ACE ID should not be limited. One ID would be assigned for all roles exercised by that entity if for example the entity is the "sold to" and "deliver to" parties.</p> <p>Each data element (or entity) should have an accompanying "identifier" or "qualifier" to establish their role. For example, when there is more than one possible entity such as the "manufacturer/producer/grower," this will help identify which entity that data element represents.</p> <p>The trade needs the ability to file a "disclaimer" in the event a data element can't be accurately reported. An example would be when the exact manufacturer or deliver to party can't be identified. There needs to be some business rules when a disclaimer can't be used. However, there are some situations when by default a disclaimer is needed. The system should allow at least two disclaimers: one for one of the U.S. trade entities (sold to and deliver to) and one for foreign entities (manufacturer/producer/grower or exporter/shipper/seller).</p> <p>CBP and the trade recognize the difficulty in implementing this requirement for low value and informal shipments. Therefore, the requirement for collecting this data must be part of a phased-in enforcement approach over a specified number of years to ensure the trade can fully comply with the new requirements.</p> <p>The new data elements using the ACE ID as the identifier must be reported on the line item level on both the entry and entry summary transactions. There needs to be a conversion of the current ACS MID database to the ACE ID. The conversion of the FDA MID should be considered within the scope of this request.</p> <p>Create the ability for any trade entity to obtain an ACE ID to help maintain strict confidentiality. The ID can then be given to the broker to file the customs entry.</p> <p>Create the ability to query ACE to determine if an ACE ID already exists to avoid duplicating IDs. Certain identifying information would need to be</p>				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
		<p>submitted in the query request to ensure confidentiality of information. Also, there needs to be rule to determine who can query ACE IDs. Query capability should be allowed using ABI and other mechanisms such as EDI and the Secure Data Portal.</p> <p>Create the ability to update and inactivate the ACE ID. There needs to be rules to determine who can update the ACE ID. Update capability should be allowed using ABI and other mechanisms such as EDI and the Secure Data Portal.</p> <p>In an effort to keep ACE IDs current, the following rules should apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If an ACE ID shows no activity in 12 months, CBP should inactivate the ID. The party that created and the owner of the ID should be notified of CBP's intent to inactivate the ID 30 days prior to the close of the 12 months inactive period.</li> <li>• After 18 months of continual transactions involving an ACE ID, CBP should send the party that created and the owner of the ID notice to verify all information used to create the ID is still current and correct. CBP should send this notice 30 days prior to the close of the 18-month period.</li> </ul>			
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-068	1092	<p>ULTIMATE CONSIGNEE AND MANUFACTURER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:            CBP OFO proposes the elimination of the data field "Ultimate Consignee" and its replacement with two data fields with corresponding definitions (as below):            "Sold To"- The named sold to (buyer, purchaser etc) from the invoice at the time of entry. Further defined as the U.S. party causing the importation by reason of establishing a financial interest in the imported goods. If goods are not arriving in the U.S. pursuant to a sale the field should be left blank and the "deliver to" party must be submitted. In short, if the goods are not sold, then this field should not be completed.            "Deliver To"- The identification of the named party on the invoice that will physically receive the merchandise, which may be different from the consignee (e.g. de-consolidator warehouse).</p> <p>Manufacturer Identification Number:            CBP also proposes the splitting of the current "Manufacturer Identification Number" field into the following two data fields with corresponding definitions (as below):            "Manufacturer/Producer/Grower" - The entity that manufactures, produces, or grows the imported commodity. These entities produce or grow raw material or by labor, art or skill transform raw material into some kind of finished product or article of trade. The transformation of the raw material may involve processing into finished goods, or the production of goods to be further assembled to create a finished product, or the assembly of goods into a finished product. For entry purposes this is the location where the country of origin attaches to the merchandise.            "Exporter/Shipper/Seller" - The last named overseas (foreign) party identified on the transaction invoice/purchase order, that has a financial interest in the goods, and who causes the goods to be shipped by any mode of transport. This is not the transportation shipping party (freight forwarder, consolidator or NVOCC). For consigned shipments, household effects shipments, lease transactions and similar contractual arrangements; the name of the supplier may be provided in lieu of seller information. The supplier could be a trading company, selling agent, owner (e.g. personal/household effects) or similar party.</p> <p>In addition, CBP and the trade need to better align all trade entities in the manifest, entry/entry</p>	10/23/2009	Accepted	INC 10	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 12, 2011 -This requirement was determined to be out of scope for cargo release. But may be included in the future.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 -This requirement in pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1092) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>summary, and the Importer Security Filing (ISF) transactions. The trade entities should be clearly defined and consistent across the entire supply chain and all CBP applications. These definitions should be broad enough to allow for minor modifications for special emphasis programs or PGA definition. Additional defining elements may be added by policy or regulation to meet specific needs when appropriate but should fit within the definitions provided.</p> <p>Identification Numbers: It is also proposed that the following information be presented to identify the four data fields above :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.The ACE ID of the appropriate party (see below).</li> <li>2.The Tax ID, CBP assigned number or social security number of the appropriate party if known (current).</li> <li>3.The Dun and Bradstreet (DUNS) number of the appropriate party if known.</li> </ol> <p>New Functionality for ACE ID: It is further proposed that a new account type and appropriate functionality will be developed that will allow these reference entities to be assigned ACE identification numbers directly from ACE. This should function in two ways:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.An secure online (portal) functionality that will allow any party to establish their reference file directly with CBP. This will allow these parties to provide their name, address and at least one additional, verifiable unique identifier that is yet to be fully determined (anticipated examples include Social Security number, tax ID number, DUNS number, Drivers License number, Date of Birth, passport number etc.). For foreign addressing, the portal shall provide on-line guidance for registration in identified country's address format. CBP will provide a registration edits to ensure proper addressing.</li> <li>2.The equivalent process for EDI (including ABI) participants to supply the information when known.</li> </ol> <p>Elimination of the MID Algorithm: It is also proposed that the current MID algorithm will not be used directly but shall be converted to an ACE assigned identification number. These numbers will be provided to the trade and PGA's once assigned. Future numbers will be assigned as above.</p> <p>Query Functionality: For these ACE identification numbers ACE will provide a query functionality that allows participants to input existing information and have matching ACE</p>				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>ID numbers returned. This will prevent duplication and allow trade partners to provide accurate information while preventing personal or trade sensitive information from being incorrectly distributed to unauthorized parties.</p> <p>Requirements for Entry/Release and Entry Summary:            These requirements also envision that the above data from immediate delivery, entry or release will be reported at time of entry summary as well. The reporting of multiple entities on the line level in the ABI entry summary record similar to the current ability to report multiple Ultimate Consignees on the line level in the cargo release record. To accomplish this, the entity information defined above at the line level on the entry summary must be reported and be consistent with the definitions at the time of entry/release. This parallel reporting will minimize identification issues when the data is provided to state taxation departments.            *(see also, ENT-067, GIF-ENT UC and ACE ID Generation)</p>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>ENT-069</b>	<b>1093</b>	<p>CONTINUATION OF ACS FUNCTIONALITY IN ACE:</p> <p>During the several years of the ERP I to III discussions (1977 to 2000) between the U.S. Customs Service and the various trade organizations, the basic structure of the current entry/entry summary process was validated. Based on these IRP results, early on the TSN Entry Committee wanted to ensure that ACE would provide, at a minimum, the same functionality that was present in ACS. This included preserving a two-step process (entry/release and entry summary), ensuring that all EDI reports and queries in ACS are also in ACE and the like.</p> <p>This committee asked the Customs development team whether it was necessary to document all of these ACS capabilities. We were advised that we needed to focus on changes and improvements to the process in developing requirements; that Customs would move all the functionality from ACS to ACE without requirements.</p> <p>The purpose of this requirement document is to memorialize the importance of transferring ACS capabilities to ACE.</p>	10/23/2009	Accepted	Inc 13	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED AND WILL BE COMPLETED AS PART OF ACE CORE PROCESSING WHEN ACE IS THE SOR.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 23, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1093) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-070	1127	<p>NOTIFICATION WHEN PSC MODIFICATION HAS BEEN DONE BY CBP - The trade would like to ensure they are informed when a PSC modification has been done by a CBP officer/employee against their entry summaries. The filer needs to keep their entries (within their internal systems) in synch with CBP's systems. This is to ensure processes such as Reconciliation and Drawback are done with minimal errors and with accuracy. If the entry is no longer owned by the original filer, these notifications should still be sent to them, as well as to current entry's owner.</p> <p>The ACE system should generate a UC message back to the original filer(s) and current filer when a CBP officer updates the entry in any way. This UC message should indicate that a PSC change has been applied to the entry in question and include a summary of the change just made. The trade could, in return, query the entry to get the latest information on that entry.</p> <p>Application UC - Record E1 should be revised to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-New Disposition Type Code to indicate this action. Maybe with a description such as: Entry Updated by CBP Officer</li> <li>-Notification Reason Code(s) to indicate the reason for this action.</li> <li>-</li> </ul> <p>It is important that this change is in place before the release of the Post Summary Correction release A2.3.2a.</p>	4/12/2010	Accepted	INC 10	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>APRIL 120, 2010 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 1127) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-071</b>	<b>1128</b>	Importers filing Post Summary Corrections (PSC) on 4/12/2010 Type 03 entries that result in an AD/CV duty reduction would have the option of requesting that the PSC also be considered to be a formal request to CBP for an administrative refund under 19 USC 1520(a). If CBP agrees to the request, once the automated payment process is in place, the refund will be reflected on the next Periodic Monthly Statement, as this functionality will only be available to participants in that program. Likewise, if the PSC correction results in an AD/CV duty increase, the importer will have the option of electing to have a supplemental tender incorporated into their PMS, thus halting the accrual of additional AD/CV interest. In the interim (that is, until CBP automates the payment process), the current process should remain in effect for payment.	on 4/12/2010	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  APRIL 120, 2010 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 1128) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ENT-073</b>	<b>C-89</b>	Paperless Entry Summary Statement: As ACE becomes mandatory, and filers use the Document Image System, the paper statement that is filed at the local port should become paperless also.	1/3/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 1 2015: DIS CAPABILITY IS AVAILABLE. THIS IS A POLICY AND OPERATIONS DECISION (TPP AND OFO).  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  January 3, 2014: Entered in URTM. Will be submitted to CBP for review and assignment of the GIF number.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ENT-074</b>	<b>C-90</b>	ENHANCED POST SUMMARY CORRECTION FUNCTIONALITY IN ACE: Trade requests that this requirement be implemented immediately or be incorporated into the "Refactor ACE Entry Summary Foundation" currently proposed for Increment 7. Modify PSC to: 1. Allow PSC for unflagging elements for reconciliation 2. Allow PSC for flagging elements for reconciliation 3. Allow PSC for 520(d) claims 4. Allow PSC requesting extension of liquidation under 19 CFR 159.12 5. Allow PSC on extended entries up to 90 days prior to new liquidation date 6. Allow PSC on Type 03 entries until suspension of liquidation is removed by the Department of Commerce.		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  January 3, 2014: Entered in URTM. Will be submitted to CBP for review and assignment of the GIF number.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-075</b>	<b>C-91</b>	<p>CONSOLIDATED ENTRIES HAVING LARGE NUMBER OF ULTIMATE CONSIGNEES: The ability for an ACE entry having one importer of record (either resident or non-resident) with hundreds of different sold to parties (ultimate consignees), many of them first time consignees and the maximum allowable number of entered lines.</p>		Accepted	INC 10	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>January 3, 2014 - Entered in URTM. User Requirement will be submitted to CBP for review and assignment of the GIF number.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-076</b>	<b>C-92</b>	<p>ACE ATTORNEY PORTAL: Develop an ACE Attorney Portal to allow attorneys to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. File protests and other correspondence with CBP</li> <li>2. Monitor protests for all clients from a single portal</li> <li>3. Track other administrative actions (penalty proceedings, liquidated damages claims, (CF-28 responses, etc.)</li> <li>4. Monitor transactions for importers</li> <li>5. Monitor liquidations</li> </ol>	1/3/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: UNDER THE NEWLY CREATED PROTESTANT ACCOUNT, ATTORNEYS WILL HAVE ACCESS TO THE REFERENCE TAB AND THE ABILITY TO FILE PROTESTS ON BEHALF OF THEIR CLIENTS. THE REMAINING CAPABILITIES OF THIS GIF WILL NOT BE ADDRESSED UNTIL CBP LEGAL APPROVES PROVIDING EXPANDED ACCESS, INCLUDING ACE REPORTS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>January 3, 2014: Entered in URTM. Will be submitted to CBP for review and assignment of the GIF number.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-077</b>	<b>C-93</b>	<p>PUBLIC ACCESS PORTAL: Public access to all ACE information that is not business proprietary, though a single window to include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. AD/CVD case query</li> <li>2. HTSUS database</li> <li>3. Liquidation Notices</li> <li>4. IPR database</li> <li>5. Reference files including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- ports of entry</li> <li>- currency conversion rates</li> <li>- interest rates</li> <li>- import specialist team assignment file</li> <li>- quota query</li> <li>- manufacturer ID query</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	1/3/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: THESE CAPABILITIES WILL NOT BE ADDRESSED UNTIL CBP LEGAL APPROVES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>January 3, 2014: Entered in URTM. Will be submitted to CBP for review and assignment of the GIF number.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-078</b>		<p>ENTRY SUMMARY MPF CALCULATION-CIVIL AIRCRAFT</p> <p>This GIF is being submitted based on the this understanding of new GN 3 (c)(iv) as outlined in CSMS Message 14-000003. This GIF requests the following:</p> <p>1. CBP change policy and program to unify the method for making preference claims under these protocols. Currently imported commodity is FAA certified and the HTS number is designated with a "C" and is dutiable (example 8413.30.1000 2.5%) filers are asked to apply SPI C# to apply duty preference. If the HTS has a duty rate of "free" (example 8411.99.1040 free) filers are asked to apply the corresponding FTA SPI not The applicable C#, K# or L#. In other words, two different methods to make the identical claim. (See CATAIR Appendix B for current process confirmation.)</p>	6/25/2014	Rejected	INC 10	<p>DEC 1 2015: ACE WAS PROGRAMMED TO ADDRESS CURRENTS RULES. THIS GIF IS NO LONGER APPLICABLE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>June 25, 2014. This requirement is being submitted for CBP policy review.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-079</b>	<b>C-95</b>	<p>RECONCILIATION ENTRY FOR TYPE 03 ENTRIES (AD/CVD)</p> <p>The statutory authority for Reconciliation Entry for AD/CVD entries was included in the Customs ModAct legislation, passed in 1993. At some point after the legislation was passed, Customs determined that ACS could not support Reconciliation for AD/CVD entries, so Reconciliation was postponed until it could be developed in ACE. The NCAP Test for Reconciliation for AD/CVD entries was scheduled to be implemented in the February 2010 ACE Release that included the AD/CVD Case Information System and ACE for Type 03 entries. However, Customs announced at the November 2009 TSN Plenary Session that Reconciliation for AD/CVD entries was being postponed indefinitely, due to budget reductions. No action has been taken with regard to Reconciliation for AD/CVD entries since that decision. In the recently announced schedule for ACE Deployment, Reconciliation is listed in the work program for Increments 8 and 9, with all other entry types. Implements 8 and 9 are scheduled to occur from November 2014 to May 2015. However, before Reconciliation Entry for AD/CVD entries can be developed in ACE, there are a number of critical policy issues, remaining from 2009, that must be decided in advance of the Development Team's work. This paper hopes to detail those issues and clarify the trade's position, to re-start the active discussions between the trade and Customs that were ongoing prior to the 2009 termination decision.</p>	6/25/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES. MAY NEED LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>June 25, 2014. This requirement is being submitted for CBP policy review.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-080</b>		ADMINISTRATIVE REFUND FOR PSC ON TYPE 03 ENTRIES (AD/CVD) Importers filing Post Summary Corrections (PSC) on Type 03 entries that result in an AD/CV duty reduction would have the option of requesting that the PSC also be considered to be a formal request to CBP for an administrative refund under 19 USC 1520(a). If CBP agrees to the request, the refund will be reflected on the next Periodic Monthly Statement, as this functionality will only be available to participants in that program. Likewise, if the PSC correction results in an AD/CV duty increase, the importer will have the option of electing to have a supplemental tender incorporated into their PMS, thus halting the accrual of additional AD/CV interest. Benefits of Change:	6/25/2014	Accepted	Inc 10	DEC 1 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS THIS GIF FOR CLOSURE  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  June 25, 2014. This requirement is being submitted for CBP policy review.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
<b>ENT-081</b>		<p>FILER ACCESS TO IMPORTER CBP FORMS 28, 29, 4647 IN ACE PORTAL -</p> <p>Filers request the ability to receive their courtesy copy of CBP Forms 28, 29, and 4647 through the Broker ACE Portal if the broker filed the entry or entries involved. Filers also request to have the ability to respond to CBP Forms 28, 29, and 4647 on behalf of their importer clients through the broker's ACE Portal, provided that the Importer has the ability to restrict or eliminate the filer's ability to respond to these forms through the importer's ACE Portal.</p> <p>The functionality being requested by filers through the ACE Portal is similar to functionality currently available to importers (see attachment in below the "Attachments" section of this document for details on importer functionality). Filers would be able to select their method of communication to receive their courtesy copy of the forms. The broker Trade Account Owner would be able to grant access to forms to specific users of its account. If the broker selects the ACE Portal as its method of communication, it will receive all CBP Form 28s, 28s, and 4647s for ACE entry summaries that it files on behalf of its importer clients. Filers will also need the ability to search for forms in the ACE Portal and view search results. Functionality should include the ability to upload attachments as a response to the form and the ability to print a form as a PDF. Filers should also have the ability to run a report on their open or closed CBP Form 28s, 29s, and 4647s for a specific time period. (Previous GIF# 842 was previously submitted for this proposal.)</p>	12/14/2015	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE JANUARY 5, 2016. Entered in URTM for CBP review.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-FTZ-053</b>	<b>898</b>	<p>REPORTS E-214 DATA. Upon inclusion of e214 data in ACE, it will be necessary for the trade to be able to access that data through ACE reporting.</p> <p>The trade needs reporting that provides information about the concurrence status of zone admissions (FZ program filings).</p> <p>Those with access to such reporting would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Persons with authority for the IOR of the zone via the portal (operator – operator account type by IOR of the operator)</li> <li>•Persons admitting to the zone (users, applicants – importer account type by IOR of the applicant)</li> <li>•Persons filling admissions to the zone (broker, service provider account type by filer code)</li> </ul> <p>Data elements should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Bill of Lading</li> <li>•Zone ID</li> <li>•Calendar Year</li> <li>•Control Number</li> <li>•Authorization Date (system posted date on which the e214 was authorized in the system) – if not authorized, the item would appear on the report, but the authorization date would be blank</li> <li>•Concurrence Date (system posted date on which the concurrence was recorded in the system) – if not concurred, the item would appear on the report, but the concurrence date would be blank</li> <li>•Port Code</li> <li>•Import Date (in the FT20 record)</li> <li>•CBP's Arrival Date (as posted on the bill of lading in AMS when it arrived in port)</li> <li>•Number of days left on the GO clock (as calculated by CBP)</li> </ul> <p>Report Filters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•System implied (required according to ACE system access rules)</li> <li>oOperator IOR appearing on the admission</li> <li>oUser IOR appearing on the admission</li> <li>oBroker Code(s) on the admission</li> <li>•Concurrence Date (system posted date on which the e214 was accepted into the system) – Primary Filter</li> <li>•Bill of Lading – Secondary Filter</li> </ul>	12/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE PENDING LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: ACE Reports Team will consult with Cargo Release Team regarding which data elements to make available in reports.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - BOL level data should be available but not the information from the e214 at this time. Can be looked at with cargo release.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1 - IS PENDING CCB REVIEW FOR RELEASE M2.3</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-898) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/27/09- E214 Admission Concurrence Report: This requirement was submitted to Vinny Annunziato on 12/5/08 pursuant to Vinny's request for M2.3 requirements.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-FTZ-054</b>	<b>899</b>	E-214 - M1 Admission Content Report	7/13/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: THIS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE PENDING LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW  August 20 2013: This CSPO GIF 899 was submitted to the CBP Change Control Board for review on 7/13/2009
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ENT-FTZ-055</b>	<b>900</b>	Upon inclusion of the e-214 in ACE, it will be necessary for:  •The FTZ Applicant or FTZ Operator to have the ability to add detail PGA information required for admission for each COO, HTSUS, and Status being admitted;  •PGA's to have the ability to draw data that has been entered into the system as needed by the PGA rather than requiring the FTZ Operator to take action.  •The ACE system must have the capability to notify the FTZ Applicant and/or FTZ Operator when information has been provided to the PGA.  In developing this functionality beyond the existing e214 data sharing with Census, CBP, the trade and the individual PGA should discuss the appropriate admission data sharing needed as compared to data sharing performed through the entry summary	11/21/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  SEPT 2014: Functional Development Increment 10 (May-Aug 2015)  JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Should be evaluated for cargo release development with PGAs  DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1 - IS PENDING CCB REVIEW FOR RELEASE M2.3  JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-900) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  April 27, 2009: PGA Access to E214: This requirement was submitted to Vinny Annunziato on 11/21/08 pursuant to Vinny's request for M2.3 requirements.
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-FTZ-056	901	<p>REAL TIME MONITORING OF IN-BONDS FOR TRADE - REPORT - Upon inclusion of In-Bonds in ACE, it will be necessary for the trade, particularly related parties, to be able to access real-time data to monitor and track in-bond movements. The trade needs this information to monitor the movement of in-bond cargo on a real time basis to meet their security, efficiency and quality objectives. "Inbond" includes both those traveling under a CBP 7512 process or a Permit to Transfer (PTT) process.</p> <p>Those with access to such reporting would include valid ACE/ABI account holders including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Foreign-Trade Zone Operators</li> <li>•Carriers</li> <li>•Brokers</li> <li>•Importers</li> <li>•Bonded Warehouses</li> </ul> <p>Reporting would include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Ability to search for previously entered in-bond information for a particular destination firms code.</li> <li>2.Ability for a carrier to receive notification that an in-bond movement has been initiated by a zone under the carrier's bond.</li> <li>3.Ability for a carrier to review an in-bond movement initiated by FTZ under the carrier's bond</li> <li>4.Ability for a carrier to receive notification that a permit to transfer movement has been initiated by a zone under the carrier's bond.</li> <li>5.Ability for a carrier to review a permit to transfer movement initiated by a zone.</li> </ol>	11/21/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE BUILT POST CORE AND NEEDS TO BE INCLUDED IN REPORTS.</p> <p>October 2014: Partiall accepted - will be completed if future increments. DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-901) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>April 27, 2009: InBond: This requirement was submitted to Vinny Annunziato on 11/21/08 pursuant to Vinny's request for M2.3 requirements.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
ENT-FTZ-057	902	<p>Provide the ability to pay HMF via ABI by ACH Debit/ACH Credit. Goal is to schedule and submit all payments to CBP through the same automated system. Trade prefers to maintain the process of ACH Debit through the ABI process. This would allow the FTZ operator to view all import and related revenue activity with CBP through ACE—either the portal or via ABI. The FTZ operator can see what is due, submit changes and approve and pay through ABI. The preference is to move away from the use of “3rd party” processors such as Pay.Gov to submit payment.</p> <p>Since the HMF is due by the 31st day after the quarter ends, it would be important to have a preliminary statement issued immediately after the close of the quarter. This would allow the FTZ to adjust the statement if necessary.</p> <p>The system must allow the ability to indicate the EIN of the HMF responsible party so that the charges will be billed to the right party. The HMF payment process in ACE would provide:</p> <p>1.Ability for the system to generate a calculation for the HMF due for the admission (including any corrections noted during acceptance) using the known information of HMF requirements for the provided HMF responsible party (without requiring the user to provide an indication of HMF requirements)</p> <p>2.Ability for the HMF responsible party to choose to accept the system calculated HMF or to disagree by entering an independently calculated HMF amount</p> <p>- OR -</p> <p>1.Ability for HMF responsible party to submit the CBPF349 or CBPF350 through ACE and, as it does for the entries that are submitted, ACE would send a statement that could be approved and paid by the 31st day following the end of the quarter.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	<p>POST CORE 7/16/09: This may require coordination with BEMS</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-902) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/21/09: Electronic Payment of HMF: This GIF is pending subcommittee further review</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-FTZ-058</b>	<b>897</b>	<p>As CBP moves everything to account-based reporting in ACE, it is imperative that all payments are made through PMS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The FTZ user is aware of the date of the border crossing for shipments moving to Canada or Mexico.</li> <li>•The due date of Part I of the NAFTA 08 entry is within 10 (ten) working days of the border crossing.</li> <li>•The due date of Part II of the NAFTA 08 entry is within 60 calendar days of the border crossing.</li> <li>•Since the due date for any duties to be paid is known at the time Part I is prepared, the filer should be able to select the month of a PMS statement on which the charge will appear even if it is not the next PMS.</li> </ul>		Accepted	Inc 8, 9, 10	<p>DEC 1 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY CBP.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>7/16/2009: This may require coordination with BEMS</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-897) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>April 21, 2009: Payment of NAFTA via PMS: Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>ENT-FTZ-059</b>		AIR MANIFEST DELETE/CLOSE MESSAGE Enable the filers of an in bond movements to be notified when a carrier deletes a bill or any other action is taken on the in bond that would cause the in bond to be deleted.	6/23/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>October 2014: Pending future development</p> <p>June 23, 2014 - Entered in URTM. Requires CBP Policy Review</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
<b>ENT-FTZ-060</b>		AIR MANIFEST IN-BOND PROCESSING Enable an FTZ operator to use one standard process when creating in bond movements to and from an FTZ. Currently the process for processing in bond movements for air bills of lading is not identical to other modes of transport. Due to CBP system limitations zones have to process air in bond movements differently which is causing additional work and costs to FTZ operators.	6/23/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>October 2014: Pending future development</p> <p>June 23, 2014 - Entered in URTM for CBP Policy Review.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
<b>ENT-FTZ-061</b>		AIR MANIFEST NOTIFICATION MESSAGE: Request that Air Manifest include the same informative notifications of actions taken on a bill of lading and In bond as are available in the Ocean/Rail manifest systems.	6/23/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>October 2014: Pending future development</p> <p>June 23, 2014 - Entered in URTM for CBP Policy review.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-FTZ-062</b>		AIR MANIFEST SPLIT AIR SHIPMENTS: Request BOL processing in Air Manifest to be done in a manner so that a single admission can include receiving splits and manifest splits. Currently Air AMS allows for multiple entries to be filed against one (non-Split) air waybill. The programming was never extended to include e214's. The result of this is that FTZ operators are forced to report air split shipments on 2 separate e214's even if the merchandise was received into the zone on a single day and should be allowed to be reported on one cumulative e214 for the daily receipts as allowed by the regulations.	6/23/2014	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE BUILT AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPEMENT  June 23, 2014 - Entered in URTM for CBP Policy review.
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>ENT-GIF-195</b>	<b>296</b>	Inclusion of Zone Number on Reports  Current reports do not include the zone number, when applicable. When a transaction is related to a Foreign-Trade Zone, the zone number should appear on the reports related to that transaction.  Add the zone number to all cargo entry, entry summary detail, cargo exam and entry summary compliance reports.	10/6/2005	Accepted	Inc 11	DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED.  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ Input under TMAC to decide if the zone number should be included on all cargo entry records. Additionally this is related to the review of the entire Foreign Trade Zone process.  New GIF no = CSPO-GIF-296 - Status = Deferred ECP-CR-1748  This is conditionally accepted, but cannot be scheduled for a release until e-214 data is available in ACE.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-GIF-196</b>	<b>295</b>	<p>e214 Data in Importer Portal Account The e214 data should be visible in the Importer Portal in the same way entry summary data is viewable in the Importer Portal today. The e214 data would include the data elements found in the FT application (documentation can be found at <a href="http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc">http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc</a>). The format would be the same as the format used to view e214 data in the FTZ Operator Portal account, and it would appear in a new view in the Importer Portal account. Along with the data contained in the e214 document, operators should be able to view all concurrences that have been transmitted related to each e214 (FZ application), messages produced by CBP concerning the admission (NF application) and the current status of the e214 (approved, docs required, etc.) should be shown with the e214 data. Since the applicants EIN is included in the e214, that identifier could be used to determine if an e214 needs to be displayed in an Importer's Portal account.</p> <p>Upon completion of the e214, the zone admission data will be available in ACS, as entry and entry summary data is available today. An importer, with an Importer Portal Account, could act as an applicant and file an e214. In this case, the importer should be able to view the e214 in his/her Importer Portal Account.</p>	10/6/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>DEC 2 2015: THERE IS NO VIEWABLE ENTRY SUMMARY DATA VIA IMPORTER ACCOUNT VIEW. IMPORTERS MUST USE ACE REPORTS TO VIEW ENTRY SUMMARY DATA</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ Input for cargo release under TMAC as part of the FTZ review process.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Should be evaluated for cargo release development with PGAs</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1 - IS PENDING CCB REVIEW FOR RELEASE M2.3</p> <p>New GIF = CSPO-GIF-295 Status = In Review</p> <p>This is conditionally accepted, but cannot be scheduled for a release until e-214 data is available in ACE.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-GIF-197</b>	<b>294</b>	<p>e214 Data in FTZ Operator Portal Account</p> <p>The e214 data should be visible in the FTZ Operator Portal in the same way entry summary data is viewable in the Importer Portal today. The e214 data would include the data elements found in the FT application (documentation can be found at <a href="http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc">http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc</a>). The e214 data should be in a separate view from the entry summary data. Along with the data contained in the e214 document, operators should be able to view all concurrences that have been transmitted related to each e214 (FZ application), messages produced by CBP concerning the admission (NF application) and the current status of the e214 (approved, docs required, etc.) should be shown with the e214 data.</p> <p>Upon completion of the e214, the zone admission data will be available in ACS, as entry and entry summary data is available today. When the FTZ Operator Account is added in A1, that portal account view should include the e214 data available in ACS.</p>	10/6/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>DEC 2 2015: THERE IS NO VIEWABLE ENTRY SUMMARY DATA VIA IMPORTER ACCOUNT VIEW. IMPORTERS MUST USE ACE REPORTS TO VIEW ENTRY SUMMARY DATA</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ input for cargo release under TMAC as part of the FTZ review process.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Should be evaluated for cargo release development with PGAs</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1 - IS PENDING CCB REVIEW FOR RELEASE M2.3</p> <p>JANUARY 12, 2007: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-294) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>This is conditionally accepted, but cannot be scheduled for a release until e-214 data is available in ACE.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-GIF-199</b>	<b>292</b>	<p>e214 Data in Broker Portal Account</p> <p>The e214 data should be visible in the Broker Portal in the same way entry summary data is viewable in the Importer Portal today. The e214 data would include the data elements found in the FT application (documentation can be found at <a href="http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc">http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc</a>). The format would be the same as the format used to view e214 data in the FTZ Operator Portal account, and it would appear in a new view in the Broker Portal account. Along with the data contained in the e214 document, brokers should be able to view all concurrences that have been transmitted related to each e214 (FZ application), messages produced by CBP concerning the admission (NF application) and the current status of the e214 (approved, docs required, etc.) should be shown with the e214 data. Since the broker's filer code is included in the e214, that identifier could be used to determine if an e214 needs to be displayed in an Broker's Portal account.</p> <p>Upon completion of the e214, the zone admission data will be available in ACS, as entry and entry summary data is available today. A broker, with a Broker Portal Account, could file an e214. In this case, the broker should be able to view the e214 in his/her Broker Portal Account.</p>	10/6/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: THERE IS NO VIEWABLE ENTRY SUMMARY DATA VIA IMPORTER ACCOUNT VIEW. IMPORTERS MUST USE ACE REPORTS TO VIEW ENTRY SUMMARY DATA</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ Input for cargo release under TMAC. This is part of the FTZ review process.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Should be evaluated for cargo release development with PGAs</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1 - IS PENDING CCB REVIEW FOR RELEASE M2.3</p> <p>JANUARY 12, 2007: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-292) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>This is conditionally accepted, but cannot be scheduled for a release until e-214 data is available in ACE.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-GIF-198</b>	<b>293</b>	<p>e214 Data in Carrier Portal Account</p> <p>The e214 data should be visible in the Carrier Portal in the same way entry summary data is viewable in the Carrier Portal today. The e214 data would include the data elements found in the FT application (documentation can be found at <a href="http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc">http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/import/cargo_control/ftz_trade_req.ctt/ftz_trade_req.doc</a>). The format would be the same as the format used to view e214 data in the FTZ Operator Portal account, and it would appear in a new view in the Carrier Portal account. Along with the data contained in the e214 document, carriers party to the admission should be able to view all concurrences that have been transmitted related to each e214 (FZ application), messages produced by CBP concerning the admission (NF application) and the current status of the e214 (approved, docs required, etc.) should be shown with the e214 data. Since the carriers SCAC code is included in the e214, that identifier could be used to determine if an e214 needs to be displayed in a Carrier's Portal account.</p> <p>Upon completion of the e214, the zone admission data will be available in ACS, as entry and entry summary data is available today. A carrier, with a Carrier Portal Account, needs to know goods are authorized to move to the zone. In this case, the carrier should be able to view the e214 in his/her Carrier Portal Account.</p>	10/6/2005	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: THERE IS NO VIEWABLE ENTRY SUMMARY DATA VIA IMPORTER ACCOUNT VIEW. IMPORTERS MUST USE ACE REPORTS TO VIEW ENTRY SUMMARY DATA</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP HQ Input under TMAC as part of the FTZ review process.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Should be evaluated for cargo release development with PGAs</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1 - IS PENDING CCB REVIEW FOR RELEASE M2.3</p> <p>JANUARY 12, 2007: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-293) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>This is conditionally accepted, but cannot be scheduled for a release until e-214 data is available in ACE.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>ENT-RSC-001</b>	<b>529</b>	<p>ALIGNMENT OF COMMON DATA ELEMENTS AMONG SUMMARY, ENTRY &amp; MANIFEST: Entry and Entry summary must be completely aligned. Fields and the definitions of those fields must be the same between the entry and the newly designed entry summary process created by A2.</p>	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 1	<p>SEPT 2014: Simplified Entry data elements aligned to Entry Summary. Still some data discrepancies between parties that need to be resolved.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011-This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release. Pending review and policy discussion with Vince Annunziato and Monica Crockett.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 529) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>/29/08: CSPO-GIF-529</p> <p>This GIF includes what was previously ENT-RSC-011 8/17/07 - Old GIF no. = RSC-001. OFO Sponsor = Jim Swanwon. Currently under review by OFO and the CCR team for implementation.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-002.</b>	<b>530</b>	Auto Comparison of Data with Notification Discrepancies: On submission for release purposes the data from the manifest and the entry release will undergo a comparison. The data comparison will include piece counts, unit of measure, in-bond number, and bill of lading. If a discrepancy is found a notification will be sent to all parties who transmitted information.	2/1/2007	Withdrawn	M2	01/29/08: CSPO-GIF-530 - WITHDRAWN  8/17/07 - Old GIF no. = RSC-002.2. OFO Sponsor = Jim Swanson. GIF was withdrawn by Cindy Allen 3/28/07.
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				
<b>ENT-RSC-003</b>		There is a fundamental gap, from the entry filer's perspective, in the ability to identify and reconcile the specific shipment transaction that has been released under BRASS. The Bill of Lading Number (Shipment Control Number (SCN) in the truck eManifest) is not passed from ACE to ABI and the entry filer. The Bill of Lading Number (SCN) that the carrier assigns to the shipment on the eManifest should be forwarded to the broker in the X24 record of the ABI XR data sets.  Communication of this data element will enable the entry filer to identify the specific shipment released by CBP		Withdrawn	A1, M1	3/6/08: This requirement has already been addressed and is withdrawn by the sub-committee.
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				
<b>ENT-RSC-004</b>	<b>531</b>	BROKER CORRECTIONS WHILE IN HOLD SELECTIVITY STATUS: ACE will allow for corrections to the CF3461 entry release, to be transmitted by the broker/filer while the release is in HOLD status to allow for greater compliance and correct data to be resident in ACE for all parties.	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 10	SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was accepted for cargo release.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 531) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  /29/08: CSPO-GIF-531  8/17/07 - Old GIF no. = RSC-004. OFO Sponsor = Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team and will require review with CBP Officers.
		<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler				
<b>ENT-RSC-005</b>	<b>532</b>	TRUCK E-MANIFEST - BROKER DOWNLOAD: The broker download was recently changed on Sept 2006 to not refund the manifest shipment quantity, unit of measure and weights to the entry filer. This information is critical to all parties in the trading chain for resolving any discrepancies between reporting of this information on the e-Manifest and entry data.	2/1/2007	Withdrawn	M3	FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE AND OBE FOR CARGO RELEASE  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 532) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  29/08: CSPO-GIF-532  8/17/07 - Old GIF no. = RSC-005. OFO Sponsor = Jim Swanson. This is a truck manifest requirement. Currently under review by CCR team. POC = Mike Riter, Chuck Bennett.
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-006</b>	<b>661</b>	CBP VALIDATION OF EIN/SSN AT TIME OF 5106 ADD: Whatever validation program is being run by CBP, it should be performed real-time as each 5106 add es received. An ABI response should be returned to the filer if the number is deemed invaled and the 5106 add should be relected. Filers would then be forced to contact the importer for a valid EIN/SSN before the shipment could be entered.	3/6/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	Inc 8	October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  NOVEMBER 23, 2011 - Determined to be out of scope for cargo release. Do not have the technical capability to do this at this time.  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 661) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  03/06/2008 - Submitted to CBP for GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-661
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-RSC-007</b>	<b>533</b>	CONTACT INFORMATION TO BE TAGGED WITH MANIFEST OR RELEASE TRANSMISSION: ACE will allow contact information to be transmitted with manifest, release or entry summary records on the bill, house bill, and entry number level. These will be optional fields.	2/1/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  OCTOBER 12, 2011- This requirement is accepted for cargo release. Pending legal policy review  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 533) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  29/08: CSPO-GIF-533  8/17/07 - Old GIF No. = RSC-007. OFO Sponsor = Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team for implemenation.
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-008</b>	<b>534</b>	ELECTRONIC BILL OF LADING (BO) UPDATES: ACE will allo electronic BOL updates after transmission in ABI to allow broker/filers the ability to correct mistakes or clerical errors for the following times: 30 days for TRUCK, AIR, and RAIL and 60 days for VESSEL. The ACE system will notify the carrier that there has been a BOL update on a release to ensure that the information can be coordinated by the parties involved.	2/1/2007	Rejected	NA	<p>NOVEMBER 2, 2011 - This requirement is rejected by CBP. Trade will have this information in 15 days.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is accepted in part. Additional review is required. Entry updates need to be made within 10 days. Manifest updates can be done. CBP is not going to change the current timing process.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 534) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-534</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. =RSC-008. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO. May be modified.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			
<b>ENT-RSC-009</b>	<b>535</b>	ELECTRONIC ENTRY CANCELLATION: ACE release will allow for an entry cancellation application sent by the originating broker/filer incorporating appropriate input and output records.	2/1/2007	Accepted	M2.3	<p>OCTOBER 4, 2011 - This requirement was accepted by CBP</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 535) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-535</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF NO. = RSC-009. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR for implementation.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			
<b>ENT-RSC-010</b>	<b>536</b>	ELECTRONIC ENTRY DELETION: ACE release will allow for an entry deletion application sent by the originating broker/filer incorporating appropriate input and output records.	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 2	<p>SEPT 2014: Accepted - Increment 2</p> <p>OCTOBER 18, 2011 - This requirement is partially accepted with modifications based upon the cargo release CONOPS to be worked out during functional requirement development</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P review for cargo release. Also Pending review and policy discussion with Vince Annunziato and Monica Crockett.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 536) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-536</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. = RSC-010. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team for implementation.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-012</b>	<b>537</b>	Export Exam Notification Message: An additional message set will be sent to the broker/filer, and made available to the IOR via the ACE portal, indicating the exam requirement upon exportation from the country.	2/1/2007	Accepted	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was accepted for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 537) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-537</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. = RSC-012. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-013</b>	<b>538</b>	FDA OASIS TIMING: ACE will initiate the FDA PN process at time of submission. By statute the FDA PN cannot be transmitted by ACE to FDA prior to 5 calendar days before arrival. ACE will allow the FDA data for prior notice to flow from ABI through ACE, and on to the FDA OASIS system regardless of the date of arrival of the freight.	2/1/2007	Rejected	NA	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- Per legal policy review this requirement is rejected for cargo release. There are statutory limitations that prohibit CBP from making adjustments per FDA requirements.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 538) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-538</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. = RSC-013. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Pending policy determination by FDA.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-014</b>	<b>539</b>	In order to align field descriptions and values in a weekly estimate 06 release, the definitions of some fields must be changed and some must be eliminated for a release from a Foreign Trade Zone. Due to the nature of field descriptions and values used today, a list of proposed values to be submitted for a weekly estimate follow: 1) Entry presentation date 2) Elected entry date 3) Entry type 4) Entry number 5) Port 6) Importer number 7) Importer of Record name 8) Location of goods (FIRMS) 9) HS number 10) Zone number 11) Description of Merchandise	2/1/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>SEPT 2014: Rejected. Policy will need to be changed and this is not part of core development.</p> <p>JANUARY 5, 2012 - The FTZ process will be included in cargo release however the process will not change as it exists per current regulations.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is being withdrawn and will be considered separately by CBP as part of the FTZ review process.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 539) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-539</p> <p>8/17/07 - )ld GIF No. = RSC-014. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by CCR team. POC - Jerry Leuters.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-015</b>	<b>540</b>	MANIFEST QUEARY FOR BROKERS/FILERS AND2/1/2007 TERMINAL OPERATORS: A query of manifest data should be available to the brokers/filers and terminal operators by providing at minimum one of the following elements: entry number, container number, or BOL/HBOL.	2/1/2007	Rejected	CR	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE. This is a manifest issue and referred to Bill Delansky. There is no query for carriers and terminal operators. There is also a legal concern about disclosure.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 540) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-540</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. -RSC-015. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Referred to Legal Policy for review.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-016</b>	<b>541</b>	PGA-CBP RELEASE COORDINATION: ACE will allow all PGA exam, review, release, and any other applicable data to be evident to CBP primary and secondary officers. ACE will not allow a release to be completed until all PGA exams, reviews and and other release related requirements are satisfied.	2/1/2007	Rejected	NA	<p>SEPT 2014: No sinle release message - rejected by the trade.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was accepted in part for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 541) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-541</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF NO = RSC-016. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-017</b>	<b>542</b>	PRE-REVIEW NOTIFICATION: ACE will push all PRE-REVIEW messages to the broker/filer on the release level at the time of certification of the release transmission, and before arrival of the conveyance within the port of arrival.	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 5	<p>SEPT 2014: Trade will receive admissability message in Air. For ocean 5 days after arrival</p> <p>JANUARY 11, 2012 - For land border truck the policy will not change there will be no notification prior to arrival. For other modes this will be handled thru simplified entry.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.Document Image System functionality may be used for this process.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 542) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-542</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. - RSC-017. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and the CCR team.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-018</b>	<b>543</b>	REDELIVERY REQUEST: ACE will allow the redelivery notification to be sent to the importer and broker/filer via a new message set. Once received the broker/filer will be able to respond to CBP indicating the intent of the IOR to redeliver.	2/1/2007	Accepted	CR	<p>JANUARY 5, 2011- This requirement is accepted for cargo release but needs to be worked further in the functional requirement development phase.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 543) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-543</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. = RSC-018. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR for implementation.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-019</b>	<b>544</b>	PORT EVENT WARNING MESSAGE: ACE will allow CBP to send a warning message upon the transmission. This ability will be available an a port by port basis for the transmissions of manifests and cargo releases. This will be accomplished by sending a PORT CLOSED warning message via ACE to the transmitting broker/filer or carrier.	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is accepted for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 544) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-544</p> <p>8/17/07 -Old GIF =RSC-019. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Under review by CBP. POC - Susan Maskell</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-020</b>	<b>545</b>	RELEASE ENTRY QUERY FOR CARRIERS/NVOCC/TERMINAL OPERATORS: A query within ACE will be implemented for carriers, NVOCCs, or terminal operators utilizing one of the following identifiers at a minimum: BOL/HBOL, SID/SCN, Entry number.	2/1/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: POST CORE FUNCTIONALITY - WILL BE AVAILABLE IN ACE REPORTS</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development - Entry Committee needs to provide more information</p> <p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE. Referred to Manifest</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 545) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-545</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. RSC-020. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team for implementation.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-021</b>	<b>546</b>	RELEASE MESSAGE SETS AVAILABLE TO PGAS: ACE will allow PGAs to receive and/or request arrival, exam, review, and release messages on individual shipments. This data sharing should take place from the moment of first transmission and continue until the shipment is released.	2/1/2007	Accepted	NA	<p>JANUARY 11, 2012: Dependent on PGA participation via EDI or Portal</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is accepted in part pending further CBP review and deployment of the PGA message sets.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 546) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-546</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. - RSC-021. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by CCR team. PGAs will have capability depending on their MOU authority. POC - Jerry Leuters.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-022</b>	<b>547</b>	RELEASE TRANSMISSION TIMELINE: This is a continued requirement with enhanced connectivity to other partners in the chain.	2/1/2007	Rejected	NA	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE. Currently trade can transmit within 60 days and CBP will keep this at 60 days. Trade agreed to keep to 60 day timeline.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 547) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-547</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. - RSC-022. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team for implementation.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-023</b>	<b>548</b>	SUBMISSION OF ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTATION IN AN IMAGE FORMAT: The trade wants the ability to transmit imaged documents to CBP. These documents could include those necessary for the release of goods, support entry summary (invoices, packing lists, BOLs, etc). And in response to requests from CBP for the production of documents.	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 5	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 -Per legal policy review this requirement was accepted for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 548) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-548</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. - RSC-023. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. CSPO - Valarie Neuhart. Imaged documents will be accepted in ACE.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			
<b>ENT-RSC-024</b>	<b>549</b>	TRANSFER OF ENTRY LIABILITY PROCESS: The transfer of liability from one broker/filer to another broker/filer should be an electronic process within ACE. The original broker (broker #1) will transmit a request to CBP to transfer liability to another superseding broker (broker #2) requesting that the responsibility for filing the entry summary be transferred to broker #2	2/1/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: SOME OF THIS FUNCTIONALIYT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED. REMAINING FUNCTIONALITY WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>SEPT 2014: Allow for cancellation an adding another entry to replace an existing entry via automation.</p> <p>NOVEMBER 23, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 549) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-549</p> <p>8/17/07 - Old GIF No. - RSC-024. OFO Sponsor - Jim Swanson. Currently under review by OFO and CCR team.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			
<b>ENT-RSC-025</b>	<b>528</b>	UNIVERSAL PORT CODES FOR ALL MODES & ALL RELEASE TYPES: ACE will expand the capability to include all modes of transportation, and all types of release. In case of a shipment changed from one mode of transport to another, the process will allow the broker to update the mode of transport or allow CBP to change it upon arrival.	2/1/2007	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement was accepted for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 528) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>01/29/08: CSPO-GIF-528</p> <p>8/17/07 - . OFO Sponsor = Jim Swanson. GIF is currently under review by OFO and the CCR team for implementation.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Gary Schreffler			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-026</b>	<b>628</b>	5106 DATABASE SEPARATION OF INFORMATION-IMPORTER OF RECORDS AND REPORTING PARTIES: The company records (5106 data records) within ACE will be separated into two categories: Importer of Record and Reporting Parties.	9/21/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>NOVEMBER 17, 2011- This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GI) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-628</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>ENT-RSC-027</b>	<b>629</b>	CF 3461 RECONFIGURATION: The CF 3461 is an outdated form and needs to be reconfigured in ACE.	9/21/2007	Rejected	CR	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE. CBP will not build a new form.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 628) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-629</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-028</b>	<b>630</b>	In PORT IN-BOND LIABILITY CLOSURE: If a filer transmits a cargo release/entry in the Port where an In-Transit Bond transaction has already originated by the carrier, the in-transit bond will automatically close out.	9/21/2007	Rejected	NA	<p>OCTOBER 18, 2011 - This requirement is rejected by CBP. This is an internal trade issue. Trade should resolve.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 630) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-630</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						
<b>ENT-RSC-029</b>	<b>631</b>	REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS IN RELEASE. When a situation is presented at time of release that would currently return a 'DOCUMENTS REQUIRED' message in ACE the following will be the process. A DOC REQ message will be sent to the filer indicating: a) contact information for the CBP office including email address and phone number; b) a message identifier or wrapper that will accompany the returned document and enable ACE to match it the to the entry. This should be translated into a barcode format; c) the document that CBP is requesting.	9/21/2007	Rejected	NA	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 631) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>1/29/08: CSPO-GIF-631</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-RSC-030</b>	<b>662</b>	PGA PRODUCT CODES FORMAT CONSISTENT & TIED TO HTS As Product Codes are built for Participating Government Agencies they should be harmonized across all PGA's to ensure consistency, in the same format and length. There should be one database for all PGA codes and be linked to the HTS numbers with an indicator.	3/6/2008	Rejected	NA	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- Per legal policy review this requirement is rejected for cargo release.. There is no expectation that the HTS will be tied to the product identification code. They are independent data elements. The PIC code is owned by the supplier who applied for it. The PGA message set is handling the relationship between the HTS and the product codes. However further discussion is required concerning how to structure and maintain the data base.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 662) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>3/6/08: This GIF has been submitted to CBP for GIF Board review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-662</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-WHS-059</b>	<b>905</b>	<p>CONVERT CF-3499 PAPER PROCESS TO ELECTRONIC FORMAT IN ACE - Currently anytime there is a need to invoke CF 3499 it is a very manual and paper filled process that results in a paper backlog and many hours of inefficient time to transport and process paperwork that can otherwise be processed electronically.</p> <p>Description of Recommended Change:  We the trade believe that the CF3499 should be made available through ACE in an electronic format. We envision completing an online version of the form and submitting it to customs for their review. Once the customs agent has reviewed the electronic CF 3499, they could:  A.Reply with approval electronically placing the CF 3499 in an "Approved Status" through ACE.  B.Reply with a request for further information or need for inspection putting the CF 3499 into a "Pending Status" through ACE.  C.Reply with a "Declined" notification requiring the requestor to resubmit and/or contact customs to determine next course of action. This option would leave the CF 3499 in a "Declined Status" Through ACE.</p> <p>All final approvals would be completed online by customs with the electronic status notifications being available to the requestor through ACE.</p> <p>For example; if a bonded facility handling product in full case quantities and needs to repackage in less than full case quantities, the Bonded Facilities operator would create and submit CF 3499 through ACE to U.S. Customs. U.S. Customs would review and either choose to approve the CF 3499 as provided, put the CF 3499 into "Pending Status" if an inspection is deemed necessary or disapprove and put the CF 3499 into a "Declined Status" with comments as to why and what is required. In the case noted above, once the CF3499 was approval electronically through ACE by U.S. Customs, there would be an inventory adjustment made with a printed copy of the screen approval for the Bonded Facilities file.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF REQUIRES LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Currently in production backlog for development</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-905) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>April 27 2009: CF3499: Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ENT-WHS-060	906	<p>Current Regulations provide for an allowance in Duty and Tax upon satisfactory proof of the destruction or loss, in whole or in part, of any merchandise while in a bonded warehouse – 19 USC 1563, 19 CFR 158.21. Within 30 days of the discovery of the loss, theft, injury or destruction, the importer SHALL file an application, in DUPLICATE, on Customs Form 4315, with the Port Director wherein the loss, theft, injury or destruction occurred and within 90 days from the date of discovery of such incident, the importer SHALL file with the Port Director wherein the loss, theft, injury or destruction occurred, any evidence required in sections 158.26 and 158.27 of 19 CFR. Furthermore, any theft, suspected theft, overage or extraordinary shortage or damage (one percent or more of the value of the merchandise in an entry or unique identifier – OR – if the missing merchandise is subject to duty and tax in excess of \$100) SHALL be immediately brought to the attention of the Port Director wherein the incident occurred and confirmed in writing within five (5) business days thereafter. The warehouse proprietor SHALL record all shortages, overages, thefts, loss, injury, damage or destruction of merchandise, whether extraordinary or NON-extraordinary in nature, in the inventory control and recordkeeping system of the warehouse proprietor, whether or not such an incidence need be required to be reported to the Port Director.</p> <p>REQUESTED CHANGE TO CURRENT PROCEDURE</p> <p>As it is the case that CBP no longer maintains a duplicate permit file folder for warehouse entries as such records are now required to be maintained by the warehouse proprietor, in lieu of processing and transmitting Customs Form 4315 in the antiquated manner of submitting a paper document to CBP for signature, which is inefficient and burdensome to both the filer and CBP, ACE should allow for the paperless release of an application for an allowance in duties on Customs Form 4315.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS THIS FOR DIS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-906) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>April 27, 2009: Paperless Release on Warehouse Breakage: Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.</p>
				<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ENT-WHS-061</b>	<b>904</b>	<p>TRANSFER OF MERCHANDISE BETWEEN BONDED WAREHOUSES WITHIN THE SAME PORT</p> <p>Current Regulations provide for the transfer of merchandise between bonded warehouses within the same port through the use of the non-automated CF 6043 – 19 CFR 144.34 and 144.41. Additionally, the port director MAY require the filing of a rewarehouse entry if the port director determines it is necessary for the proper control of the merchandise.</p> <p>REQUESTED CHANGE TO CURRENT PROCEDURE</p> <p>Current regulations and procedures governing the transferring of in-bond merchandise between bonded warehouses within the same port (1) do not allow for a paperless release, (2) do not allow for the transference of bond or importer information between warehouse proprietors and (3) do not provide for the filing of a paperless type 22 entry. Transferring in-bond merchandise to different bonded facilities operated by different entities within the same port limits is nothing new to Customs. FTZ's have been doing this for quite some time using a CF 7512 (see FTZM 9.11(a)) in order to assure that the bonded facility at destination has the necessary information in order to exercise proper control over the in-bond merchandise and to be able to file proper documentation for the admission (entry) of the merchandise into the bonded facility at destination.</p> <p>Ace should allow for the paperless release of in-bond merchandise, on CF 7512, for the purpose of transportation between bonded warehouses within the same port limits. Ace should also allow the filing of a paperless type 22 entry for such transferred merchandise.</p>		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: POST CORE DEVELOPMENT FOR CARGO RELEASE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>JULY 14, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-904) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>April 27, 2009: Paperless Transfers Within Port Limits: Pending submission to CBP CCB for review. Awaiting designation of a CBP Business sponsor.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Gary Schreffler			
<b>ENT-WHS-064</b>		<p>Bonded Warehouse Report</p> <p>This report provides for the warehouse proprietor's annual reconciliation which is used by Customs spot audits of bonded warehouses. This report will allow the warehouse proprietor to compare the information they have internally in their system with what CBP has in ACE prior to preparing the Warehouse Proprietor's Submission on Customs Form 300 to meet § 19.12 (9) (g) (h).</p> <p>Eventually may be able to replace the CF 300. Also useful for Customs WITT Team audits.</p>	9/16/2009	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN BY THE WAREHOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE ENTRY COMMITTEE.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-001</b>	<b>939</b>	<p>"Filing Automated Export System (AES) Electronic Export Information (EEI) commodity data via the ACE Portal and Electronic Data Interface (EDI)"</p> <p>1. ACE must provide the functionality to accept the filing of export commodity data elements via the ACE secure web portal and through EDI systems. The ACE portal must provide a vehicle by which U.S. Principal Parties in Interest (USPPIs), freight forwarders, and other authorized filers can file all commodity data required for filing the export manifest, and electronic export information (EEI) (formerly referred to as SED data), directly to Customs and Border Protection via the ACE portal. The ACE portal must be developed as a single window for processing EEI transactions.</p> <p>2. ACE shall make available to USPPIs and their authorized agents all functionality for filing electronic export information (EEI) that is currently provided to them by AES and AES Direct, except for functionality that has been rendered unnecessary by changes in the CBP business processes that AES and AES Direct support.</p> <p>3. ACE must provide the capability for an authorized user to enter or update EEI onto a single continuous form (i.e. single screen, or data entry port) via the ACE web portal. Changes may be made via the ACE web portal, whether the original data was submitted via the web portal or through system-to-system interaction. All historical data must be maintained in ACE for the longest required record retention period of any applicable PGA, and available to view by the account owner or authorized user.</p> <p>4. The data set for EEI, whether for the original transmission or for a change to an EEI data entry, shall include an optional comment field that will accommodate up to 500 characters of text. A comment must become read-only once it has been submitted and it must be associated with a date-time stamp that gives the date and time of submission.</p> <p>5. System has to be built to allow for amending EEI on originally filed cargo information. Data field(s) must be provided to report the previously assigned XTN or ITN for the shipment that is being amended en route. A Census regulatory change to 15CFR Part 30 will be required. Note: This would allow additional information to be provided to CBP for enforcement purposes, and/or to clarify special circumstances related to the shipment (split shipment information, cargo diversion, special</p>	12/18/2006	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS FUNCTIONALITY IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development in coordination with Censu Bureau.</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-939) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p> <p>This requirement replaces EXP-001, EXP-001.1, EXP-001.2, EXP-001.3</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>export license information, sold en route, etc).</p> <p>6. For a routed transaction, the USPPI is only entitled to see the EEI data elements the USPPI is responsible for providing to the foreign principal party in interests' freight forwarder (FTSR 15 CFR 30.4 (c)).</p> <p>7. ACE must provide the capability for an authorized account to view, download, and print EEI that they have filed or that has been filed on their behalf.</p> <p>8. ACE must provide viewable, downloadable (raw and pre-defined report data), and printable reports to authorized EEI filers and USPPIs through the export account portal. The report set must include the number of EEI transactions filed over a specified period, the number late or in error over a specified period, and specific details for each incident of a late or erroneous filing.</p> <p>Note: -- These reports will support tracking of transaction statistics and compliance rates.</p>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	William Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-002</b>	<b>940</b>	<p>"Filing Export Manifest Information via the ACE Portal and EDI"</p> <p>TRADE ACT OF 2002, P.L. 107-210 SEC. 343. &lt;&lt;NOTE: 19 USC 2071 note.&gt;&gt; MANDATORY ADVANCED ELECTRONIC INFORMATION FOR CARGO AND OTHER IMPROVED CUSTOMS REPORTING PROCEDURES.</p> <p>(a) Cargo Information.--</p> <p>(1) In general.--Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations providing for the transmission to the Customs Service, through an electronic data interchange system, of information pertaining to cargo destined for importation into the United States or exportation from the United States, prior to such importation or exportation.</p> <p>Background Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Federal Register, Vol. 68, No 234/Friday December 5, 2003 – Department of Homeland Security, 19 CFR Parts 4, 103 et al., Required Advance Electronic Presentation states on page 68162: The USPPI or its authorized agent must report the port of exportation as known when the USPPI or its agent tenders the cargo to the outbound carrier. Should the carrier export the cargo from a different port, the carrier so informs the USPPI or agent, the port of exportation must be corrected by the filer in AES. Proposed 192.14 (c) (2) (vi) is revised in this final rule to clarify this issue.</li> <li>• The USPPI or his agent, pre-filing the EEI data, has only estimated transportation data. When the carrier transmits transportation data, ACE will be in receipt of updated EEI transportation information in ACE (AES and AES Direct) automatically rather than require updates from the EEI filer based upon second hand data.</li> </ul> <p>REQUIREMENT RECOMMENDATION</p> <p>1. ACE must provide the capability to file export transportation data via the ACE secure data portal or via electronic (EDI) batch transmissions. ACE must provide the means for USPPIs (exporters), freight forwarders, carriers, or their authorized agents to file all transportation information required for the advanced electronic filing of the outbound manifest.</p> <p>2. For filing export transportation data, ACE shall make available to carriers and NVOCCs all functionality that is currently provided to them by</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED PENDING CBP AND CENSU LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future developement</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-940) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>AES and AES Direct, except for functionality that has been rendered unnecessary by changes in the CBP business processes that AES and AES Direct support.</p> <p>3. When USPPIs or EEI filers access their EEI data in ACE, updates made by ACE to transportation based upon the Export Manifest shall be accessible along with the originally submitted values.</p> <p>CLARIFICATION - The Federal Register, Vol. 68, No 234/Friday December 5, 2003 – Department of Homeland Security, 19 CFR Parts 4, 103 et al., Required Advance Electronic Presentation states on page 68176: (2) Transportation Data, Reporting of the following transportation information is currently mandatory for AES participants under 15 CFR 30.63 for the vessel, air, truck and rail modes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (v) Country of ultimate destination (this is the country as known to the USPPI or its authorized agent at the time of exportation, where the cargo is to be consumed or further processed or manufactured; this country would be identified by the 2-character ISO code for the country of ultimate destination.</li> <li>• Please note that this is not transportation data. This information can only be supplied by the USPPI. The carrier can only supply the ultimate destination of his contract of carriage. The carrier could be moving the goods to Rotterdam, and the ultimate country of destination could be Germany. The buyer may elect to pick up the goods in Rotterdam and truck them to Germany with his own transportation. The carrier's ultimate destination could be different than the USPPI's country of ultimate destination.</li> </ul> <p>4. ACE must provide the capability for an authorized Trade user to view, download, and print Export Manifest data that they have filed or that has been filed on their behalf.</p> <p>5. ACE must provide viewable, downloadable, and printable reports to the TAO and authorized Export Manifest filers through the ACE portal. The report set must include the number of Export Manifest filed over a specified period, the number late or in error over a specified period, and specific details for each incident of a late or erroneous filing.</p> <p>Note: -- These reports will support tracking of transaction statistics and compliance rates.</p> <p>6. Upon acceptance of Export Manifest data by ACE, ACE shall update estimated transportation data elements on the corresponding EEI using the transportation data values from the Export Manifest? In case of a discrepancy between the two sets of transportation data, the ACE system will</p>				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>NOT notify the USPPI or filer of the EEI. The discrepancy will be resolved upon submission of the departure message by the carrier to CBP. The TAO will have access to any transaction changes that have been made via the ACE Portal.</p> <p>[SEE ATTACHMENT FOR DETAILED PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAMS]</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> William Delansky</p>				
<b>EXP-003</b>	<b>941</b>	<p>"Export Batch Filing Methodology" BACKGROUND (This is a technology based requirement and subject to technology available at the time of implementation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is required that any standards adopted for filing export commodity and transportation data must be consistent with the trade links to the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for other components of ACE.</li> <li>• Given the large investment in the existing links established to CBP by the trade (AMS, ABI, AES) the equivalent links to ACE should be evolutionary (not revolutionary), and as far as possible backwards compatible.</li> <li>• ACE should allow alternate Network suppliers (e.g. Sprint) for MQ communications to introduce competition.</li> </ul> <p>USER REQUIREMENT RECOMMENDATION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ACE should continue to support, for the foreseeable future, the existing methods of communication to CBP. At the current time these are primarily 1-800 Dial-up, SDLC, and VPN communication systems.</li> <li>2. ACE should continue to support existing formats; Customs Propriety, X12, CATAIR, AESTIR</li> <li>3. ACE development should ensure that all changes to existing formats are, as far as possible, backwards compatible. This means that if a new data item(s) or records are introduced they should be included in such a way that companies not required to send them will not have to alter their existing processors (file layouts). In other words added and not inserted in the middle of records.</li> </ol> <p>Any introduction of XML based message should be offered on an optional basis.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> William Delansky</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED AND PARTS ARE AVAILABLE IN ACE. ADDITIONAL FILING METHODOLOGIES WILL BE BUILT ON AN ON GOING BASIS.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-941) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release. THIS RERQUIREMENT REPLACES EXP-004</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-004</b>	<b>942</b>	<p>"EXPORT CONTROL &amp; LICENSING VIA ACE BUREAU OF INDUSTRY &amp; SECURITY (BIS), DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE"</p> <p>1. The ACE portal should provide the exporter (USPPI) or its authorized filer access to information on export licenses and related export control information on a shipment that falls under the authority of the Bureau of Industry &amp; Security, Department of Commerce pertaining to the export of dual use merchandise as stated in the BIS Export Administration Regulations.</p> <p>2. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the USPPI or its authorized filer could communicate with BIS for information regarding a transaction or shipment concerning export control or licensing requirements.</p> <p>3. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby BIS could communicate with a particular USPPI or its authorized filer for information regarding a transaction or shipment.</p> <p>4. ACE should provide for all authorized parties (BIS, USPPIs, and their authorized filers) the ability to query license balance (value and/or quantity) and expiration date information.</p> <p>5. ACE should provide for license decrementation functionality based on date, amount, quantity, and expiration date.</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED PENDING CBP OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and coordination with Commerce/BIS</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-942) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-005</b>	<b>943</b>	<p>"Export Control &amp; Licensing via ACE - State Department, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC)"</p> <p>1. The ACE portal should provide the exporter (USPPI) or its authorized filer access to information on export licenses and related export control information on a shipment that falls under the authority of the Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC), Department of State, pertaining to the export of merchandise covered under the International Traffic in Arms (ITAR) regulations for items on the U.S. Munitions List.</p> <p>2. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the exporter or its authorized filer could communicate with the DDTC for information regarding a transaction or shipment concerning export control or licensing requirements.</p> <p>3. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the DDTC could communicate with a particular exporter or its authorized filer for information regarding a transaction or shipment.</p> <p>4. ACE should provide for all authorized parties (DDTC, Exporters, and their authorized filers) the ability to query license balance (value and/or quantity) and expiration date information.</p> <p>5. ACE should provide for license decrementation functionality based on date, amount, quantity, and expiration date.</p> <p>6. ACE should provide the functionality to allow users to verify that a particular State Department (International Traffic In Arms Regulation (ITAR)) license exemption applies to their export (e.g. have access to Canada's list of Registered companies for purpose of verifying that the Canadian exemption 22 CFR 126.5 can be used). This functionality should include a list of prompts to help a user verify whether or not a particular license exemption (or license exception if controlled by the Commerce Department's Export Administration Regulations (EAR) applies to their export.</p> <p>7. ACE should provide the functionality to access the State Department's tracking system for tracking of temporary ITAR licenses.</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED PENDING DDTC DETERMINATION ON A RESPONSE MESSAGE.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and coordination with DDTC/State.</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-943) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	William Delansky			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-005.1</b>		<p>Automate the Filing of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) In-Transit Form 7513, "Shippers Export Declaration for In-Transit Shipments"</p> <p>A. Use ACE to collect the data required by the USACE on the Form 7513 for shipments that move in-transit through the U.S. and depart by vessel.</p> <p>B. ACE will provide a fully automated interactive module that will collect the necessary data element the USACE requires to collect in-transit shipment information.</p> <p>C. ACE will submit a monthly download of specified data elements to the USACE, who will edit and process the data to ensure compliance with in-transit regulations, and produce transportation statistics for vessel movements by port of departure.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> William Delansky</p>	12/15/2003	Withdrawn	M3	<p>This user requirement is being evaluated by the Manage Release Processing PAT and the eCP/ITDS team. This is an internal USACE PGA requirement and is included in the USACE PGA user requirements. A policy determination was made in conjunction with representatives from the USACE, and the eCP ITDS group to implement this user requirement through the internal PGA requirements process and not as a TSN user requirement recommendation. Therefore this requirement will not be included as an Export Committee user requirement recommendation. It will be implemented as an ITDS PGA user requirement</p>
<b>EXP-006</b>	<b>944</b>	<p>"Export Control &amp; Licensing via ACE - Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC)"</p> <p>1. The ACE portal should provide the exporter (USPPI) or its authorized filer access to information on export licenses and related export control information on a shipment that falls under the authority of the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), Department of the Treasury, pertaining to the export of merchandise covered under OFACs sanctioned countries and parties lists.</p> <p>2. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the exporter or its authorized filer could communicate with the OFAC for information regarding a transaction or shipment concerning export control or licensing requirements or current restrictions on exports to a certain country or entity.</p> <p>3. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the OFAC could communicate with a particular exporter or its authorized filer for information regarding an export transaction or shipment.</p> <p>4. ACE should provide for all authorized parties (OFAC, Exporters, and their authorized filers) the ability to query license balance (value and/or quantity) and expiration date information.</p> <p>5. ACE needs to be able to address special license requirements issued by OFAC on a case by case basis.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> William Delansky</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED PENDING COORDINATION WITH OFAC/TREASURY</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and coordination with OFAC/Treasury</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-944) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-007</b>	<b>945</b>	<p>"Export Control &amp; Licensing via ACE - Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)"</p> <p>1. The ACE portal should provide the exporter (USPPI) or its authorized filer access to information on export licenses and related export control information on a shipment that falls under the authority of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Department of the Treasury, pertaining to the export of merchandise covered under DEA permit regulations and statute.</p> <p>2. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the exporter or its authorized filer could communicate with the DEA for information regarding a transaction or shipment concerning export control or permit requirements or current restrictions on exports to a certain country or entity.</p> <p>3. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the DEA could communicate with a particular exporter or its authorized filer for information regarding an export transaction or shipment.</p> <p>4. ACE should provide for all authorized parties (DEA, Exporters, and their authorized filers) the ability to query DEA permit information.</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING COORDINATION WITH DOJ/DEA.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and coordination with DEA/Dept of Justice</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-945) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						
<b>EXP-008</b>	<b>946</b>	<p>Export Control &amp; Licensing via ACE, Nuclear Regulatory Commission"</p> <p>1. The ACE portal should provide the exporter (USPPI) or its authorized filer access to information on export licenses and related export control information on a shipment that falls under the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), pertaining to the export of merchandise covered under NRC regulations and statutes.</p> <p>2. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the exporter or its authorized filer could communicate with the NRC for information regarding a transaction or shipment concerning export control or licensing requirements or current restrictions on exports to a certain country or entity.</p> <p>3. ACE should provide a mechanism whereby the NRC could communicate with a particular exporter or its authorized filer for information regarding an export transaction or shipment.</p> <p>4. ACE should provide for all authorized parties (NRC, Exporters, and their authorized filers) the ability to query license balance (value and/or quantity) and expiration date information.</p> <p>5. Need to add more detail on specific NRC licensing requirements (General Licenses and Specific Licenses)</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and coordination with NRC</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-946) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-009</b>		"Post Departure Filing" ACE must provide for the post departure electronic filing of export information by exporters or their authorized agents via the ACE web portal or via EDI transmission as defined in 15 CFR 30.63. for non licensed export shipments. More specifically, ACE must provide for a post-departure filing option, equivalent to the Census Bureau's AES post departure filing previously referred to as Option 4 as set out in 15 CFR 30.61(c).	12/18/2006	Withdrawn	Inc 9	July 24, 2009 - This requirement is withdrawn. Census policy will not provide this capability.  This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						
<b>EXP-010</b>	<b>893</b>	" Creating Reports via ACE" Provide a tool via the ACE secure data portal that would give exporters and their authorized filers the ability to extract and download export data on outbound shipments for their own marketing strategies, compliance, and for other recordkeeping purposes. Exporters should have access to full export transaction data only when they are the USPPI on the export transaction and the transactions are not routed export transactions. For routed export transactions, exporters should have access to only the data they are required to provide under 15CFR30.4(c)(1). Authorized filers should have access only to the export data the filer filed. All information filed electronically must be available for downloading and extracting in report format. Export Reports for exporters, authorized agents, carriers and all other account types must be able to generate reports on data for which they are authorized to access.	12/18/2006	Accepted	Inc 10	DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE  October 2014: Pending future developement  JUNE 10, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-893) WAS APPROVED BY THE CCB. CBP AND CENSUS ARE DISCUSSING IMPLEMENTATION.  MAY 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-893) WAS SUBMITTED TO CBP FOR CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-011</b>	<b>947</b>	<p>"EXPORT ACCOUNT TYPES"</p> <p>The ACE portal should provide the exporter or the exporters authorized agent the ability to establish their account and account structure. CBP needs to define the export account types for</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. U.S. Principal Party in Interest (Exporter)</li> <li>2. Authorized Agent (Freight Forwarder)</li> <li>3. Truck Carrier</li> <li>4. Sea Carrier</li> <li>5. Air Carrier</li> <li>6. Rail Carrier</li> <li>7. Service Provider</li> <li>8. Port Authority</li> </ol> <p>The ACE portal should allow the exporter or the exporters authorized agent to view their master data based on their authority to access that data. Potential master data elements for the USPPI should be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Party Name = USPPI = Account Manager</li> <li>2. Party Street Address = USPPI</li> <li>3. Party City = USPPI City</li> <li>4. Party Country Code = USPPI country</li> <li>5. Party email Address = USPPI email</li> <li>6. Party fax number = USPPI fax</li> <li>7. EIN</li> <li>8. SSN</li> <li>9. DUNS</li> <li>10. Customs assigned number (passport, visa, etc.</li> <li>11. Customs assigned port numbers</li> </ol> <p>The exporter or authorized agent should have the ability to update data elements in their account profile through the ACE portal.</p>	12/18/2006	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS AVAILABLE IN ACE</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future developement</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-947) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-012</b>	<b>948</b>	<p>"PROCESSING EXPORT CARNETS" the trade requires US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to accept the electronic Shipper's Export Declaration (SED/ EEI) that is filed through ACE as one of the methods for proof of export for a closure of CARNET's. The ITN Number (ACE confirmation number issued to filer of SED) will be transmitted on the CARNET. The ITN number is a unique identifier on the transaction that indicates the exact export commodity data filed on behalf of a USPPI (US Principle Party of Interest) . The commodity data and export record provide substantial and necessary proof of export and meet the requirements for proof of export under Part 191.72. Proof of exportation requires proof of the date fact of exportation and identify of the exporter. The date of export will be on this record as provided by the carrier and the fact of exportation will be established by the information showing destination, vessel and specific description of the exported articles by Schedule B or HS number, quantity and value. The information contained in the SED should satisfy all of the requirements for proof of export. Information is filed by HTSUS or Schedule-B number (commodity details) and the transportation details are confirmed by all carriers (VOCC and NVOCC) filing their cargo manifest in advance of cargo loading for ocean carrier, prior to flight for air carriers and prior to crossing for all truck and rail carriers.. The cargo manifest details will be matched in ACE exactly to the commodity details by way of the ITN number. As mentioned above, we expect in 2005 the mandatory electronic filing of all SED information. We also expect the term "SED" to be changed to "EEI" (Electronic Export Information). This change will not change data elements required today in the export process.</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS PENDING CBP LEGAL AND POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and internal policy discussions with CBP</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-948) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	William Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-013</b>	<b>949</b>	<p>"TEMPORARY IMPORTATION UNDER BOND (TIB) PROCESS"</p> <p>Trade requests that US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) accept the Electronic Export Information (EEI) that is filed through ACE as one of the methods for proof of export for a closure of TIB's. The ITN Number (ACE confirmation number issued to filer of EEI) will be transmitted on the TIB. The ITN number is a unique identifier on the transaction that indicates the exact export commodity data filed on behalf of a USPPI (US Principle Party of Interest). The commodity data and export record provide substantial and necessary proof of export and meet the requirements for proof of export under CFR Part 191.72.</p> <p>Proof of exportation requires proof of the date as fact of exportation and identity of the exporter. The date of export will be on this record as provided by the carrier and the fact of exportation will be established by the information showing destination, conveyance and specific description of the exported articles by Schedule B or HTS number, quantity and value.</p> <p>The information contained on the EEI should satisfy all of the requirements for proof of export. Information is filed by HTS or Schedule-B number (commodity details) and the transportation details are confirmed by all carriers filing their cargo manifest in advance of cargo loading for ocean carrier, prior to flight for air carriers and prior to crossing for all truck and rail carriers.. The cargo manifest details will be matched in ACE exactly to the commodity details by way of the ITN number.</p>	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED PENDING CBP LEGAL POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending future development and CBP internal review.</p> <p>JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-949) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	William Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>EXP-014</b>	<b>950</b>	"EXPORTS OUT OF A FOREIGN TRADE ZONE" Foreign Trade Zone Outbound/Export Requirements. This will serve as a placeholder for future development of export user requirements for exports out of a foreign trade zone. Direct & Indirect exports after entry - Export of merchandise directly out of the zone - Export of merchandise manufactured in the zone - NAFTA duty deferral merchandise - FTZ/Exports that require PGA release authority for export out of the zone (DEA, FWS, BIS, State, OFAC) - In-bond process - Close out the FTZ import with the export document (automated closeout within ACE) – requirements need to be focused on ACE! - OIT movement between U.S. & Puerto Rico - Bonded Warehouse movement?	12/18/2006	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending future development but requires more trade information.  JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-950) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.  This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release. This requirement also needs additional development in conjunction with the Foreign Trade Zone subcommittee of the Entry committee.
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						
<b>EXP-015</b>	<b>951</b>	"COMMUNICATING ERROR MESSAGES" ACE should provide a tool that would allow the exporter and their authorized agents a view of the ACE error messages so that the exporter can have visibility to the error messages for export transactions filed by a freight forwarder on behalf of the exporter.	12/18/2006	Accepted	M1	October 2014: Capability developed.  JULY 31, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-951) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.  This requirement was submitted to the CCR Team on December 18, 2006 as a placeholder for reference and development in a future ACE release.
<i>Owner:</i> William Delansky						
<b>Interface - 1</b>	<b>931</b>	CBP should ensure that XML utilization for Trade interfaces complies with appropriate international standards.	7/25/2001	Accepted	A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1  July 16, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 931) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW  Will be developed throughout the process.  INT-1 and INT-7 have been combined and replaced by GIF 201 (submitted to CBP on 1/9/2006). The GIF was drafted to reflect XML among the list of recommended message formats. STATUS of GIF 201: This GIF has been referred to CBP for consideration at the enterprise level  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>Interface - 2</b>	<b>932</b>	Whenever an ACE capability is successfully deployed that would replace a legacy interface capability, the legacy interface will be supported for five years. In the event that use of the legacy interface by Trade partners ceases, the older interface may be eliminated in less than five years.	7/25/2001	Accepted	A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>July 16, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 932) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy considerations.</p> <p>INT-2 has been replaced by GIF 202 (submitted to CBP on 1/9/2006).</p> <p>The GIF was drafted to also recommend a phased deployment for ACE when replacing legacy systems. STATUS of GIF 202: This GIF has been withdrawn by the committee: the policy decision to pursue a big bang deployment strategy has already been made by CBP.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>Interface - 3</b>		Image transfer will be supported under ACE. E- Customs Partnership to choose and document image format (e.g., TIFF, JPEG, etc.).	7/25/2001	Withdrawn	A2.3	<p>STATUS of GIF 200: Withdrawn by the Committee on 2/27/06: CBP has already decided to approve the imaging of scanned documents.</p> <p>Refer to BUS-002-HL.</p> <p>BUS-001, BUS-002, and INT-3 have been combined and replaced by GIF 200 (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). The GIF was drafted to recommend the imaging of scanned documents.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>Interface - 4</b>		The existing CBP toll-free lines for system access will be discontinued under ACE.	7/25/2001	Withdrawn	2, 3, 4	<p>This requirement has been overtaken by events</p> <p>Not technically an ACE system requirement.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>Interface - 5</b>		Trade interactions with ACE will be secure in accordance with the Trade Secrets Act.	7/25/2001	Withdrawn	3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>Pursuant to a decision reached at the June 2004, Plenary Session and documented in the Transition_TSYS Committee Meeting Report, dated June 30, 2004). This requirement can be closed out since it is something that can be accomplished within the current ACE structure.</p> <p>This requirement has been withdrawn (request for withdrawal was submitted to CBP on 9/18/04)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>Interface - 6</b>		ACE will provide for the following modes of communication with Trade partners: Direct Connect using dedicated links, Internet Connect using EDI transmissions, Web Access over Internet for account management, Transponder for truck identification, Broadcast capabilities for CBP-to-Trade push of informational messages. The TSN Trade Interface Subcommittee- ACE Requirements document, Version 2.0 of 17 Jan 01, provides more detailed descriptions of communications modes.	7/25/2001	Withdrawn	3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	2/29/08: Subsequent to Committee review, this requirement has been withdrawn (i.e., overtaken by events).  Subject to CBP policy considerations.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>Interface - 7</b>	<b>933</b>	CBP will support multiple EDI standards. A. ACE will permit transmission by the EDI standards listed below. Further, that mapping between standards will be provided for all message types: ANSI X-12 UN/EDIFACT CATAIR CAMIR AESTIR Cargo IMP (CBP Implementation will be more closely aligned with IATA standards)	7/25/2001	Replaced	3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	THIS REQUIREMENT HAS BEEN COMBINED WITH INT-1 AND WILL BE BROUGHT FORWARD AS PART OF INT-1 (CSPO-GIF-931)  062909: Existing functionality, if carried through to M1 and future releases, will meet this trade requirement.  Access to communication modes dependent on CBP determination.  INT-1 and INT-7 have been combined and replaced by GIF 201 (submitted to CBP on 1/9/2006). The GIF was drafted to reflect XML among the list of recommended message formats. STATUS of GIF 201: This GIF has been referred to CBP for consideration at the enterprise level  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>Interface - 8</b>		CBP will support multiple connectivity options, including direct connect, internet connect, web access, transponder, PDA and broadcast.	7/25/2001	Withdrawn	3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	This recommendation is covered by Interface 6.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>Interface - 9</b>	<b>934</b>	All communications modes, exclusive of uncontrollable aspects of the Internet, must be available 24 hours a day, every day of the year. Performance of communications modes, exclusive of uncontrollable aspects of the Internet, must be demonstrably within industry norms. Comparison of performance metrics for similar communications implementations should be used to set nominal response time for those developed by eCP.	7/25/2001	Accepted	2, 3, 4, A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1  JULY 16, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT(GIF 934) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ITD-001</b>		Appeal Process for ITDS applications and participants for Track 4 (preferred) Processing Notification of Adverse Action notification to trade participants when a proposal to revoke participation is made. Establish a 2-step appeal process.	7/27/2001	Replaced	M3	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-002</b>		Importer Application/rejection/amendment/removal/appeal/process. FDA and NHTSA should participate in the track 4 (preferred processing) process modeled after AES option 4.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	2	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-003</b>		Carrier crew & conveyance registration. Before filing a motor freight manifest, carriers will complete a one-time electronic registration of each of their conveyances and crew members.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	2	Withdrawn by the Committee.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-004</b>		Census Country of Ultimate Destination. If imported merchandise will subsequently be exported, the country of ultimate destination will be reported in the entry summary line item.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	A2.2	Under review by Bureau of Census. On 9.15.2004, CBP formally referred to Bureau of Census. This requirement was determined to be an internal Census (PGA) requirement and will be tracked by the eCP/ITDS team. Therefore it was withdrawn as an ITDS committee requirement.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-005</b>		Census Override Reason Code. Override codes will be used to respond to warning errors. Census will provide a list of override codes. The trade will have the ability to report multiple override codes on an entry summary line.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	A2.2	On 9.15.2004, CBP formally referred this requirement to Census. Also pending review by Census. This requirement was determined to be an internal Census (PGA) requirement and will be tracked by the eCP/ITDS team. Therefore it was withdrawn as an ITDS committee requirement.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-006		FCC: Entry Summary. For all preferred (FAST) shipments FCC data for reported commodities will be collected in the entry summary. FCC data will be similar to that currently collected in ABI except that ITDS data standards will be adopted to the extent possible.	7/27/2001	Accepted	A2	<p>4/18/2007 - This requirement was accepted by CBP. This requirement is included in the FCC CONOPS that was approved by OFO on December 16, 2006. The ITDS team is working with the CCR team to implement this requirement in ACE.</p> <p>5/8/2006: FCC has reviewed this requirement and agrees that this information is correct.</p> <p>1/20/06: The FCC has requested in their ConOps that FCC form 740 data continue to be an option for electronic filing by the trade in ACE. FCC has also requested an FCC ID no. validation check in ACE. FCC has requested ACE Portal access to conduct research and analysis on FCC related HTS numbers and has also requested a periodic extract of FCC related data.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-007		FDA: Cargo Examination (track 4). When a track 4 shipment is selected for examination, FDA data for the reported commodities will also be collected. A. ACE will permit transmission by the EDI standards listed below. Further, that mapping between standards will be provided for all message types.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	M3	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-008		FDA: Entry Summary for track 4 shipments released without examination where commodity data is not submitted until entry summary data is filed. The FDA data collected will be similar to that currently collected in ABI.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	M3	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-009		FDA: Arrival/Release. FDA staff will be available to make cargo release decision at all hours when a motor freight port is open.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	M3	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-010		Arrival/Release. FMCSA will release all trucks if no safety official authorized by DOT is on duty when the truck arrives at the inspection booth.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	M3	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-016		Pre-Registration to allow trade participants to pre-file electronic data with ITDS for the purposes of establishing accounts to create databases.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	2	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-017		Account Access. The ITDS system must allow access to information and functions through the account structure.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	2	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-018		Electronic Acceptance of Data: Electronic Notification of Acceptance of Registration.	7/27/2001	Withdrawn	2	Withdrawn by Committee August 2004.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-019		Registration Data Available to the Trade (dependent on ITD-012 assignment of registration numbers.)	7/27/2001	Rejected	M2.3	Rejected by CBP because of privacy/Trade Secrets concerns on 9.15.2004
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-020		System Availability and Response Time: ITDS must establish systemic redundancies to help ensure processing is available on a 24/7 basis.	9/4/2001	Withdrawn	M3	4/18/2007: This is not an ACE system requirement.  CBP holds this as pending with the recommendation that the committee ignore this since system performance is a more global issue than just ITDS. Requirements in Performance Architecture and Measurement Management (PAMM) for all transaction based (import and export) processes. Not mapped to any BPD Level 3.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-021.1		PGAs who participate in importer application, approval, amendment and removal processes for any importer /exporter expedited processes must also participate in an approved process for appeals.	8/1/2004	Rejected	M3	Replaces ITD-001. CBP Co-Chair will take under advisement. This requirement is rejected by CBP as a non ACE system requirement. This is an internal CBP/PGA policy issue.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
ITD-021.2		In cases where an application for expedited import/export process program (CBP or PGA) is denied, written notice and an appeals process will be owed.		Withdrawn	A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	This is not an ACE system requirement. Does not map to any BPD Level 3. Will be handled as a CBP/PGA policy issue.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-022		PGAs who participate in ACE must also participate in any CBP expedited program such as CTPAT or Fast.	8/1/2004	Rejected	M3	<p>This is not an ACE system requirements. Will be handled as an internal CBP/PGA policy matter. Requires CBP HQS input. Replaces ITD-002 and ITD-013. This requirement is rejected by CBP as a non ACE system requirement. This is an internal CBP/PGA Policy issue.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>
ITD-023	1031	FDA CARGO EXAM/ENTRY ARRIVAL/RELEASE: In any replacement for FAST or any new expedited cargo system, CBP cargo shipments governed by the BTA will be handled in an expedited manner--random across-the board exams.	8/1/2004	Rejected	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement is rejected for cargo release. Statutory limitations prohibit CBP from making adjustments per FDA requirements.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1031) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: This is cargo release function and will be handled by the cargo control and release team. Pending CBP/HQ review and approval. Replaces ITD-007 through ITD-009. On 9.15.2004 CBP referred to FDA. This requirement was submitted for ITDS/PSG and ACE Support Team review in December 2004. Per FDA in that the Bioterrorism Act has been in place for some time now and based on the current processing environment in ACS for food and commodities subject to prior notice requirements.....is this trade requirement still applicable. FDA is not a participant in FAST and therefore FDA regulated goods, including foods are not subject to CBPs FAST requirements. This requirement will be further discussed by FDA and CBP.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>
ITDS-023.2		Non-BTA governed FDA FAST shipments: If no cargo exam, FDA information should be submitted with the entry summary.	8/1/2004	Rejected	A2, M1, M2	<p>REJECTED: FDA will not allow submission on their data with the entry summary. Cargo will not be released until the entry summary is released. Referred to FDA. CBP/FDA policy issue.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>
ITD-023.3		FDA Staff will be available to make cargo release decisions at all hours when a motor freight port is open.	8/1/2004	Withdrawn	M3	<p>This not an ACE system requirement. -Withdrawn by ITDS committee. This is a policy determination, not a requirement. Referred to FDA by CBP on 9.15.2004. CBP/FDA policy issue.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-024	1058	Import/Export Release Coordination. ACE needs to ensure CBP will not release a shipment until all appropriate PGAs have also released the shipment. CBP will coordinate such releases with the appropriate PGA.		Accepted	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2009- THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1058) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: ACE shall withhold final release until all PGA admissibility requirements have been satisfied. (REF: RSSTD.092.030). This requirement is accepted by CBP and CBP will work with the PGAs to implement.</p> <p>This requirement requires further review by CBP. This will require release coordination policy decisions between CBP and the specific PGA.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						
ITD-025	1059	EPA - Pesticide arrival notice: Provide for the ability to submit the Notice of Arrival of Pesticides (and other EPA submissions) electronically.	8/1/2004	Accepted	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- Per legal policy review this requirement is accepted for cargo release.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1059) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: This requirement has been accepted by CBP (REF: TD.091.013)</p> <p>2/6/2007: This requirement has been submitted to the CCR team as requirement 34 for the M2 drop</p> <p>1/25/2006: EPA proposes in their draft CONOPS to permit the electronic filing in ACE of pre-arrival pesticide and other notices. The EPA CONOPS is being reviewed by CBP Referred to EPA on 9.15.2004. This requirement was submitted for ITDS/PSB and ACE Support Team review in December 2004. EPA proposes in their draft ConOps to permit the electronic filing in ACE of pre-arrival pesticide and other notices.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-026	1060	EPA - IMPORTER-BROKER COMMUNICATIONS: ACE should provide the trade electronic messages from EPA.	8/1/2004	Accepted	CR	<p>OCTOBER 18, 2011 - Overtaken by events. This functionality is included in the PGA message set and Interoperability web services.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is accepted in part pending further CBP discussion.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1060) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: ACE will provide PGAs with the same messaging capabilities as provided to CBP. (Ref: TC.074)</p> <p>2/6/2007: This is a general requirement for all PGAs which has been accepted for ESAR functionality.</p> <p>On 9.15.2004, referred to EPA. CBP needs to make a determination as to what type of communications access the PGAs will have within the ACE Portal. The will require further CBP/EPA policy discussions.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						
ITD-027	1061	The current ACS process allows an import shipment to clear CBP independent of FDA release. The new ACE system needs to provide a mechanism that will allow a filer to elect to prevent a shipment from receiving a CBP release if it is pending a FDA release decision.	8/1/2004	Accepted	CR	<p>OCTOBER 4, 2011 - This requirement was accepted by CBP</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1061) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: This requirement has been accepted by CBP as part of release coordination as specified in ITD-024.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted for ITDS/PSG and ACE Support Team review in December 2004. FDA has provided its cargo disposition decision as part of its ConOps for consideration by CBP. This will require a CPB/FDA policy determination.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-028	1062	<p>FDA should automate all paper documentation. Where the FDA requires additional information, the FDA should send electronic notification to the impacted party of the information required. The impacted party should be able to send in any additional documentation/information that is required electronically.</p> <p>a. The automation of forms is necessary to expedite the release of merchandise and eliminate the need for mailing paper documents to FDA when additional documentation is requested.</p> <p>b. Elimination of the Paper FDA 2877. Requirements captured in the CATAIR for automation of the FDA "declaration upon importation" were completed in the mid 1990's. Although the FDA OASIS system was programmed to capture the required elements, certain data elements such as the FDA Accession Number, were not included, rendering the submission of the paper FDA 2877 Document to still be required. (NOTE: Since the FDA Accession Number and Machine Type/Part Number elements are essential to the FDA Port Specialists review, various ports have requested importers/brokers to 'redesign' their systems to force fit this information into electronic data fields that are viewable in OASIS.) Once the information is received within the system, whether via the paper 2877 or electronic force feed, the FDA must manually review the data for accuracy. Transmission of data into standard formats to allow for electronic/systematic review of the information is needed to facilitate safe and secure trade. Further, the System must accommodate any new bond forms that may arise from time to time.</p>	9/20/2004	Accepted	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement can be considered accepted as the PGA message set or Interoperability web services functionality will cover the automation of PGA forms.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1062) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>FDA has plans to automate all forms for ACE integration. This requirement will be monitored by the CCR team.</p> <p>1/19/2007: FDA has identified in their CONOPS that the FDA form 2877 will be automated and collected electronically from the trade.</p> <p>2/3/2006: FDA Form 2877, if complete, may be submitted electronically via ABI/ACS. An accession number may be added in the data field for affirmation of compliance. FDA will include this requirement in its CONOPS.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted for ITDS/PSG and ACE Support Team review in December 2004. Per FDA Form 2877 if complete, may be submitted electronically via ABI. An accession number may be added in the data field for affirmation of compliance. FDA will include a requirement in its ConOps a requirement that will address this trade issue. This will require further CBP/FDA policy discussions.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Elizabeth McQueen			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-029		<p>The FDA should improve the Form 766 procedure for non-approved material.</p> <p>Material may be imported for utilization as a prototype or for a trade show. The release of this material may take anywhere from 4 to 10 days. This process needs to be improved/expedited since the material is not being put to normal use. Under ACS this data is being transmitted electronically in conjunction with the import entry. This process should continue under ACE.</p>	9/20/2004	Withdrawn	M3	<p>4/18/2007: This requirement is out of scope for ACE and is withdrawn.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the ITDS/PSG and the ACE Support Team for review in December 2004. This trade requirement needs clarification as to what improvement the trade is requesting. Form 766 is an application for authorization to re-label or to perform their action to bring a product into compliance with the FDA Cosmetic Act. Form 766 is not required for products being used for trade shows/demonstrations. All medical products, cabinet x-ray, of Class IIB and IV lasers may not be used at trade shows. This requirement was referred to FDA for further clarification with the ITDS committee.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						
ITD-030		<p>There should be uniformity among the FDA offices at the various ports.</p> <p>All port FDA offices should function uniformly with respect to processes and decision making.</p>	9/20/2004	Rejected	M2.3	<p>Referred to FDA for direct negotiation with the trade community. This requirement is outside the scope of ACE is not an ACE system requirement and is rejected by ACE as a non-system requirement. This is an FDA/trade issue.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-031	1032	<p>FDA and CBP should coordinate their needs to eliminate the redundant request for information regarding some automotive service parts.</p> <p>Certain imports of automotive service parts (for example, certain electronic transmission devices such as DVD, CD players, radios, etc) are subject to FDA clearance. Prior to importing those parts, the parts manufacturers obtain a certificate of conformity from the FDA. The FDA then verifies the conformity of certification based on the manufacturer's name and accession number with the importer.</p> <p>For CBP purposes, under the current ACS environment, the importer must again identify the manufacturer and accession number from the manufacturer in order to import the products. This process seems redundant.</p> <p>ACE should allow for the elimination of this redundancy. The importer should only be subject to this verification process once.</p>	9/20/2004	Rejected	CR	<p>JANUARY 11, 2012 - This requirement is rejected and will be coordinated with FDA. FDA has already provided the data set CBP needs to capture. Trade should follow up with FDA if they have issues.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release and further discussion with ITDS Board and FDA.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1032) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: The ITDS ACE Support Team is discussing the status of this requirement with FDA.</p> <p>2/3/2006: FDA regulates electronic devices, such as CD players. FDA will discuss this requirement with CBP and determine if ACE/ITDS will remove this ACE redundancy (i.e. the need for both FDA and CBP to examine foreign manufacturer, accession number, etc., before the product may proceed to U.S. commerce.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to ITDS/PSG and the ACE Support Team in December 2004. FDA will discuss this requirement with CBP and determine whether ACE will remove this ACS redundancy, i.e. the need for both FDA and CBP to examine foreign manufacturer, accession number, etc. before a product may proceed into U.S. commerce. This requirement is on hold pending further information from CBP and FDA. Referred to FDA. CBP/FDA policy issue</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Elizabeth McQueen			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-032	1057	<p>EPA, NHTSA and CBP should coordinate their needs to eliminate the redundant request for information regarding OEM (original equipment manufacturer) imports.</p> <p>A.NHTSA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o For NHTSA purposes, OEMs are required to file HS-7 for every customs entries at the VIN (Vehicle Identification Number) level detail. In many cases, all impacted imports are "conforming vehicles" which conform to the NHTSA standards. As each customs entry includes a few thousand conforming vehicles, a corresponding number of VINs data must be submitted to NHTSA.</li> <li>o A few years ago, NHTSA implemented an electronic interface with ACS/ABI. Currently, VINs are transmitted electronically in conjunction with the customs entry.</li> <li>o A similar type of electronic transmission is expected under ACE.</li> </ul> <p>b. EPA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Under ACS, EPA updated its procedure for OEM imports several years ago.</li> <li>o other current procedure allows the importer not to have to submit the EPA form 3520 if the vehicle is conforming to the EPA regulations (i.e., Clean Air Act, etc.).</li> <li>o A similar process is expected under ACE.</li> </ul>	9/20/2004	Accepted	M2	<p>This requirement is covered under ITD-015 which has been accepted by CBP/</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to ITDS/PSG and the ACE Support Team in December 2004. NHTSA and EPA have indicated in their ACE ConOps that both agencies intend to collect the VIN data elements and associated OEM import information through ACE. This information will be mapped to the same data elements in ACE and shared with agencies that require it. The PGAs expect ACE to eliminate all redundant request for OEM.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Elizabeth McQueen		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-033		<p>The Coast Guard (as well as other DHS agencies), should be participants in the ACE project.</p> <p>The Coast Guard must be brought in as an active ACE participant because there is a significant amount of redundant data provided by industry to both USCBP and USCG. For example, Coast Guard is responsible for the collection of the "96 Hour Notice of Arrival (NOA)" information from vessels seeking entry to the US, which includes conveyance, cargo, passenger and crew data, and industry also provides hazardous materials manifests to both agencies.</p> <p>In late 2003, the Coast Guard and CBP agreed to align the data filing requirements of the NOA with those of the Advance Passenger Information System (APIS) so inbound vessels would only be responsible for filing this information once with the government. USCG should review all of its information collection requirements similarly and work to incorporate them into the ACE system.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>	9/20/2004	Rejected	M3	CBP is continuing discussion with DHS agencies for participation in ACE. CBP has rejected this requirement as a non ACE system related requirement. CBP will continue ACE policy discussions with DHS agencies.
ITD-034		<p>DEA reporting of Petroleum products on CBP entries.</p> <p>The DEA requires that all imports &amp; exports of certain listed products produced or received at the refinery be reported on a Quarterly basis. By having access to this information it will streamline the trade's efforts to reduce duplicating information that will already be available from Customs entries.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>	9/20/2004	Rejected	M3	Rejected. Not in ACE's scope.
ITD-035		<p>DEA/DOE Redundancy</p> <p>The DEA requires that all imports &amp; exports of certain listed products, produced or received at the refinery, be reported on a Quarterly basis. The DEA report is an Excel Spreadsheet. Similarly, the DOE requires weekly &amp; monthly reports of all import activity at the refineries. The DOE reports consist of the following: EIA 804, EIA 814, and EIA 856.</p> <p>By having access to this information it will streamline the trade's efforts to reduce duplicating information that will already be available from Customs entries (Form 214-FTZs).</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen</p>	9/20/2004	Rejected	M3	Not in ACE's scope. Rejected.

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-036		<p>The following export control agencies must be active participants in ACE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Department of Commerce, (Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS))</li> <li>•Department of Commerce, (Bureau of the Census)</li> <li>•Department of State, (Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC))</li> <li>•Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Office of International Programs</li> <li>•Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC)</li> <li>•Department of the Treasury, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF)</li> <li>•U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture/Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS)</li> <li>•U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) (Note: Not CBP former APHIS)</li> <li>•U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS)</li> <li>•Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)</li> <li>•Department of Energy, Office of Arms Control &amp; Nonproliferation (NN)</li> <li>•Department of Energy, Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation, Export Control Policy Division</li> <li>•Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration (EIA)</li> <li>•Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration (MARAD)</li> <li>•Department of Defense, Defense Security Assistance Agency (DSAA)</li> <li>•Department of Defense, Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA)</li> <li>•Department of Defense, U.S. Transportation Command</li> <li>•Food &amp; Drug Administration (FDA), Office of Compliance (controls licenses of medical devices)</li> <li>•Food &amp; Drug Administration (FDA), Import/Export (controls the licenses of drugs, precursor chemicals)</li> <li>•Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), International Drug Unit</li> <li>•Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), International Chemical Control Unit</li> <li>•Department of the Interior, Fish &amp; Wildlife Service (FWS)</li> </ul> <p>For export control purposes, the trade community needs to know how they will be able to communicate with the export control PGAs on matters relating to export licenses, sanctions against particular countries, entities, individuals, commodities, and so forth.</p>	9/20/2004	Withdrawn	M3	<p>This requirement is being coordinated by the Export Committee who has submitted it to the Cargo Control and Release team for further action.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the ITDS/PSG and the ACE Support team in December 2004. This requirement, as written, is rejected by CBP as a non ACE system related requirement. Separate PGA functional requirement for export controls will be developed by the Export committee in conjunction with the ITDS committee for future releases.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-037		<p>A standardized communication tool is necessary to communicate trade information to the proper government officials in order to stop the importation of infringed products.</p> <p>Increased recordings of copyright materials and the distribution of this information to the proper participants will prevent the imports of infringed products and reduce the current expenses imposed on the trade in the current administration of this program.</p> <p>Improved targeting of infringed products at targeted ports of entry will result in efficiencies.</p> <p>The elimination of the current manual process of the copyright recordation process.</p>	9/20/2004	Withdrawn	A2.2	<p>This requirement was submitted to the ITDS/PSG and the ACE Support team in December 2004. This requirement was rejected by CBP on January 12, 2006. The requirement was reviewed by ORR and it was determined there was no need to include this requirement in ACE inasmuch as we have now fully automated the recordation application and recordation search processes, both internal and external within the internet and intranet environments.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Elizabeth McQueen			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-038	1033	<p>U.S. FISH &amp; WILDLIFE SERVICE ENTRIES: On July 3, 2000, Customs brokers were no longer allowed to file USFWS entries using the CBP ACS Automated Broker Interface (ABI). According to USFWS, numerous problems were encountered using the broker interface to file and collect wildlife data.</p> <p>As an alternative, USFWS developed an Internet-based filing system for all USFWS imports and exports, This system, named "eDecs", was created to replace the USFWS's portion of CBP's ACS Automated Broker Interface.</p> <p>eDecs requires that brokers and importers/exporters file an electronic version of the FWS form 3-177 (Declaration for Importation or Exportation of Fish or Wildlife), prior to shipment, with the port where the import/export would occur. The declaration is transmitted directly to USFWS outside of the current ACS system. USFWS then sends e-mail notifications to convey status of the submission such as acceptance or rejection. Filers must set up separate Account containing information such as name, email and physical address, telephone number and importer number. This is cumbersome, for filers with many employees and numerous release offices.</p> <p>The functionality of eDecs is limited. When a form is transmitted electronically, a hard copy package must still be submitted. This is redundant and costly.</p> <p>BENEFITS for USFWS AS A PARTICIPATING GOVERNMENT AGENCY under ACE:</p> <p>USFWS will have the ability to submit selectivity criteria to CBP for input into the ACE system, similar to what is currently working with FDA.</p> <p>Utilizing ACE, will allow a flag of tariff data requiring USFWS information to ensure data is consistently provided to USFWS when required.</p> <p>Reduced Operating Costs: The automated workflow and electronic dissemination of data will reduce the paper-based administrative processes currently existing for both government and industry.</p>	9/20/2004	Accepted	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- Per legal policy review this requirement can be considered accepted as the PGA message set will cover this.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1033) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: FWS will be able to receive information from ACE if filed electronically. At this point FWS cannot get rid of all paper documentation. The ACE/ITDS support team is working with FWS on this issue. Further updates will be provided.</p> <p>2/6/2007: For imports, this requirement has been submitted to the CCR development team as requirment 247 for the M2 drop.</p> <p>1/25/2006: FWS proposes in their CONOPS to electronically integrate eDecs filing with ACE including the electronic communication of the admissability decision. The FWS CONOPS is being reviewed by CBP and the ACE Support team.</p> <p>This requirement was submitted to the ITDS/PSG and ACE Support team in December 2004. FWS proposes in their ConOps to electronically integrate "eDecs" filing with ACE including the electronic communication of the admissability decision. Will require CBP approval.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
ITD-039	1063	The Alcohol and Tobacco Tax & Trade Bureau (TTB) should automate the proces of alcohol label approvals. TTB should maintain a database of these approvals which would include an approval number and image of the label.	11/29/2004	Accepted	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per legal policy review this requirement can be considered accepted for cargo release as an online TTB database currently exists.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1063) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/18/2007: This requirement has been accepted by CBP. ACE will send a notice to TTB when the permit or EIN on the shipment does not match the COLA number (Ref: XP.034.011). This is included in the ACE CONOPS which is in the OFO approval process.</p> <p>2/8/2006: TTB began offering an electronic filing solution to the alcohol beverage industry in May 2003. The system, COLAs Online, allows importers and producers of alcohol beverages for file f orand receive COLAs electronically. In addition, public users including State agencies and CBP can access the database via the public COLA registry and view approved labels by entering the unique 14 digit TTD ID number used to ID and track COLA applications. TTB proposes in their ConOps to elecronically integrate COLA approvals in ACE including the electrronic communication of he admissability decision.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						
ITD-040	1104	<p>HARMONIZATION OF MANIFEST DATA ELEMENTS WITH WCO DATA MODEL</p> <p>Shipment data, whether cargo-related or conveyance-related, is standard across all supply chains, whether domestic or international. What varies is the government definition of each data element.</p> <p>As various governments require or contemplate the requirement of data to be supplied by the private sector, governments are challenged to identify and communicate the specific data they desire. Conversely, the multitude of entities participating in the private sector are challenged to understand data definitions as proposed by the multitude of governments.</p> <p>This proposal recommends working toward adoption of the WCO Data Model as a data definition library for the harmonization of manifest elements.</p> <p>Allows both public and private participants to have a common understanding of data elements, reduce confusion as to data element definitions, make rulemaking and implementation more efficient by reducing costs associated with parallel programming and system support.</p>	11/10/2009	Rejected	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>NOVEMBER 10, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1104) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen						

<b>Requirement #</b>	<b>GIF</b>	<b>TSN Requirement Description</b>	<b>Submission date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Release (s)</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>ITD-HL-001</b>		Identify, Approve, and Create Accounts and Establish Pre-Approval Processes. PGA's should develop account management and pre-review/pre-approval methodologies.	9/5/2001	Rejected	2	Rejected. The need for accounts will be determined by each PGA.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-002</b>		Establish Risk Management Systems for Participating Agencies	8/31/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. The risk management program is determined individually by PGAs.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-003</b>		Accounts Based Processes with Other Government Agencies	9/5/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected: Obtaining data on an accounts basis is the responsibility and decision of each PGA.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-004</b>		System Availability and Response Time: on a 24/7 basis pertaining to the disposition of individual transactions.	9/5/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. This is a duplicate of ITD 020.
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-005</b>		ITDS should provide a single window (middleware) between U.S. federal government agencies, other international government agencies and trade. ITDS will accept, maintain and support the "G7 Initiative on Customs Harmonization and Simplification."	9/4/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. This is covered by the ITDS Board's Standard Data set. Does not map to any requirements: will map to the ITDS Board Standard Data Set
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-006</b>		Data Collection Model /Data Harmonization ITDS should adopt the CBP data collection model for Tracks 2,3 and 4 as the framework for specification of other agencies' ITDS data requirements.	9/5/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. Outdated Overtaken by Events (OBE)
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-007</b>		High Level Systems and Support Issues: ITDS must articulate systems (IT) design criteria to meet the needs of the CBP (ACE), all the PGAs, and the Trade Community stakeholders. For more detailed description, see High Level requirement ITD-HL-008.	9/5/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. Outdated - OBE
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>ITD-HL-008</b>		ITDS Systems Operating Requirements and Roll-out ITDS, should be seen as a shared, multi tenant gateway, a Single Point of Integration and migration for the ACE solution. ITDS is an integral part of the ACE network of applications and a core component part of Custom's EA and the platform that operates the common API, supporting integration and the rapid development of interfaces between the trade, the PGAs and ACE.	9/5/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. Outdated. No requirements for mapping noted. OBE
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>ITD-HL-009</b>		Rollout Plan: Develop a comprehensive strategy for roll-out of ITDS to the various participating government agencies (PGA's).	9/5/2001	Rejected	M3	Rejected. Outdated. No requirements for mapping noted. OBE
		<i>Owner:</i> Elizabeth McQueen				
<b>MMM-001</b>		Participants in FAST must transmit all data electronically.,,,	7/9/2001	Withdrawn	M2.3	<p>July 2009: Requirement has been withdrawn</p> <p>Status 4/11/2007: Will refer to MMM to determine action, i.e. withdrawal.</p> <p>Track 4 is no longer a program within CBP. The committee should re-write this requirement to align with post 9/11 programs.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				
<b>MMM-002</b>		Electronic manifest systems must allow for multiple tracks and all other existing types of entry.	7/9/2001	Rejected	M3	<p>July 2009 - This requirement was rejected.</p> <p>June 2009: MMM Committee Reviewing</p> <p>The requirement promote the use of paper documents. New regulations &amp; Trade Act 2002 require electronic documents.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-003A</b>		NCAP/ACS must be able to process a shipment (bill of lading) that arrives on more than one trip or flight at one or more than one U.S. Port of Arrival for air and truck.	7/9/2001	Rejected	4, M1, M2.1, M2.2, M2.3, M3	<p>JULY 7, 2009 - PER BILL DELANSKY - THERE IS NO PROCESS IN FAST/NCAP TO HANDLE SPLIT SHIPMENTS.</p> <p>July 2009 - MST Business Lead is working with the CCR team and Office of Field Operations on the FAST split shipment issue.</p> <p>062909: FRN has been issued defining how to handle split shipments in the various modes of transportation.</p> <p>4/11/07 - TD.031.001 'ACE shall allow for split BOLs at different Ports of Unlading'. TD.031.002 (Arrivals) ACE shall allow for split BOLs on different conveyances at the same Port.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible regulatory changes. Release 4 accommodates for split shipments for Standard Manifest.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-003B</b>		FAST cargo in Air manifests must be able to process consolidated shipments at the House Airway bill level.	7/9/2001	Rejected	M2.1, M2.2, M2.3	<p>JULY 14, 2009 - Chris Kennally responded NO to the questions if OFO has any plans to expand FAST into the Air mode of transportation. Kim Santos also requested that this requirement be rejected.</p> <p>July 2009: MST Business lead working with CCR and OFO on status</p> <p>4/11/07 - Included in Ascendent node level</p> <p>Requirement requests for Air Manifest.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-004		If CBP finds that the equivalent of a trip # is a needed data element for other modes of transportation, it will need to accept the current AMS participants' method of identifying flight, voyage or train.	7/9/2001	Accepted	4, M1, M2.1, M3	<p>October 2014: Functionality delivered.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>062909: Per June 24, 2009 MMM working group meeting, this is a significant issue. CBP and the trade want and need unique trip number. CBP is considering CBSA's current Conveyance Reference Number (CRM) format, reported in N9 segment.</p> <p>4/11/2007 - 2.4 Add Ocean Bols - complete manifest, 4.1 Add consist</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. R4 WILL HAVE A "TRIP NUMBER" for each truck crossing.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-005		Since FAST data is a shipper/CBP/importer arrangement, carriers should not be held responsible for the data accuracy nor be assessed fines or penalties on the additional FAST elements.	7/10/2001	Withdrawn	M3	Not an ACE system requirement
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-006	997	SEND WARNING TO CARRIER IF ALL PARTIES REPORTED IN FAST ARE NOT C-TPAT: The carrier needs to know if the information supplied by the shipper is acceptable for FAST release.	7/10/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Done for FAST/NCAP. Not done for FAST PAPS</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input. There is a legal issue pertaining to maintaining the confidentiality of C-TPAT participants.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-997) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Scheduled for implementation</p> <p>FAST shipment processing available for Truck carriers.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-007</b>		The option of an indicator must be available for bill transmissions from all modes of transportation showing it is a FAST shipment.	7/9/2001	Withdrawn	M1, M2.1, M2.2, M2.3, M3	<p>June 2009: No longer an issue. This requirement be withdrawn as CBP has no plans to expand FAST to other modes.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Need information on Track 4, maybe maps to TD.002.106 'ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to submit a preliminary 'Preferred BOL using the ACE Secure data portal'.</p> <p>TD.002.107 (Truck BOL) "ACE shall accept Preliminary "Preferred BOLs from an authorized trade participant via EDI</p> <p>Release 4 edits will qualify carrier &amp; importer for FAST shipments. Done in preferred, not approved for all modes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-008</b>		CBP needs to review and consider a re-design of present FAST requirements in order to simplify the process for all parties (shipper/importer, carriers, and CBP themselves). (Single reference number to be used by all parties in the transaction.)	7/9/2001	Withdrawn	M3	<p>June 2009 - This requirement is withdrawn as it has been overcome by events and is no longer valid.</p> <p>Requirements for FAST participation have been modified since this requirements was written.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-009</b>		CBP needs to allow alternative avenues to receive release/entry data.	7/9/2001	Withdrawn	4, M1, M2.1, M2.2, M2.3, M3	<p>June 2009 - This requirement is withdrawn as it is overcome by events.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Need information on Track 4, maybe maps to TD.002.106 'ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to submit a preliminary 'Preferred BOL using the ACE Secure data portal'.</p> <p>TD.002.107 (Truck BOL) "ACE shall accept Preliminary "Preferred BOLs from an authorized trade participant via EDI</p> <p>Truck manifest release 4 will accommodate FAST data elements. Carrier can supply In-Bond, Fast, Brass, Section 321 data. Importer/Broker can not supply Manifest Data.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-010</b>		CBP is to use existing shipment identifiers for the FAST issuer/transaction number (SID). Those identifiers are: air – master air waybill number and house waybill number, sea – ocean bill of lading, rail – unique CBP bill of lading number, truck – PRO number/unique carrier reference number.	7/9/2001	Accepted	4, M1, M2.1, M2.2, M2.3, M3	<p>October 2014: Pending more information from the trade</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>June 29, 2009 - Recommendation for further review to ensure the requirement as stated is still valid or if it needs to be changed. A working group has been formed to review this issue.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Need information on track 4 - maybe maps to TD.37 "ACE shall process GOL information in accordance with the CAMIR Customs Automated Manifest Interface Requirements</p> <p>Release 4 uses Shipment Control Number (PRO number). Ocean and rail will use their Bills of Lading which will increase up to 50 characters.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-011</b>		Need a face to face meeting with approved and/or potential FAST importers.	7/25/2001	Withdrawn	M3	<p>June 2009 - This requirement is withdrawn as it has been overtaken by events.</p> <p>Not an ACE systems requirement.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-012</b>		CBP must accept FAST manifest information through AMS and ITDS pilot.	7/9/2001	Withdrawn	M3	<p>June 2009 - FAST is currently being integrated into ACE. Recommendation to withdraw as the requirement has been overcome by events.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. Please note the ITDS pilot has been terminated.</p> <p>Track 4 (FAST) will be supported in ACE Release 4</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-013</b>		If a carrier makes necessary changes to its AMS programming to accommodate NCAP, then CBP must be able to accommodate NCAP shipments at every port where the carrier files an automated manifest.	7/9/2001	Rejected	M3	<p>Subject to CBP policy determination.</p> <p>FAST/Preferred will be phased in via ACE ports.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-054</b>	<b>501</b>	BILL CONTROL NUMBER IS CURRENTLY PROHIBITED; FIELDS SHOULD BE BLOCKED UNTIL POLICY CHANGES. Use of Bill Control Number is prohibited (CBP/OFO Admin). BCN and BCN Issuer code fields are on the screen for users to fill out. They should be either hidden or set off to the side because if a carrier uses these fields and the broker does not the entry will not match up with the manifest.	1/17/2007	Rejected	M2.2	FEB 2, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS REJECTED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) AS THE FUNCTIONALITY IS NOT PROHIBITED AND THERE IS NO REASON TO BLOCK.
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				
<b>MMM-FR-01</b>		Code Share/Slot Charter is when multiple move shipments on a single conveyance with multiple participants sending manifest data to CBP. All code share partners on each conveyance will be declared to CBP. A. Participants will use their own unique trip number. B. The conveyance departure message would be sent by the carrier/owner/operator. C. The Trip Data, GEN DEC, would be sent by the carrier/owner/operator only.	5/17/2002	Rejected	Inc 12	October 2014: Pending - Requires more information from the trade.  DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1  June 2009 - This requirement has been referred to the committee for further review by the air carriers. The Office of Regulations and Ruling requested a rewrite and the committee will pursue.  4/11/07 Below status still accurate  Subject to CBP policy. Release 4 does not accommodate. Subject to consideration for future releases. Bill Control Number is accommodated for in Release 4.  Comments from review with T.F. 6/7/04:  * All code share partners on each conveyance will be declared to CBP - Yes * Participants will use their own unique trip number – Yes, as per CBP letter from OFO dated Aug 6, 2004. * The conveyance departure message would be sent by the Carrier/Owner/ Operator only - Yes * All participants would need to have the capability to send and receive electronic data to CBP - Yes  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR-02		ACE should support split shipments AND be able to use the Bill of Lading number as the CBPF-7512 control number.	5/17/2002	Accepted	Inc 2	<p>October 2014: Split Shipment capability built in Inc 2 (DEP A)</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - M1 is not using the Bill of Lading number as the in-bond number. This is in scope for development in Air Manifest.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>July 2009 - Recommend to close portion that has been met by events and rewrite the portion for truck functionality to allow the use of the bill of lading number as the in bond number.</p> <p>062909: This capability was provided in R4, but does not work because the in bond number field is not large enough.</p> <p>4/11/07 - CBP requirement states that "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant ot designate a BOL as "split" between multiple trips using the ACE Portal</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy. CBP requires Shipment quantity and if shipment is split a boarded quantity will also be required. CBP approved this requirement. Only issue is the 10 day clock from the arrival of the first portion until the last portion must arrive.</p> <p>Review with T.F. 6/7/04: * Split Shipment supported for trucks in R4 in accordance with CFR 141 &amp; 142 - Accepted in Part * For Sea/Rail &amp; Air Split Shipment - Preliminary CBP Approval.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
MMM-FR-03		The Multi Modal Manifest System needs to support the various release mechanisms offered by U.S. CBP.	5/10/2002	Accepted	<p>October 2014: Rjected as written. Requires furture CBP/Committee review.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - M1 does not allow ocean and rail carriers to request Sce 321(low value release). Closer integration to release is part of the scope for cargo release processing.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>July 2009 - This requirement is too generic and needs more detail for each mode. The MMM committee will review and present revisions.</p> <p>062909: There is a CR for R4 to accommodate release messages that wer NOT delivered for truck manifest, such as carnets, government entries, etc.</p> <p>4/11/07 - "ACE shall provide interfaces to systems that utilize EDI standards"</p> <p>Comments from Review with T.F. 6/7/04:</p> <p>* Accepted in Part - Accepted for truck Release 4</p> <p>* Preliminary CBP approval - CBP intends to support the various release mechanism for all modes thru ACE</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky	

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR-04		Provide for transfer on bond liability and closure of manifest after transfer of bond liability. Harmonize automated processing on in bond across all modes.	5/21/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>July 2009 - Portions of this requirement are currently in programming for M1. This requirement is currently in committee review to better define the transfer of bond liability items.</p> <p>4/11/07 - ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to add In-Bond information for shipments that arrive at the following; 1) US Intermediate Ports; 2) US Destination Ports. The following functionality has been accepted in the CBP requirements document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to arrive an in-bond at the BOL level".</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to arrive an in-bond at the in-bond level".</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to arrive and in-bond at the equipment level".</li> <li>- "ACE shall validate a transfer of liability request from an authorized user using all of the following: 1) Bonded carrier ID (IRS number) for carrier submitting original BOL data; 2) Carrier authorized to use bonded carrier ID."</li> <li>- "(In Bond) "ACE shall keep the same in-bond number through a movement if bonded carriers change due to a transfer of liability.</li> <li>- "(Reference Data) "ACE shall provide access to reference information on in-bond number banks"</li> <li>- "(Notifications) "ACE shall send an error message to the original submitting carrier in an unauthorized transfer of liability request was received by CBP"</li> </ul> <p>Comments from review with T.F. 6/7/04:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Accepted in part - Release 4 are tied to current ACS/AMS in-bond system.</li> <li>* Preliminary CBP approval - For other modes.</li> <li>* continue to use the Vbond numbers as an option-utilize the carriers shipment control number throughout the life cycle of the shipment - Yes</li> <li>* Clear cut bond liabilities and transfer of bond liability - Yes</li> <li>* Manifest closure after transfer of bond liability - Rejected.</li> </ul> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR-05		Carrier Web Portal Requirements including view/list/search capabilities needed, maintenance functions required, query and download capabilities, status notifications and searches.	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/2007 - In scope for A1. Ability to search, view and report on data as well as maintenance of data and specific download capabilities are provided for in ACE, e.g. M1 requirements TC.053.016, .017 (Notifications), XT.073.022; 023 (System Interfaces)</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.The carrier account shall include ALL the codes by which the carrier is known, SCAC, IATA, DUNS, IRS, ICAO, etc.... - Accepted</li> <li>2. Carrier account will include Bond Information - Prelim CBP Approval</li> <li>3. Carrier account shall include all programs in which the carrier participate i.e. FAST &amp; C-TPAT, BRASS - Prelim CBP approval for C-TPAT Rejected for others.</li> <li>4.The Carrier I.D. who can obligate Bonds - Prelim CBP Approval</li> <li>5. Carrier should inquire the history who obligated their Bond - Prelim CBP Approval.</li> <li>6.Carrier to view history of all transactions - Accepted in part for R4 Truck Manifest. Prelim CBP Approval for all other modes. Shipment Status not provided.</li> <li>7.Carrier to receive Administration Messages - Prelim CBP Approval.</li> <li>8.Carrier access to All Reference Data - Accepted in part 19CFR, HTS &amp; Cross. Reject the "ALL Reference Data".</li> <li>9.Carrier require access to "Sanction List" &amp; "Denied Party List" - Pending CBP L/P input.</li> <li>10.Carrier access to penalties/Liq Damages - Prelim CBP Approval.</li> <li>11.Carrier require ability to electronically send petitions - Prelim CBP Approval.</li> <li>12.Carrier to receive electronically all notices of Penalties, Decisions on petitions/mitigations - Prelim CBP Approval.</li> <li>13.Carrier to receive Seizure/Detention notices electronically - Prelim CBP Approval.</li> <li>14.Carrier to download account data - Pending HQ Inputl.</li> </ol>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
						<p>15.Carrier will have access to compliance rate &amp; "Report Card" - Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>16.Move to Financial Committee</p> <p>17.Truck carrier need ACE to track \$5.00 payments - Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>18.Carrier to pre-Register crew members - Accept for R4 Truck Manifest &amp; Prelim CBP Approval for all other modes.</p> <p>19.Carrier to pre-Register conveyances - Accept for R4, Truck Manifest &amp; Prelim CBP Approval for all other modes.</p> <p>20.Carrier account to include certificates/licenses - Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>21.Carrier to act as Account Administrator - Accepted in part for R4 Truck Crossover - CCR and ESAR Accounts</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>MMM-FR05.01</b>		The carrier account shall include ALL the codes by which the carrier is known, SCAC, IATA, DUNS, IRS, ICAO, etc	5/23/2002	Accepted	4, A1	<p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review - status accepted</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Accepted</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		
<b>MMM-FR05.02</b>		Carrier account will include Bond Information	5/23/2002	Accepted	A1	<p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review - Must also have the IR # in the Portal Account</p> <p>4/11/07 In scope for A1</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.03	998	Carrier account shall include all programs in which the carrier participate i.e. FAST & C-TPAT, BRASS	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-998) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR review - Delivered in A1 - CBP must add these programs.</p> <p>4/11/07 In scope for A1 for FAST</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP approval for C-TPAT Rejected for others.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMMFR-05.04	999	CARRIER BOND AUTHORIZATION: The Carrier Account shall allow the carrier to indicate which parties are permitted to obligate the carriers' bond. The Account will also include the bond type since a carrier may have more than one bond type, i.e., International Carrier, Custodial, CFS, etc. Any party obligating a carrier's bond (on any transaction) shall be validated against the carrier account for existing permission. The validation shall also include the type of bond the party is permitted to use.	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-999) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 Owner changed to Kim Santos</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval</p> <p>Cross over with other business processes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.05	1000	The Carrier requires the capability to view a history of all instances where a third party obligates his bond.	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011- DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE</p> <p>JANUARY 9, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1: SEA &amp; RAIL MANIFEST</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1000) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/2007 - Owner changed to Kim Santos</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>Cross over with other business processes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.06	1001	THE CARRIER REQUIRES THE CAPABILITY TO VIEW A HISTORY OF ALL TRANSACTIONS: This history shall contain the current status of the transaction. The carrier requires the capability to sort the data using a variety of keys including location of activity, but the primary key to the data shall be the bill of lading number/air waybill number. The history of transactions must also be available at a higher level (or roll up of the transactions) that can be accessed at the 'trip' level by indicating the conveyance, voyage number, flight number, or any other number used to identify the 'trip'. When rolled up to the trip level, the carrier requires the capability to sort the data by 'status' of the trip (for example: all bills of lading or air waybills that are in 'open' status.) This history shall also include other relevant data as applicable, such as entry number, entry type, in-transit number, in-transit status, general order number, general order status, etc. All historical data shall be available for as long as the data may be audited by a participating government agency.	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR CARGO RELEASE - But this data will be available in reports.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort - This also refers to ACE Portal capability as the history will be available via the ACE Portal. Will require coordination with the ESAR team. If carriers want this capability it must be built in the cargo release deployment.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1001) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>ly 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 -"ACE shall record history information for all trip transactions"  "ACE shall record history information for all BOL transactions. Report capability provides for reporting any data.</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Accepted in part for R4 Truck  Manifest. Prelim CBP Approval for all other modes.  Shipment Status not provided.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.07		Carrier to receive Administration Messages	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1, M2.1, M2.2,, M3	<p>SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 - CARGO SERVICES MESSAGING SERVICE (CSMS) REPLACED ADMIN MSGS - THIS FUNCTIONALITY IS CONSIDERED DELIVERED.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Admin message requirements were deleted from the M1 baseline by CR-1878.</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>Admin messages are via ABI. Cross over issue with other business processes. An enterprise level communications tool is being investigated.</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared addressing the need for the trade community to have access to Administrative Messages. Submitted to CBP on 2/16/2006. Referred.</p> <p>Approved for M1, M2</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-FR05.08		The Carrier requires access to ALL Reference Data and the Reference Data shall include all statutes, regulations, rulings and directives pertaining to Carriers. The Reference Data shall not be restricted to that pertaining to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, but should include statutes, regulations, rulings, and directives pertaining to Carriers that are issued by PGAs.	5/23/2002	Rejected	M1, M2.1, M2.2, M3	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 2009 - PER JIM BYRAM - CBP DELIVERED COUNTRY, MANUFACTURE ID AND PORT CODES. CBP REJECTS ALL OTHERS AS THERE ARE COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE AND GOVERNMENT AVAILABLE SOURCES.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 - "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to query reference tables. Authorized user could be defined in use case as a trade user.</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Accepted in part 19CFR, HTS &amp; Cross. Reject the "ALL Reference Data".</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.09	1002	Carrier require access to "Sanction List" & "Denied Party List"	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Access to these lists is already available via OFAC site.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - This will be in scope for export manifests</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 1, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1002) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Pending CBP L/P input.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-FR05.10	1040	CARRIER ACCESS TO PENALTIES/LIQUIDATED DAMAGES The carrier requires the capability to access all penalties/liquidated damages issued to the specific carrier. The information shall include a description of the penalty/liquidated damage, date issued, status, and disposition if closed including the amount paid if applicable.	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS PENDING CBP LEGAL POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Not in current scope. Will require CBP internal review.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1040) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Owner changed to Valarie Neuhart</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>No FP&amp;F data.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.11	1003	CARRIER REQUIRES ABILITY TO ELECTRONICALLY SEND PETITIONS	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	Post Core	<p>October 2014: Not in current scope. Will require CBP internal review.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Penalty and seizure information is not is scope at this time.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1003) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>8/9/07 Will require a GIF for further CBP review.</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.12	1004	Carrier to receive electronically all notices of Penalties, Decisions on petitions/mitigations. The carrier requires capability to receive all notices issued relative to penalty, liquidated damages, including decisions on petitions/migrations, electronically . Through the carrier account the carrier may designate a specific point of contact to which these notices shall be addressed.	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Not in current scope. Will require CBP internal review.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Penalty and seizure information is not in scope at this time.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1004) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Will require a GIF for further CBP review.</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.13	1005	The Carrier requires that all notices of seizure/detention be transmitted to the carrier electronically. Through the Carrier Account, the carrier may designate a specific point of contact to which these notices shall be addressed.	5/23/2002	Accepted	CR	<p>OCTOBER 4, 2011 - This requirement has been accepted by CBP. Carriers will be notified.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release. The system shall only send seizure and detention notifications to parties who have a need to know.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Penalty and seizure information is not in scope at this time.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1005) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 - "ACE shall send a status notification when a conveyance has been held by CBP.</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.14	1006	The Carrier requires the capability to order overtime electronically from all Participating Government Agencies (PGAs.) The carriers' request shall include the date, time, and location for the overtime and the government agency requested. The Carrier requires confirmation from the specified government agency, which includes the date, time and location of the overtime to be performed.	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	Post Core	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Not in current scope. Will require CBP internal review.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Conveyance management is not in scope at this time.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1006) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>062909: Requirement Pending</p> <p>4/11/07 - Will be referred to MMM committee for further review.</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Further Committee evaluation required.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.15	1007	The Carrier requires the capability to download all data related to their Account including history of manifest transactions, penalty/liquidated damages, bond obligations, compliance data, statistical data, etc.	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1007) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Will be covered in Authorized Data Extract.</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Pending HQ Input</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared covering the trade's needs for bulk data downloads (submitted to CBP 1/9/2006). CR 1687</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.16	1008	The Carrier shall have access to their compliance rates and any 'report card' type information recorded about the carrier. This may include transmission rejects and the reasons for those rejects. This will allow the carriers to monitor their compliance in this area.	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT AND WILL REQUIRE A REPORT FIX.</p> <p>October 2014: Will require CBP internal review.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Not currently in scope but should be evaluated as part of a future release.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1008) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>4/11/07 -The below functionality is included in the CBP requirements set:  - "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized to produce compliance reports"  - "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to produce carrier activity reports."</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.17	1009	The carrier requires that all monies owed for penalty/liquidated damages cases may be presented on a periodic statement. The carriers could make then make payment for all or part of this statement with one check or utilize an electronic payment capability such as ACH. This requirement will be optional based on the carrier's indication to elect this form of payment.	5/23/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Not in current scope. Will require CBP internal review.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1009) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: This will require a GIF if FP&amp;F will be in A3</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04:</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-FR05.18		Truck carrier need ACE to track \$5.00 payments	5/23/2002	Withdrawn	A2.4, M2.3	<p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: This requirement is related to FAST and is covered in existing A2 requirements.</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval Crossover with Finance</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-FR05.19		Carrier to pre-Register crew members	5/23/2002	Accepted	R4	<p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR Review - Delivered in R4</p> <p>4/11/07 - In scope for implementation</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Accept for R4 Truck Manifest &amp; Prelim CBP Approval for all other modes.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky</p>
MMM-FR05.20	1010	The Carrier Account may include a listing of all pre-registered conveyances with their pertinent information such as VIN, License Tag number, Lloyds Code, Tail Number, etc. so that full conveyance information would not be required with each manifest (trip) transaction.	5/23/2002	Accepted	R4, M1	<p>October 2014: DELIVERED</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1010) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR Review - Delivered in R4</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky</p>
MMM-FR05.21	1039	CERTIFICATES/LICENSES ISSUED BY PGAs TO BE NATIONAL OR PORT SPECIFIC: The carrier account may include any existing certificates and or licenses issued to that carrier by any PGA. The carrier account shall include an indication that a particular certificate and/or license is national in scope or if port specific the port at which the certificate and/or license is valid.	5/23/2002	Rejected	TBD	<p>October 2014: Rejected as written. Requires future CBP/Committee review.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1039) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>This was delivered in A1 but not fully utilized by the PGA. Current functionality includes blanket licenses and does not support to the level of port versus national specificity.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR Review - Delivered in A1</p> <p>4/11/07 - In scope for implementation</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Prelim CBP Approval.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-FR05.22</b>	<b>1011</b>	CARRIER TAO TO BE ABLE TO CONROL ACCESS TO SENSITIVE INFORMATION: The carrier requires the capability to act as "Account Administrator" to it's account. The account owner must be able to designate specific functions to specific users of their account and to add and delete functions as the account owner deems necessary. All account access information should be available to the account owner including the tools necessary to administer access sto the account data. For example: account owner may want to designate only one individual to electronically order overtime.	5/23/2002	Accepted	M1	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1011) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - The functional requirements listed below are covered in individual requirements MMM-FR05.1 thru MMM-FR05.22. The MST Business Lead will work with the ESAR Team and identify items that have been accepted and those that still need work and get back to the MMM committee with results.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR Review - ESAR needs more specificity</p> <p>4/11/07 - In scope for implementation</p> <p>Created during review with T.F. on 6/7/04: Accepted in part for R4 Truck Manifest, Prelim CBP Approval for other modes &amp; Reject ability to designate individual for ordering overtime.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-GIF-001</b>	<b>658</b>	Resend Status Notices when Additional Secondary Notify Party (SNP) is added to a Bill - CBP will trigger a resend of prior status notifications to the newly nominated Secondary Notify Party (SNP) at the time they are added to a bill.	2/27/2008	Accepted	M1	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011-DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1: SEA &amp; RAIL MANIFEST.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - This issue has been identified and we are working to include it as part of M1</p> <p>2/27/2008 - MMM committee submitted GIF to CBP for GIF Board review. Jim Swanson is the CBP/OFO owner. Kim Santos is the CBP/CCR owner.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-001	1012	THIRD PARTY SUBMISSION OF MANIFEST DATA A. Allow for third party to submit cargo data related to the carrier's manifest. B. Extend bond to them. C. Do not require cargo data for House Bills to be supplied by carriers. D. Allow carrier/cargo to proceed to first point of operational intervention under carrier bond if third party data requirement is not met.	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011-DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1: SEA &amp; RAIL MANIFEST</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1012) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Federal Maritime Commission has an issue with closing this requirement.</p> <p>4/11/07 - "ACE shall process house BOL information submitted by a Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier (NVOCC)</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible regulatory changes. A. Release 4 would allow the carrier to extend user privileges through Web Portal. B. Carrier bond can not be extended to a third party for purpose of filing a manifest. C. Consolidators to file their own manifest in Release 5 D. Subject to CBP policy</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. 6/7/04:</p> <p>A. To submit cargo data related to the carrier's manifest by carrier's unique identification number. - Yes B. Extend accountability to the data provider through a bond process - Yes C. Allow the carrier to proceed with the cargo to the first point of operational intervention under the carrier bond, if third parties data requirements were not met - Rejected D. Customs regulations should not require data for house bills to be supplied by the carrier - Yes E. These entities (NVOCC, Air Consolidators) must be CBP bonded as all other carriers to ensure compliance - Yes</p> <p>Third party filings have been approved.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-002	1013	Standardization of cargo and conveyance information for all modes of transportation for import and export. A. Single enterprise architecture for all modes. B. Common manifest (common reporting of cargo & conveyance detail). C. Common set of operating procedures for CBP. D. Common user interface.	8/27/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Delivered</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1013) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - MMM committee recommends that this requirement remain open for continued review until ACE is delivered.</p> <p>4/11/07 -The below issues are included in the CBP requirements set:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "ACE shall transmit response messages for EDI transactions to authorized users utilizing the ANSI-X-12 standard"</li> <li>- "ACE shall transmit response messages for EDI transactions to authorized users utilizing the CAMIR standard"</li> <li>- "ACE shall produce EDI responses in the same format as the original EDI input."</li> <li>- "ACE shall transmit outgoing Notification messages to authorized users utilizing the ANSI-X-12 standard."</li> <li>- "ACE shall transmit outgoing Notification messages to authorized users utilizing the CAMIR standard."</li> <li>- "ACE shall produce outgoing Notifications in the format specified by the recipient's EDI profile."</li> <li>- "ACE shall support broker downloads for all modes of transportation."</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability to receive house BOLs from all modes of transportation."</li> </ul> <p>Initial functionality begins with truck manifest in Release 4 and will continue in M1, M2, M3 &amp; M4 for the rest of the modes and completed with exports and the single multi-modal manifest.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-003	1014	<p>AUTOMATE THE TRANSFER OF CUSTODIAL AND MANIFEST LIABILITY:</p> <p>Proposal: That the bond of the border crossing or importing carrier be the one obligated, but that the bond and associated manifest liability be transferred to the next bonded carrier in the route of movement at time of cargo interchange. This will result in the bond obligation becoming officially resident with the carrier who has care and control of the shipment. This transfer of liability would take place with CBP using the ACE (or AMS) system by all participating carriers. The In-Bond carrier would be identified by their I.R.S. number and the CBP unique identifier (which may be equivalent to the SCAC).</p>	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Delivered</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1014) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Need to determine if a GIF should be submitted for CBP CCB review.</p> <p>4/11/07 - This requirement has been included in the CBP requirements set - "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to submit a transfer of liability."</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-004		<p>Carriers should be responsible and held accountable for transportation data only. Unmatched piece counts should not be the responsibility of the carrier to resolve nor should it hold up delivery of cargo.</p>	9/4/2001	Rejected	M3	<p>July 2009 - Rejected status</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. Not an ACE systems requirement.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-005		<p>CBP regulations currently require a separate identifying control number for each part of a split shipment. The air waybill number is used for the first part of the split and individual CBP 7512 control numbers are assigned to each subsequent part of the split shipment. Retain option of using the V number.</p>	8/31/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Requires additional CBP/Committee review.</p> <p>July 2009 - This requirement needs further clarification from the MMM committee.</p> <p>4/11/07 - This requirement has been included in the CBP requirements set - "ACE shall allow the same In-Bond number to be used on all parts of an air split shipment."</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible regulatory changes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-006</b>		Expand the Customs Automated Forms Entry Systems (CAFES) pilot program to all modes of transportation and to all ports with high levels of in-bond traffic. A. Every mode should be able to use all capabilities. B. Small entities should be able to access the electronic system.	9/4/2001	Withdrawn	M1, M2.1, M2.2, M3	<p>July 2009 - 7512 CAFES are being phased out. This requirement is withdrawn.</p> <p>4/11/07 - (Truck Arrival) "ACE shall accept in-bond data transmitted by barcode reader in CAFES format."</p> <p>ACE will support CAFES in Truck Manifest Release 4.</p> <p>Comments from review with T.F. on 6/7/04:</p> <p>A. Electronic In-Bond System (one system) - Prelim CBP Approval</p> <p>B. Expand CBP CAFES - Further Evaluation Required.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
<b>MMM-HL-007</b>		CBP authored Transportation Dictionary.	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Delivered</p> <p>July 2009 - Currently R4 (truck manifest) and A2 (entry summary) reports data dictionary is published on cbp.gov. for use with the new ad hoc reporting tool. The MMM committee will review the original list of trade terms and forward to CESAC for review and enhancement.</p> <p>4/11/ 07 - Out of scope for ACE</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy. This does not represent ACE functionality. Should this be rejected?</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-008</b>		Pre-departure/preliminary manifest submission should be made available for all transportation modes. A. All modes should be able to submit individual bills ahead. B. Accept either multiple manifest or single bills to be compiled into one manifest.	8/31/2001	Withdrawn	4, M1, M2.1, M2.2, M3	<p>4/11/07 - This below parts of this requirement are included in the CBP requirements set:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to save a manifest without actually submitting the declaration to CBO for acceptance using the aCE Portal."</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to save a trip without actually submitting the declaration to CBP for acceptance using the ACE Portal."</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to associate a preliminary BOL to a manifest using the ACE Portal."</li> <li>- (Truck Manifest) "ACE shall accept the association between a preliminary BOL to a manifest submitted by an authorized trade participant via EDI."</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to save a BOL with out actually submitting it to CBP for acceptance using the ACE Portal."</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to submit a preliminary 'Preferred BOL using the ACE Secruce Data Portal."</li> <li>- (Truck BOL) "ACE shall accept preliminary preferred BOLs from an authorized trade participant via EDI."</li> <li>- "ACE shall process BOL information in accordance with the CAMIR."</li> <li>- "ACE shall reject a complete initial air BOL if submitted prior to the first day of the month preceding the scheduled date of arrival at the first U..S. Port."</li> </ul> <p>Accommodated by Truck Manifest Release 4. Will be incorporated in M1, M2, M2 and M4 for the other modes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		
<b>MMM-HL-009</b>		A paperless and border-wide BRASS system is required.	8/31/2001	Withdrawn	M2.1, M2.2, M2.3	<p>July 2009 - The remaining parts of this requirement are withdrawn as BRASS is not required for all modes</p> <p>4/11/07 - Part of this requirement is included in the CBP requirements set: (Truck Arrival) "ACE shall accept C4 codes transmitted by barcode readers for the BRASS BOL."</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. No policy update from CBP as of 10/18/05.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-010	1016	All federal government agencies that require manifest information should be connected to U.S. CBP' automated system.	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1016) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - This requirement is covered by ITDS via ACE in M1. Requirement will be left open for confirmation of delivery post M1.</p> <p>4/11/07 - CBP is working with appropriate PGAs.</p> <p>Initial PGA participation will begin in Release 4 and will expand throughout ACE as PGAs continue to interface with ACE. The CCR team will work with the CBP/ITDS team to ensure PGA requirements are incorporated where necessary.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-011	1017	To allow for remote filing for all entry methods.	8/31/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	Inc 13	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF REQUIRES LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Pending CBP internal review.</p> <p>JANUARY 11, 2011 - Dependent on whether policy office will determine to continue RLF in the future.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is pending CBP L/P input for cargo release. RLF will be available for all entry types. There are two policy and legal implications: 1) The inclusion of FAST PAPS is a policy decision and 2) Filing an entry in lieu of an in-bond requires legal review. This requirement will require additional discussion.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1017) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - MMM committee recommends that this requirement by be moved to the Release committee for further action.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Pending CBP Legal Policy Input</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible regulatory changes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-012	1018	When CBP is informed by ocean carriers the port of 8/31/2001 discharge has been changed, CBP system can replace the original port of discharge with the new port of discharge automatically without requiring ocean carrier re-transmit amendment on B/L by B/L basis		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Not currently in scope for M1 but should be evaluated as part of a future release. The biggest work on this involves release/entry.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE NOT IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1018) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - MMM committee recommends this requirement be kept open for further risk review (diversion, broker not permitted to file at new POD, but clearances remain in effect, the cargo is released in error.) Open for review by OFO and ORR.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Diversion requirements included in M2.2 Cargo Release . To be finalized.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-013		Multiple participants sending and receiving manifest 8/31/2001 messages for a single conveyance. A. The owner/ operator or their agent would be responsible for submitting the general declaration and hardcopy manifest presentation, if required. B. All participants will send their part of the manifest electronically for the conveyance under their own bond. C. The ACE system would need to return the status messages to the return address of the sender.		Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>July 2009 - The MMM committee will re-write for AIR messages.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Use cases 2.4 Add Ocean BOLs - Complete Manifest, 4.1 Add Consist.</p> <p>Under the trade act, this is made into law.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-014	1019	Revise the current in-bond process to eliminate redundancies and utilize automation.	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1019) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - This requirement strives to eliminate the use of a 9 digit CBP control number and use the carrier's unique shipment control number in place of the in bond number - Leave this requirement open for in bond subcommittee review.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Parts of this requirement are included in the CBP requirements set:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to add in-bond information for shipments that arrive at the following: 1) US Intermediate Ports; 2) US Destination Ports.</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to arrive an in-bond at the BOL level.</li> <li>- "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized user to arrive an in-bond at the in-bond level."</li> <li>- "ACE shall validate a transfer of liability request from an authorized user using all of the following: 1) Bonded Carrier ID (IRS Number) for carrier submitting original BOL data; 2) Carrier authorized to use Bonded Carrier ID.</li> <li>- (In-Bond) "ACE shall keep the same in-bond number through a movement if bonded carriers change due to a transfer of liability."</li> <li>- (Reference Data) "ACE shall provide access to reference information on in-bond number banks."</li> <li>- (Notifications) "ACE shall send an error message to the original submitting carrier if an unauthorized transfer of liability request was received by CBP."</li> </ul> <p>Truck Manifest accommodate a portion of In-Bond, the rest will be completed in Release M1 and M2.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-015</b>	<b>TBD</b>	UNIQUE BILL OF LADING NUMBER The unique bill of lading number used by airlines shall be composed of the following elements: carrier's code, origin airport code and air waybill number. A. The bill shall also be identified in the system by the destination code, flight number and flight date.	8/31/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF REQUIRES LEGAL POLICY REVIEW  October 2014: Require CBP/Committee review.  July 2009 - This requirement is currently being reviewed by the MMM committee.  Subject to CBP policy determination.  Comment from review with T.F. on 6/7/04:  Airlines asked CBP and were given a period of 1 year for Airway bill to be unique.  <i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky
<b>MMM-HL-016</b>		Re-prioritize manifest and in-bond in the step chart to an earlier position.	8/31/2001	Withdrawn	M3	Completed. Truck manifest is covered in Release 4. Manifests' for all other modes is covered in R5 & R6.  This is not a requirement recommendation for ACE functionality.  <i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky
<b>MMM-HL-017</b>		Incorporate planned improvements to ACS AMS system into the new system	8/31/2001	Withdrawn	M1, M2.1, M2.2, M2.3, M3	July 2009 - This requirement is withdrawn as all upgrades will be made in ACE.  4/11/07 - All ACS AMS functionality will be incorporated in ACE.  Committee to supply list of improvements for approval.  (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)  <i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-018	1038	Allow for pending in-bond actions to be held for activation (i.e. permits to transfer, additional in-bond legs). Currently if a shipment is moving in-bond and has not yet arrived at the in-bond destination port and an additional in-bond movement is required beyond that port it is not allowed. If a carrier has initiated a bond and a broker or other party to the transaction wishes to add an in-bond leg it should be accepted and held pending the arrival at the initial bonded port and processed after the arrival is reported. This is particularly important when the cargo arrives on a weekend and offices are closed resulting in cargo delays.	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Checking with CCR on the status. If a carrier has initiated a bond and a broker of other party to the transaction wishes to add an in-bond leg it should be accepted and held by CBP pending the arrival of the initial bonded port and processed after the arrival is reported.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1038) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - ACE should accept a subsequent in-bond even though the first in-bond move has not yet arrived. Leave open for confirmation of delivery in ACE. Review for M2 as needed. In-Bond committee will also review.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Truck Manifest will accommodate.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-019	TBD	Allow for release/hold information to be sent while in-bond traffic is still moving in the US to the final destination.	8/31/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Requires CBP/OFO internal review.</p> <p>July 2009 - Open for in-bond committee review</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination and possible regulatory changes.</p> <p>CBP rejected this requirement and will send advisory messages instead of all messages</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-020	1020	Automate the transfer of In-Bond shipments from carrier's bonded facility to Foreign Trade Zone.	8/31/2001	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Accepted fro OP/WP</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1020) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open to verify functionality post M1.</p> <p>8/9/07 - Refer to Kim Santos for update.</p> <p>Will be accommodated in future releases. Appears to overlap with M1, M2 &amp; M3.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-021	1021	Carrier to receive notice if remote entry is filed. A. Allow remotes on In-bond cargo. B. Any in-bond by carrier should be cancelled by remote entry.	8/31/2001	Rejected	NA	<p>JANUARY 11, 2012 - This requirement is rejected. This request would require CBP to make an assessment regarding whether the entry will take precedence over the in bond or vice versa. This is a trade decision not for CBP determination.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011-Per legal policy review this requirement is pending CBP legal policy input. Team 1 requires additional clarification: 1) Do we want to cancel the entire in-bond? 2) The requirement may require brokers to have a national permit.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1021) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open pending CBP L/P input.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Pending CBP Legal Policy determination.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. Appears to overlap with M1, M2 &amp; M3.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-022	1022	CBP system will automatically close/cancel an import transaction (IT) if an entry is filed and a release is given, after the fact at the IT origin.	8/31/2001	Withdrawn	M1	<p>JANUARY 7, 2011- This GIF is a duplicate GIF and is WITHDRAWN IN MMM. REFER TO CSPO-GIF-630-ENT-RSC-028 for status. It is currently pending legal policy review</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1022) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open for confirmation of delivery in ACE. The Release teams need to review as well. Note: CBP said we must have a House Bill of Lading for this to work. Carriers do not want to release on a House Bill of Lading. Conflict of requirements.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Pending CBP legal policy determination.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. Appears to overlap with M1, M2 &amp; M3.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-023	1023	Expand the export progressive clearance process to allow cargo that has been cleared for export at an inland gateway to transload to another international export conveyance of the same carrier at another US gateway.	8/31/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Requires CBP/OFO internal review.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1023) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open - this is an air manifest requirement.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Subject to CBP and PGA policy determinations and possible regulatory changes.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-024		Eliminate manifesting requirements between Puerto Rico and the mainland United States.	9/4/2001	Rejected	M3	<p>Subject to CBP and PGA policy determinations and possible regulatory changes. Not an ACE system requirement.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-025	1024	Broker (filer code) download should be made available for all transportation modes from CBP (Rail is currently using).	8/24/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: In scope for TASPO development.</p> <p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1: SEA &amp; RAIL MANIFEST AND WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR ALL CARGO RELEASE APPLICATIONS</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1024) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open to verify post M1 functionality.</p> <p>4/11/07 -Parts of this requirement is included in the CBP requirements set:  - "ACE shall provide BOL dat in the ABI format for broker download."  - (Syster Interfaces) "ACE shall transmit BOL data to ACE for purposes of broker download utilizing the ABI BD transaction."</p> <p>CR has been filed and working towards R4 Truck Manifest implementation. Subject to CBP policy determination.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky</p>
MMM-HL-026	1025	Entrance and clearance of transportation conveyances must be automated for all modes.	9/4/2001	Rejected	R4, M1, M2.1, M3	<p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1025) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/11/07 - At this point, Entrance and Clearance for vessels is included in M2.1. Entrance and Clearance for Air is in planning.</p> <p>Will be accommodated in future release.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-027	217	Administrative message system related to the manifest system, for all participants.	8/24/2001	Accepted	M1, M2.1, M2.2, M3	<p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 - THIS FUNCTIONALITY IS COVERED BY THE CARGO SYSTEMS MESSAGING SERVICE AND IS CONSIDERED DELIVERED.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Admin message requirements were deleted from thje M1 baseline by CR-1878. The list serve functionality will provide admin messages.</p> <p>Currently only ABI participants receive such messages.</p> <p>An enterprise wide communication tool is still being investigated.</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared addressing the need for the trade community to have access to Administrative Messages. Submitted to CBP on 2/16/2006. Referred.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-028	1027	More complete information is required when CBP places a hold on cargoes.	9/4/2001	Accepted	CR	<p>OCTOBER 4, 2011 - This requirement was accepted by CBP</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release. Information should be incorporated into functional requirment development as awell as business rule development.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1027) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open and enter as a CSPO-GIF</p> <p>4/11/07 - Will be further detemined in use cases.</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination for sea, rail, air.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-029		Pilot between Canada and the US the elimination of manifesting and entry requirements for movement of cargo between NAFTA countries.	8/31/2001	Rejected	M3	<p>Subject to CBP and PGA policy determinations and possible regulatory and statutory changes. Not an ACE systems requirement.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-030		Provide for an electronic Master FIRMS Code list to 9/4/2001 the public.		Withdrawn	M1, M2.1, M2.2	<p>JUNE 24, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS WITHDRAWN. REQUIRMENT REFERED TO JIM BYRAM (ESAR). SEE MMM-FR05.08 WHICH WAS REJECTED..</p> <p>4/11/07 - Parts of this requirement are included in the CBP requirements set:  1. "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized CBP user to produce the "Public FIRMS - Alpha" report listing ACE FIRMS sorted by FIRMS code."  2. "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized CBP user to produce the "Public FIRMS -By Code" report listing ACS FIRMS sorted by FIRMS code."  3. "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized CBP user to produce the "Internal FIRMS - Alpha" report listing ACE FIRMS sorted alphabetically by Operator."  4. (M1 Report req that gives this to CBP not trade) "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized CBP user to produce the "Internal FIRMS - By Code" report listing ACS FIRMS sorted by FIRMS code."</p> <p>Subject to CBP policy determination. Not an ACE system requirement.  Information is provided on CBP website (10/18/05).</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-031	1026	<p>Print and download trip and shipment information in 9/15/2003 the format of a complete manifest.</p> <p>Print the trip number in Bar-Code format on the top of this document (Manifest).  A carrier is required to maintain record of documentation/information that has been supplied to Customs and Border protection (CBP). If a carrier or his agent utilize the ACE Secure Data Portal to input and submit data to CBP, then they need the ability to either print or download a record of the manifest as submitted.  Without this capability, a carrier can not comply with current CBP recordkeeping requirements unless CBP can officially state that the storage of the manifest information in ACE is sufficient.  The trip number in Bar-Code format should be printed on the first page of the manifest.</p>		Rejected	R4, M1, M2.1, M2.2, M2.3	<p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - Not relevant for most modes as the full manifest does not appear in the trade portal. This is allowed for trucks</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1026) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Leave open for truck. Enter as CSPO-GIF.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Current status unchanged.</p> <p>Comments from review made with T.F. on 6/7/2004:</p> <p>A. Print &amp; Download trip &amp; shipment information in the format of a complete manifest - Prelim CBP Approval</p> <p>B. Print the trip number in bar-code format on the top of the document -  - Rejected</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-032		<p>Reassign Shipments To Another Carrier</p> <p>Shipments that have been entered into ACE either through EDI or ACE Secure Data Portal should be able to be reassigned to a carrier other than the originating carrier without requiring the shipment data to be deleted and re-entered.</p> <p>If a carrier enters shipments data into ACE and business conditions require another carrier to actually haul the shipments in question across the border, The originating carrier should be able to release the shipments from their manifest and allow the second carrier to assign the shipments to their own manifest.</p>	9/15/2003	Accepted	R4	<p>THIS FUNCTIONALITY WAS DELIVERED IN R4 PRODUCTION BASELINE ENHANCEMENT.</p> <p>4/11/07 - current status = New EDI messages may have to be developed to handle such functionality.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-033	1028	<p>Support of Secondary Notify Procedures.</p> <p>Current ocean &amp; Rail ACS legacy manifest systems have the ability to direct copies of shipment's status disposition notifications to parties other than the originating carrier.</p> <p>ACE carriers need the ability to direct copies of release notifications to multiple parties. ACE should be able to direct these messages to ACS participants as well as ACE participants. This means that ACE status messages will need to be translated to legacy format of CATAIR, CAMIR, ANSI X12 and CARGO IMP so that they can be exchanged with carriers that are already automated.</p>	9/15/2003	Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Accepted</p> <p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011 - DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1:SEA &amp; RAIL MANIFEST AND WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR ALL CARGO RELEASE APPLICATIONS.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1028) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>July 2009 - Refer to Rail Task force to rewrite this requirement and circulate for review and enter as CSPO-GIF.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Parts of this requirement is included in the CBP requirements set:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "ACE shall allow an authorized user to designate secondary notify parties to receive BOL notifications."</li> <li>2. "ACE shall send all status notifications to the Secondary Notify parties designated by the carrier."</li> <li>3. (M1 Notification) "ACE shall send copies of notifications to each Secondary Notify Partyh identified in the related BOL information."</li> </ol> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-034</b>		<p>FMCSA Response Messages</p> <p>FMCSA response messages shall be passed to the carrier via EDI as well as accessible via the ACE secure data portal. Messages shall be sent to the carrier as soon as possible.</p> <p>Trade needs to know if their equipment, conveyance or crew members have any issues that may cause a delay at the border. If this information is received before the truck arrives at the border, the carrier has the opportunity to either rectify the problem or replace the offending article or crew member. This could significantly reduce delays and traffic at the border.</p>		Accepted	R4	<p>July 2009 - Development is complete but not deployed as it is pending FMCSA and CBPs agreement of Memorandum of Understanding.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Scheduled for implementation</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
<b>MMM-HL-035</b>		<p>FDA Facility Number.</p> <p>Data element to be added to the MMM data matrix: FDA Facility Numbers. New data element to be accommodated for the truck manifest and passed to the entry filer via "Broker Download".</p> <p>The carrier knows where the freight has been stored or held and may need to pass this information on to the entry filer so that they can submit this information to FDA with the Prior Notification declaration.</p>		Accepted	R4	<p>4/11/07 - Scheduled for implementation.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
<b>MMM-HL-036</b>		<p>Carrier Account - Crew Member Data</p> <p>To allow all modes of transport to assign crew members to a voyage, flight train or trip via the manifest portal utilizing the crew data in the carrier's account.</p> <p>Currently, a number of Govt agencies (a few from DHS) are requiring the carrier to file a crew list. ACE, via the ITDS process, should enable the trade to submit a crew list and pass the data to participating government agencies. Since the data could be stored, at the option of the carrier, in an ACE Carrier Account, a carrier could draw crew members from the ACE account and assign them to a specific manifest. All interested agencies could then obtain the data from ACE.</p> <p>Combine the crew list prepared via the Portal with the manifest submitted via AMS utilizing the "Trip Number".</p>		Accepted	4	<p>July 2009 - Delivered for truck. Ocean and air have a solution. If rail needs a solution they will prepare a new GIF.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Parts of this requirement are included in the CBP requirements set:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized trade participant to submit a trip using the ACE Secure Data Portal."</li> <li>2. "ACE shall accept a trip submitted by an authorized trade participant via EDI."</li> <li>3. (Truck Arrival) - ACE shall provide the capability for an authorized CBP user to add crew members or passengers to a trip.</li> <li>4. "ACE shall accept General Declaration dat (passenger and crew) from an authorized trade participant as of the arrival message.</li> </ol> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-037	1029	<p>Creation of Templates For Use in the Portal Manifest.</p> <p>Carriers utilizing the portal for manifest, should not be forced to input the entire bill data every day when transporting the same commodity between two or more customers. The same is true when creating crew lists by conveyance. A Template should reduce the input to the data which have changed from the last manifest.</p> <p>This recommendation identifies 2 methods of expediting data entry when companies use the portal UI:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Templates: The ability to recall previous shipments and modify the data elements that are different for a current shipment.</li> <li>2. Establish carrier maintained address files to draw shippers, consignees and 3rd party addresses into a manifest similar to the way conveyance, crew and equipment can be stored in a carrier account in Release 4.</li> </ol> <p>Carriers without EDI connection and utilizing the Manifest Portal will be much more receptive to use it if they do not need to re-input every data element every time they create a complete manifest or any part of a shipment, equipment or crew information</p>		Accepted	M1	<p>October 2014: Partially completed. CBP will not have a template.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1028) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>4/11/07 - Current status = (Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-038	952	<p>ENTRY ON FILE MESSAGE SENT TO CARRIER PRIOR TO ACE MANIFEST FILING. CBP will send the entry on file message to the carrier before the ACE manifest is filed. This will give the carrier prior notice of the entry on file allowing the carriers to plan their loads, reduce costs due to removing the manual tracing on the broker website, remove excess calls to brokers, remove excess faxing costs, allow carriers to further automate the entire process and reduce costs.</p> <p>If this GIF is accepted then CR-2136 would be cancelled. CR-2136 requests that the entry on file message be sent to the carrier as soon as a preliminary bill is placed on file rather than after the carrier has submitted their 358 and 353 (truck) to status the manifest as complete</p>	8/3/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Pending R4 Re-engineering.</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>AUGUST 3, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-952) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-041</b>	<b>1041</b>	IF THE FORWARDER IS THE FILER OF THE HAWB AND THE TRANSMISSION IS RECEIVED BEFORE THE CARRIER TRANSMITS THEIR MANIFEST DATA, THE NOMINATION PROCESS SHOULD NOT BE NECESSARY	9/14/2009	Accepted	M1	<p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be in scope for M1: Sea &amp; Rail Manifest but not in scope for cargo release. This is a manifest issue and carrier should have to do anything if another party is transmitting. The transmitting party should be receiving notifications and the entry filer should see the status notifications.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1041) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-042	1042	<p>Expansion of the shipment tracking number and in-bond number fields:</p> <p>Customs plans to expand the following fields:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MAWB to 50 characters</li> <li>• HAWB to 50 characters</li> <li>• SUB-HAWB to 50 characters</li> <li>• Inbond Number to maximum of 50 characters</li> </ul> <p>The committee suggests having two different capabilities:</p> <p>1 Express carrier (FXI, FXC etc messaging) allow 3 levels MAWB-HAWB-SUB HAWB</p> <p>2. Conventional carrier (FRI, FRC etc messaging) allow 2 levels MAWB and HAWB</p> <p>(Only 5% of Conventional carrier business would require SUB-HAWB level detail, therefore the conventional carriers prefer to continue to support the present Bill of Lading size limits of MAWB 11 characters and lowest level HAWB 12 characters).</p> <p>The following issues were identified that would have financial or procedural impact for the Trade and do not support the expansion to a 150 character combination of MAWB/HAWB/SUB-HAWB:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No edit feature (mod-7) for a 50 character AWB.</li> <li>• Carriers track, trace and billing systems would need to be re-designed.</li> <li>• Air carrier systems support numeric tracking numbers not alpha/numeric</li> <li>• Forwarder systems would have to be re-designed.</li> <li>• Brokers ABI system would need to be re-designed</li> <li>• World standards for field lengths are not supported by WCO.</li> <li>• IATA standard message formats do not support this.</li> <li>• There are legal issues accepting a Bill of Lading from another mode because of the Warsaw convention. Liability cannot be transferred.</li> <li>• Printable forms would have to be re-designed.</li> <li>• Human error on manual keying of 50 to 150 characters could generate a high level of inaccuracy.</li> </ul>	9/14/2009	Accepted	CR	<p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - This requirement is accepted for cargo release. The 50 character field is currently a requirement in cargo release including the sub fields.</p> <p>JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1042) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-043.</b>	<b>1043</b>	<p>INCLUDE THE CBP ORIGIN AND DESTINATION CITY PAIRS IN THE TRN REQUEST LINE:</p> <p>1. Include the CBP origin and destination city pairs in the TRN request line</p> <p>This would apply to any TRN line in any type of message</p> <p>NOTE: In-bond number could be 9-digit CBP issued CCN or a 50 character MAWB, MAWB + HAWB, or MAWB + HAWB + Sub-HAWB combination</p> <p>Current FRC Transfer message:</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB TRN/ORD-D//inbond # CSD/CN/1700-USD RFA/19</p> <p>New version of TRN line showing city pair in TRN line</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB TRN/MIAORD-D//inbond # CSD/CN/1700-USD RFA/19</p>	9/14/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW. ALSO NEEDS MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION FROM THE TRADE</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1043) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB)</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-043.	1044	<p>MODIFY DATA RETURNED IN THE CSN LINE:  2. Modify data returned in the CSN line Include seconds in the time stamp and data relative to the Transfer request (city pairs, inbound type indicator, forms code and complete inbound number) in the returned CSN line of the FSN</p> <p>Current AMS response:  FSN  MIAAAL  001-76547332-M  ARR/AAL0918/14FEB  CSN/1D-15/14FEB1224</p> <p>New version of CSN line showing seconds and relative TRN information  FSN  MIAAAL  001-76547332-M  ARR/AAL0918/14FEB  CSN/1D-15/14FEB122432/MIAORD-D//inbond #</p> <p>Or</p> <p>FRC  MIAAAL  001-30665106-M  ARR/AAL0109/11SEP  TRN/MIAMIA-D//N783  RFA/03</p> <p>New version of CSN line showing seconds and relative TRN information  FSN  MIAAAL  001-30665106-M  ARR/AAL0109/11SEP  CSN/1F-15/12SEP122432/MIAMIA-D//N783</p>	9/15/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2105: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING FURTHER CBP/OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW.</p> <p>October 2014: Requires additional CBP/Committee review. CBP needs more information</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1044) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-043.	1045	<p>NEW CONDITIONAL LINE IDENTIFIER FOR EXPANDED COMMENTS:</p> <p>3. Implement new conditional line identifier for expanded comments</p> <p>In FSN message, implement a new line identifier "CMT" for comments from CBP.</p> <p>This line will be mandatory if any hold status code is present in CSN line. When mandatory, the first 4 characters will default to the port code number that originated the hold status. If the hold was generated by the system, the port code will default to 9999.</p> <p>The port code can be followed by text manually entered by a CBP officer.</p> <p>It is suggested that this will assist carriers to research and resolve the reason for the hold status more quickly and reduce the number of calls to CBP personnel seeking information.</p> <p>New version of CSN line showing CMT line information with default port code</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB CSN/1H-15/14FEB122432/MIAORD-D//inbond # CMT/5206</p> <p>New version of CSN line showing CMT line information with comments</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB CSN/1H-15/14FEB122432/MIAORD-D//inbond #</p>	9/14/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING ADDITIONAL DETAIL FROM THE TRADE MMM COMMITTEE</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009- THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1045) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-043.	1046	<p>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FROM CBP ON IN BOND CANCELLATION:</p> <p>4. Acknowledgement from CBP of inbond cancellation</p> <p>Establish new status code for inbond cancellation and return in CSN line with data relative to the TRN that is being cancelled</p> <p>If status code "X" is available we recommend using it for cancellation acknowledgement.</p> <p>Current inbond cancellation message</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-30665106-M ARR/AAL0109/11SEP TRN/000 RFA/03</p> <p>New version of inbond cancellation request with specific TRN cancellation info</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-30665106-M ARR/AAL0109/11SEP TRN/000/MIAMIA-D//N783 RFA/03</p> <p>New version of AMS response with cancellation status code and relevant TRN cancellation info:</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-30665106-M ARR/AAL0109/11SEP CSN/1?-15/12SEP122410/MIAMIA-D//N783</p> <p>Or</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB TRN/000/MIAORD-D//inbond # RFA/03</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB CSN/1?-15/14FEB122410/MIAORD-D//inbond #</p>	9/14/2009	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009- THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1046) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-043.	1047	<p>New CPB status code when onward carrier is indicated in TRN line and acceptance of bond liability by onward carrier:</p> <p>5. New CPB status code when onward carrier is indicated in TRN line and acceptance of bond liability by onward carrier.</p> <p>When an onward carrier is indicated in the TRN line, a new status code 1P will be used by CBP to indicated permission to transfer to the onward carrier. Bond liability will remain with requesting transmitter until the onward carrier accepts the bond liability and acknowledges possession of the shipment by means of a new ASN8 status code.</p> <p>Current FRC message with onward carrier</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-80947565-M ARR/AAL0912/14FEB TRN/MIA-I/NW/inbond # CSD/CN/1984-USD RFA/19</p> <p>Current AMS response</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-80947565-M ARR/AAL0912/14FEB CSN/1D-15/14FEB1945</p> <p>New version of FRC message with onward carrier</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-80947565-M ARR/AAL0912/14FEB TRN/MIAMIA-I/NW/inbond # CSD/CN/1984-USD RFA/19</p> <p>New Version of AMS response with new CSN code, seconds and relevant TRN info</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-80947565-M ARR/AAL0912/14FEB CSN/1P-15/14FEB194520/MIAMIA-I/NW/inbond #</p> <p>New response by onward carrier to acknowledge acceptance of bond liability and custody of shipment</p> <p>FSN MIAAAL 001-80947565-M ARR/AAL0912/14FEB ASN8 Once an onward carrier has sent the ASN8 to</p>	9/14/2009	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009- THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1046) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
----------------------	------------	------------------------------------	------------------------	---------------	-----------------------------

		confirm they have taken the bond liability, the onward carrier should be able to delete and adjust with out receiving the 011 error message and having the HAWB or MAWB revert to the first carrier.			
--	--	--	--	--	--

			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky	
--	--	--	---------------	---------------	--

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-043.</b>	<b>1048</b>	<p>ALLOW SAME IN-BOND CONTROL NUMBER TO BE USED FOR MULTIPLE IN BOND MOVEMENTS:</p> <p>6. Allow same inbond control number to be used for multiple inbond movements</p> <p>Since the Customs origin and intended Customs destination city codes will be shown in each TRN line, it is suggested that the same inbond number could remain activate for multiple inbond movements (multiple legs).</p> <p>All previous inbond legs must be arrived before subsequent leg requests are accepted. If an inbond leg is open, when a subsequent request is transmitted, the sender will receive an error message "Previous inbond leg not arrived".</p> <p>Example: The importing carrier initiates an inbond movement from MIA to ORD at the first port of arrival because they had to transload to another flight. On arrival in ORD, the importing carrier will interline transfer the shipment to NW as onward carrier ORD to MSP. Because the city pairs are in the TRN lines, each leg of the inbond movement is traceable.</p> <p>a) Importing carrier requests inbond MIA ORD and receives 1D authorization FRC MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB TRN/MIAORD-D(inbond = default to MAWB number) CSD/CN/1700-USD RFA/03</p> <p>b) Importing carrier arrives MIA ORD leg with ASN3 c) Importing carrier requests ORD MSP leg with onward carrier FRC MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB TRN/ORDMSP-D/NW(inbond = default to MAWB number) CSD/CN/1700-USD RFA/03</p> <p>d) Customs responds with new status code to allow transfer to onward FSN MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB CSN/1P-15/14FEB194520/ORDMSP-D/NW//00176547332</p>	9/14/2009	Rejected	NA	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS REJECTED. CANNOT BE DONE</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1048) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>e) Onward carrier acknowledges acceptance of bond liability and custody of shipment FSN MIAAAL 001-76547332-M ARR/AAL0918/14FEB ASN8</p> <p>f) Onward carrier arrives the ORD MSP leg with ASN3</p>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		
<b>MMM-HL-043.</b>	<b>1049</b>	<p>USE OF THE AWB AS THE IN BOND CONTROL NUMBER ON ALL PARTS OF SPLIT SHIPMENT ARRIVALS:</p> <p>7. Use of the AWB as the inbond control number on all parts of split arrivals With the introduction of the new unique flight identifier capability, and the introduction of the inbond origin and destination city pairs in the TRN line, it is suggested that the AWB number can be used as the inbond control number on all parts of a split arrival shipment.</p> <p>FRC MIAAAL 001-82289546-M ARR/AAL091802142006/14FEB/B125/1900K TRN/MIAORD-D CSD/CN/2700-USD</p> <p>RFA/03 FRC EWRAAL 001-82289546-M ARR/AAL093202162006/16FEB/B75/900K TRN/EWRORD-D CSD/CN/2700-USD RFA/03</p>	9/14/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED PENDING CBP/OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1049) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-043.</b>	<b>1050</b>	<p>MMM-HL-043.8-M2.1-MAWB inbond versus HAWB inbond:</p> <p>8. MAWB inbond versus HAWB inbond (Current process)</p> <p>Although the ability of the forwarder and broker to submit electronic inbond requests at HAWB level is beneficial, it causes processing problems on occasion for the importing carrier.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An Inbond at HAWB level can be submitted prior to the MAWB information being transmitted. Should this occur, if the importing carrier transmits their inbond request at MAWB level and their intended Customs destination is a non-automated location, the carrier's inbond request is denied. The carrier must contact the forwarder or broker, determine which HAWB has the TRN that is blocking the MAWB TRN and have them cancel it.</li> <li>• Sometimes the TRN at MAWB level or the MAWB transmission is cancelled because adjustments must be made. If there are existing TRNs at HAWB level, they block the carrier from re-establishing an inbond TRN at MAWB, Error 185 is received: "Transfer at HAWB: MAWB transfer is invalid".</li> </ul> <p>Many conventional carriers transport shipments for Express carriers through purchased lift or blocked space agreements. Both of the above scenarios are extremely problematic if the conventional carrier is trying to report or correct a MAWB that has hundreds of express HAWBS.</p> <p>(Suggested process)</p> <p>Inbonds at the MAWB level and the HAWB level should be processed independently from each other.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Including the Customs origin and Destination city codes in TRN lines will specifically identify inbond legs that are being requested at each level</li> <li>• HAWB inbond should continue to be able to be requested before the MAWB is sent.</li> <li>• HAWB inbond request could be accepted by the system with a notification back to the sender, by either conditional status code or text message.</li> <li>• On arrival of MAWB at the HAWB inbond origination point, the HAWB inbond will receive the 1D status approving movement.</li> <li>• It is assumed that there is some type of arrival indicator on the MAWB record: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Direct ArrivalATA time manually entered or by message</li> <li>Permit to Proceed - Permit to Proceed arrival date/location</li> <li>Inbond movement - SN3 transmitted</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	9/14/2009	Accepted	Inc 10	<p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1050) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
			<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-044	1051	<p>INTER-MODAL SHIPMENTS - a method that would "cross reference" specific data that would allow each Mode to use their standard revenue tracking numbers for subsequent movements while maintaining a relationship or link to the original shipment control number that was reported to Customs and Border Protection when the shipment was first reported entering the US.</p> <p>Due to variations in carrier liability and conditions of carriage, Bills of Lading are not interchangeable between various modes of transportation. When the mode of transportation (MOT) changes during the movement of an international shipment, a new Bill of Lading is issued on behalf of the mode performing the next leg of transport.</p> <p>Additionally in the case of a consolidation, the contract of carriage is at the Master Bill of Lading level between a specific origin and destination, yet the lower level House Bills could require further transportation beyond the Master level termination point in order to reach their final their destination.</p> <p>The following scenarios suggests a method that would "cross reference" specific data that would allow each Mode to use their standard revenue tracking numbers for subsequent movements while maintaining a relationship or link to the original shipment control number that was reported to Customs and Border Protection when the shipment was first reported entering the US.</p> <p>In these scenarios the standard revenue tracking document for a mode is referred to as a Supplemental Bill of Lading (SBL) and would be issued based on the following: SBL field is conditional but</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mandatory on MAWB when new MOT Bill of Lading is required for onward movement</li> <li>• Mandatory when TRN is initiated at HAWB level</li> </ul> <p>(See Requirement for Detail)</p>	9/14/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED PENDING CBP/OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1051) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-045	1052	STATUS MESSAGES PROCESS - Air carriers recommend that the status messages process remains status-quo. It is suggested that status codes mean the same thing across all modes: New status messages proposed by CBP. Generally the air carriers recommend that the status messages process remains status-quo. In the case where holds are placed on the shipment, before the actual delivery of the merchandise to the customer, the carrier will make every attempt for retrieval. It is suggested that status codes mean the same thing across all modes.	9/14/2009	Accepted	Inc 5	October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing  SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1052) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-046	1053	Match to the carrier's manifest before the 1C status is released to the broker: ABI and AMS There should be a match to the carrier's manifest before the 1C status is released to the broker. Both the carrier and broker should receive the 1C status at the same time. The broker should not receive status 1C until the carrier has. If the carrier does not have the 1C, the broker should receive an explanation explaining the problem	9/14/2009	Rejected	CR	JANUARY 11, 2011 - This GIF is rejected  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 -This requirement is pending CBP L/P input for cargo release. Reference ITD-1061 "FDA CBP Release Coordination". A status notification is sent to the broker/filer when the manifest hold is set after release.  JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.  SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1053) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-047	1054	The MAWB or the MAWB-HAWB combination should be allowable as the inbound control number on all parts of a split MAWB or split HAWB: Split Shipments • It is the carriers understanding that there will no longer be a part arrival indicator. The unique flight number and the boarded quantity in the ARR line will distinguish various parts on a split shipment. • Given the ability to distinguish parts of a split shipment by the unique flight number, and the specific origin/destination of the inbound leg, the MAWB or the MAWB-HAWB combination should be allowable as the inbound control number on all parts of a split MAWB or split HAWB. • In order to allow the broker to file a single entry which will decrement from the total shipment count, it is assumed that ABI will allow listing of multiple flight numbers is the shipment is split.	9/14/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: SAME AS GIF 1049 - ACCEPTED PENDING CBP/OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW.  October 2014: Being developed as part of AIR import manifest processing  NOVEMBER 23, 2011 - This requirement is pending further review for cargo release.  JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.  SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1054) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-049	1055	Extend GO clock to 30 days: G.O. Process--Carriers would like to see the GO clock extended to 30 days.	9/14/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per Legal Policy review this requirement was determined to be out of scope for cargo release.  JANUARY 7, 2011-This GIF was determined to be cargo release related in the cargo release revalidation effort.  SEPTEMBER 14, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1055) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-049	496	Enable Direct Data Entry Rather Than Require Use of Search Screens: The carrier frequently knows the: Conveyance ID Drivers FAST ID or Name Equipment Number Seals and IITsIn each instance the user currently is required to click on multiple screens to look up the details of each item. This is very time consuming. This functionality currently exists for First US Port of Arrival and Port/point of loading. It is more common to report seals and IITs for equipment than conveyance. However currently it takes many more steps to associate seals and IITs to equipment. Creat data entry fields on the Trip Screen that would enable the user to type: Conveyance - Conveyance ID - Crew Member - FAST ID edit for field length) - Driver\'s Last Name - Equipment - Equipment number - Seals (as is done for conveyance) - IITs (as is done for conveyance)	1/17/2007	Withdrawn	R4	NOVEMBER 19, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS CANCELLED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB).
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

Requirement #	GIF	TSN Requirement Description	Submission date	Status	Release (s)	Comments
MMM-HL-050	497	<p>DEFAULT TRIP EQUIPMENT TO SHIPMENT - TRUCK</p> <p>The process of associating a shipment to a piece of equipment is very laborious. When creating a shipment record it is required that you identify what equipment the shipment is located in. Most of the time a carrier has identified the equipment in the trip section of the manifest prior to entering shipment records. However, the carrier currently is required to drop down a list from which he is to choose Account, Create One Time, Conveyance or Trip. Once selected he must continue to identify the equipment then wait for more details to be displayed on a separate screen from which he must finally click continue.If the equipment changes then the user must change every shipment record, this causes a great deal of re-work and increases chance for error. Equipment can change for many reasons, i.e. out of service, equipment failure, user error.When the user arrives at the shipment screen we should have the equipment that was reported in the trip already associated with the shipment. The user should be able to immediately proceed to the commodity screens. The option to report that the commodities are located in the conveyance should remain for the user to override if necessary. If there is more than one piece of equipment reported in the trip then the user should be required to select which equipment the commodity is loaded in.If a user chooses to change the equipment in the trip screen ask the user if they wish to change the equipment in all attached shipments.</p>	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCTEPED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT FOR TRUCK. WILL REQUIRE A PORTAL SCREEN FOR TRUCK. COULD BE FIXED IN REFACTORING OF ACE.</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed as part of Truck import manifest processing</p> <p>MAY 30, 2007- THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ACCEPTED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD AND WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN M2.2</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						
MMM-HL-051	498	<p>DISPLAY COUNTRY CODES, CONVEYANCES, US PORT CODES, AND SCHEDULE K IN USABLE ORDER:</p> <p>A. It takes 14 key strokes to enter Mexico and 5 to enter Canada. Place US, CA &amp; MX as top three selections. B. The display of conveyances is in no obvious order. C. The list of US Port codes is not in a convenient order. (PTR 11949)D. When user looks up schedule K for Canada we display all Canadian Province codes. When user looks up schedule K for Mexico we display all seaports. E. If a user looks up a schedule K they also have to answer the qualifier that says that this is a schedule K number. This is redundant work for the user</p>	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed as part of Truck import manifest processing</p> <p>MAY 25, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS APPROVED WITH LOW PRIORITY BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) ALSO REFERENCE PTR-11949 ON LIST OF US PORT CODES.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-052	499	SET ON SCREEN FIELD LENGTH VALIDATIONS (PORTAL) - TRUCK There are many data entry fields that allow the user to enter more characters than the element requires or should allow. (CR 1447) G. On screen field length validation (US port code (4), BCN (12), Shipment Identifier (??) Broker down load filer code (3), office code (2), est date of arrival, estimated time of arrival, seal numbers, all data in driver record, Equipment number, place of receipt, firms code (5), shipper and consignee fields.	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT. REQUIRES A TRUCK SCREEN  October 2014: Being developed as part of Truck import manifest processing  APRIL 4, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS DEFERRED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) PENDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. ALSO REFERENCES PTR-11949 AND CR-1447
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-053	500	ELIMINATE REDUNDANT KEYSTROKES: Improve usability. Eliminate redundant and unnecessary keystrokes. PTR - 11506	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT.  October 2014: Being developed as part of Truck import manifest processing  NOVEMBER 15, 2007- THIS REQUIREMENT IS LISTED AS CLOSED IN CCB AND WAS DEFERRED PENDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. CR-11506 and PTR-11506 apply to this GIF
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-055	502	ENLARGE THE COMMODITY DESCRIPTION FIELD A carrier cannot give the complete description of some commodities in the limited space given today. If a carrier is reporting hazardous materials he does not have enough space to give a proper description. Enlarge the size of the commodity description field	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT CAN BE DEVELOPED POST CORE.IGs WOULD NEED TO CHANGE  October 2014: Being reviewed for possible developed as part of Truck import manifest processing  APRIL 4, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS LISTED AS DEFERRED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) PENDING REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-056</b>	<b>504</b>	DISPLAY ENTRY NUMBER, TYPE, PIECE COUNT, DATE AND TIME FILED: The carrier can not identify what entry has been filed against a specific shipment in the Portal. The carrier does not have at his disposal the information he needs to research, verify, audit or show as proof of compliance. Currently the driver is simply released from Primary with no record of what entries have cleared his ship shipments. This record of release is not made available to the carrier via the Portal. (EDI filers do get this information)Store the entry number, type of entry, manifest piece count, data and time the entry was filed in the ACE Secure Data Portal for the carrier to view and extract.	1/17/2007	Rejected	M2.2	FEBRUARY 2, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS REJECTED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD AS IT IS BEING WORKED UNDER CR-2136  <i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky
<b>MMM-HL-057</b>	<b>505</b>	TAO TO GRANT ACCESS TO TRANSACTIONS TAB FOR ANY PAO OR USER: Valuable information is stored in the Transactions tab in the Carrier view. Details on entries being filed@, FDA Prior Notice having been filed, status of crew, equip., conveyance and shipments. Currently only the Trade Account Owner can access this Tab. Enable the TAO and PAO to grant access to the Transactions Tab.	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST RELEASE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review.  APRIL 4, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS DEFERRED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) PENDING REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. This requirement may be tied to PTR-15164
<b>MMM-HL-058</b>	<b>506</b>	ENABLE TAO TO SEE IN BOND ARRIVAL TAB: Trade account owner cannot currently see the in bond arrival tab. Enable the TAO to view and use the in bond arrival tab.	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  APRIL 4, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS IN DEFERRED STATUS BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) PENDING REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
				<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-059	507	ATTACH QP IN BOND TO ANY SHIPMENT TYPE. 1/17/2007 CREATE NEW SHIPMENT RELEASE TYPES: There is no shipment type for a carrier to declare if they wish to report the manifest and let the broker file QP. Any shipment type may at some point have to move in-bond. Create a shipment release type for carriers to declare that the broker will file the QP request. Not all shipment release types are available for a carrier to declare. Carriers should not have to always declare a release type, it is not a requirement for them. ACE should search for PAPS, in-bond, CF-214 types automatically. Add shipment release type for (release type unknown@, CF-214 FTZ admittance@, Carnet, local transfer)	1/17/2007	Accepted	Inc 12	DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS ACCEPTED FOR DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending future development  MAY 25, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS APPROVED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) WITH A MEDIUM PRIORITY. May be tied to PTR - 13758
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				
MMM-HL-060	508	ENTERING THE ADDRESS TO WHERE THE DRIVER WILL BE IN THE U.S. IS TIME CONSUMING AND LABORIOUS. A. The user is currently required to select USA in a field that is mandatory USA. Hardcode USA do not allow user to change.B. The address where the driver will be in the USA is often times the consignees address. Currently a carrier is required to manually type this complete address every time they use an non-FAST driver. Give the user the ability to access the consignee file on the screen where they are required to enter the address where the driver will be in the US.	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING LEGAL POLICY REVIEW  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  MAY 25, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS APPROVED WITH LOW PRIORITY BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD.
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
MMM-HL-061	509	TEMPLATES The same driver, crew, equipment and shipments may cross the border every day. The ability to copy a manifest or shipment record from a previous trip and simply change the Trip Number, est. date of arrival and time of arrival for a manifest and/or change the SCN, piece count and weight would enable some carriers to create manifests very rapidly. Enable a carrier to select a stored template, previous manifest or shipment for the purpose of auto-populating repetitive data	1/17/2007	Rejected	M2.2	FEBRUARY 2, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS REJECTED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) AS THE FUNCTIONALITY IS BEING WORKED THROUGH CR-1638.
		<i>Owner:</i> Bill Delansky				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-062	510	SEARCH FOR A SPECIFIC SHIPMENT: 1. When searching for a specific shipment only the trip in which the shipment resides is displayed. If the trip has many shipments it is very difficult to go to a specific shipment. There is no easy way to search for a shipment in the Un-associated shipment Portlet. If one searches by Shipment Control Number take them directly to the shipment Screen. 2. Create a search capability by shipment control number within the us-associated shipment Portlet.	1/17/2007	Rejected	M2.2	NOVEMBER 19, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS CANCELLED/REJECTED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB).
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-063	511	CARRIER REPORTS NOT WORKING PROPERLY: Relationship of data elements reported in carrier reports are not showing logical or correct relationships. One example can be seen by running TR003 where every shipper and consignee that is on the trip is being associated with every shipment control number. This six shipment report shows 54 lines of incorrectly associated data. (ECP_PTR_12664)	1/17/2007	Accepted	NA	October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  APRIL 4, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS DEFERRED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB)
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
MMM-HL-064	512	CARRIER CANNOT ADD DRIVER BACK AFTER DE-ACTIVATING: Once a carrier has de-activated a driver no one can add this non-FAST driver to their account, not even the carrier that created him in the first place. (Portal). Enable a carrier to associate a de-activated driver to their account.	1/17/2007	Accepted	A1	SEPTEMBER 15, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS ACCEPTED FOR IMPLEMENTATION IN A1.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			
MMM-HL-065	513	AMEND EQUIPMENT ERROR MESSAGE: A frequent error message received is License Plate Information Missing for Equip This error happens when an e-Manifest has been sent to CBP and the equipment needs to be amended. The carrier does everything correctly to replace the equipment but then receives this error. At this point the carrier has no choice but to cancel the manifest. They can keep the shipment by selecting "Cancel Manifest but Keep Shipment". The carrier then creates a new manifest and attaches the unassociated shipment to it, which now reflects the new equipment. This workaround is time consuming and cumbersome. Enable carriers to amend equipment details after filing a manifest.	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT.  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  MARCH 26, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS APPROVED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB).
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>MMM-HL-066</b>	<b>514</b>	SHIPMENT PARTY ERROR MESSAGE A frequent error message received is: SHP_PTY: An exception with the type CX_SY_O PEN_SQL_DB occurred, but was neither handled locally, nor declared in a RAISING cause. There are also several other variations of this error message. They all mean the same thing to the carrier though and that is that they can not continue with the e-Manifest that they are working on. They must delete the whole thing and start over again. This error is occurring more and more and really needs to be addressed ASAP. Carriers are having to delete many large manifests and are becoming very, very frustrated. A frequent error that has occurred in many forms but has not been solved since at least June 2	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  APRIL 2, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		
<b>MMM-HL-067</b>	<b>515</b>	MEXICAN STATE CODES: TT# 326008 -This isn't a portal user issue but it is an officer trying to process an e-Manifest issue. The portal is transmitting 3 character state codes while the officer can only receive and process a 2 character code. If OFO is instructing the trade to use 3 character code to identify the state in which the license plate was issued and requiring this code to be placed on the paper manifest then the officer should be able to either type the 3 letter code and let the system translate to a two letter code or OFO should change the policy for both the trade and they system to require just a two letter code. Enable ACE and ACS/TECS to recognize either the two or three letter code so that it is transparent to CBP officer and the carrier.	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  APRIL 2, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		
<b>MMM-HL-068</b>	<b>516</b>	INVALID ADDITIONAL PARTY: TT# 215076 - Error message of: Invalid Party Type ..... Qualifier ZAC000 combination. This happens when the carrier uses the "Additional Party" feature in the portal, wishing to indicate an "Ultimate consignee", multiple consignees, "delivery warehouse", etc..... If for any reason the carrier needs to "Save and Continue" or "Save and Finish Later" or go back in edit the manifest at a later time, they will receive this error and they will have no choice but to cancel the shipment and start over again.	1/17/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Requires further CBP internal review  APRIL 2, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-069	517	ADD LOOK UP PORT CODE NEXT TO IN BOND DESCRIPTION: Lookup US Port Code function is not located next to the "In-bond Destination field in the "pre-filed inbond@" shipment. This does exist in the trip section of a manifest. This is the cause for many calls from carriers trying to prepare an inbond shipment for the first time. They simply don't know what they're supposed to type this field because our "lookup@" is missing. Add US Port Code Look Up capability to this section. TT# 5803	1/17/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS PART OF POST CORE DEVELOPMENT.  October 2014: Being developed as part of Truck import manifest processing  MAY 30, 2007 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS APPROVED BY THE CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB)
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-070	1100	<p>AUTOMATION OF BONDED CARGO PROCESSING UTILIZING THE SECURE ACE WEB PORTAL:</p> <p>Current Business Scenario:</p> <p>An extremely large amount of bonded cargo movements are made daily into and out of our U.S. Ports using a manual (paper) process. In particular PTT, I.T., T&amp;E and I.E. bonded cargo moves are provided by many local bonded cargo service providers. Many of these service providers are small to mid sized companies. Although small to mid sized, most of these companies share the same desire to automate their business processes for improved efficiencies wherever it can be financially justified. Today, the only option to have some automation in the areas noted is through the AMS system which is an EDI based solution. An EDI solution works great for those companies that are processing hundreds or thousands of shipments daily. It is recognized as an efficient solution that with those high volumes can be financially justified by large national or world wide businesses. However when it comes to delivering, handling or managing that bonded cargo once it has arrived in the U.S., those shipments become many individual Bonded shipments handled by service providers that cannot justify the expense of supporting an EDI solution. The manual paper processing, increases costs to the trade, CBP and the consumer through process inefficiencies. The manual paper process also adds to cargo delays and a greater risk of inaccurate information being provided through rewritten information being transposed or misinterpreted.</p> <p>Substantial progress has been made in the automation of the in-bond process to provide for increased visibility, transparency and accountability of bonded movements through US Commerce. This automation has been designed as an enhancement to the systemic transmissions of bonded messages for those who currently enjoy the use of the ABI and AMS communications with CBP. Unfortunately the enhancements proposed and designed do not address the gap that remains for the voluminous number of providers who do not have access nor monetary funds to support the communication methods available to large scale operations. Without the appropriate means to address the gap, small to mid-size providers will remain in the unavoidable and unfortunate position of continued use of manual, paper processing for bonded movements, the persistent use of which will serve to undermine the integrity of the intent of the automation efforts as well as continue to drive unnecessary voids in the accurate reporting of</p>	11/3/2009	Rejected	M1	<p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011-This GIF was determined to be NOT IN SCOPE for cargo release. This functionality will not available in the ACE Portal.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - This has not been developed for rail and sea due to limited interest and usage by that community</p> <p>DETERMINED TO BE OUT OF SCOPE FOR M1</p> <p>NOVEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1100) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>bonded transactions to Congress and the GAO. There are distinct advantages for the inclusiveness of large numbers of currently non-automated service providers in a simple and inexpensive process, allowing for a more seamless automated data trail for in bond movement.</p> <p>While the ACE Web Portal is primarily a reporting tool, and not a transaction engine, exceptions have been made where there is a recognized barrier to entry for EDI automation. An example would be the truck manifest in which data entry capabilities were added to the portal to address the carriers that would not invest in EDI. The same situation exists in the area of bonded movements where a large number of transactions are not initiated by members of the trade already participating in EDI filing.</p> <p>Description of Recommended Change: The solution is to utilize the current Secure ACE Web Portal to allow these small to mid sized bonded service providers the ability to automate all bonded transactions currently available through the automation communications, to include initiation, arrival, diversion and quantity updates.</p> <p>As an example and a high level overview the following is a basic sample of how one of the processes might be processed through the Secure ACE Web Portal. In the case of the Trucker, Container Freight Station (CFS), Bonded Warehouse, G.O. Warehouse, Foreign Trade Zone (FTZ) etc. who needs to process a bonded shipment from or to a port, they could submit an electronic PTT, I.T., T&amp;E or I.E. through the secure ACE Web Portal by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Log onto the Secure ACE Web Portal and proceed via link to the "Bonded Shipment Processing" screen where they will choose from a button to "Create" or a button to "Query".</li> <li>2.If the company chooses the "Create" button they would be provided choices of a PTT, I.T., T&amp;E or I.E. If they chose I.T., functionality would allow the user to input the appropriate information into a screen and then click on a button to submit for authorization. The system would return a message verifying authorization has been granted. Documents could be printed for file reference and a copy to travel with the shipment as necessary. At the destination (Bonded Facility) the shipment could be arrived through the Secure ACE Web Portal. The destination location would sign-on to the Secure ACE Web Portal and from the "Bonded Shipment Processing" link they would choose "Amend" and</li> </ol>				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>then choose "Arrive Bonded Shipment". The user would then click on the appropriate shipment. This would then open a window that would allow the user to review the details of the bonded movement and click on an "Arrive" button to arrive it. Similar concept is used for all movement types.</p> <p>3.If the company chooses to run a "Query", based on their company profile and the parameters completed, all Bonded shipments associated with their company, would be displayed with current status.</p> <p>The flow chart for this process will need to be defined by the appropriate group. This is a suggested outline for a solution to help automate the currently non-automated and create a flow that eliminates inefficiencies impacting Trade and CBP financially as well as improves the flow of cargo.</p>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-071	1101	<p>ALLOW NVOCC HOUSE BILL INFORMATION TO BE INPUTTED AND PROCESSED THROUGH THE SECURE ACE WEB PORTAL.</p> <p>The Current Non-Automated NVOCC issue/process: There are inefficiencies and added steps in the current process that perpetuate an already complex process. An example of this being the non-automated NVOCC and the process they and other trade partners must go through to provide the required house bill information into AMS. If the master loader for a consolidated container is an automated NVOCC, the Non-Automated NVOCC supplies the house bill information to the automated NVOCC who then submits the house bill information into AMS directly. However the automated NVOCC does so with a self generated (surrogate) house bill number NOT the true contract of carriage house bill number provided by the Non-Automated NVOCC. This can cause unnecessary confusion on the part of the customs broker as they have typically been supplied the house bill number from the Non-Automated NVOCC and are likely to file an ISF and entry that will result in a mismatch. This same scenario happens when the Master Loader is a Non-Automated NVO with the difference being the VOC then provides the submission of the house bill information into AMS directly and with the VOC also submitting a self generated (Surrogate) house bill number with no relationship to the Non-Automated NVOCC house bill number. In addition to the challenges this creates with mismatches to the brokers, it is also a major security issue. CBP doesn't have visibility to the true commercial party responsible for initiating the original shipment of cargo. In the scenarios presented CBP has the SCAC and bond of the filer submitting directly to AMS but not the original NVOCC who arranged the shipment.</p> <p>This current process delays broker transmission of entry release to CBP as it takes longer to discover the AMS HBL number. Many times it is not found out until after arrival. Container Freight Stations receive a manifest for the consolidated container reflecting the true contract of carriage HBL numbers for devanning and transportation release. The AMS HBL differs from the manifest causing delays in cargo release.</p> <p>Today's Non-Automated NVOCC only has a single automation solution available which is EDI based. Unless you have thousands of transactions occurring on a regular basis, an EDI solution direct from their operating system cannot be cost justified.</p>	11/4/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT PENDING CBP/OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW</p> <p>October 2014: Requires further CBP/Committee review</p> <p>FEBRUARY 8, 2011-This GIF was determined to be NOT CARGO RELEASE RELATED. This refers to house bill input via the ACE portal. It is not directly related to cargo release but is intended to facilitate release of the cargo. Leave as cargo release related but it also ties to house bill release and manifest.</p> <p>JUNE 9, 2010 - Jim Swanson - This has not been developed for rail and sea due to limited interest and usage by that community.</p> <p>NOVEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1101) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

The use of Service Providers for very small companies is an additional transactional expense which many cannot afford. This is a major issue for the Non-Automated NVOCC businesses who play an important role in the process of international trade. Furthermore it is not reasonable to expect the automated filers to take on the liability against their bond for the Non-Automated NVOCC. Most importantly is the security risk the current process maintains.

Suggested Solution: Enhance the Secure ACE Web Portal so that the Non-Automated NVOCC has the ability to file their shipment information into ACE directly using the contract of carriage house bill number.

In the case of the NVOCC submitting the initial house bill information through the ACE web Portal they would:

1. Log onto the Secure ACE Web Portal and proceed via link to the "house bill Shipment Processing" screen where they will choose from a button to "Create" or a button to "Query"
2. If the NVO was creating a new shipment they would choose "Create" and if they were wanting to run a query to see what shipments are active that they have created they would choose "Query"
3. In the "Create" screen there would be the appropriate fields to be completed and then submitted much in the same way this information is submitted to AMS by the automated NVOCC and VOC today.
4. In the "Query" screen a group of parameter fields would be available and then a submit button to list all of the active/open shipments per the parameters provided.

Of course this is a high level outline however a very reasonable and low cost solution in which the benefits to CBP and the Trade are far greater.

**Owner:** Bill Delansky



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-072	1102	DISPOSITION CODE TO NOTIFY SNP OF CANCELLATION OF 1J IN-BOND CODE 95. The problem is when a carrier files a BL manifest with AMS indicating cargo to move under IT - the terminal, as second notify party, also receives the manifest and the 1J once the Bill has been authorized for in-bond movement. If, after the 1J status has been posted, the carrier submits an amendment request to remove the IT and arrange local clearance, the terminal receives the 54/55 delete / add, but does not know what element of the BL has been changed, and can only determine by manual review of return status message. They do not receive specific deletion of IT (1J) message, code 95, which would remove the authorization for in-bond movement, and ensure they have proper visibility of cargo position.  The impact of not having this visibility is that cargo has been moved "supposedly" under bond, because the 54/55 message does not explicitly remove the 1J posting.  Carrier can make any number of manifest changes through the 54/55 status, not explicit to IT removal.	11/4/2009	Accepted	M1	FEBRUARY 8, 2011-THIS GIF IS DETERMINED TO BE IN SCOPE FOR M1: SEA AND RAIL MANIFEST BUT WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR CARGO RELEASE APPLICATIONS.  NOVEMBER 4, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1102) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		
MMM-HL-073	1160	ALLOW NVOCC HOUSE BILL INFORMATION FOR1/3/2011 IB CARGO RELEASE: Implement functionality in ACE to release cargo at the lowest shipment level. Visibility to these distinct Bill of Lading levels will enable the effective transportation and release of cargo electronically via ACE for those parties operating at the HBL level, while also maintaining the VOC and Terminal processes as they are today.	1/3/2011	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	NOVEMBER 18 2015 - Pending Legal Policy Review  SEPTEMBER 16, 2011 - Per Legal Policy review this requirement was determined to be out of scope for cargo release.  January 3, 2011 - Entered in the CBP Change Control Board Dimensions database
			<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
MMM-HL-074		<p>CBP ELECTRONIC SEAL CHANGE UPDATING AND NOTIFICATION:</p> <p>Today, when CBP officers inspect an import, export or FROB container and change the container seal, there is no reliable electronic method for CBP to directly update the relevant container/bill of lading record in ACE with the new seal number and to inform the bill of lading issuing container carrier of the new seal number. This GIF proposes implementation of an electronic method for CBP to:</p> <p>(1) update the ACE bill of lading/container record with the number on the new CBP-affixed seal in a timely manner (e.g. within 6 hours of the seal being changed), and (2) provide an EDI notification to the bill of lading issuing carrier (including the affected NVOCC(s) for House Bills) indicating the old and new seal numbers associated with the affected container number and bill of lading. For the EDI notification, carriers suggest a new disposition code such as "2M". Vessel carriers require notification of changes to seals made during CBP inspections (either on board vessels or on dock) so the carrier can ensure the container's documentation is accurate. Inaccurate seal numbers in bill of lading documentation can cause containers to be impounded by foreign Customs officers, can cause liability claim problems regarding the contents of the affected containers, and undermines the chain of custody of the container during transport. This change is supported by CBP OFO and ACE staff.</p> <p>BENEFIT OF CHANGE: Currently, ocean carriers most often receive notifications of CBP-made seal changes via paper (typically a hand-written note or a local form letter). Some CBP ports alternately communicate seal changes to carriers via a "1M" narrative comment in ACE, but those comments do not generate an active alert to the carrier that the seal has been changed (i.e. the carrier has to look for the "1M" message) and do not update the seal record in ACE.</p> <p>The benefits to the trade and to CBP of this GIF include: (1) establishment of a consistent national process for CBP seal change reporting and updating; (2) elimination of paper seal change reporting; (3) improved accounting of seal changes; (4) improved chain of custody of U.S. import, export and FROB containers after their originally affixed seals have been changed by CBP; (5) timely, paperless notification to the bill of lading issuing carrier of CBP seals changes on containers; and (6) timely updating of the bill of lading records in</p>	1/3/2017	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>February 8, 2017 - Entered in the user requirement data base for Post Core development:</p> <p>MMM Committee Owners Tim Perry Robert Auriemma</p> <p>CBP - Bill Delansky, ABO Jim Swanson, OFO</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-001</b>	<b>995</b>	<p>ACTIVITY SUMMARY STATEMENTS - Provide a financial component to ACE for the management of Customs Trade Accounts that supports account based, periodic payment for accounts who elect to use this payment vehicle.</p> <p>A. Statements on accounts should reflect all payments and refunds including Importer Activity Summary Statements, other entries and payments and refunds discussed in Rev 001.1.</p> <p>B. Statement adjustments should be allowed prior to final statement without sanction by CBP if error is clerical and manifest from the paperwork.</p> <p>C. In addition, changes that do not alter the underlying summary data but perfect the duty calculations should also be allowed without sanction prior to the final settlement (i.e. SPI for NAFTA added based on CO, etc.). Additionally, the statement should reflect any adjustments by CBP. Refer to proposal specifications for additional details.</p>	9/4/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-995) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Status: Sept 2008 - On hold in Revenue Committee until we get closer to the A3 Release date. Will work with Entry Committee to incorporate all IASS requirements.</p> <p>Status: 03/26/2008 - ESAR Review - Most of IASS functionality will be delivered in A3</p> <p>03/10/2008 - In discussion with BEMS for more details. Committee will revise</p> <p>01/28/08 - REV-GIF-001 drafted. There is some overlap with ENT-018. All IASS related ENT GIFs will be discussed at a later date with the Entry committee. The REV GIF is ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007:</p> <p>A. CBP will give a monthly report but not a statement. If anything is required in IASS a separate GIF will be required.</p> <p>B. This will be done in Post Summary Corrections - no GIF required.</p> <p>C. Same as B - no GIF required.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality for periodic payment:</p> <p>Release 3 – Generate statements and accept periodic payments via ACH credit and ACH debit, based on ACS activity.</p> <p>Release 5 - Periodic statements with ACE as system of record</p> <p>Release 7 - Full payment processing, Refund processing (including drawback and protest), IASS</p> <p>Explanation of multiple statuses (6/18/04):</p> <p>1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3</p> <p>2. Pending further committee review: While CBP is moving toward full periodic payment, in order for the trade's input to be fully considered in Business Blueprint, it is recommended that the Revenue Committee update this recommendation to (a) eliminate references to tracks 2, 3 and 4, (b) eliminate references to revenue neutrality, © decouple from IASS, (d) expand to encompass all payments, refunds rather than limiting to duties/taxes/fees, (e) include all account types</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
						(carrier and brokers) and (f) eliminate references to specific data elements.
						No updates required for REV 001.1.
						9/24/04: Based on recommendations from CBP (See 6/18/04 above), this requirement recommendation was modified and resubmitted to CBP on 9/24/04. Updated status explanation: 1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3 2. Preliminary CBP approval: This recommendation will be considered in Business Blueprint for Releases 5 and 7 as appropriate.
						10/14/05: Updated to reflect ESAR, A2. Outstanding issues (9/27 TSN Revenue meeting): 1. Netting 2. Process for and information received on operational statement 3. National statements with non-portal accounts (reference REV-020)
						Periodic statement is mapped to A2, with protest, drawback and IASS coverage mapped to A3.
						11/2/05 -- Cross-team issue for Post Release - Part C of the requirement. Exception: PMS settlement vehicle will not allow "flexibility for refinement" by product or by surety. Exception: No Netting (Not accepted).
						11/28/06: 1) CBP is not opposed to netting, but they do want to ensure that they can do statements correctly in A2. At that point CBP will consider expanding netting in A3; 2) National Statements will be allowed for filers and importers in A2; 3) Protest and drawback will be on the statement in A3; 4) A financial statement will be provided on all activity during the month, but this will not be a statement on which a payment can be made.
				<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-001.1</b>	<b>669</b>	Netting on the statements... C. Additionally, the statement should reflect any adjustments by CBP. Refer to proposal specifications for additional details. This will allow for the electronic capability for accessing, updating, and effecting actions related to importer account involving payments in the account portal, list of items to net on PMS should be available.	9/4/2001	Replaced	A2.4	<p>AUGUST 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT IS INCLUDED IN CSPO-GIF-669-REV-005-A2.4-PAYMENTS AND REFUNDS REPORT.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - This requirement has been withdrawn (REPLACED) and the requirement of data requested is included in CSPO GIF 669.</p> <p>03/10/2008 - In discussion with BEMS fro more details. Committee will revise.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-001.1 drafted. Ready to submit to CBP of CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Will require a separate GIF.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality for periodic payment: Release 3 – Generate statements and accept periodic payments via ACH credit and ACH debit, based on ACS activity. Release 5 - Periodic statements with ACE as system of record, initial payments (including EFT and lockbox), violation billing, acceptance of cash payments Release 7 - Full payment processing, Refund processing (including drawback and protest), IASS</p> <p>Explanation of multiple statuses (6/18/04): 1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3 2. Pending further committee review: While CBP is moving toward full periodic payment, in order for the trade's input to be fully considered in Business Blueprint, it is recommended that the Revenue Committee update this recommendation to (a) eliminate references to tracks 2, 3 and 4, (b) eliminate references to revenue neutrality, © decouple from IASS, (d) expand to encompass all payments, refunds rather than limiting to duties/taxes/fees, (e) include all account types (carrier and brokers) and (f) eliminate references to specific data elements.</p> <p>No updates required for REV 001.1.</p> <p>9/24/04: Based on recommendations from CBP (See 6/18/04 above), this requirement recommendation was modified and resubmitted to CBP on 9/24/04. Updated status explanation: 1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3 2. Preliminary CBP approval: This recommendation will be considered in Business Blueprint for Releases 5 and 7 as appropriate.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
						<p>10/14/05: Trade is coordinating on whether netting is still desired for ACE. Not being considered for A2. Trade has left this as a placeholder for future release, depending on consensus to be reached.</p> <p>11/28/06: The above 10/14/05 comment is still accurate. We cannot add more to netting until A2 is up and running. Netting will be considered for A3.</p>
				<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-001.2</b>		A. Statements on accounts should reflect all Importer Activity Summary Statements (IASSs) as well as any other entries flagged for periodic payment for the month.	9/4/2001	Withdrawn	A3	<p>01/28/08: This requirement is withdrawn by the Revenue committee. The requirement has been included with REV-001.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Updated 6/18/2007: Will require a GIF for A3.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality for periodic payment:  Release 3 – Generate statements and accept periodic payments via ACH credit and ACH debit, based on ACS activity.  Release 5 - Periodic statements with ACE as system of record, initial payments (including EFT and lockbox), violation billing, acceptance of cash payments  Release 7 - Full payment processing, Refund processing (including drawback and protest), IASS</p> <p>Explanation of multiple statuses (6/18/04):  1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3  2. Pending further committee review: While CBP is moving toward full periodic payment, in order for the trade's input to be fully considered in Business Blueprint, it is recommended that the Revenue Committee update this recommendation to (a) eliminate references to tracks 2, 3 and 4, (b) eliminate references to revenue neutrality, © decouple from IASS, (d) expand to encompass all payments, refunds rather than limiting to duties/taxes/fees, (e) include all account types (carrier and brokers) and (f) eliminate references to specific data elements.</p> <p>No updates required for REV 001.1.</p> <p>9/24/04: Based on recommendations from CBP (See 6/18/04 above), this requirement recommendation was modified and resubmitted to CBP on 9/24/04.  Updated status explanation:  1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3  2. Preliminary CBP approval: This recommendation will be considered in Business Blueprint for Releases 5 and 7 as appropriate.</p> <p>10/14/05: This requirement is mapped to ESAR A2 and A3. IASS maps to A3.</p> <p>11/28/06: IASS will be implemented in A3. IASS design resides with entry and is considered an entry summary type. Need to discuss with the Entry committee and develop consensus on IASS functionality. Future updates will be provided.</p>

*Owner:* Monica Crockett





<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-001.3</b>	<b>666</b>	STATEMENT ADJUSTMENTS: B. Statement adjustments should be allowed prior to final statement without sanction by CBP if error is clerical and manifest from the paperwork. Allow adjustments if error is clerical or changes do no alter summary data but perfect duty calculations	9/4/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 666) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in Dimensions Data Base as GIF 666.</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review: Assigned CSPO-GIF-666 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-001.3 prepared. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review. May have legal policy implications.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Covered in Post Summary Corrections - will need a GIF if it maps to A2.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality for periodic payment: Release 3 – Generate statements and accept periodic payments via ACH credit and ACH debit, based on ACS activity. Release 5 - Periodic statements with ACE as system of record, initial payments (including EFT and lockbox), violation billing, acceptance of cash payments Release 7 - Full payment processing, Refund processing (including drawback and protest), IASS</p> <p>Explanation of multiple statuses (6/18/04): 1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3 2. Pending further committee review: While CBP is moving toward full periodic payment, in order for the trade's input to be fully considered in Business Blueprint, it is recommended that the Revenue Committee update this recommendation to (a) eliminate references to tracks 2, 3 and 4, (b) eliminate references to revenue neutrality, (c) decouple from IASS, (d) expand to encompass all payments, refunds rather than limiting to duties/taxes/fees, (e) include all account types (carrier and brokers) and (f) eliminate references to specific data elements.</p> <p>No updates required for REV 001.1.</p> <p>9/24/04: Based on recommendations from CBP (See 6/18/04 above), this requirement recommendation was modified and resubmitted to CBP on 9/24/04. Updated status explanation:</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
						<p>1. Accepted in part: This recommendation is partially met with Release 3</p> <p>2. Preliminary CBP approval: This recommendation will be considered in Business Blueprint for Releases 5 and 7 as appropriate.</p> <p>10/14/05: The portion of this requirement that isn't met in Release 3 is mapped to A2. Statement elements in A3 include protest, IASS, drawback.</p> <p>11/28/06: This requirement ties to Post Summary Corrections in A2</p> <p>12/11/06: If the PSC is received, accepted and processed by CBP prior to statement that the entry is on, CBP will net. This is the one time CBP will net in A2.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>REV-001.4</b>	<b>722</b>	ACH CREDIT ROLLING CREDIT BALANCES - The 4/7/2008 trade account should have the option of rolling credit balances forward to the next month or receiving an EFT (ACH Credit) or check from CBP to reconcile the account.		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 722) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Status: 04/07/2008 - Submitted to CBP for GIF Board Review = CSPO-GIF-722</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
REV-002	667	PRESERVE CURRENT MECHANISM FOR SETTING FINANCIAL OBLIGATION: Preserve current mechanisms for settling financial obligations to and from CBP, including daily statements via ACH debit/credit/check, single payment, etc. Add EFT to support single entry payments. Preserve payment and refund options. Allow single entry payments via EFT.	7/26/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 667) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in Dimensions Data Base as GIF 667</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review: Assigned CSPO-GIF-667 - Pending GIF Board Review</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-002 prepared. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Will require a GIF for anything mapped to A2. CBP is not doing electronic funds transfer for single entry payments.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality for daily statements:: Release 6 - Daily statements/payments to include ACE entry summary transactions, reconciliation and liquidation revenue adjustments, violation billing and regulatory audits. Release 7 -Daily statements/payments to include drawback, IASS, protest, miscellaneous refunds.</p> <p>10/14/05: Updated to reflect ESAR A2 and A3. Daily statement capability planned for A2. IASS, drawback, protest coverage on daily statement mapped to A3.</p> <p>ACH Debit, ACH Credit</p> <p>11/28/06: 1) Drawback and protest processing will be in A2, the statement will be in A3 - the netting issue will be considered for A3.. The refund will be processed in A2. The inclusion on the statement is tied to the netting concept which will be in A3.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-003</b>	<b>692</b>	<p>E-BOND CONCEPT  Mechanism for Bond Maintenance - An electronic mechanism needs to provide for the filing, amending, canceling and monitoring of all current and future bonds. This document begins with the January 21, 1999, U.S. Customs Service Electronic Bond Requirements, Final Report, which is incorporated by reference. Further, the attached report "eBond System Description and Requirements", version 11 which expands upon the concepts described as follows.</p> <p>An electronic bond system would have to provide certain, basic functionality:  1.Filing with and acceptance by Customs of bonds.  2.Filing with and acceptance by Customs of riders and amendments.  3.Filing with and acceptance by Customs of termination requests from importers or their customs brokers and sureties in compliance with the regulations.  4.Filing with and acceptance by Customs of reinsurance documents and bonds with co-sureties.  5.Queries and Downloads  6.Monitoring for bond sufficiency.  7.Appropriate electronic notifications in connection with these transactions.</p>	10/5/2001	Accepted	Inc 7	<p>DEC 2 2015: THIS GIF HAS BEEN ACCEPTED AND IS OPERATIONAL IN ACE.</p> <p>October 2014: Being developed for Deployment in January 2014 (DEPLOYMENT D) Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>NOVEMBER 2, 2011 - This requirement was accepted by CBP</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 16, 2011- This requirement is pending CBP L/P Input for cargo release.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 692) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Datat Base as GIF 692.</p> <p>3/18/2008- CSPO-GIF-692 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>03/10/2008 - Title of GIF changed to eBond. On hold per the eBond subcommittee. May need to breakdown into separate GIFs</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-003 prepared for Revenue committee review. May need to be split into more specific multiple GIFs. The Surety subcommittee will also seek CBP direction.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Requirement owned by Phyllis Henry and Kevin Bridgford. Will be covered in Finance workdhop being planned for end of September or early October.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Explanation of staged functionality:</li> <li>- Release 5: Initial bond data/sufficiency</li> <li>- Release 6: Full bond data and bond sufficiency</li> <li>- (Release 7: IASS, Drawback)</li> <li>- 9/24/04: This recommendation was resubmitted to CBP by the TSN eBond Subcommittee. An addendum was incorporated to REV-003 representing the eBond requirements document developed by the TSN eBond Subcommittee.</li> <li>- 10/1/04: Overall eBond concept has preliminary CBP approval. Bond authorization file is subject to CBP L/P review.</li> <li>- 10/14/05: Updated to reflect ESAR A2.</li> <li>11/2/05 -- Cross-team issue for Acct Mgmnt (e-bond filing) -- ABI in A2</li> <li>No e-Bonds in A1</li> <li>- A GIF has been prepared addressing the surety download requirement.</li> <li>- 11/28/2006: CBP has agreed to the bond authorization. The Surety GIF is pending review by the Account Management committee.</li> </ul>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-004</b>	<b>668</b>	ACH REFUND AND NOTIFICATION TO TRADE: Process that will electronically deposit refunds due from CBP to an importers financial institution. Electronically deposit refunds to separate banks; provide transaction level detail, email notification of refund,. Refund notice to IR, account who paid, drawback filer, surety. Specification of notice listed (entry#, liq. Record, name/address, etc.)	10/1/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 668) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO INCLUDES REV-014.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Data Base as GIF 668</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review: Assigned CSPO-GIF-668 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-004 being prepared. Combined requirements from REV-014. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Will require a GIF for A2.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality:  Release 5 - Initial refund processing for liquidation  Release 7 - Refund processing for functionality deployed in Release 7 (protest, drawback, IASS)  10/14/05: Updated to reflect ESAR drops. Staged functionality for A2 maps to Release 5 and for A3 maps to Release 7, as stated above.</p> <p>11/2/05 -- Exception - enhanced 4811 to direct funds to separate, specific financial institutions based upon multiple criteria -- Not mapped to any release.</p> <p>11/28/06: 1) CBP needs more clarification on the 4811 issue; 2) Revenue committee needs to clarify issue with Account Management committee; 3) CBP will do ACH refunds in A2; 4) CBP needs to clarify several issues with the trade on this requirement - future updates will be provided; 5) Also refer to REV-012 which also refers to the 4811 issue. Should REV-004 and 012 be combined?</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-005</b>	<b>669</b>	PAYMENTS AND REFUNDS REPORT: ACE must provide the ability to access Payments Due, Outstanding refund claims, approved Refunds not issued. Access to payments due to CBP and refunds coming and approved refunds from CBP.	10/1/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 669) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO INCLUDES REV-001.1, REV-GIF-021, REV-GIF-022.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Data Base as GIF 669</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-669 - Pending GIF Board Review</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-005 prepared for Revenue Committee review. Combined requirements from new GIFs Billing Notification Report and Refund Remittance Refund Report.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>Update 6/18/2007: Will require a GIF for functionality that maps to A2.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality: Release 3 - Ability to access entry summary payments due via periodic payment Release 5 - Ability to access entry summary payments due via daily statement, ability to access amounts due and liquidation refunds (including reconciliation) Release 7 - Ability to access liquidated damage amounts due, ability to access amounts due and/or refunds for drawback, protest, IASS</p> <p>Explanation of multiple statuses: 1. "Accepted in part" for functionality that has been captured in Periodic Payment (Release 3) 2. "Preliminary CBP Approval" for functionality that is pending future release</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR drops. A2: Ability to access entry summary payments due via daily statement, ability to access amounts due and liquidation refunds (including reconciliation). Drawback refunds will be processed in A2. A3: Ability to access liquidated damage amounts due, ability to access amounts due and/or refunds for protest and IASS.</p> <p>11/28/06: Refunds for protest are in A2</p>
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-006</b>		Improved tools by CBP to control automated issuance of Liquidated Damage Demands (CF5955A). CBP needs the ability to suspend the issuance of liquidated damage demands when it has been determined that the issue triggering the demand is not the fault of the importer.	10/1/2001	Withdrawn	A2.4	<p>MAY 28, 2009 - Referred committee to D. McKenzie in FP&amp;F for further questions on this issue.</p> <p>6/18/2007: Will not have automatic issuance of the Form 5955A. This is a CBP policy issue - no GIF required.</p> <p>06/30/04: CBP requests additional clarification on this recommendation. Pursuant to 19 USC 1484, the importer of record is responsible. CBP does not automatically issue liquidated damage claims.</p> <p>08/11/04: Revenue Committee revised and resubmitted REV-006 to CBP to provide the clarification requested by CBP (above). As such, this recommendation has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>10/14/05: This requirement is subject to further analysis by CBP for consideration into A2 or A3.</p> <p>11/2/05 -- Cross-team issue for Finance This is problem across the board. CBP is not automating liquidated damages process in the current release timeline.</p> <p>11/28/06: Status is still accurate.</p> <p>12/11/06: This requirement was generated due to a trade concern that ACE would generate liquidated damages without human review. CBP confirmed that there will be human review. Collections which take place today in ACS will be handled in ACE in A2.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-007</b>		The system for handling all bills other than Fines, Penalties and Forfeitures ("Duty Bill System") should be upgraded to provide better notification system to the Trade, and allow the Trade to identify the reason for the bill.	9/5/2001	Replaced	N/A	<p>01/28/08: Replaced by REV-009.</p> <p>11/28/06: Above statement should read that REV-007 has been incorporated in REV-009 and therefore replaced by REV-009.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality: Release 5 - Statements and billing to cover entry summary and reconciliation liquidation, violation billing Release 7 - Statements and billing to cover IASS.</p> <p>Input from CBP Post Release: CBP would NOT need to recreate the bills, as is stated in the recommendation. This information should be available via the portal.</p> <p>08/2004: The Revenue Committee has incorporated the content of this requirement recommendation into REV-009. REV-007 is eliminated.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-008</b>		Extract to Sureties: Customs must reproduce in ACE a system similar to the existing system that electronically provides information about entries and claims to sureties that result from bonds they issue.	9/5/2001	Replaced	A2.4	<p>Sept 2008 - Merged requirements with Accounts Committee Automated Surety Interface (ASI) user requirement/GIF</p> <p>01/28/08: This requirement is included in REV-009, REV-010, REV-014 and REV-018.</p> <p>4/11/07 This requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue Workshop</p> <p>A GIF has been prepared addressing the surety download requirement.</p> <p>11/28/06: 1) The surety GIF is pending review by the account management committee. 2) All Surety related requirements (REV-008, 009, 010, 014, 018) will be discussed in a separate meeting and updates provided.</p> <p>Explanation of multiple statuses: Rejected: CBP has rejected an entry summary query and importer/bond query in ACE that would provide access to bond data to 3rd parties.</p> <p>Preliminary CBP approval: Providing access to a surety of its own bond data via the ACE Secure Data Portal has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>Pending further Committee Review: CBP would like to understand what is intended where the document states that a surety is no longer obligated on an entry. Sureties remain obligated on entries for those obligations that vest during the time that the surety guaranteed performance. A change in sureties only means that the original surety will not be responsible for obligations vesting after the change. When, therefore, would an obligation, other than the surety having paid the full amount of the bond. Is that what is meant?</p> <p>8/6/04: The Revenue Committee revised REV-008 and resubmitted to CBP to provide clarification in response to CBP comments (above). As a result, recommendation now has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR. Reference ACE 009.12.</p> <p>11/2/05: Cross-team issue to CT&amp;E Post Release reporting owned by ESAR PR team Reporting in ACE owned by S&amp;T</p> <p>Exception - There will not be FP&amp;F reporting</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-009</b>		<p>Customs claims management systems need to be updated to provide for the following:</p> <p>1.All claims must be automated. (such as Supplemental Duty Bills, Penalties, Liquidated Damages, Debt Vouchers, passenger user fees, etc.)</p> <p>2.Mechanisms must be provided to recognize that there may be multiple bonds covering an entry and insure that claims are issued to the correct surety. Further, in the case of co-surety, the system must also insure that all sureties are notified in the event of a claim.</p> <p>3.When making a claim, the supporting data that may be in an electronic form must be provided in a means that can be read by the surety and in a format that can be used in any proceeding action against a bond principal.</p> <p>4.Claim notifications to the trade should be upgraded to contain more information to help the trade in determining the reason for the bill. Also Customs should provide a mechanism for the trade to re-print a notice.</p>	9/5/2001	Replaced	A2.4	<p>April 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT WAS DIVIDED INTO THE FOLLOWING GIFS: 1) REV.009.1 = CSPO-GIF-670, 2) REV-009.2 = CSPO-GIF-671, 3) REV-009.3 = CSPO-GIF-672, and 4) REV-009.4 = CSPO-GIF-673</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4</p> <p>03/13/2008 - Refer to REV-GIF-009.1, 009.2, 009.3 &amp; 009.4 for specific CSPO GIF information.</p> <p>03/05/2008 - REV-009.1, 009.2, 009.3, 009.4 were submitted for CBP/GIF Board review.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-009.1 - This REV GIF should address functionality only. Report requirements should be covered in a separate GIF. ACT-009.9 requests a report that overlaps here. REV-GIF-009.2 - Overlaps with ACT-009.8. REV-GIF-009.3 - Overlaps with ACT-009.8. REV-GIF-009.4 - Overlaps with ACT-009.9 and ACT-009.18 These GIFs will be further discussed with the Accounts committee.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007 1. Will require a GIF for A2. 2. Will require a GIF for A2. This is a Post Release issue and should be referred to Valarie Neuhart. 3. Will require a GIF for A2. This is an Revenue and Post Release requirement (Phyllis Henry and Valarie Neuhart) 4. Will require a GIF for A2. This is also a post release issue - Valarie Neuhart as owner.</p> <p>June, 2004: CBP would like further explanation from the Revenue Committee on this requirement. What types of claims are envisioned for the claims management system?</p> <p>8/6/04: The Revenue Committee revised and resubmitted REV-009 to CBP to provide clarification requested by CBP (above). REV-009 was revised to also incorporate the content of the original REV-007. REV-009 is a replacement for REV-007. Revised recommendation has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>10/14/05: This requirement is subject to further CBP analysis to determine if it will be mapped to A2 or A3.</p> <p>11/2/05: Assumption that this is for the formal demand on the surety process.</p> <p>11/28/06: All Surety related requirements (REV-008, 009, 010, 014, 018) will be discussed in a separate meeting and updates provided.</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-009.1</b>	<b>670</b>	CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: AUTOMATION Customs claims management systems need to be updated to provide for the following:  1.All claims must be automated. (such as Supplemental Duty Bills, Penalties, Liquidated Damages, Debt Vouchers, passenger user fees, etc.)	8/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 670) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-670 - Pending GIF Board Review. Refer to REV-009 for more detail.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-009.2</b>	<b>671</b>	CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: MULTIPLE BONDS Customs claims management systems need to be updated to provide for the following:  2.Mechanisms must be provided to recognize that there may be multiple bonds covering an entry and insure that claims are issued to the correct surety. Further, in the case of co-surety, the system must also insure that all sureties are notified in the event of a claim.	3/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 671) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-671 - Pending GIF Board Review. Refer to REV-009 for more detail
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-009.3</b>	<b>672</b>	CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: RECOGNIZABLE FORMAT Customs claims management systems need to be updated to provide for the following:  3.When making a claim, the supporting data that may be in an electronic form must be provided in a means that can be read by the surety and in a format that can be used in any proceeding action against a bond principal.	3/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 672) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  03/05/2008 - Submitted to CBP for GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-672 - Pending GIF Board Review. Refer to REV-009 for more detail.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-009.4</b>	<b>673</b>	CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: TRADE NOTIFICATION Customs claims management systems need to be updated to provide for the following:  4.Claim notifications to the trade should be upgraded to contain more information to help the trade in determining the reason for the bill. Also Customs should provide a mechanism for the trade to re-print a notice.	3/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 673) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  03/05/2008 - Submitted to CBP for GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-673 - Pending GIF Board Review. Refer to REV-009 for more detail
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>REV-010</b>	<b>674</b>	REFUND TO SURETIES: All refunds on payments by the surety under its bonds will be tendered directly to the surety with applicable interest, rather than to the bond principal.	11/5/2001	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 674) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Data Base as GIF 674  03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-674 - Pending GIF Board Review.  01/28/08: REV-GIF-010 prepared. May overlap with a Report GIF in the Accounts committee. The Revenue committee will submit this GIF separate from REV-004 and REV-005.  7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.  Will require a GIF for A2.  Explanation of staged functionality: Release 5 - Initial refund processing including reconciliation and entry summary liquidation Release 7 - Refunds on drawback, protest, IASS  10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR A2: Initial refund processing including reconciliation, drawback and entry summary liquidation A3: Refunds on protest, IASS  11/2/05: OPEN issue  11/28/06: All Surety related requirements (REV-008, 009, 010, 014, 018) will be discussed in a separate meeting and updates provided.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-011</b>	<b>675</b>	SETTLEMENT OF USER FEES: The system will provide functionality that allows for settlement of all user fees not collected in connection with entry of merchandise and not otherwise provided for in the requirements issuing from this committee, e.g., the customs brokers user fees specified in 19 C.F.R. 111.96(c) and 111.96(d), by check at a single Customs office designated for collection of payments or by EFT or similar electronic means through ACE.	2/12/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 675) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Data Base as GIF 675</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review Assigned CSPO-GIF-674 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-011 prepared. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007: Will require a separate GIF fo A2.</p> <p>June, 2004: While CBP agrees in concept, the goal would be to have such fees collected via broker statement.</p> <p>9/23/04: The TSN Revenue Committee revised and resubmitted this requirement recommendation based on the clarification requested by CBP (above).</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR</p> <p>11/2/05 -- facilitated by ACH payments on bills.</p> <p>11/28/06: On schedule for implementation in A2.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s) Comments</i>
<b>REV-012</b>	<b>774</b>	REDIRECTION OF BILLS, REFUNDS, & LIQUIDATION NOTICES: The system will provide functionality equivalent to the current CF4811 that allows an importer to redirect CBP bills, refunds and notices of liquidation, singly or in any combination. Additionally, the system will provide for these changes to be defined, at the importer's discretion, with maximum flexibility, e.g. A. If an importer employs more than one entry filer, it can employ this functionality to the respective filer for the underlying entry transaction at the entry or summary level. B. It may elect to designate a single account to receive the items. C. It may select only specified entries or summaries for redirection D. It may set-up any logically consistent method for distribution of the items.	5/17/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 774) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Data Base as GIF 774  Status: 03/25/2008 - ESAR review - in scope for A2.3  4/11/07 - In scope for A2 - No GIF required.  This requirement falls under the Account Mgmt business process. 10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR  11/2/05 -- secondary owner: Post Release  11/28/06: Refer to comments on REV-004.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-013</b>	<b>676</b>	BORDER CROSSING FEES: Provide the ability for CBP to recognize a crossing and determine that the carrier has pre-paid the annual border crossing fee or should be billed for a month of crossings (up to 20 crossings annually).	5/17/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 676) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW. ALSO INCLUDES REV-013, REV-013.1, REV-013.2.</p> <p>Sept 2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Being tracked in the Dimensions Data Base as GIF 676</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review Assigned CSPO-GIF-676 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-013 prepared. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007: Requires a Gif to cover border pass transponders that allows the account to be debited. Verify legal policy issues.</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to CCR</p> <p>11/2/05 -- Not currently panned as one of the ESAR drops.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>11/28/06: CBP needs additional information on this requirement prior to implementation.</p> <p>12/11/06: Covers border crossing fees. This issue crosses between MMM and Finance. CBP is moving toward a method for decrementing via trasponder technology. The thought is to allow the carrier to pre-pay linking their conveyances to their carrier account and decrementing as trucks cross.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-013.1</b>	<b>676</b>	ACCEPTABLE PAYMENT TYPES FOR CARRIERS AT BORDER A. Carriers not using transponders should be able to present debit and credit cards or cash to CBP at the border. B. Carriers with multiple truck should be able to make one annual payment for the fleet (account) at the beginning for the year.	5/17/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 676) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW..</p> <p>01/28/08: Included in REV-GIF-013. - CSPO-GIF-676</p> <p>Not in the A2 business design. Need to verify with ESAR CBP leads on status. Is a CR in the system?</p> <p>Mapped to CCR</p> <p>11/2/05 -- Not currently panned as one of the ESAR drops.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>11/28/06: Proposed for inclusion in A2. May require a change request to be submitted.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-013.2</b>	<b>676</b>	Provide the ability for CBP to recognize a crossing and determine that the carrier has pre-paid the annual border crossing fee or should be billed for a month of crossings (up to 20 crossings annually).	5/17/2002	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 676) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>01/28/08: Included in REV-GIF-013 - CSPO-GIF-676.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007: Same as REV-013 - will require a GIF for A2.</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to CCR</p> <p>11/2/05 -- Not currently panned as one of the ESAR drops.</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>11/28/06: Proposed for inclusion in A2. May require a change request to be submitted.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-014</b>	<b>668</b>	ACE shall automatically generate an electronic notice of refund to the importer of record, the party that paid the duties/taxes/fees, the surety and, if drawback, the drawback broker	5/5/2003	Replaced	A2.4	<p>03/10/2008 - This requirement was withdrawn (REPLACED) by committee and requirements added to REV-GIF-004 - CSPO-GIF-668</p> <p>01/28/08: This requirement was incorporated in REV-GIF-004</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007: CBP is in agreement but a GIF will be required. Also a topic for statement workshop planned for end of September, early October.</p> <p>Explanation of staged functionality:  Release 5 - Entry summary and reconciliation liquidation refunds  Release 7 - Drawback, IASS liquidation and protest refunds</p> <p>Data elements are not necessary and have not been discussed in for ACE development at this point.</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR  A2: Entry summary, drawback and reconciliation liquidation refunds  A3: IASS liquidation and protest refunds</p> <p>11/2/05: OPEN  A2 -- e-notice to importer of record of issuance of refund. Open issue for notice to surety of any refund (when claim made against surety).  Details of any such notice are TBD.</p> <p>11/28/06: All surety related requirements (REV-008,009,010,014, 018) will be discussed in a separate meeting and updates provided.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-015</b>	<b>1034</b>	Eliminate the requirement for the Trade to present hard copy preliminary statements daily to all ports of entry when filed and paid electronically except when documents are required. When documents are required, reduce the information to be presented to statement header and entry summary information.	1/14/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 1 2015: DIS CAPABILITY IS AVAILABLE AS WELL AS IS PORTAL VIEW. BUT TPP AND/OR OFO WILL NEED TO DETERMINE IF THIS WILL BE ALLOWED.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1034) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p> <p>MAY 27, 2009 - Trade seeking OFO support.</p> <p>1/25/08 This requirement to be reviewed by the Entry Committee to determine if this has already been implemented.</p> <p>8/9/07 Need crossover review by Phyllis Henry for Revenue issues.</p> <p>(072704: Changed to L/P. SM) 10/14/05: Pending CBP policy determination.</p> <p>11/2/05: Elim. Requirement to present any paper when all CBP needs satisfied electronically and by ACH. This part of requirement is unnecessary (see CBP Admin Message 93-0458).</p> <p>11/28/06: Status change to "Preliminary CBP Approval". Functionality should be implemented in A2.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-016</b>		<p>AES PROOF OF EXPORT</p> <p>Trade requires US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to accept the electronic Shipper's Export Declaration (SED) that is filed through ACE as one of the methods for proof of export for a drawback claim. The ITN Number (ACE confirmation number issued to filer of SED) will be transmitted on the drawback claim. The ITN number is a unique identifier on the transaction that indicates the exact export commodity data filed on behalf of a USPP (US Principle Party of Interest) . The commodity data and export record provide substantial and necessary proof of export and meet the requirements for proof of export under Part 191.72. Proof of exportation requires proof of the date fact of exportation and identify of the exporter. The date of export will be on this record as provided by the carrier and the fact of exportation will be established by the information showing destination, vessel and specific description of the exported articles by Schedule B or HS number, quantity and value. It is presumed that in 2005 mandatory filing of all SED's will be placed into Export regulations issued by Bureau of Census. The information contained in the SED should satisfy all of the requirements for proof of export. Information is filed by HTSUS or Schedule-B number (commodity details) and the transportation details are confirmed by all carriers (VOCC and NVOCC) filing their cargo manifest in advance of cargo loading for ocean carrier, prior to flight for air carriers and prior to crossing for all truck and rail carriers.. The cargo manifest details will be matched in ACE exactly to the commodity details by way of the ITN number. As mentioned above, we expect in 2005 the mandatory electronic filing of all SED information. We also expect the term "SED" to be changed to "EEI" (Electronic Export Information). This change will not change data elements required today in the export process.</p>	1/18/2004	Withdrawn	A2.4	<p>03/10/2008 - Drawback Subcommittee will draft a GIF. This GIF is withdrawn by the Revenue Committee.</p> <p>01/28/08: Will require further discussion with the Drawback subcommittee and Export committee.</p> <p>11/28/06: Will be further reviewed by the Drawback subcommittee and updates will be provided. Drawback subcommittee will update with AES instead of SED terminology.</p> <p>June, 2004: CBP would like this recommendation to be rewritten by the Subcommittee to reflect the fact that the SED is the intent to export and not the proof of export. (This issue was covered in the 5/20 TSN Drawback meeting.)</p> <p>9/24/04: The TSN Revenue Committee revised and resubmitted REV-016 based on the input from CBP (above). As such, recommendation has preliminary CBP approval.</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to CCR</p> <p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-017</b>	<b>677</b>	<p>PERIODIC MONTHLY NATIONAL STATEMENTS: This requirement will provide for four additional aspects of flexibility to the current periodic monthly statement process as relates to national statements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.The production of periodic monthly national importer statements sorted by, and consolidating the transactions of single filers, respectively.</li> <li>2.The production of periodic monthly national and port broker statements in the same accounting period.</li> <li>3.The production of periodic monthly national broker statements sorted by and consolidating the transactions of single importer, respectively.</li> <li>4.The ability of the payer to direct each of multiple national statements, whether importer statements or broker statements, produced during the same accounting period for a single importer or filer, to different ports, and with the added flexibility for independently assigned print dates, for transactional settlement.</li> </ol> <p>The ability to create national periodic statements is key to leveraging the benefits of both periodic monthly payment and account based business processes in ACE. ACE should provide for national statements to be available both as filer statements by importer and importer statements by filer. ACE should also provide a mechanism by which a filer or importer may create both national and port statements in the same month. The election of a national statement should neither prohibit the future or concurrent creation of statements by port. The "flag" for assignment of a payment to a port or national monthly statement should be moved from the profile to the entry.</p>	9/24/2004	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 677) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-677 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-017 prepared. Combined REV-017.1, 017.2, 017.3 and 017.4. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007: Refers to National Statements. CBP is planning on incorporating but will require four sepaate GIFs. Also a topic for the Statement workshop.</p> <p>10/14/05: Mapped to ESAR</p> <p>11/28/06: National statements are planned for implementation in A2. All 4 points will be covered. All points refer to the statement process in A2.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-018</b>		ACE will provide a portal and EDI enhancement to the bond query function that will return, in addition to information currently provided, all bond riders (IR Tax Deferral; Trade Names & Unincorporated Divisions; Address Changes) associated with the underlying importer entry bond, as well as all pertinent information needed to recreate the bond.	2/11/2005	Withdrawn	A2.1, A2.3	<p>Status: 03/25/2008 - ESAR review - Partially delivered in before A2.1, remainder in A2.3</p> <p>03/10/2008 - This requirement was withdrawn by committee. Requirements overlap with CSPO-GIF-574.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-018 was prepared. There is overlap with ACT-GIF-574. Will require further discussion.</p> <p>7/25/07: GIF requirement will be discussed at the October 2007 Revenue workshop. If required, CBP/AST will prepare the GIF for committee review.</p> <p>6/18/2007: Will require a GIF for A2.</p> <p>11/2/05 OPEN Joint PR and S&amp;T issue due to the reporting elements.</p> <p>11/28/06: Planned for inclusion in A2. All surety related requirements (REV-008, 009,010, 014, 018) will be discussed in a separate meeting and updates provided.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>REV-019</b>		The existing requirement that an importer must be an ACE (portal) account in order to qualify for periodic (monthly) statement must be eliminated.	2/11/2005	Accepted	3	<p>10/14/05: This requirement has been incorporated, by the issuance of a FRN published on October 24, 2005, providing for non-portal accounts.</p> <p>11/2/05; already implemented</p> <p>11/28/06: Completed</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
<b>REV-020</b>		ACE will provide an option at the summary level for election of posting for payment to either a port or national statement. When posting to one of multiple available port statements, the system must capture the particular port statement to which posting is intended. This option, when employed, will supersede the default election resident in the respective portal. This will operate independently of, but in conjunction with use of a redirect statement printing option.	2/11/2005	Withdrawn	A2	<p>03/10/2008 - This requirement was withdrawn by committee.</p> <p>01/28/08: REV-GIF-020 prepared for Revenue committee review.</p> <p>11/28/06: Scheduled for implementation in A2.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-033</b>	<b>1073</b>	<p>ELECTRONIC PETITIONS</p> <p>The trade community requests the capability to file a petition with respect to liquidated damages and penalty cases via ABI and the ACE Secure Data Portal. The account types who currently have the capability to file a petition should have access to the capability in ACE. These account types include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Importer</li> <li>• Broker</li> <li>• Surety</li> <li>• Consignee</li> <li>• Foreign Exporter/Producer</li> <li>• Attorney</li> <li>• Carrier (and NVOCC)</li> <li>• Terminal Operator</li> <li>• CFS</li> </ul> <p>The ACE petition system should interface with SECATS system.</p> <p>The petition filer should also have the capability to attach files, images and any supporting document to complete the electronic submission of the petition.</p> <p>CBP should also be able to respond to the petition filed via ACE. CBP should have the capability to input a decision and provide explanation and comments – both text or files/images.</p>	10/16/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 16, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1073) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett			
<b>REV-DBK-001</b>	<b>745</b>	<p>DRAWBACK ACCOUNT TYPE - Establish a Drawback account type to meet the express needs of the drawback claimant that is not defined by the existing ACE Portal Account types. The majority of drawback claimants will have access to an importer or broker account and will file drawback claims via ABI. But there will be instances in which an entity, who is not an importer/exporter and who does not want to use a broker will need ACE Portal account access..</p>	7/8/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESS</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 745) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p> <p>July 8, 2008: Entered in Dimensions GIF database. Pending CCB (GIF Board) Review</p>
			<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-DBK-002</b>	<b>1068</b>	ESTABLISH DRAWBACK REPORTS: Specific Drawback Reports are required by the trade to better monitor and track the status of drawback claims. Currently three (3) specific reports have been identified to satisfy these requirements: 1) Drawback Import Report; 2) Drawback Claimant Report; 3) Drawback Bond Report. Specific data elements for the reports are listed in the full requirement.	10/7/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  OCTOBER 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1068) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-DBK-003</b>	<b>768</b>	DRAWBACK RULINGS AND PRIVILEGE APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED TO CBP: 1. Ability to file via the ACE Portal, rulings and privilege applications along with scanned supporting documentation with CBP headquarters. 2. Ability to file via the ACE Portal, rulings and privilege applications along with scanned supporting documentation with the CBP Drawback Offices. 3. Ability to view via the ACE Portal for given IR# (with permission from the entity holding the approved ruling or privilege) the rulings and privilege applications approved by CBP headquarters and the CBP Drawback Offices. 4. Ability to view via the ACE Portal for given IR# (with permission from the entity who filed said ruling or privilege application) the approval status of the rulings and privilege applications on file with CBP headquarters and the CBP Drawback offices. 5. Ability to receive via the ACE Portal for given IR# (with permission from the entity who filed said ruling or privilege application) a request for revisions, additional information, or supplemental documentation for rulings or applications that are on file with CBP headquarters or the CBP Drawback Offices	7/29/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 28, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (GIF 768) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-DBK-004</b>	<b>1069</b>	DRAWBACK PROOF OF EXPORT: Trade requires CBP to accept the Electronic Export Information (EEI) that is filed through ACE as one of the methods for proof of export for a drawback claim. The Internal Transaction Number (ITN) issued to the filer of the EEI will be transmitted on the drawback claim. The ITN number is a unique identifier on the transaction that indicates the exact export commodity data filed on behalf of the exporter (U.S. Principal Party In Interest (USPPI). The commodity data and export record provide substantial and necessary proof of export and meets the requirement for proof of export under Part 191.72. Proof of exportation requires proof of the date and fact of exportation and identity of the exporter. The date of export will be on this record as provided carrier and the fact of exportation will be established by the information showing destination, vessel and specific description of the exported articles by Schedule B or HTS number, quantity and value.	10/7/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR DEVELOPMENT PENDING CBP/OFO AND LEGAL POLICY REVIEW  October 2014: Pending development in future increments  OCTOBER 7, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1069) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Bill Delansky			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-DBK-005</b>	<b>1074</b>	<p>ESTABLISH DRAWBACK CROSS ACCOUNT TYPE</p> <p>The trade requires cross account access for what is typically known as 3rd party drawback, and for situations where a broker is filing drawback on behalf of a claimant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In 3rd party drawback, the drawback claimant is not always both the importer and the exporter. For example, a drawback claimant may be the exporter and the drawback claim will be based upon imports that have been transferred from an importer (in another importer's account). The drawback claimant might also be the importer and the drawback claim will involve exports made by an exporter (assuming exports are linked with ACE, in another party's export account). Any other party in the chain (manufacturer, producer, intermediate party) could also be a drawback claimant even though that party is neither the importer nor the exporter. In each of these instances, the claim will be based upon records (either import or export) in the account of another party.</li> <li>Where a broker files drawback, that broker will only have access to the transactions of the importer if the broker was the filer of the import entry. In order properly to prepare a claim or transfer drawback rights, the drawback broker (broker with a power of attorney (POA)) needs access to the transactions of its client even though the record may have been filed by another filer.</li> <li>Drawback claimants and brokers filing drawback need the ability to access this drawback data before the claim is filed in order to view the underlying import data to file claims, to download import entry information, or to view previous drawback claim data.</li> <li>This cross-account access would be based upon importer ID number. In order to grant access, the account would need to identify the specific IR number and perhaps user name for the party allowed access to this data. In addition, in order to prevent access beyond that necessary for drawback, the screen granting access should allow the account to limit this access to records with specific filer codes, dates, HTS numbers, ports, manufacturers, entry numbers, etc.</li> <li>Once the appropriate access has been granted, the party granted access would have the ability within its own portal to query/download to standard desktop application data for liquidated or un-liquidated import entries using selection criteria such as IRN, entry #, port, filer code, import date, entry date, HTS #, liquidation status.</li> </ul>	10/16/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>OCTOBER 16, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1074) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-021</b>	<b>669</b>	Billing Notification Report	1/29/2008	Replaced	Inc 11	<p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>03/10/2008 - This requirement was withdrawn (REPLACED) by committee. Requirements added to REV-005 = CSPO-GIF-669</p> <p>01/30/08: Action to draft GIF from the October 2007 Revenue workshop. Requirement is included in REV-GIF-005</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>REV-GIF-022</b>	<b>669</b>	Refund Remittance Refund Report.		Replaced	A2.4	<p>03/10/2008 - This requirement was withdrawn (REPLACED) by committee. Requirement included in REV-005 = CSPO-GIF-669</p> <p>01/30/08: Action to draft GIF from the October 2007 Revenue workshop. Requirement is included in REV-GIF-005.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>
<b>REV-GIF-023</b>	<b>691</b>	PAYMENTS TO CBP VIA THE ACE PORTAL: Set up payment to CBP via the ACE Portal.		Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	<p>DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 691) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>03/18/2008 - Deferred to A2.4. Submitted for CCB Review CSPO-GIF-691</p> <p>03/10/2008 - Account committee added comments. BEMS needs more detail. Currently being reviewed by the Office of Finance.</p> <p>1/30/08: Action to draft GIF from the October 2007 Revenue workshop. Comments from ACT-009.22 included in the requirement. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p> <p><i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett</p>

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-024</b>	<b>678</b>	PROTEST REPORT		Accepted	Inc 13	<p>DEC 1 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE DEVELOPED IN INC 13.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 678) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p> <p>03/05/2008 - Submitted for CBP/GIF Board Review. Assigned CSPO-GIF-678 - Pending GIF Board Review.</p> <p>01/30/08: Action to draft GIF from the October 2007 Revenue workshop. Ready to submit to CBP for CBP GIF Board review.</p>
				<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	
<b>REV-GIF-025</b>	<b>727</b>	Cancellation of Entries		Replaced	A2.4	<p>03/10/2008 - This requirement was withdrawn (REPLACED) by committee. These requirements will be included in REV-GIF-026 = CSPO-GIF=727</p> <p>01/30/08: Action to draft GIF from the October 2007 Revenue workshop. Accounts committee has approved the GIF. Sent to Revenue committee for review and input.</p>
				<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett	

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-026</b>	<b>727</b>	FINANCIAL REPORT IN LIEU OF DAILY STATEMENTS: It is critical that if the Periodic Daily Statement is eliminated that the Trade be able to receive payment information for all entries via ABI. This information is used for purposes such as ensuring that a filer's import system payable information matches the ABI receivable information (soon to be ACE). Returning the periodic daily statement information will enable reconciliation by the filer during the month so payment may be accomplished timely when the monthly statement is issued. The preferred solution would be retention of the current daily periodic statement. This includes entries showing zero payment due.	8/25/2009	Accepted	Inc 11	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT IS PENDING DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  AUGUST 25, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-727) WAS RETITLED AND THE DESCRIPTION WAS REVISED IN THE DIMENSIONS DATA BASE FOR CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW. ALSO INCLUDES REV-GIF-025.  MAY 28, 2009 - Revenue committee will submit part of this requirement and the Entry committee will submit part of the requirement. The Revenue committee will resubmit this requirement as "Replacement of Daily Statements Financial Report"  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 727) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  March 2009 - Revenue committee will divide this GIF into two parts: 1) Post Release and 2) finance.  05/29/2008 - Submitted for CCB review and being tracked in Dimensions data base as CSPO-GIF-727  03/10/2008 - Conference call to be held by committee for further review.  01/30/08: Action to draft GIF from the October 2007 Revenue workshop. Detailed discussion planned for TSN (Feb 2008)
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>REV-GIF-027</b>	<b>1035</b>	NOTICE OF LIQUIDATION EXTENSION: Where liquidation has not been suspended or extended the system will generate and give official notice of liquidation at the time of liquidation. If an extended liquidation will be further extended the system will give official notice of further extension prior to the expiration of the last extension. The System will generate and give official notice of liquidation at the time of liquidation, or if that is not possible for any reason, as soon thereafter as is possible regardless of the legal authority by which the liquidation was done.	3/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: CAPABILITY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED UNDER THE SIMPLIFIED PROCESSES.  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-1035) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  Sept 2008 - On hold for review by Legal Policy Committee  03/05/2008 - Being reviewed internally by the Revenue Committee.
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-028</b>	<b>723</b>	STATEMENT OF PAYMENT DELETE: For entries filed at the Port a customs broker may file an entry summary with a notation that the importer did not fund the duty payment, hence no duty tender accompanies the entry summary filing. For RLF entry the current ACS system does not permit deletion of the payment from a statement. The system currently allows this function for non-RLF entries. ACE should provide functionality for both RLF and non-RLF entries to cover this business scenario. Additionally the importer should have near real time visibility to these deletions.	3/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 2 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS CONSIDERATION UNDER REV MOD  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 723) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  05/29/2008 - Submitted for CCB review and being tracked in Dimensions data base CSPO-GIF-723  03/12/2008 - This requirement is in internal committee review.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-GIF-029</b>	<b>775</b>	THIRD PARTY BOND QUERY: The system must identify the query submitter as the bond principal, surety, surety agent, bond filer, or customs broker of record, or other. If other, ACE will return limited information to include: bond on file, the name and address of the principal on that bond, type of bond activity covered. If the submitter matches one of the above identified parties, ACE will return complete bond information.	3/5/2008	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 775) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.  08/01/2008 - Submitted for CCB review. Being tracked in Dimensions data base as CSPO-GIF-775  03/12/2008 - This requirement is in internal committee review.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-030</b>	<b>990</b>	<p>NOTICE TO BOND FILER FOR PM STATEMENT ACTIVATION AND DEACTIVATION:  Business Scenario problem that pertains to recommended change:  Currently, there is no notice to the surety or the bond filer when an importer is approved for participation in periodic payment processing. When the bond rider was eliminated in the September 22, 2005 Federal Register notice, CBP agreed to "...notify sureties, electronically, of a bond principal's participation in the test."  Description of Recommended Change:  The system must generate a notice to the surety and bond filer that an importer has been authorized for PM Statement, including the name and I.D. number of the importer, the bond number, the three digit surety code and the name and contact of the party that initiated the authorization.  Additional Comments:  ACE should provide visibility for an obligor to any party that creates an obligation for their account. A customs bond is a "...legally enforceable contract between a first party (the principal or obligor), a second party (the customer or obligee) [CTB], and a third party (the surety, such as a bank, bonding company, or insurance company) whereby the surety guarantees payment of a specified maximum sum, or to otherwise compensate (indemnify), the obligee against damage or loss caused by the actions (or a failure to perform) of the obligor."</p>	8/25/2009	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CARE	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 25, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-990) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD REVIEW.</p>
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-031</b>	<b>991</b>	<p>ELECTRONIC PROTESTS:</p> <p>The trade community requests the capability to file a protest via the ACE Secure Data Portal. The account types who currently have the capability to file a protest should have access to the capability in ACE. These account types include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Importer</li> <li>•Broker</li> <li>•Surety</li> <li>•Consignee</li> <li>•Drawback Claimant</li> <li>•Ship's Master</li> <li>•Vessel Owner/Operator</li> <li>•Foreign Exporter/Producer</li> <li>•Attorney</li> </ul> <p>The ACE protest system should interface with ACE entry summary records similar to what it does today. The capability requested is to automate the protest filing process or automating the submission 514 protests, 520(d) petitions, 181.115 interventions and CBP Form 19. The protestant would enter the required data in the ACE Portal. These data fields are the same ones currently found on CBP Form 19 and include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Name and address of the protestant;</li> <li>2.The importer number of the protestant;</li> <li>3.The number and date(s) of the entry(s);</li> <li>4.The date of liquidation of the entry (or the date of a decision);</li> <li>5.A specific description of the merchandise;</li> <li>6.The nature of and justification for the objection set forth distinctly and specifically with respect to each category, claim, decision, or refusal;</li> <li>7.The date of receipt and protest number of any protest previously filed that is the subject of a pending application for further review; and</li> <li>8.If another party has not filed a timely protest, the surety's protest shall certify that the protest is not being filed collusively to extend another authorized person's time to protest.</li> <li>9.Whether accelerated disposition is being requested</li> </ol>	8/25/2009	Accepted	Inc 11	<p>DEC 1 2015: THIS CAPABILITY WILL BE DEVELOPED. TO BE IMPLEMENTED IN JULY 2016.</p> <p>October 2014: Pending development in future increments.</p> <p>AUGUST 25, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO-GIF-991) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		
<b>REV-GIF-032</b>	<b>669</b>	<p>REFUND REPORT:</p> <p>Allows importers to track their refunds.</p>	9/16/2009	Replaced	A2.4	<p>SEPTEMBER 2009 - This requirement was written as part of the 2005 report workshop. It has been replaced by CSPO-GIF-669.</p>
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>REV-GIF-404</b>	<b>404</b>	Expansion of Broker Reference Field on Entry and Entry Summary Records. Increase the length of the Broker Reference Number to 16 characters in the following places in ABI CATAIR: Entry Optional Input (currently pos 39-47) in record identifier H2; Entry Conditional Output (currently pos 19-27) in record identifier H6; Entry Summary Optional Input (currently pos 40-48) in record identifier 20; Entry Summary Conditional Output (currently pos 70-78 in record identifier H1.	10/6/2006	Accepted	Inc 12 and beyond	DEC 1 2015: ABO RECOMMENDS THIS FOR CLOSURE  October 2014: Pending determination for development in future increments.  11/28/06: The configuration Management Team reviewed this GIF. Status Reported - "CSPO-GIF-404 - Expansion of Broker Reference Field on Entry and Entry Summary has been disapproved (11/08/06). This GIF will not be implemented for ACS; it will be considered for A2 Status has been changed to "Pending"
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>REV-GIF-611</b>	<b>611</b>	SURETY ACCESS TO AUTHORIZED DATA EXTRACT: When there is a breach of bond conditions on an entry and/or entry summary, the surety which has underwritten the bond covering the entry/entry summary is entitled to all information related to that entry/entry summary. This gives the surety the information it needs so that it can address any demand against the bond in a timely fashion. The information is necessary for the surety to exercise its rights and obligations to protest, petition, or pay after reviewing the entry data to determine the validity of the CBP demand on the surety	9/5/2007	Pending CBP L/P Input	POST CORE	DEC 3 2015: THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR POST CORE DEVELOPMENT  October 2014: Pending development in future increments.  MAY 20, 2009 - THIS REQUIREMENT (CSPO GIF 611) HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE CCB ON JULY 9, 2008 AND IS MAPPED TO RELEASE A2.4. (REFERENCE CR 3027)  09/05/2007 - Submitted to CBP for GIF Board Review. CSPO-GIF-611
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>TRA-001</b>		<p>The "Transition Matrix" is an interactive help screen that will give real-time guidance as to which system (ACE or ACS) is used, and specific technical data relevant to using the systems during the lengthy incremental transition from ACS to ACE.</p> <p>The matrix could be in the form of a screen within the ACE portal environment, or a web page in a separate Internet domain. But must be able to be invoked from any screen accessed by a CBP or trade user.</p> <p>The "Transition Matrix" will provide users with information on which system, ACS or ACE, is the system of record for specific functions at specific ports, and how data is transmitted and received to and from the system (s).</p> <p>It could achieve this goal through a number of different input approaches, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•An interactive online form where users could select elements such as port code, date of entry, mode of transportation, etc.</li> <li>•A graphic "map-type" display of ports, where a given port location could be clicked on to allow a view of a chart showing which functional items will transition from ACS to ACE on which dates.</li> <li>•A simple spreadsheet approach organized by port name or port code, with the same information</li> </ul> <p>In any case, the output of such a system would allow users to know which system is the system of record for any given activity on any given date, and to know of any special rules or protocols necessary in terms of data transmission or message receipt.</p>	9/17/2004	Withdrawn	A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	<p>(Reviewed by CCR team June 2006-regular updates will be provided)</p> <p>9/11/07 This requirement has been withdrawn by the Transition Committee- it has been overtaken by events</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						
<b>TRA-GIF 494</b>	<b>494</b>	<p>The ACE Portal only saves data that is "keyed" into it. For carriers that dual utilize EDI and the Portal, this is a severe detriment. It causes the user to key data (Conveyance/Driver/Shipper/Consignee...)even though it has been supplied to Customs via an EDI manifest. For example: UPS send John Smith's and Tom Jones' driver data daily via an EDI transmission of their ACE manifest. John Smith gets ill prior to crossing the border and UPS wants to replace him with Tom Jones and utilize the Portal to amend the manifest. The Portal forces the user to key all of Tom's data elements because there is no previous record with the Portal. The Portal should store EDI data similarly to the way it stores keyed information. The method of the data should not matter thereby providing the user greater flexibility.</p>	1/16/2007	Accepted	M2.2	<p>October 2, 2008 - CSPO-GIF-494 was approved by the CCB and is in closed status.</p> <p>2/7/08: The trade requested that for purposes of the manifest (309 or CUSCAR), they be allowed to transmit crew, conveyance, and equipment data with an indicator reflecting that this data should be added to their portal account so that in the future, they can reference their ACE ID and not have to input all of that information into their portal account. The CCR team is looking at this request for purposes of the M2 scope. It is doubtful that the functionality will be provided through the 309 or CUSCAR. More than likely, this functionality will be provided through an X.12 359 message which would be the equivalent to the UN/EDIFACT MEDPID message currently available.</p>
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>TRA-GIF 495</b>	<b>495</b>	By sharing basic company data between the ACE Portal and the C-TPAT Portal, both CBP and the trade eliminate redundancies and avoid the requirement of updating two systems when basic company information changes. The C-TPAT team will benefit by seeing partner information as it is in the system of record (ACE) and by not having to verify manually entered information. Trade will benefit by eliminating the requirement to manually enter information into a second computer system, that is, the C-TPAT Portal.	1/16/2007	Rejected	A1, A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	June 28, 2007 - CSPO-GIF-495 was disapproved by the CCB as needing OFO concurrence.  2/7/08: Although everyone agrees that this is good idea, CBP does not know when there will be money available to do this. This GIF will be kept on hold; this requires a lot of coordination with other offices.
<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett						

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>TRA-GIF 183</b>		<p>e-Manifest is a major data collection event required by Trade Act Legislation. The sheer magnitude of the trade and CBP costs bringing on-line a new data participant- the trucker - requires forward thinking on how can CBP and the trade effectively implement and 'service' e-manifest. Efforts have solely focused on pushing the trucker to develop infrastructure to either submit directly through the ACE portal or developing EDI solutions or using data processing centers to file trips data and commodity manifest data. From a ramp-up perspective to full implementation in this fashion is both prohibitively expensive for a majority of the trucker community, especially along the Southern Border where the business is dominated by very small Mexican trucking companies who provide just in time trucking to the Maquila or Produce Distributor. The Broker is a logical go-to party for collecting, and managing the e-manifest data. They have the IT infrastructure to absorb the e-manifest data collection requirements. They are ABI (translate to ACE) experienced. They have the front line relationship with CBP ABI/ACE personnel - i.e., ABI Reps. In fact, by allowing the broker to participate in a formal e-manifest role, CBP will be able to leverage this relationship expertise to maximize implementation timelines, continue the process of integrating Brokers' IT systems with ACE, which will give CBP a head start as it enters the later phases of ACE for entry processing, liquidation, etc. Additionally, on the Southern Border, the Broker has played the role of preparing the 7533 and Pro-forma's as well as managing the truck flows into the US. Another additional compounding element in implementing e-manifesting on the Southern Border is the cross-cultural barriers such as language. Again, the US Broker, being bi-lingual, and conversant with CBP is the most efficient intermediary between the trucking community and CBP. Their intimate knowledge of CBP rules and regulations, and existing IT sophistication will allow CBP to efficiently leverage their staff, (ABI-ACE account reps), and by reducing the # of contact points (1K brokers vs. 100K truckers), they will gain a higher level of compliance, and more rapid implementation at a significantly less cost to both trade and CBP. ABI Reps have indicated that this would work well for them, and would be preferred to being responsible for a new, large trade group. In these times of rising federal deficits, trade and CBP has the responsibility to examine hybrid solutions such as these. The IT requirements are fairly simple. - create a header record similar to the ABI A/B record</p>	12/29/2005	Withdrawn	4	This GIF was withdrawn by the Committee on March 29, 2006 due to the publication of the Third Party Manifest FRN (see, 71 FR 15756, published March 29, 2006), which now meets this requirement recommendation.

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		that id's the trucker (SCAC), and the filer (ABI Filer #), and allow the Carrier to have a one to many relationship with the brokers, similar to what the importer is able to do. There will have to be some tweaking of account access and record review on the ACE portal. (The registration requirements for ACE participation will not be significantly impacted.)				
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>TRA-GIF 184</b>	<b>550</b>	A notification of a change to be sent to an EDI Filer when someone changes the manifest on the portal. This will allow the trade to keep their data synchronized with what CBP has on the portal.	12/29/2006	Rejected	4, M2.2	New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-550 : This GIF was REJECTED 6/27/07
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>TRA-GIF 185</b>	<b>551</b>	ACE development would progress faster if the service center/software vendor was allowed to access the portal to try different types of data submission scenarios to test theories. Grant access to the Portal for Software Developers/Service Vendors. This would be a test scenario and data would not be transferred into the live production areas within CBP. Security within the portal would have to be adjusted so that the Service Center/Software Vendors can only see the information for a carrier that they have transmitted. Alternatively, the Service Center/ Software Vendors can be assigned a test Carrier SCAC code that they can use for sending EDI data and for Portal access instead of using a real carrier SCAC code.	12/29/2006	Accepted	4, A1	New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-551 - ACCEPTED 3/14/07 CR-2266  This GIF has been approved for CR status
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				
<b>TRA-GIF 186</b>		ACE should allow for batches of messages to be sent and processed in sequence instead of sending all messages to the processor's within separate processes (on the ACE EDI end), This will ensure that messages are processed in the order that the trade is expecting. When they are run out of order, unexpected errors occur.	12/29/2006	Rejected	4	This GIF was declined/rejected because this functionality already exists in ACE
		<i>Owner:</i> Monica Crockett				

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
TRA-GIF 200	291	(1) Despite the best efforts of CBP to design a system that allows for electronic submission of all entry data, there will always be new situations that require additional documentation. This often occurs in the form of signed statements from the importer or foreign shipper. (An example is the Solid Wood Packing Material issue when it first arose.) Such certifications, or new forms required by agencies other than CBP currently force entries to revert to paper processing. (2) In many cases, processing by CBP, or the resolution of inquiries by CBP (e.g., requests for information about a product), could be made much more efficient through the use of scanned images which are part of the electronic record of an entry, or attached at the account ID level to a company as a whole.	1/9/2006	Rejected	A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	New GIF number = CSPO-GIF-291 - REJECTED  This GIF replaces the following requirements: BUS-001, BUS-002, and INT-3
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			
TRA-GIF 201	290	Software development for data transfers between parties has evolved over the recent years using new formats such as XML. Many companies have experience with XML data transfers and would like to use this format to exchange data with CBP. Customs has historically developed proprietary standards for EDI, and under ACE should ensure that XML utilization for Trade interfaces complies with appropriate international standards. CBP will continue to support multiple EDI standards. ACE will permit transmission by the following EDI standards: ANSI-X 12 UN/EDIFACT CATAIR CAMIR AESTIR Cargo IMP (CBP Implementation will be more closely aligned with IATA standards). As new data standards are developed in the software industry, CBP should evaluate the standards to see if they should also be offered as an alternative standard for data transfers.	1/9/2006	Rejected	A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	Nie GIF number = CSPO-GIF-290 - REJECTED  This GIF replaces the following requirements: INT-1 and INT-7.  This GIF has been referred to CBP for consideration at the enterprise level.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
TRA-GIF 202	289	Whenever an ACE capability is deployed that would replace a legacy interface capability, the deployment should be done in a phased in approach instead of a nation-wide "Big Bang" plan where CBP and Trade would be required to deploy for use on a specific day and time. Additionally, whenever possible, CBP should implement "their side" of the change prior to making a change mandatory for the trade. For example, CBP could deploy the Entry Summary processing to the ACE system using the existing EI messages from the Trade. Once all of the existing entry summaries can be processed successfully, then CBP can request that the trade submit the changed format EI message. A deadline would be set by CBP to have all filers submitting the changed format message by a particular day.	1/9/2006	Rejected	A2, A3, M1, M2, M3	New GIF Number = CSPO-GIF-289 - REJECTED  This GIF replaces the following requirement: INT-2. This GIF was withdrawn by the Committee on 2/27/06 due to the fact that the decision to pursue a "Big Bang" deployment strategy has already been made by CBP.
		<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett			

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>TRA-GIF-203</b>	<b>929</b>	<p>HTS TARIFF QUERY</p> <p>The trade would like the following indicators added to the tariff query and download:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Other Agency Requirements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>oAdditional agency indicators should be added for any agency that has tariff specific processing and reporting requirements. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•EPA Required / Maybe required</li> <li>•TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act) Certification Required</li> <li>•CPSC (Consumer Products Safety Commission) Required</li> <li>•Free Trade Agreements</li> </ul> </li> <li>oThese need to be returned for all tariff numbers where they can apply. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Currently there is an issue with the FTA for the Gaza Strip. Customs requires input of SPI primary N for duty-free on textile items with c/o and c/e JO, but does not return the N with the tariff number. For example: Tariff Numbers 4811.59.4040, 6103.42.1020 and 6109.10.0012</li> <li>•Restricted countries by HTS. There are many tariff numbers that cannot be used for imports from specific countries and there is no way to know what tariff numbers those are. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>oThere are many tariff numbers that cannot be used for imports from Iran.</li> <li>oNetherlands Antilles (AN) and Bahamas (BS) cannot be reported as the country of origin for crude oil because they do not produce the oil, they only store it.</li> <li>oAnother example is with Shrimp and State Department certification based on compliant use of Sea Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs) by country.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>•On May 1, 2008, the Department of State certified 40 nations and one economy as meeting the requirements set by Section 609 of P.L. 101-162 for continued importation of shrimp into the United States. Section 609 prohibits importation of shrimp and products of shrimp harvested in a manner that may adversely affect sea turtle species.</li> <li>•Ranges of Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) numbers require the submission of a formal entry for commercial shipments valued over \$250 as per CBP Regulations 143.21(a). See Appendix K of CATAIR. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o3901.10.0010 – 4304.00.0000</li> <li>o5001.00.0000 – 6706.90.0000</li> </ul> </li> <li>•Some Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) numbers require the submission of a formal entry for commercial shipments regardless of value. See Appendix K of CATAIR. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o3005.10.1000 3005.90.5090</li> <li>o9404.90.1000 9404.90.9570</li> </ul> </li> <li>•Rate from Associated - The tariff rate is obtained</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	7/17/2008	Accepted	INC 13	<p>DEC 3 2015: THIS GIF IS IN DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>JULY 16, 2009: THIS REQUIREMENT(GIF 929) IS PENDING CBP CHANGE CONTROL BOARD (CCB) REVIEW.</p>

from another tariff number. CBP internally has a reference code field that performs this function.

- oExample – 9813.00.50, 9017.90.0005-9017.90.0060, 5810.91.0010-5810.99.9000 (“The rate applicable to the article of which it is a part or accessory”)
- Aircraft - The use of “\*\*\*” as the carrier code on an entry is only permitted if the tariff number is defined as an aircraft. An example: 8802.40.0099
- License, Certificates and Permits
  - oAgriculture License
  - oCanadian Export Sugar Certificate
  - oWool License
  - oCaribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA) Certification
- Example – 9820.11.15
- oAfrican Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA) Textile Provision Number
- Hong Kong Visa
  - oSee Appendix K of CATAIR – Examples: 6103.29.2066, 6104.29.2077 and 6110.90.9018
- Watch Flag - Special processing required for watches and clocks. See statistical notes for Chapter 91. For example:
  - o9109.19.6020, 9101.11.4000
- Sets - Numbers that define a set – “The rate of duty applicable to that article in the set subject to the highest rate of duty” and “The rate applicable to each article in the absence of this subheading”.
  - o9608.50.0000, 8215.20.0000, 8215.10.0000, 8206.00.0000, 8205.90.0000
- TIB fields for TIB Bond 110%, TIB Duration (6 or 12 months), and TIB Extension Limit (2 additional years). For example:
  - o9813.00.20, 9813.00.30, 9813.00.50, 9813.00.55, 9813.00.75
- MPF Not Required – For Example:
  - o9999.00.84, 9813.00.75, 9813.00.30, 9802.00.5030-9802.00.5060
- HMF Not Required – For Example:
  - o 9813.00.75, 9813.00.30
- Chapter 99 Numbers
  - oGrouping Required
  - oDuty Overrides what would normally be on the 1-98 numbers. For example:
    - 9903.02.21, 9903.02.45, 9903.41.10
  - oDuty in Addition to the duty on the 1-98 numbers. For example:
    - 9904.02.01, 9904.02.17, 9904.05.01
  - oTextile and Apparel Goods from Canada and Mexico. For example:
    - 9999.00.54, 9999.00.61 and 9999.00.84
  - Group Processing – Tariff numbers that must be grouped with other numbers
    - oFor example: Tariffs that are listed in General Note

<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<p>25(M) and require 9999.00.84 grouping to exempt MPF, CBP does not send us an SPI in the tariff file because the 9999.00.84 grouping forces the exemption and no SPI is required, but we have no way of knowing which tariff numbers should be grouped with this 99 number because CBP does not returns any flags in the tariff file.</p> <p>oMore examples: 9017.90.0005 ("The rate applicable to the article of which it is a part or accessory"), 5810.91.0010 ("Free, but in the case of embroidery in the piece not less than the rate which would apply to such product if not embroidered."), Most Chapter 98 and 99 numbers.</p> <p>•Cotton Assessment Excluded on Associated # and Base #</p> <p>oWhen the cotton fee does not apply even if the HTS requires the fee</p> <p>oFor example if a cotton classification is used with several of the 9802 provisions the fee does not apply. Additionally there is an override code that can be used to let ABI know that the cotton fee does not apply. This override code can be used only on some of the HTS that requires the fee.</p> <p>oExample – 9802.00.4020</p> <p>•Province of Origin Reporting Required for Canadian Lumber</p> <p>oExample – 4407.10.0001</p> <p>•Column 1 Quantity 1 Requires 1 Decimal Position</p> <p>oExample – 2205.10.6000</p> <p>•Column 1 Quantity 1 Requires 2 Decimal Positions</p> <p>oExample – 0402.21.5000</p> <p>•Column 1 Quantity 2 Requires 1 Decimal Position</p> <p>oExample – 1701.12.0500</p> <p>•Column 1 Quantity 2 Requires 2 Decimal Positions</p> <p>oExample – 0401.30.7500</p> <p>•Column 1 Quantity 3 Requires 1 Decimal Position</p> <p>oExample - None in current tariff.</p> <p>•Column 1 Quantity 3 Requires 2 Decimal Positions</p> <p>oExample – 5503.40.0000</p> <p>•Column 2 Quantity 1 Requires 1 Decimal Position</p> <p>oExample – 2204.10.0030</p> <p>•Column 2 Quantity 1 Requires 2 Decimal Positions</p> <p>oExample – 2203.00.0090</p> <p>•Column 2 Quantity 2 Requires 1 Decimal Position</p> <p>oExample – 1701.11.0500</p> <p>•Column 2 Quantity 2 Requires 2 Decimal Positions</p> <p>oExample – 2204.30.0000</p> <p>•Column 2 Quantity 3 Requires 1 Decimal Position</p> <p>oExample - None in current tariff.</p> <p>•Column 2 Quantity 3 Requires 2 Decimal Positions</p> <p>oExample - None in current tariff.</p> <p>•Quantity 1 – Not Provided for in the Tariff</p> <p>oExample - None in current tariff.</p> <p>•Quantity 2 – Not Provided for in the Tariff</p> <p>oExample – 2105.00.1000</p>				



<i>Requirement #</i>	<i>GIF</i>	<i>TSN Requirement Description</i>	<i>Submission date</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Release (s)</i>	<i>Comments</i>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Quantity 3 – Not Provided for in the Tariff</li> <li>oExample - None in current tariff.</li> <li>•Textile Visa/Quota Reporting Qty is Qty 2</li> <li>oExample – 4202.12.4000</li> <li>•Singapore (ISI) Eligible</li> <li>oExample – 3818.00.0010</li> <li>•Tariff Number Restricted from use with RLF</li> <li>oExample – 0711.20.1800</li> <li>•Duty Rate/Amount may be entered</li> <li>oExample – 5810.91.0010</li> <li>•IR Tax Rate/Amount may be entered</li> <li>oExample – 9801.00.1015</li> </ul>				
			<i>Owner:</i>	Monica Crockett		

**Definition of Status categories:**

<b>Accepted</b>	The user requirement and/or GIF has been accepted by CBP and delivered in an ACE Release
<b>Accepted in Part</b>	A portion of the use requirement has been delivered in ACE but other portions of the user requirement are still pending CBP approval
<b>Pending</b>	The user requirement is pending CBP review and analysis for a future release
<b>Rejected</b>	CBP has rejected this recommendation.
<b>Withdrawn</b>	The recommendation is: a) no longer relevant; b) overtaken by events; or c) already incorporated in existing functionality or other recommendations.
<b>Preliminary CBP Approval</b>	The user requirement has been agreed to by CBP for planning consideration in a future release, but the requirement has not yet been delivered in an ACE release.
<b>Pending CBP HQ Input</b>	The user requirement will require further review by appropriate CBP HQ offices prior to formal CBP CSPO acceptance.
<b>Pending CBP L/P Input</b>	Requires CBP L/P review to determine if there are any L/P dependencies that will impact action on the recommendation.
<b>Pending Further Committee Review</b>	The user requirement has been returned to the submitting committee for further clarification and specificity.
<b>Replaced</b>	Recommendation was completely revised and replaced by a new version of the recommendation and/or included in a GIF

**Release/Drop Categories for Entry Summary Account and Revenue (ESAR) and Cargo Control and Release (CCR)**

	<b>ENTRY SUMMARY ACCOUNTS &amp; REVENUE (ESAR)</b>
<b>A1</b>	Master Data and Enhanced Accounts
<b>A2</b>	Entry Summary and Revenue
<b>A3</b>	Drawback, Protest, and IASS
	<b>CARGO CONTROL &amp; RELEASE (CCR)</b>
<b>M1</b>	e-Manifest: Rail & Sea
<b>M2.1</b>	e-Manifest: Air
<b>M2.2</b>	Full Multi-Modal Manifest – All Modes
<b>M2.3</b>	Cargo Release Processes
<b>M3</b>	Enhanced Tracking, Exports (AES), Manifests