U.S. Customs and Border Protection

General Notices

AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:

Declaration of Unaccompanied Articles


ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection: 1651–0030

ACTION: Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Declaration of Unaccompanied Articles. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments form the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (73 FR 11656) on March 4, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before June 5, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Nathan Lesser, Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/Customs and Border Protection, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L.104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title: Declaration for Unaccompanied Articles

OMB Number: 1651–0030

Form Number: CBP Form–255

Abstract: The Form 255 is completed by each arriving passenger for each parcel or container which is being sent from an Insular Possession to the United States at a later date. This declaration allows that traveler to claim their appropriate allowable exemption.

Current Actions: There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

Type of Review: Extension (without change)

Affected Public: Individuals

Estimated Number of Respondents: 7,500

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 15,000

Estimated Time Per Response: 5 minutes

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,250

If additional information is required contact: Tracey Denning, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW,
AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:

Establishment of a Container Station


ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection: 1651–0040

ACTION: Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Establishment of a Container Station. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (73 FR 11656) on March 4, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before June 5, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Nathan Lesser, Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/Customs and Border Protection, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L.104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title: Establishment of a Container Station

OMB Number: 1651–0040

Form Number: N/A

Abstract: This collection is an application to establish a container station for the vaning and devaning of cargo.

Current Actions: There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

Type of Review: Extension (without change)

Affected Public: Businesses

Estimated Number of Respondents: 205

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 3 hours

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 615

Dated: April 28, 2008

Tracey Denning,
Agency Clearance Officer,
Customs and Border Protection.

[Published in the Federal Register, May 6, 2008 (73 FL 25024)]

AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:

Bonded Warehouse Regulations


ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection: 1651–0041

ACTION: Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Bonded Warehouse Regulations. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (73 FR 11658) on March 4, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before June 5, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Nathan Lesser, Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/Customs and Border Protection, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L.104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title: Bonded Warehouse Regulations

OMB Number: 1651–0041

Form Number: N/A

Abstract: This collection of information is used by CBP to ensure that the legal, regulatory and administrative requirements are met by respondents with respect to bonded warehouses, including establishment of bonded warehouses; receiving free materials at the warehouse; and making alterations, suspensions, relocations or discontinuances of bonded warehouses.

Current Actions: There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

Type of Review: Extension (without change)

Affected Public: Businesses

Estimated Number of Respondents: 198

Estimated Number of Total Responses: 9,254

Estimated Time Per Response: 32 minutes

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 4,910
AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:

Notice of Detention


ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection: 1651–0073

ACTION: Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Notice of Detention. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments form the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (73 FR 11657) on March 4, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before June 5, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Nathan Lesser, Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/Customs and Border Protection, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L. 104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title: Notice of Detention

OMB Number: 1651–0073

Form Number: N/A

Abstract: This collection requires a response to the Notice of Detention of merchandise and to provide evidence of admissibility to allow entry.

Current Actions: There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

Type of Review: Extension (without change)

Affected Public: Businesses

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1350

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 2 hours

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2700

Dated: April 28, 2008

TRACEY DENNING,
Agency Clearance Officer,
Customs and Border Protection.

[Published in the Federal Register, May 6, 2008 (73 FR 25025)]

AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:

**Lay Order Period- General Order Merchandise**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection: 1651–0079

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments requested.

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Lay Order Period- General Order Merchandise. This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (73 FR 11655) on March 4, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before June 5, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Nathan Lesser, Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/Customs and Border Protection, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L.104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title: Lay Order Period - General Order Merchandise Cost Submissions

OMB Number: 1651–0079

Form Number: N/A

Abstract: This collection is required to ensure that the operator of an arriving carrier, or transfer agent shall notify a bonded warehouse proprietor of the presence of merchandise that has remained at the place of arrival or unlading without entry beyond the time period provided for by regulation.

Current Actions: There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

Type of Review: Extension (without change)

Title: Establishment of a Container Station

OMB Number: 1651–0079

Form Number: N/A

Abstract: This collection is required to ensure that the operator of an arriving carrier, or transfer agent shall notify a bonded warehouse proprietor of the presence of merchandise that has remained
at the place of arrival or unlading without entry beyond the time period provided for by regulation.

**Affected Public:** Businesses

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 390

**Estimated Number of Total Responses:** 50,700

**Estimated Time Per Response:** 15 minutes

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 12,675


Dated: April 28, 2008

TRACEY DENNING,
Agency Clearance Officer,
Customs and Border Protection.

[Published in the Federal Register, May 6, 2008 (73 FR 25022)]

## AGENCY INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES:

**Application to Payoff or Discharge Alien Crewman**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice and request for comments; Extension of an existing information collection: 1651–0106.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments requested.

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Application to Payoff or Discharge Alien Crewman (Form I-408). This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with no change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 11658) on March 4, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.
DATES: Written comments should be received on or before [Insert date 30 days from the date this notice is published in the Federal Register].

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to Nathan Lesser, Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/Customs and Border Protection, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–6974.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) encourages the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L.104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title: Application to Pay Off or Discharge Alien Crewman

OMB Number: 1651–0106

Form Number: I-408

Abstract: This form is used by owner, agent, consignee, master or commanding of any vessel or aircraft to obtain permission from CBP to pay off or discharge any alien crewman.

Current Actions: There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

Type of Review: Extension (without change)
NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF FINAL DETERMINATION
CONCERNING ELECTRIC MINI-TRUCKS


ACTION: Notice of final determination.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of certain electric mini-trucks to be offered to the United States Government under an undesignated government procurement contract. Based on the facts presented, the final determination found that the United States is the country of origin of the electric mini-trucks for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

DATE: The final determination was issued on May 2, 2008. A copy of the final determination is attached. Any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of this final determination within June 9, 2008.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that on May 2, 2008, pursuant to subpart B of part 177, Customs Regulations (19 CFR part 177, subpart B), CBP issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of certain electric mini-trucks to be offered to the United States Government under an
undesignated government procurement contract. The CBP ruling number is H022169. This final determination was issued at the request of Global Electric Motorcars under procedures set forth at 19 CFR part 177, subpart B, which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. §§ 2511–18).

The final determination concluded that, based upon the facts presented, assembly in the United States of an imported mini-truck glider with a substantial number of components of U.S. and foreign origin substantially transforms the imported mini-truck glider into a product of the United States. Therefore, the country of origin of the resulting electric mini-truck is the United States for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

Section 177.29, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 177.29), provides that notice of final determinations shall be published in the Federal Register within 60 days of the date the final determination is issued. Section 177.30, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.30), states that any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of a final determination within 30 days of publication of such determination in the Federal Register.

Dated: May 2, 2008

SANDRA L. BELL,
Executive Director,
Office of Regulations and Rulings,
Office of International Trade.

Attachment:

DEPARTMENT OF HOME LAND SECURITY.
U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
H022169
May 2, 2008
OT:RR:CTF:VS H022169 GG
CATEGORY: Marking

MR. LAWRENCE M. FRIEDMAN &
MS. NICOLE A. KEHOSKIE
BARNES, RICHARDSON & COLB UM
1420 New York Avenue, NW
7th Floor
Washington, DC 20005

RE: U.S. Government Procurement; Final Determination; country of origin of electric mini-trucks; substantial transformation; 19 C.F.R. Part 177

DEAR MR. FRIEDMAN & MS. KEHOSKIE:

This is in response to your letter dated December 20, 2007, requesting a final determination on behalf of Global Electric Motorcars (“GEM”) pursuant to subpart B of Part 177, Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) Regulations (19 C.F.R. § 177.21 et seq.). Under these regulations, which imple-
ment Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (codified at 19 U.S.C. § 2511 et seq.), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations on whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purpose of granting waivers of certain “Buy American” restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government.

This final determination concerns the country of origin of electric mini-trucks. We note that GEM is a party-at-interest within the meaning of 19 C.F.R. § 177.22(d)(1) and is entitled to request this final determination.

FACTS:

GEMS imports a mini-truck glider from India. The glider consists of a frame, finished cab, axles, and wheels in one unit. The glider – so called because it can be rolled much like a dolly or scooter – does not include the normal critical components of an internal-combustion vehicle such as an engine, transmission, drive shaft, exhaust system, fuel system, or rear axle differential. It also does not have the critical components of an electric vehicle including the motor, battery pack, differential, or electronics necessary to control the electric vehicle. The brake assembly included with the glider will be removed and replaced with another after importation. The truck bed will be imported separate from the glider and installed after importation and upfitting as an electric vehicle.

The glider is claimed to be non-functional and not intended for sale to retail motor vehicle purchasers in its imported state. Once in the United States, GEM manufactures an electric mini-truck from the glider and various other assemblies. GEM will fit the complete mini-truck with an electric motor to create an energy efficient, zero emissions mini-truck for sale to certain U.S. government agencies.

As noted above, the glider is imported from India. According to GEM’s December 20, 2007 request, the glider is assembled with approximately 87 different component parts, 68 of which are of U.S. origin. In response to a request from this office of a more detailed breakdown of the components, GEM submitted a costed bill of materials with country of origin for elective drive conversion components. This indicates that U.S. components amount to approximately 51% of the total component cost. The U.S. assembly process will require eight work stations, details of which are as follows:

Station 0 – The glider is unloaded, the wheels and tires are removed and the glider is put on the conveyor for assembly.
Station 1 – The rear axle and brake assembly shipped with the glider are removed and replaced with one that is compatible with the electric function of the truck. The replacement gear box and axles are of U.S. origin, while the replacement brakes are of Indian origin. The motor of Canadian origin is attached onto the rear axle/gear box/differential assembly of U.S. origin; the controller and charger of Canadian origin are also attached to the glider.
Station 2 – The wiring harnesses of U.S. origin are integrated.
Station 3 – The battery tray, batteries and cable of U.S. origin are assembled and incorporated.
Station 4 – The electronic driver information display of U.S. origin is incorporated.
Station 5 – The optional electric cab heater is installed.
Station 6 – The wheels and tires are reinstalled.
Station 7 – The fully assembled electric mini-truck is test run.
Station 8 – The electric mini-truck is unloaded and inspected for precision of assembly and operation.

Quality control will occur at each of the eight work stations and at two more off-line stations.

ISSUE:
What is the country of origin of the electric mini-truck for purposes of U.S. Government procurement?

LAW AND ANALYSIS:
Pursuant to Subpart B of Part 177, 19 C.F.R. § 177.21 et seq., which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 2511 et seq.), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations on whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purposes of granting waivers of certain “Buy American” restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government.


An article is a product of a country or instrumentality only if (i) it is wholly the growth, product, or manufacture of that country or instrumentality, or (ii) in the case of an article which consists in whole or in part of materials from another country or instrumentality, it has been substantially transformed into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was so transformed.

See also, 19 C.F.R. § 177.22(a).

In rendering advisory rulings and final determinations for purposes of U.S. Government procurement, CBP applies the provisions of subpart B of Part 177 consistent with the Federal Procurement Regulations. See 19 CFR § 177.21. In this regard, CBP recognizes that the Federal Procurement Regulations restrict the U.S. Government’s purchase of products to U.S.-made or designated country end products for acquisitions subject to the TAA. See 48 CFR § 25.403(c)(1). The Federal Procurement Regulations define “U.S.-made end product” as:

...an article that is mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States or that is substantially transformed in the United States into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was transformed.

48 CFR § 25.003.

Therefore, the question presented in this final determination is whether, as a result of the operations performed in the United States, the glider is substantially transformed into a product of the United States.

In determining whether the combining of parts or materials constitutes a substantial transformation, the determinative issue is the extent of operations performed and whether the parts lose their identity and become an integral part of the new article. Belcrest Linens v. United States, 6 Ct. Int’l Trade 204, 573 F. Supp. 1149 (1983), aff’d, 741 F.2d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1984). If the manufacturing or combining process is a minor one which leaves the identity of the imported article intact, a substantial transformation has not occurred. Uniroyal Inc. v. United States, 3 Ct. Int’l Trade 220, 542 F. Supp.
1026 (1982). Assembly operations that are minimal or simple, as opposed to complex or meaningful, will generally not result in a substantial transformation. See C.S.D. 80–111, C.S.D. 85–25, and C.S.D. 90–97.

In order to determine whether a substantial transformation occurs when components of various origins are assembled to form completed articles, CBP considers the totality of the circumstances and makes such decisions on a case-by-case basis. The country of origin of the article’s components, the extent of the processing that occurs within a given country, and whether such processing renders a product with a new name, character, or use are primary considerations in such cases. Additionally, facts such as resources expended on product design and development, extent and nature of post-assembly inspection procedures, and worker skill required during the actual manufacturing process will be considered when analyzing whether a substantial transformation has occurred; however, no one such factor is determinative.

You assert that the electric motor (Canada), batteries (United States), charger (Canada), gear box (United States) and brakes (India) of the electric mini-truck form the heart of the mini-truck, and are all installed in the United States, changing the identity of the imported glider from a body into a self-propelled truck. These components allegedly provide the power source to the truck and enable it to carry out its intended use. Accordingly, it is argued, the U.S. components added and the assembly and testing performed in the U.S. are substantial.

GEM cites to several Headquarters rulings (“HQ”) to support its analysis. Specifically, it refers to HQ 558919, dated March 20, 1995; HQ 558887, dated October 3, 1996; and HQ 562502, dated November 8, 2002. We find HQ 558919 to be most analogous to the situation before us. There, CBP held that an extruder subassembly manufactured in England was substantially transformed in the United States when it was wired and combined with U.S. components (motor, electrical controls and extruder screw) to create a vertical extruder. In reaching that decision, CBP emphasized that the imported extruder subassembly and the U.S. components each had important attributes that were functionally necessary to the operation of the vertical extruder. Although HQ 558919 may be distinguished because the components that were assembled with the imported extruder subassembly were exclusively of U.S. origin, the two cases are similar to the extent that the imported articles (extruder subassembly and glider) and certain of the other components with which the imported articles are combined are “functionally necessary” to the operation of the finished product. In GEM’s situation, the glider could not be used as an electric mini-truck on its own, but requires assembly with other, crucial components.

We also take note of HQ 731076, dated November 1, 1988, which addressed country of origin marking requirements for an automobile assembled in Taiwan with components from Japan, the United States, and Taiwan. The U.S. components consisted of an oxygen sensor, a catalytic converter and two roll over valves. The Taiwanese components included trim pad assemblies, head linings, front and rear seats, glass, instrument panels, arm rests, support rod, leaf springs, heat protectors, carpet, brake pipes, fuel pipes, cable harnesses, battery cables, axle assemblies, tension rods, battery and tires. Associated materials such as glue, paint and coating were also procured in Taiwan. All other components were of Japanese origin. CBP held that the automobile components lost their separate identities and con-
sequently were substantially transformed when they were assembled into vehicles in Taiwan. As a result, the country of origin of the imported automobiles for marking purposes was Taiwan, which precluded the various components from having to be separately marked with their original countries of origin. Customs indicated that it considered the manufacture of an automobile much more than a mere assembly operation and also considered it persuasive that the Taiwanese input to the final product contributed 38% of its value and took 33 hours to accomplish.

Based upon the totality of the circumstances and consistent with the CPB rulings cited above, we find that the imported mini-truck glider is substantially transformed as a result of the assembly operations performed in the United States to produce an electric mini-truck. Under the described assembly process, the imported glider loses its individual identity and becomes an integral part of a new article possessing a new name, character and use. Further, we note a substantial number of the components added to the imported glider are of U.S. origin. Therefore, based upon the specific facts, the country of origin of the electric mini-truck for purposes of U.S. Government procurement is the United States.

HOLDING:

The imported mini-truck gliders are substantially transformed when they are assembled in the United States with other imported and domestic components. As a result, the country of origin of the electric mini-trucks for purposes of U.S. Government procurement is the United States.

Notice of this final determination will be given in the Federal Register as required by 19 C.F.R. § 177.29. Any party-at-interest other than the party which requested this final determination may request, pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 177.31, that CBP reexamine the matter anew and issue a new final determination. Any party-at-interest may, within 30 days after publication of the Federal Register notice referenced above, seek judicial review of this final determination before the Court of International Trade.

SANDRA L. BELL,
Executive Director,
Office of Regulations and Rulings,
Office of International Trade.

[Published in the Federal Register, May 8, 2008 (73 FR 26132)]
Notice of Cancellation of Customs Broker License

AGENCY: Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, U.S. Department of Homeland Security

ACTION: General Notice

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 641 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 USC 1641) and the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 111.51), the following Customs broker licenses and all associated permits are cancelled without prejudice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Issuing Port</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Cargo Systems, Inc.</td>
<td>15594</td>
<td>Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida National Brokers, Inc.</td>
<td>09082</td>
<td>Miami</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED: April 29, 2008

DANIEL BALDWIN,
Assistant Commissioner,
Office of International Trade.

[Published in the Federal Register, May 8, 2008 (73 FR 26131)]

Notice of Cancellation of Customs Broker License Due to Death of the License Holder

AGENCY: Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, U.S. Department of Homeland Security

ACTION: General Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations at section 111.51(a), the following individual Customs broker license and any and all permits have been cancelled due to the death of the broker:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Port Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harris M. Steward</td>
<td>09974</td>
<td>Mobile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATED: April 29, 2008

DANIEL BALDWIN,
Assistant Commissioner,
Office of International Trade.

[Published in the Federal Register, May 8, 2008 (73 FR 26131)]
GENERAL NOTICE

COPYRIGHT, TRADEMARK, AND TRADE NAME
RECORDATIONS

(No. 4 2008)


SUMMARY: Presented herein are the copyrights, trademarks, and trade names recorded with U.S. Customs and Border Protection during the month of April 2008. The last notice was published in the CUSTOMS BULLETIN on April 23, 2008.

Corrections or updates may be sent to: Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Regulations and Rulings, IPR Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Mint Annex, Washington, D.C. 20229.


Dated: May 6, 2008

GEORGE MCCRAY, ESQ.,
Chief,
Intellectual Property Rights Branch.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recordation No.</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Expiration Date</th>
<th>Name of Cop/Tmk/Tnn</th>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>GM Restricted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00176</td>
<td>4/8/2008</td>
<td>12/11/2017</td>
<td>EAX</td>
<td>DETEX CORPORATION</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00177</td>
<td>4/8/2008</td>
<td>1/29/2012</td>
<td>BASE-X EXPEDITION SHELTERS</td>
<td>BASE-X, INC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00179</td>
<td>4/8/2008</td>
<td>9/20/2015</td>
<td>DESIGN ONLY MICROSOFT FOUR SQUARE</td>
<td>MICROSOFT CORPORATION</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00178</td>
<td>4/8/2008</td>
<td>6/20/2016</td>
<td>DESIGN BLACKBERRY</td>
<td>RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC 08-00022</td>
<td>4/9/2008</td>
<td>4/9/2028</td>
<td>TENDERFOOT PACKAGE INSERT 2000</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL TECHNIDYNE CORPORATION</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC 08-00023</td>
<td>4/9/2008</td>
<td>4/9/2028</td>
<td>TENDERFOOT PACKAGE INSERT PHOTOGRAPHS</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL TECHNIDYNE CORPORATION</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00180</td>
<td>4/9/2008</td>
<td>7/11/2009</td>
<td>TENDERFOOT AND DESIGN</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL TECHNIDYNE CORPORATION</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00181</td>
<td>4/9/2008</td>
<td>5/25/2014</td>
<td>TENDERFOOT</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL TECHNIDYNE CORPORATION</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMK 08-00182</td>
<td>4/15/2008</td>
<td>7/23/2013</td>
<td>DEFENDER</td>
<td>SUREFIRE, LLC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Records: 9
Date as of: 5/2/2008