APPROVAL OF TRUST CONTROL INTERNATIONAL AS A COMMERCIAL GAUGER


ACTION: Notice of approval of Trust Control International as a commercial gauger.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to CBP regulations, that Trust Control International has been approved to gauge petroleum and petroleum products for customs purposes for the next three years as of December 2, 2015.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The approval of Trust Control International as commercial gauger became effective on December 2, 2015. The next triennial inspection date will be scheduled for December 2018.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to 19 CFR 151.13, that Trust Control International, 11811 East Freeway, Suite 130, Atrium 10 Tower, Houston, TX 77029, has been approved to gauge petroleum and petroleum products for customs purposes, in accordance with the provisions of 19 CFR 151.13. Trust Control International is approved for the following gauging procedures for petroleum and certain petroleum products set forth by the American Petroleum Institute (API):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>API chapters</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tank gauging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Temperature Determination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sampling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Calculations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Anyone wishing to employ this entity to conduct gauger services should request and receive written assurances from the entity that it is accredited or approved by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to conduct the specific gauger service requested. Alternatively, inquiries regarding the specific gauger service this entity is accredited or approved to perform may be directed to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection by calling (202) 344–1060. The inquiry may also be sent to cbp.labhq@dhs.gov. Please reference the Web site listed below for a complete listing of CBP approved gaugers and accredited laboratories. http://www.cbp.gov/about/labs-scientific/commercial-gaugers-and-laboratories.

Dated: April 15, 2016.

Ira S. Reese,
Executive Director,
Laboratories and Scientific Services Directorate.

[Published in the Federal Register, April 26, 2016 (81 FR 24621)]

AUTOMATED COMMERCIAL ENVIRONMENT (ACE); ANNOUNCEMENT OF NATIONAL CUSTOMS AUTOMATION PROGRAM TEST OF THE IN-TRANSIT MANIFEST PILOT PROGRAM


ACTION: General notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) plans to conduct a National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) test relating to truck shipments of commercial goods that transit from a point of origination in Canada through the United States to a point of destination in Canada. Under the NCAP test, CBP will use a new filing code to identify shipments as being part of the In-Transit Manifest Pilot Program in CBP's Automated Commercial Environmental (ACE) Truck Manifest System. Test participants will submit electronically an in-transit manifest with a relaxed validation for the value data element and they will not have to provide the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) number. This notice provides a description of the NCAP test and specifies the duration and locations of the test. It also invites public comment on any aspect of the test.
DATES: The test will commence no earlier than May 27, 2016 and will run for approximately six months at the following ports: Port Huron, Michigan; Pembina, North Dakota; and Blaine, Washington. Comments concerning this notice and all aspects of the announced test may be submitted at any time during the test period.

ADDRESSES: Written comments concerning program, policy and technical issues should be submitted to Manuel Garza, Director, Manifest and Conveyance Security Division, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, via email at manuel.a.garza@cbp.dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. CBP Regulations

Under CBP regulations, a truck with merchandise that transits the United States during a trip that originates and terminates in Canada must present a paper manifest form, the United States-Canada Transit Manifest, known as Customs Form 7512–B Canada 81/2, to CBP when it crosses the border at the U.S. ports of arrival and exit. The procedures for these in-transit shipments are addressed in 19 CFR 123.42 (Truck shipments transiting the United States). Among other things, the regulation provides that trucks transiting the United States must be sealed at the U.S. port of arrival. The regulation also provides that merchandise transported in trucks shall be forwarded in accordance with the general provisions for transportation in-bond (19 CFR 18.1–18.8).

In addition to the requirement to present a paper manifest when a truck crosses the border, CBP also requires electronic filing of certain information regarding the cargo carried by a truck in advance of the truck’s arrival at the border. Under 19 CFR 123.92(a), with a few exceptions, for any inbound truck required to report its arrival under 19 CFR 123.1(b) that will have commercial cargo aboard, CBP must electronically receive certain information regarding the cargo to a CBP-approved EDI system1 no later than either 30 minutes2 or one

---

1 In a notice published in the Federal Register on October 27, 2006 (71 FR 62922), CBP designated the ACE Truck Manifest System as the approved EDI for the transmission of required data and announced that the requirement to transmit advance electronic cargo information through ACE would be phased in by groups of ports-of-entry. Through a series of Federal Register notices published from the October 27, 2006 notice and concluding with a November 13, 2007 notice (72 FR 63805), CBP mandated the use of ACE for the transmission of advance electronic truck cargo information at all land border ports-of-entry.

2 As explained in the preamble of the final rule implementing section 123.92, published in the Federal Register on December 5, 2003 (68 FR 68140), the 30 minute timeframe
hour prior to the carrier’s reaching the first port of arrival in the United States. This includes cargo arriving by truck for transportation through the United States from one point to another in the same foreign country.

Truck carriers have been providing up to 69 data elements (including 1 optional data element) as part of their e-Manifest in the ACE Truck Manifest System, as a result of prior NCAP tests performed in conjunction with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. See 69 FR 55167 (September 13, 2004) and 70 FR 13514 (March 21, 2005) and related test notices identified therein. For the purposes of this test, the same data elements will be required, except as otherwise provided for in this notice. The ACE Truck Manifest System enables truck carriers with merchandise transiting the United States from point to point in Canada to file an e-Manifest and enter the merchandise as a Transportation & Exportation (T&E) in-bond entry.

B. Beyond the Border Initiative

On February 4, 2011, President Obama and Prime Minister Harper announced the United States-Canada joint declaration, Beyond the Border: A Shared Vision for Perimeter Security and Economic Competitiveness (“Beyond the Border”). Beyond the Border articulates a shared approach to security in which both countries work together to address threats within, at, and away from the U.S.-Canada border, while expediting lawful trade and travel.

On December 7, 2011, President Obama and Prime Minister Harper released the Beyond the Border Action Plan, which sets out joint priorities and specific initiatives for achieving this vision. The Beyond the Border Action Plan proposed a number of pilot projects to test new approaches to facilitating the secure movement of goods, including a U.S. pilot that would involve “the testing of a new in-bond module for processing in-transit/in-bond (Canada-United States-Canada) cargo traveling by truck.” See Beyond the Border Action Plan (December 7, 2011). CBP is conducting this NCAP test to assess a new automated process for in-transit shipments in the ACE Truck Manifest System.

II. Authorization for the NCAP Test

The National Customs Automation Program (NCAP) was established in Subtitle B of Title VI—Customs Modernization, in the North
American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057, 2170, December 8, 1993) (Customs Modernization Act). See 19 U.S.C. 1411. The Customs Modernization Act provides the Commissioner of CBP with authority to conduct limited test programs or procedures designed to evaluate planned components of the NCAP. The NCAP test of In-Transit Manifest Pilot Program (referred to hereafter as “the NCAP test” or “the test”) is authorized pursuant to 19 CFR 101.9(b) which provides for the testing of NCAP programs or procedures. See T.D. 95–21.

III. In-Transit Manifest Pilot Program

This notice announces CBP’s In-Transit Manifest Pilot Program to test a new electronic in-transit manifest in the ACE Truck Manifest System. The details are provided below.

A. Description of Test

The NCAP test applies to the transportation of commercial cargo from a point of origination in Canada through the United States to a point of destination in Canada (CAN-US-CAN in-transit shipments). These shipments are essentially domestic Canadian shipments that transit through the United States. Under the test, participating truck carriers transporting cargo in CAN-US-CAN in-transit shipments will be required to submit an e-Manifest in the ACE Truck Manifest System no later than 30 minutes3 prior to arrival in the United States under a new filing type code for these in-transit shipments. Participating carriers must submit an e-Manifest to CBP using the ANSI X12 format or the ACE Secure Data Portal. Participating carriers will not be required to submit the paper manifest form, Customs Form 7512–B Canada 81/2, that is required under 19 CFR 123.42. Participating carriers are still required to submit the paper manifest form required under Canadian law to Canadian ports of entry.

Currently, CAN-US-CAN in-transit shipments are filed under shipment release type 62 as Transportation & Exportation (T&E) in-bond entries, which includes a complete ANSI X12 manifest (referred to as a 309 manifest) with the following information: Trip, shipment (including the value of the merchandise and the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) number), conveyance, equipment, crew and passenger data. Under the test, a new shipment release type 70 for CAN-US-CAN in-transit shipments will be used by participating carriers. Under shipment release type 70, participating carriers will be re-
quired to submit the same set of data elements as a 309 manifest but with a relaxed validation for the value data element. They will not be required to provide the HTS number.

For the value data element, CBP will accept a value amount of $2 per pound when the actual value is not available. With regard to the HTS number, an e-Manifest filed under shipment release type 62 requires an HTS number to the 6-digit level under which the cargo will be classified and a description of the cargo. For an e-Manifest filed by test participants under shipment release type 70, only a precise description of the cargo will be required.

Trade associations for Canadian trucking companies have identified these two data elements—value and the HTS number—as being the most problematic for CAN-US-CAN in-transit shipments. Canadian truck carriers rarely know the value and/or the exact HTS classification number for such in-transit cargo and in practice often file incorrect data when filing an e-Manifest under shipment release type 62. By relaxing the validation for the value data and removing the HTS number requirement, CBP intends to reduce the reporting burden on the industry and improve trade efficiencies between Canada and the United States.

The in-transit manifest will be processed and retained in ACE in the same manner as a type 62 manifest. Upon arrival in the United States, CBP will generate a “transit movement authorized” message (referred to as a 350 message) that will be sent to the carrier. The shipment will then be able to transit the United States and proceed to the United States port of export as an in-transit entry. When the shipment arrives at the United States port of export, the carrier will report the arrival of the shipment to CBP via an EDI message or through the carrier’s ACE portal account. CBP will issue another 350 message to the carrier notifying the carrier that the shipment has entered Canada and that the in-transit entry is closed.

Requiring participating carriers to file an in-transit manifest electronically under new shipment release type 70, along with relaxing the validation for the value data element and eliminating the HTS number requirement, will facilitate the in-transit manifest process for both the trade and CBP. Canadian carriers will be able to route certain domestic shipments through the United States with greater efficiency and CBP will benefit from an entirely electronic in-transit manifest.

B. Test Participants and Conditions of Participation

Participation in the In-Transit Manifest Pilot Program is currently limited to nine Canadian truck carriers that have been selected by
CBP in consultation with the Canadian Border Services Agency (CBSA). Each participating carrier is a bonded carrier and a certified member of the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism (C–TPAT), a voluntary supply chain security program led by CBP that is focused on improving the security of private companies’ supply chains with respect to terrorism. As a condition of participation, each carrier must use commercial drivers cleared under the FAST program. FAST driver identification provides CBP with a full set of identifying information regarding the driver, including the driver’s name, date of birth, gender, citizenship, and address. Another condition of participation in this NCAP test is that no passengers are permitted on the Canadian trucks transiting the United States, with the exception of additional drivers also cleared under the FAST program. As provided in Section VI, participants are also required to take part in an evaluation of the test.

C. **Test Duration and Locations**

The NCAP test will be conducted for approximately six months from its start at the following ports of entry: Port Huron, Michigan; Pembina, North Dakota; and Blaine, Washington. Any future expansion of this NCAP test to additional ports and/or extension of the time period will be announced on CBP’s Web site at http://www.cbp.gov. Participants will also be notified of any expansion.

IV. **Regulatory Provisions Affected**

Regulations in 19 CFR parts 18 and 123 that conflict with the terms and conditions of the NCAP test are suspended and overridden to the extent of the conflict for the duration of the test for test participants and only to the extent of their participation in this test.

V. **Misconduct**

If a test participant fails to abide by the rules, procedures, or term and conditions of this and all other applicable Federal Register notices, fails to exercise reasonable care in the execution of participant obligations, or otherwise fails to comply with all applicable laws and regulations, then the participant may be suspended from participation in this test and/or subjected to penalties, liquidated damages, and/or other administrative or judicial sanction. Additionally, CBP has the right to suspend a test participant based on a determination that an unacceptable compliance risk exists. Any decision proposing suspension may be appealed in writing to the Assistant Commissioner (Office of Field Operations) within 15 days of the decision date. Such proposed suspension will apprise the participant of the facts or conduct warranting suspension. Should the participant appeal the notice of proposed suspension, the participant should address the
facts or conduct charges contained in the notice and state how he has
or will achieve compliance. However, in the case of willfulness or
where public health interests are concerned, the suspension may be
effective immediately.

VI. Test Evaluation Criteria

All interested parties are invited to comment on any aspect of this
test at any time. To ensure adequate feedback, participants are re-
quired to take part in an evaluation of this test. CBP needs comments
and feedback on all aspects of this test, including the design, conduct
and implementation of the test in order to determine whether to
modify, alter, expand, limit, continue, end or implement this program
by regulation. The final results of the evaluation will be published in
the Federal Register and the Customs Bulletin as required by 19
CFR 101.9.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

As noted above, CBP is accepting only nine participants in the
NCAP test. This means that fewer than ten persons will be subject to
any information collections under the NCAP test. Accordingly, collec-
tions of information encompassed within this notice are exempted
from the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44

Dated: April 22, 2016.

TODD OWEN,
Assistant Commissioner,
Office of Field Operations.

[Published in the Federal Register, April 27, 2016 (81 FR 24837)]
SUMMARY: This notice announces that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and the U.S. Forest Service (Forest Service) Idaho Panhandle National Forests (IPNF) (collectively the “Agencies”) intend to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to identify and assess potential impacts upon the environment of: Repairing and maintaining an approximately 5.6-mile section of the existing Bog Creek Road, which is located in the Selkirk Mountains in Boundary County, Idaho, within approximately two miles of the Canadian border, on land within the Blue-Grass Bear Management Unit (BMU) that is managed by the IPNF; and closing for motorized use additional roads within the Blue-Grass BMU to comply with the IPNF Forest Plan Amendments for Motorized Access Management within the Selkirk and Cabinet-Yaak Grizzly Bear Recovery Zones (Access Amendment) and reduce road density in the Blue-Grass BMU. This notice initiates the public scoping process for the preparation of the EIS. The purpose of the public scoping process is to solicit public comments regarding the potential environmental impacts that may be addressed. This notice commences the public scoping period for which CBP and IPNF are requesting written comments. This process is being conducted pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), and CBP and Forest Service NEPA guidelines. Additionally, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the public scoping process will allow members of the general public to provide CBP and IPNF comments on potential impacts to historic and cultural resources for the proposed action.

DATES: The scoping comment period will be 30 days. To ensure consideration, comments must be received by May 27, 2016.

Comments may be submitted as set forth in the ADDRESSES section of this document. This project implements a land management plan and is subject to 36 CFR part 218, subparts A and B of the Forest Service’s Project-level Predecisional Administrative Review Process. Pursuant to 36 CFR part 218, only those who provide specific, written comments regarding the proposed project will be eligible to file an objection.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either by mail or by email at the addresses indicated below. To avoid duplication, please use only one of the following methods to provide written comments:

(a) Via mail: Bog Creek Road EIS, P.O. Box 643, Flagstaff, Arizona, 86002–0643.

(b) Via email: SPWBogCreekEIS@cbp.dhs.gov.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Enriquez, CBP, Border Patrol Facilities & Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office, by telephone at (949) 643–6365, or by email at Paul.Enriquez@cbp.dhs.gov. You may also visit the CBP public Web site for more information at: http://www.cbp.gov/about/environmental-cultural-stewardship/nepa-documents/docs-review. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Repairs and Maintenance to Bog Creek Road

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) protects the nation’s borders from terrorism, human and drug smuggling, illegal migration, and agricultural pests while facilitating the flow of legitimate travel and trade. CBP does so by integrating modern technology, deploying highly trained law enforcement personnel, and developing public and private sector partnerships that advance its overall mission.

At 5,500 miles in length, the Northern Border of the United States stands as the longest common border in the world. The terrain ranges from densely forested lands on the west and east coasts to open plains in the middle of the country. To complement its efforts, CBP uses partnerships with other Federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies to meet the challenges of ensuring security while facilitating legitimate trade and travel along this expansive and complex border area.

The primary road that provides east-west access to the Northern Border in the Selkirk Mountains of Northern Idaho is Bog Creek Road. Bog Creek Road is situated on National Forest System lands that are a part of the Idaho Panhandle National Forests (IPNF). The area is managed by the IPNF unit of the Forest Service (also referred to as IPNF). The road is currently impassable to most vehicles.

Bog Creek Road was closed on both ends in the late 1980s, to meet grizzly bear habitat requirements. As a result of the closure, the road has only been maintained on a limited basis. By the mid-1990s, the road had experienced minor failures. Around the year 2000, a large failure occurred when a large culvert failed due to heavy surface water runoff. At that time, the road became impassable to most vehicles. Currently, the road is gated at the east end and barricaded.
at the west end. In recent years, the road has been infrequently used by Forest Service and CBP personnel traveling on all-terrain vehicles (ATVs) and horseback, but using ATVs requires a winch system to traverse the large culvert failure. Nearly the entire length of Bog Creek Road is now overgrown with alder brush, small trees, and other vegetation.

Without access to the Northern Border area via Bog Creek Road, CBP must use a lengthy detour to get to the border, including using state highways in Washington and Idaho and other forest roads. This alternative route is approximately 180 miles and adds approximately four hours one way (eight hours total) to CBP patrol response times.

**Closing Additional Roads for Motorized Use**

Bog Creek Road is located within the Blue-Grass Bear Management Unit (BMU) of the Selkirk Grizzly Bear Recovery Zone (SRZ) of the IPNF. The IPNF has been working since the late 1980s to create secure habitat for grizzly bears. For example, Bog Creek Road was closed in the late 1980s to allow for more effective management of grizzly bear habitat. The IPNF continues to manage habitat conditions of the SRZ. To further manage grizzly bear habitat conditions, in 2011, the IPNF issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the *Forest Plan Amendments for Motorized Access Management within the Selkirk and Cabinet-Yaak Grizzly Bear Recovery Zones* (Access Amendment). The Access Amendment set motorized vehicle access and security standards in the zones to conserve and contribute to the recovery of grizzly bears, and to meet the agency’s responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). These standards limit the use of motorized vehicles within the Blue-Grass BMU area to a specified percentage of the land. By limiting high levels of human activity in the area, effective habitat can be created for grizzly bears. The ROD and accompanying biological opinion from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service require the standards in the Access Amendment to be met by 2019. Currently, the BMU is not meeting the motorized access standards set forth in the Access Amendment.

The status of all roads in the BMU area is of great interest to CBP since the entire Blue-Grass BMU is within 10 miles of the Northern Border. CBP needs good access to this area to execute its mission to protect the Northern Border. Because there are limited options regarding which roads to close for motorized use that meet the Access Amendment standards and the ESA, and which provide border access to CBP, the Agencies are working together to determine acceptable alternatives.
Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose and need of the proposed action is to provide improved east-west access across the Selkirk Mountains on National Forest System lands that would: (1) Enable CBP to execute its statutory mission to protect the U.S. Northern Border and provide for the safety of CBP and other law enforcement officers in carrying out their duties and (2) meet Access Amendment standards for motorized access in a grizzly bear habitat in the Blue-Grass BMU area.

Proposed Action

Repairs and Maintenance to Bog Creek Road

One aspect of the proposed action would involve the repair and maintenance of an approximately 5.6-mile section of the existing Bog Creek Road between Forest Road (FR) 1013 and FR 2450 within the Blue-Grass BMU of the SRZ of the IPNF. The road is located in the Selkirk Mountains in Boundary County, Idaho, within approximately two miles of the Canadian border.

The Agencies anticipate that the proposed action would likely involve replacing or repairing damaged culverts, grading and resurfacing areas that have been heavily eroded by surface water flows, infilling potholes, and removing protruding boulders. Although widening Bog Creek Road is not a part of the proposed action, there may be areas which no longer meet minimum width requirements and may require cut and fill work to achieve the desired road operating and safety standards. Trees and other vegetation within the roadway and to either side would likely be grubbed or cut back to facilitate safe vehicle passage.

The proposed action would also likely include gathering and transporting fill materials (riprap, mixed soil/rock, and crushed aggregate) from “borrow” pits to use in general resurfacing/fill and in installation of the culvert replacements. Some equipment would be needed to perform the repairs and maintenance, including a dozer, a grader, a hydraulic excavator, and a dump truck. In addition, several pickup trucks or SUVs would be needed to transport construction personnel to and from the area. The Agencies anticipate that upon completion of the proposed repairs and maintenance, the 5.6-mile section of Bog Creek Road would remain closed for public motorized use and would be limited to administrative use only.

Closing Additional Roads for Motorized Use

Another aspect of the proposed action would involve the closure of certain roads within the Blue-Grass BMU. Bog Creek Road is located
in the Blue-Grass BMU within the SRZ. This BMU area is currently not meeting Access Amendment standards for motorized access in a grizzly bear habitat. The Agencies anticipate that other roads within the Blue-Grass BMU area would need to be closed for motorized use under this proposed action. The road closures would be necessary to mitigate the potential impacts to grizzly bear habitats associated with the repair and subsequent use of Bog Creek Road and to allow the Forest Service to meet the Access Amendment standards and its statutory obligations under the ESA. Because there are limited options regarding roads to close for motorized use to meet the Access Amendment standards, the Agencies are working together to determine alternatives that would meet CBP’s requirements for border access as well as the Forest Service’s requirements to comply with the Access Amendment standards and the ESA.

The Agencies have identified a preliminary list of roads that could be closed for motorized use. All of these roads are currently closed to public use and only open for limited administrative use. Roads that have been preliminarily identified for possible motorized closure include FR 2464 Upper, 2464 Lower, 1322, 1322A, 1013D, 1013C, 1388, 1388A, 2252, 636, and 2253. Approximately 26 miles of IPNF roads could be closed under the proposed action. As a part of the scoping process (discussed below), the Agencies are seeking further input on possible motorized road closure alternatives.

**Lead and Cooperating Agencies**

CBP and the Forest Service will work together as joint lead agencies on the EIS.

**Responsible Official**

The Executive Director, Facilities Management & Engineering, CBP, is the deciding official for CBP and the Forest Supervisor, IPNF, Forest Service, is the deciding official for the Forest Service.

**Public Scoping Process**

Public scoping for the Bog Creek Road repair and maintenance proposal was initially conducted by CBP in February and March of 2013. Information gathered from the previous scoping effort was used to inform the Agencies about what level of NEPA analysis was necessary to evaluate the proposed project. The initial scoping information included the possibility that road closures may become part of the proposed action, but did not include specific motorized road closure information. Using initial scoping information, the Agencies determined that the NEPA analysis would be conducted through an EIS process. All scoping comments submitted during the initial scoping...
ing will be included in issue development for the current EIS process. A Scoping Report that summarizes the initial scoping effort is available for review at http://www.cbp.gov/about/environmental-cultural-stewardship/nepa-documents/docs-review.

This Notice of Intent (NOI) initiates the public scoping process which will guide the development of the EIS. All interested parties are invited to participate in the scoping process. CBP and the Forest Service invite agencies, organizations, and the general public to provide input to this process of scoping environmental issues for consideration in the EIS. Written comments may be submitted as described in the ADDRESSES section of this document.

When submitting comments, please include your name and address. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will also be accepted and considered.

After the public scoping period is complete and the Agencies have reviewed the results, a compilation list of comments will be included in an amendment to the initial Scoping Report (described above). The amended Scoping Report will be made available on the CBP public Web site: http://www.cbp.gov/about/environmental-cultural-stewardship/nepa-documents/docs-review.

Public Involvement in Historic Preservation Activities Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. 306108) requires Federal agencies to review all actions which may affect resources listed on, or eligible for, the National Register of Historic Places in order to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, and to afford the Idaho State Historic Preservation Officer and tribal governments a reasonable opportunity to comment on such undertakings. During the process of public scoping and preparation of the EIS, the Agencies seek to identify interested parties and obtain public comments on historic preservation issues related to the road repair and closure of roads for motorized use.

Preliminary Issues

Based upon the initial project scoping, some preliminary issues have been identified as potential effects of the proposed project. These include effects on:
- Border security;
- threatened and endangered species including grizzly bear, caribou, lynx, and bull trout;
• Blue-Grass BMU grizzly bear core habitat requirement;
• National Forest access; and
• biological resources including fisheries, wildlife, sensitive plants, and noxious weeds.

**Permits and Licenses Required**

The proposed project would likely require a Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit. The Agencies will work with the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to determine the necessary permit process. All required permits would be obtained prior to project implementation.

**Next Steps**

In accordance with NEPA, the draft EIS will be made available to the public for review and comment through a Notice of Availability (NOA) in the Federal Register. The NOA will provide directions for obtaining copies of the draft EIS as well as dates and locations for any associated public participation meetings. After a public comment period on the draft EIS, CBP and the Forest Service will complete a final EIS.

Dated: April 21, 2016.

**Karl H. Calvo,**  
*Executive Director,*  
*Facilities Management and Engineering,*  
*Office of Administration.*

**Shanda Fallau Dekome,**  
*Acting Forest Supervisor,*  
*Idaho Panhandle National Forests,*  
*U.S. Forest Service.*

[Published in the Federal Register, April 27, 2016 (81 FR 24839)]

**ACCREDITATION AND APPROVAL OF CAMIN CARGO CONTROL, INC., AS A COMMERCIAL GAUGER AND LABORATORY**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of accreditation and approval of Camin Cargo Control, Inc., as a commercial gauger and laboratory.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given, pursuant to CBP regulations, that Camin Cargo Control, Inc., has been approved to gauge and
accredited to test petroleum and certain petroleum products for customs purposes for the next three years as of August 6, 2015.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The accreditation and approval of Camin Cargo Control, Inc., as commercial gauger and laboratory became effective on August 6, 2015. The next triennial inspection date will be scheduled for August 2018.


**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given pursuant to 19 CFR 151.12 and 19 CFR 151.13, that Camin Cargo Control, Inc., 218 Centaurus St., Corpus Christi, TX 78405, has been approved to gauge and accredited to test petroleum and certain petroleum products for customs purposes, in accordance with the provisions of 19 CFR 151.12 and 19 CFR 151.13. Camin Cargo Control, Inc., is approved for the following gauging procedures for petroleum and certain petroleum products set forth by the American Petroleum Institute (API):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>API chapters</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tank gauging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Temperature Determination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sampling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Maritime Measurements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camin Cargo Control, Inc., is accredited for the following laboratory analysis procedures and methods for petroleum and certain petroleum products set forth by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Laboratory Methods (CBPL) and American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBPL No.</th>
<th>ASTM</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBPL No.</td>
<td>ASTM</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–07</td>
<td>ASTM D–4807</td>
<td>Water and Sediment in crude oil by distillation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anyone wishing to employ this entity to conduct laboratory analyses and gauger services should request and receive written assurances from the entity that it is accredited or approved by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to conduct the specific test or gauger service requested. Alternatively, inquiries regarding the specific test or gauger service this entity is accredited or approved to perform may be directed to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection by calling (202) 344–1060. The inquiry may also be sent to cbp.labhq@dhs.gov. Please reference the Web site listed below for the current CBP Approved Gaugers and Accredited Laboratories List.

http://www.cbp.gov/about/labs-scientific/commercial-gaugers-and-laboratories

Dated: April 15, 2016.

**Ira S. Reese,**

*Executive Director,*

*Laboratories and Scientific Services Directorate.*

[Published in the Federal Register, April 26, 2016 (81 FR 24620)]
AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:
Regulations Relating to Recordation and Enforcement of Trademarks and Copyrights


ACTION: 30-Day notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing collection of information.

SUMMARY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Regulations Relating to Recordation and Enforcement of Trademarks and Copyrights (Part 133 of the CBP Regulations). This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours or to the information collected. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before May 23, 2016 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on this proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the OMB Desk Officer for Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Tracey Denning, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade, 90 K Street NE., 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20229–1177, at 202–325–0265.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (81 FR 7363) on February 11, 2016, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. CBP invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections.
pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3507). The comments should address: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimates of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden, including the use of automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology; and (e) the annual costs to respondents or record keepers from the collection of information (total capital/startup costs and operations and maintenance costs). The comments that are submitted will be summarized and included in the CBP request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. In this document, CBP is soliciting comments concerning the following information collection:

**Title:** Regulations Relating to Recordation and Enforcement of Trademark and Copyrights (Part 133 of the CBP Regulations).

**OMB Number:** 1651–0123.

**Abstract:** Title 19 of the United States Code section 1526(e) prohibits the importation of articles that bear a counterfeit mark of a trademark that is registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) and recorded with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1124, the importation of articles that copy or simulate the trade name of a manufacturer or trader, or copy or simulate a trademark registered with the USPTO and recorded with CBP is prohibited. Likewise, under 17 U.S.C. 602 and 17 U.S.C. 603, the importation of articles that constitute an infringement of copyright in protected copyrighted works is prohibited. Both 15 U.S.C. 1124 and 17 U.S.C. 602, authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to prescribe by regulation for the recordation of trademarks, trade names and copyrights with CBP. Additional rulemaking authority in this regard is conferred by CBP’s general rulemaking authority as found in 19 U.S.C. 1624.

CBP officers enforce these intellectual property rights at the border. The information that respondents must submit in order to seek the assistance of CBP to protect against infringing imports is specified for trademarks under 19 CFR 133.2 and 133.3, and the information to be submitted for copyrights is specified under 19 CFR 133.22 and 133.33. Trademark, trade name, and copyright owners seeking border enforcement of their intellectual property rights provide information through the recordation process in order to assist CBP officers in identifying violating articles at the border. Respondents may submit this information through the IPR e-Recordation Web site at https://iprr.cbp.gov/.
Current Actions: CBP proposes to extend the expiration date of this information collection with no change to the burden hours or to the information collected.

Type of Review: Extension (without change).

Affected Public: Businesses and Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 2 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 4,000.

Dated: April 18, 2016.

Tracey Denning,
Agency Clearance Officer,
U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

[Published in the Federal Register, April 22, 2016 (81 FR 23739)]