DONATIONS OF TECHNOLOGY AND SUPPORT SERVICES TO ENFORCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security; Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document proposes amendments to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) regulations pertaining to the enforcement of intellectual property rights. Specifically, CBP is proposing amendments to implement a section of the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 which requires CBP to prescribe regulatory procedures for the donation of technologies, training, or other support services for the purpose of assisting CBP in intellectual property enforcement. The proposed regulations would enhance CBP’s intellectual property rights enforcement capabilities.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 3, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number, by one of the following methods:


Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this rulemaking. All comments received
will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Public Participation” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov. Submitted comments may be inspected during regular business days between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. at the Trade and Commercial Regulations Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade, Customs and Border Protection, 90 K Street NE., 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20229–1177. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark at (202) 325–0118.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Participation

Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments on all aspects of the proposed rule. U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) also invites comments that relate to the economic, environmental, or federalism effects that might result from this proposed rulemaking. Comments that will provide the most assistance to CBP will reference a specific portion of the proposed rulemaking, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include data, information, or authority that supports such recommended change. See ADDRESSES above for information on how to submit comments.

Background

The Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 (TFTEA), Public Law 114–125, 130 Stat. 122 (19 U.S.C. 4301 note), enacted February 24, 2016, includes an assortment of trade facilitation and trade enforcement provisions, including several that focus on improving CBP’s intellectual property rights (IPR) enforcement at the border. Section 308(d) of the TFTEA requires the Commissioner of CBP to prescribe regulations that will enable CBP to receive donations of technologies, training, and other support services for the purpose of assisting CBP in detecting and identifying imports that infringe intellectual property rights.
In House Report 114–114, the House Ways and Means Committee stated that CBP should take steps to ensure that personnel dedicated to enforcement of IPR are effectively trained to detect and identify infringing imports. The Committee noted that much of the expertise in this area lies within the private sector, and that companies are most knowledgeable about their products and can provide valuable training to CBP on detection. H.R. 114–114 at 76.

Discussion of Proposed Amendments

New Subpart H to Part 133—Donations of Intellectual Property Rights Technology and Support Services

§ 133.61
This document proposes to implement section 308(d) of the TFTEA by promulgating a new subpart H to part 133 of title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations, entitled “[D]onations of Intellectual Property Rights Technology and Support Services,” which would provide for the receipt and acceptance by CBP of donations of hardware, software, equipment, and similar technologies, as well as training and support services, for the purpose of assisting CBP in enforcing IPR. It is also proposed to add and reserve subpart G to part 133.

New subpart H, as set forth in proposed new § 133.61, prescribes the methods by which donations of IPR technology and support services may be made. Specifically, proposed 19 CFR 133.61(a) sets forth the scope of this section and identifies the relevant authority. Proposed 19 CFR 133.61(b) prescribes the conditions applicable to a donation offer and provides that CBP will notify the donor, in writing, if additional information is requested or if CBP has determined that it will not accept the donation. In this regard, it is noted that CBP will take into consideration all aspects of the proposed donation offer, including whether such offer would pose a real or potential conflict between the interests of the donor and the interests of the government. Proposed 19 CFR 133.61(c) provides that if CBP elects to accept a donation offer, CBP will enter into a signed, written agreement with an authorized representative of the donating entity that commemorates all applicable terms and conditions, and that an agreement to accept training and other support services must provide that the services or training are offered without the expectation of payment and that the service provider expressly waives any future claims against the government.
Authority To Accept Donations

As noted above, pursuant to section 308(d) of the TFTEA, CBP is required to prescribe regulatory procedures for donations of hardware, software, equipment, and similar technologies, as well as training and support services, for the purpose of assisting CBP in enforcing IPR.


Section 482 of the Homeland Security Act replaced section 559 of Title V of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (Pub. L. 113–76) and permits CBP, in consultation with the General Services Administration (GSA), to “enter into an agreement with any entity to accept a donation of personal property, money, or nonpersonal services” to be used for certain CBP activities at most ports of entry where CBP performs inspection services. Generally speaking, donations may be used for certain activities of CBP’s Office of Field Operations, including expenses related to “(A) furniture, equipment, or technology, including the installation or deployment of such items; and (B) the operation and maintenance of such furniture, fixtures, equipment or technology.” Section 482(a)(3). To implement section 482, CBP will build upon its experience in implementing section 559 of Title V of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, where CBP and the GSA issued the Section 559 Donation Acceptance Authority Proposal Evaluation Procedures & Criteria Framework in October, 2014.1 Pursuant to Section 482(c)(3), CBP in consultation with GSA will establish criteria for evaluating donation proposals under Section 482 and make such criteria publicly available.

Donations that may not be accepted under section 482 may be considered under section 507 of the DHS Appropriations Act of 2004. Section 507 of the DHS Appropriations Act of 2004 made the DHS Gifts and Donations account (formerly the Federal Emergency Management Agency “Bequests and Gifts” account) “available to the Department of Homeland Security . . . for the Secretary of Homeland Security to accept, hold, administer and utilize gifts and bequests, including property, to facilitate the work of the Department of Home-

land Security.” Title V, Public Law 108–90, 117 Stat. 1153–1154. DHS policy on the acceptance of gifts pursuant to section 507 is contained in DHS Directive 112–02 and DHS Instruction 112–02–001. The Secretary of DHS delegated the authority to accept and utilize gifts to the heads of certain DHS components, including the Commissioner of CBP, in DHS Delegation 0006.

**Executive Orders 13563 and 12866**

Executive Orders 13563 and 12866 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This proposed rule is not a “significant regulatory action,” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed this regulation.

This rule proposes amendments to the CBP regulations that would prescribe procedures for the voluntary donation of technology, training, and other support services for the purpose of assisting CBP in enforcing IPR, as required by section 308(d) of the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015, Public Law 114–125, 130 Stat. 122 (19 U.S.C. 4301 note). These donations would improve CBP’s knowledge of intellectual property and improve its ability to detect infringing articles and prevent their importation.

Because donations under this rule would be voluntary, CBP assumes that entities would only make donations if they believe it is in their best interest to do so. The cost of the donation itself, including any training provided, would vary greatly depending on the particulars of the donation. Due to a lack of data on the types of donations that entities would offer as a result of this rulemaking, CBP is unable to estimate the cost of these donations to the public. In addition to the cost of the donated product or training itself, donors would bear some paperwork related costs with this rule. Under this rule, if finalized, entities must submit an offer of a donation in writing to CBP and provide all pertinent details regarding the scope, purpose, expected benefits, intended use, estimated costs, and proposed conditions of the donation. Based on discussions with CBP’s Office of Field Operations, CBP estimates that approximately 50 entities would make donations annually and that there would be one donation made per entity annually, for a total of 50 donations per year. CBP estimates that it would take an entity approximately 2 hours to write the offer
of donation. In most cases, CBP believes that attorneys either employed or hired by the donor would write the offer of donation. Considering the median hourly wage of an attorney of $80.83, writing the offers of donation would result in a total annual time cost to donors of $8,083 ($80.83 * 2 hours * 50 written donation proposals). This would equate to a cost of $161.66 per entity. CBP again notes that this is a voluntary program, and entities would only provide donations if the benefits of doing so outweigh the costs.

In addition to donor costs, this rule would introduce a time cost to CBP to process each offer of donation. As with donor costs, CBP’s cost to receive and learn about the donated article would depend on the particulars of the donation. Also, accepting the donation is voluntary on CBP’s part and the agency would only accept the donation if it is in CBP’s best interest to do so. In addition to CBP’s costs associated with receiving and learning about the donated article, there are quantifiable costs to CBP related to evaluating the donation and making a decision on whether to accept it under the conditions provided. CBP estimates, at a minimum, the agency’s evaluation time to be approximately 10 hours for each of the 50 donations made to CBP annually. CBP predicts that in most cases, each written offer of donation would be evaluated by five CBP employees. Based on the average hourly wage for a general CBP employee of $55.91, evaluating the offer of donation would result in a total annual time cost to CBP of $8,545 ($55.91 * 10 hours * 50 written donation proposals). This would equate to a cost of $170.90 per entity. CBP again notes that this is a voluntary program, and entities would only provide donations if the benefits of doing so outweigh the costs.


4 CBP bases this wage on the FY 2015 salary and benefits of the national average of general, non-CBP Officer/frontline CBP positions, which is equal to a GS–12, Step 5. Source: Email correspondence with CBP’s Office of Administration on June 25, 2015.
ating the 50 offers of donation each year would result in an annual
time cost to CBP of $139,775.00 ($55.91 * 5 CBP employees * 10 hours
* 50 written donation proposals). On average, each offer of donation
would cost CBP $2,795.50 in evaluation time costs.

In summary, this rule could result in a total quantifiable annual
cost to the public of $8,083 and a total annual cost to CBP of
$139,775.00. Additionally, the public would bear a cost equal to the
value of the donation and CBP would bear a cost to accept the
donation. As these costs would vary depending on the particulars of
the donation, CBP is unable to quantify them in this analysis. Be-
cause donations are voluntary for both the donor and CBP, donations
would presumably only occur if the benefits to each party outweigh
the costs.

Along with costs, the proposed rule would provide benefits to the
donor and CBP. In particular, the proposed rule would enhance CBP’s
IPR enforcement capabilities by making donations of authentication
devices, equipment, and training available to CBP personnel. This
would help protect the entities making donations from the illegal
importation of IPR-infringing products. The value of this benefit
would vary depending on how much an entity believes IPR enforce-
ment would improve because of its donation. As stated earlier, an
entity would only make the donation if it believes the benefits of
improved IPR enforcement outweigh the costs.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et. seq.), as amended by
the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement and Fairness Act of
1996, requires agencies to assess the impact of regulations on small
entities. A small entity may be a small business (defined as any
independently owned and operated business not dominant in its field
that qualifies as a small business per the Small Business Act); a small
not-for-profit organization; or a small governmental jurisdiction (lo-
cality with fewer than 50,000 people).

This proposed rule, if finalized, would allow entities to voluntarily
donate technology, training, and other support services to improve
CBP’s ability to enforce IPR potentially related to their goods. As any
entity with intellectual property could make these donations, this
rule may affect a substantial number of small entities. However, this
rule imposes no new obligations on entities, including those consid-
ered small. Any small entity that chooses to make these donations
would presumably do so because it believes the benefits of donating
exceed the costs. Therefore, this rule would not have a significant
economic impact on small entities. Given these reasons, CBP certifies
that this rule, if finalized, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. CBP invites public comments on this determination.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

An agency may not conduct, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid control number assigned by OMB.

OMB approved collection 1651–0123 will be amended to reflect a new information collection proposed by this rule for written offers of donations to CBP of technology, training, and other support services in accordance with 19 CFR 133.61(b). CBP estimates that this rule would result in 50 responses each year and 100 burden hours to respondents annually. The new information collection would reflect the burden hours for each written offer of donation provided to CBP as follows:

- **Estimated number of annual respondents**: 50.
- **Estimated number of annual responses**: 50.
- **Estimated time burden per response**: 2 hours.
- **Estimated total annual time burden**: 100 hours.

**Signing Authority**

This proposed regulation is being issued in accordance with 19 CFR 0.1(a)(1) pertaining to the Secretary of the Treasury’s authority (or that of his delegate) to approve regulations related to certain customs revenue functions.

**List of Subjects**

**19 CFR Part 133**

Circumvention devices, Copying or simulating trademarks, Copyrights, Counterfeit goods, Customs duties and inspection, Detentions, Donations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Restricted merchandise, Seizures and forfeitures, Technology, Trademarks, Trade names, Support services.

**Proposed Amendments to Part 133 of the CBP Regulations**

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, CBP proposes to amend 19 CFR part 133 as set forth below:
PART 133—TRADEMARKS, TRADE NAMES, AND COPYRIGHTS

1. The general authority citation for part 133 continues, and the specific authority for new subpart H is added, to read as follows:


    Section 133.61 also issued under Sec. 308(d), Pub. L. 114–125; Sec. 507, Pub. L. 108–90; Sec. 2, Pub. L. 114–279.

Subpart G—[Reserved].

2. Add and reserve subpart G.

3. Add subpart H, consisting of

§ 133.61, to read as follows:


§ 133.61 Donations of intellectual property rights technology and support services.

    (a) Scope. The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) is authorized to accept donations of hardware, software, equipment, and similar technologies, as well as donated support services and training, from private sector entities, for the purpose of assisting CBP in enforcing intellectual property rights. Such acceptance must be consistent with the conditions set forth in this section and section 308(d) of the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015, as well as either section 482 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 or section 507 of the DHS Appropriations Act of 2004.

    (b) Donation offer. A donation offer must be submitted to CBP either via email, to IPRdonations@cbp.dhs.gov, or mailed to the attention of the Executive Assistant Commissioner, Office of Field Operations, or his/her designee. The donation offer must describe the proposed donation in sufficient detail to enable CBP to determine its compatibility with existing CBP technologies, networks, and facilities (e.g. operating system or similar requirements, power supply requirements, item size and weight, etc.). The donation offer must also include information pertaining to the donation’s scope, purpose, expected benefits, intended use, costs, and attached conditions, as applicable, that is sufficient to enable CBP to evaluate the donation and
make a determination as to whether to accept it. CBP will notify the donor, in writing, if additional information is requested or if CBP has determined that it will not accept the donation.

(c) Agreement to accept donation. If CBP accepts a donation of hardware, software, equipment, technologies, or to accept training and other support services, for the purpose of enforcing intellectual property rights, CBP will enter into a signed, written agreement with an authorized representative of the donor. The agreement must contain all applicable terms and conditions of the donation. An agreement to accept training and other support services must provide that the services or training are offered without the expectation of payment, and that the service provider expressly waives any future claims against the government.

Dated: January 09, 2017.

R. Gil Kerlikowske,
Commissioner.

Timothy E. Skud,
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

DESTATEMENT FOR MODIFICATIONS OF THE NATIONAL CUSTOMS AUTOMATION PROGRAM TESTS REGARDING RECONCILIATION, POST-SUMMARY CORRECTIONS, AND PERIODIC MONTHLY STATEMENTS


ACTION: Delay of effective date.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that the effective date for the modifications to the National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) tests regarding Reconciliation, Post-Summary Corrections, and Periodic Monthly Statements is delayed until further notice. On December 12, 2016, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published a notice in the Federal Register announcing modifications to the National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) test regarding reconciliation, and the transition of the test from the Automated Commercial System (ACS) to the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE). The modifications made by this notice were to be effective on January 14, 2017. On December 12, 2016, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published in the Federal Register a document announcing CBP’s plans to modify and clarify the National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) test regarding Post-Summary Correction (PSC) claims to entry summaries that are filed in the Automated
Commercial Environment (ACE), as well as the Periodic Monthly Statement (PMS) test. The notice liberalized and eliminated some requirements needed for the filing of PSCs; however, it also placed burdens on the importer in the form of a restriction and a prohibition. Subsequently, CBP published a notice in the Federal Register on January 9, 2017, to remove the restriction imposed on all PSC filings to make payments within three business days of submitting the PSC, with the exception of entry type 03 filings, and to remove the prohibition of filing additional PSCs until additional duties, fees and taxes are deposited. The changes to the PSC and PMS tests were to have been effective January 14, 2017. This notice announces that the effective date for the modifications to these NCAP tests has been delayed until further notice.

DATES: The effective date for the modifications to the reconciliation, PSC, and PMS NCAP tests is delayed until further notice.

ADDRESSES: Comments concerning the reconciliation test program may be submitted any time during the test via email, with a subject line identifier reading, “Comment on Reconciliation test”, to OFO-RECONFOLDER@cbp.dhs.gov.

Comments concerning the PSC and PMS test programs may be submitted via email to Monica Crockett at ESARinfoinbox@dhs.gov with a subject line identifier reading, “Post-Summary Corrections and Periodic Monthly Statements.”

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Reconciliation: Acenitha Kennedy, Entry Summary and Revenue Branch, Trade Policy and Programs, Office of Trade at (202) 863–6064 or ACENITHA.KENNEDY@CBP.DHS.GOV.

PSC and PMS: For policy-related questions, contact Randy Mitchell, Director, Commercial Operations, Trade Policy and Programs, Office of Trade, at Randy.Mitchell@cbp.dhs.gov. For technical questions related to ABI transmissions, contact your assigned client representative. Interested parties without an assigned client representative should direct their questions to the Client Representative Branch at (703) 650–3500.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

I. Reconciliation Test

On December 12, 2016, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published a notice entitled “Modification of the National Customs
Automation Program Test Regarding Reconciliation and Transition of the Test from the Automated Commercial System to the Automated Commercial Environment” in the Federal Register (81 FR 89486), with an effective date of January 14, 2017. This notice announced modifications to the National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) test regarding reconciliation, and the transition of the test from the Automated Commercial System (ACS) to the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE). The modifications eliminated several requirements for participation in the test, imposed new data requirements, and established the requirement that reconciliation entries be filed in ACE regardless of whether the underlying entry was filed in ACS or ACE.

CBP has assessed stakeholder readiness for the mandatory transition of post-release capabilities in ACE, including the modifications to the reconciliation test and the transition of reconciliation filings from ACS to ACE. This notice announces that the effective date for the modifications to the reconciliation test, and for mandatory filing of reconciliation entries in ACE has been delayed until further notice.

II. Post-Summary Correction and Periodic Monthly Statement Tests

On December 12, 2016, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published a notice in the Federal Register (81 FR 89482) announcing plans to modify and clarify, effective January 14, 2017, the National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) test regarding Post-Summary Correction (PSC) claims, and the Periodic Monthly Statement (PMS) test. The modifications made by the notice eliminated or liberalized certain requirements for the filing of a PSC, making it easier for importers to file a PSC for additional entry types, and allowed filers additional time to make a deposit for duties, fees and taxes owed. With regard to the PMS test program, the notice announced the time at which CBP considers a PMS as paid when filers use the Automated Clearing House (ACH) debit process.

Subsequently, CBP decided not to implement two of the changes announced in the December 12, 2016 notice. In a notice published in the Federal Register (82 FR 2385) on January 9, 2017, CBP removed the requirement that additional duties, fees and taxes be submitted within three business days of filing a PSC, and limited the restriction of submitting payment to PSC filings declaring an increase of liability for antidumping/ countervailing duties and associated fees and taxes. The notice also removed the prohibition of filing additional PSCs until the duties, fees and taxes are deposited. Like the changes made in the December 12, 2016 notice, these changes were to become effective on January 14, 2017. This notice announces that the effective date for the modifications to the PSC and PMS tests has been delayed until further notice.

Brenda B. Smith,
Executive Assistant Commissioner,
Office of Trade.

DELAY OF EFFECTIVE DATE FOR THE AUTOMATED COMMERCIAL ENVIRONMENT (ACE) BECOMING THE SOLE CBP-AUTHORIZED ELECTRONIC DATA INTERCHANGE (EDI) SYSTEM FOR PROCESSING ELECTRONIC DRAWBACK AND DUTY DEFERRAL ENTRY AND ENTRY SUMMARY FILINGS


ACTION: Delay of effective date.

SUMMARY: On August 30, 2016, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published a notice in the Federal Register announcing plans to make the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) the sole electronic data interchange (EDI) system authorized by the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for processing electronic drawback and duty deferral entry and entry summary filings. The changes announced in that notice were to have been effective on October 1, 2016. On October 3, 2016, CBP published a notice in the Federal Register announcing that the effective date for the transition to ACE as the sole CBP-authorized EDI system for electronic drawback and duty deferral entry and entry summary filings would be delayed until further notice. On December 12, 2016, CBP published a notice in the Federal Register announcing that the effective date for the transition would be January 14, 2017. This notice announces that the effective date for the transition has been delayed until further notice.

DATES: The effective date is delayed until further notice: CBP will publish a subsequent notice announcing the effective date when ACE will be the sole CBP-authorized EDI system for processing electronic drawback and duty deferral entry and entry summary filings, and ACS will no longer be a CBP-authorized EDI system for purposes of processing these filings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions related to this notice may be emailed to ASKACE@cbp.dhs.gov with the subject line identifier reading “ACS to ACE Drawback and Duty Deferral Entry and Entry Summary Filings transition”.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 30, 2016, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published a notice in the Federal Register (81 FR 59644) announcing plans to make the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) the sole electronic data interchange (EDI) system authorized by the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for processing electronic drawback and duty deferral entry and entry summary filings, effective on October 1, 2016. The document also announced that, on October 1, 2016, the Automated Commercial System (ACS) would no longer be a CBP-authorized EDI system for purposes of processing these electronic filings. Finally, the notice announced a name change for the ACE filing code for duty deferral and the creation of a new ACE filing code for all electronic drawback filings, replacing the six distinct drawback codes previously filed in ACS.

On October 3, 2016, CBP published a notice in the Federal Register (81 FR 68023) announcing that the effective date for these changes would be delayed until further notice. Thereafter, on December 12, 2016, CBP published a notice in the Federal Register (81 FR 89486) announcing that the new effective date for the transition would be January 14, 2017.

The effective date for all that was announced in the August 30, 2016 Federal Register notice, including the transition to ACE as the sole CBP-authorized EDI system for electronic drawback and duty deferral entry and entry summary filings, is delayed until further notice. CBP will publish a subsequent notice announcing the effective date.


BRENDA B. SMITH,
Executive Assistant Commissioner,
Office of Trade.