Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

Treasury Decisions
(CBP Dec. 04–01)

FOREIGN CURRENCIES

VARIANCES FROM QUARTERLY RATES FOR DECEMBER, 2003

The following rates of exchange are based upon rates certified to the Secretary of the Treasury by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5151, and reflect variances of 5 per centum or more from the quarterly rates published in CBP Decision 03–33 for the following countries. Therefore, as to entries covering merchandise exported on the dates listed, whenever it is necessary for Customs purposes to convert such currency into currency of the United States, conversion shall be at the following rates.

Holiday(s): December 25, 2003

Australia dollar:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2003</td>
<td>$0.726500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2, 2003</td>
<td>.731800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 2003</td>
<td>.735800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4, 2003</td>
<td>.733600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5, 2003</td>
<td>.735800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6, 2003</td>
<td>.735800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7, 2003</td>
<td>.735800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 2003</td>
<td>.740200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9, 2003</td>
<td>.739800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10, 2003</td>
<td>.739800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11, 2003</td>
<td>.735500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2003</td>
<td>.742500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13, 2003</td>
<td>.742500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2003</td>
<td>.742500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2003</td>
<td>.742900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16, 2003</td>
<td>.743600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>.740800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>.737400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>.736500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>.736500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>.736500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>.734000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>.736100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>.743000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOREIGN CURRENCIES—Variances from quarterly rates for December 2003 (continued):

Australia dollar: (continued):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>.743000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>.741500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>.741500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>.741500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>.742200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>.748200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>.752000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denmark krone:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>$0.166320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>.166246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>.166500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>.166500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>.166500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>.166847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>.166653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>.167420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>.167420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>.167280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>.167280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>.167280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>.167140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>.168138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>.169062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Zealand dollar:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2003</td>
<td>$0.643800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2, 2003</td>
<td>.646600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 2003</td>
<td>.648600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4, 2003</td>
<td>.645200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5, 2003</td>
<td>.644800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6, 2003</td>
<td>.644800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7, 2003</td>
<td>.644800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 2003</td>
<td>.647300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9, 2003</td>
<td>.646300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10, 2003</td>
<td>.648500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11, 2003</td>
<td>.644500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2003</td>
<td>.648200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13, 2003</td>
<td>.648200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2003</td>
<td>.648200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2003</td>
<td>.648600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16, 2003</td>
<td>.650600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>.648100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>.645000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>.644000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>.644000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>.644000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>.642500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>.641000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>.647500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FOREIGN CURRENCIES**—Variances from quarterly rates for December 2003 (continued):

### New Zealand dollar: (continued):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 25</td>
<td>0.647500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26</td>
<td>0.648100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27</td>
<td>0.648100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28</td>
<td>0.648100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29</td>
<td>0.648700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30</td>
<td>0.653500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31</td>
<td>0.655700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Norway krone:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 5</td>
<td>0.150342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6</td>
<td>0.150342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7</td>
<td>0.150342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8</td>
<td>0.150376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9</td>
<td>0.150512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10</td>
<td>0.150008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12</td>
<td>0.150060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13</td>
<td>0.150060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14</td>
<td>0.150060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31</td>
<td>0.150015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### South Africa rand:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1</td>
<td>0.156507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2</td>
<td>0.157572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3</td>
<td>0.159617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4</td>
<td>0.159742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5</td>
<td>0.158479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6</td>
<td>0.158479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7</td>
<td>0.158479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8</td>
<td>0.154440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9</td>
<td>0.156067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10</td>
<td>0.153492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11</td>
<td>0.156187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12</td>
<td>0.157233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13</td>
<td>0.157233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14</td>
<td>0.157233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15</td>
<td>0.158228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16</td>
<td>0.156863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17</td>
<td>0.153139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sweden krona:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 8</td>
<td>0.136724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9</td>
<td>0.136893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12</td>
<td>0.136949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13</td>
<td>0.136949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14</td>
<td>0.136949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15</td>
<td>0.136203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16</td>
<td>0.136472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17</td>
<td>0.137268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18</td>
<td>0.136277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOREIGN CURRENCIES—Variances from quarterly rates for December 2003 (continued):

Sweden krona: (continued):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>.136500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>.136500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>.136500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>.136519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>.136705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>.137024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>.137024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>.136612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>.136612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>.136612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>.137552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>.137703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>.138985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Switzerland franc:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>$0.802182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>.802182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>.803084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>.807754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

United Kingdom Pound sterling:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 16, 2003</td>
<td>$1.751000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>1.761500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>1.767300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>1.767500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>1.767500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>1.767500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>1.767500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>1.765000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>1.774800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>1.774800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>1.772500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>1.772500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>1.772500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>1.770500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>1.778600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>1.784200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: January 16, 2004

RICHARD B. LAMAN,
Chief,
Customs Information Exchange.
FOREIGN CURRENCIES

DAILY RATES FOR COUNTRIES NOT ON QUARTERLY LIST FOR DECEMBER, 2003

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5151, has certified buying rates for the dates and foreign currencies shown below. The rates of exchange, based on these buying rates, are published for the information and use of Customs officers and others concerned pursuant to Part 159, Subpart C, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 159, Subpart C).

Holiday(s): December 25, 2003

European Union euro:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2003</td>
<td>$1.195600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2, 2003</td>
<td>1.208400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 2003</td>
<td>1.209300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4, 2003</td>
<td>1.207700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5, 2003</td>
<td>1.215700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6, 2003</td>
<td>1.215700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7, 2003</td>
<td>1.215700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 2003</td>
<td>1.221700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9, 2003</td>
<td>1.222900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10, 2003</td>
<td>1.221500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11, 2003</td>
<td>1.216400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2003</td>
<td>1.228400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13, 2003</td>
<td>1.228400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2003</td>
<td>1.228400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2003</td>
<td>1.229000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16, 2003</td>
<td>1.232800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>1.238100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>1.237600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>1.238000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>1.238000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>1.238000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>1.241500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>1.240600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>1.246400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>1.246400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>1.244100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>1.244100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>1.244100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>1.248500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>1.252100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>1.259700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

South Korea won:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2003</td>
<td>$0.000833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2, 2003</td>
<td>.000836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 2003</td>
<td>.000836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4, 2003</td>
<td>.000839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5, 2003</td>
<td>.000840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FOREIGN CURRENCIES—Daily rates for countries not on quarterly list for December 2003 (continued):

**South Korea won: (continued):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 6, 2003</td>
<td>.000840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7, 2003</td>
<td>.000840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 2003</td>
<td>.000842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9, 2003</td>
<td>.000843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10, 2003</td>
<td>.000843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11, 2003</td>
<td>.000842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2003</td>
<td>.000844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13, 2003</td>
<td>.000844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2003</td>
<td>.000844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2003</td>
<td>.000845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16, 2003</td>
<td>.000839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>.000841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>.000841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>.000838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>.000838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>.000838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>.000837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>.000836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>.000833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>.000833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>.000833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>.000833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>.000833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>.000835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>.000835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>.000839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Taiwan N.T. dollar:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2003</td>
<td>$0.029283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2, 2003</td>
<td>0.29283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 2003</td>
<td>0.29326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 4, 2003</td>
<td>0.29326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 5, 2003</td>
<td>0.29317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 6, 2003</td>
<td>0.29317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7, 2003</td>
<td>0.29317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 8, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 9, 2003</td>
<td>0.29386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 10, 2003</td>
<td>0.29377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 11, 2003</td>
<td>0.29386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2003</td>
<td>0.29386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 13, 2003</td>
<td>0.29386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 14, 2003</td>
<td>0.29386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2003</td>
<td>0.29386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 16, 2003</td>
<td>0.29394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 17, 2003</td>
<td>0.29394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 18, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 19, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 20, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 22, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 23, 2003</td>
<td>0.29369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOREIGN CURRENCIES—Daily rates for Countries not on quarterly list for December 2003 (continued):

Taiwan N.T. dollar: (continued):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2003</td>
<td>0.029369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 25, 2003</td>
<td>0.029369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 26, 2003</td>
<td>0.029369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 2003</td>
<td>0.029369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 28, 2003</td>
<td>0.029369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 2003</td>
<td>0.029360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2003</td>
<td>0.029386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2003</td>
<td>0.029420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: January 16, 2004

RICHARD B. LAMAN,
Chief,
Customs Information Exchange.

(CBP Dec. 04–03)

CIE C 03/04
LIQ–03–01–RR:OO:CI

RE: SECTION 159.34 CFR
SUBJECT: CERTIFIED RATES OF FOREIGN EXCHANGE: FIRST QUARTER, 2004

LISTED BELOW ARE THE BUYING RATES CERTIFIED FOR THE QUARTER TO THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK UNDER PROVISION OF 31 USC 5151. THESE QUARTERLY RATES ARE APPLICABLE THROUGHOUT THE QUARTER EXCEPT WHEN THE CERTIFIED DAILY RATES VARY BY 5% OR MORE. SUCH VARIANCES MAY BE OBTAINED BY CALLING (646) 733–3065 OR (646)733–3057.

QUARTER BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2004 AND ENDING MARCH 31, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>U.S. Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>$0.757600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>$0.346741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>$0.775194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, P.R.</td>
<td>Yuan</td>
<td>$0.120818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td>$0.169087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>$0.128791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>$0.021891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>CURRENCY</th>
<th>U.S. DOLLARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAPAN</td>
<td>YEN</td>
<td>$0.009350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALAYSIA</td>
<td>RINGGIT</td>
<td>$0.263158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEXICO</td>
<td>NEW PESO</td>
<td>$0.090131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td>DOLLAR</td>
<td>$0.658800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORWAY</td>
<td>KRONE</td>
<td>$0.150173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGAPORE</td>
<td>DOLLAR</td>
<td>$0.587475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH AFRICA</td>
<td>RAND</td>
<td>$0.152323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRI LANKA</td>
<td>RUPEE</td>
<td>$0.010262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEDEN</td>
<td>KRONA</td>
<td>$0.139076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWITZERLAND</td>
<td>FRANC</td>
<td>$0.807103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THAILAND</td>
<td>BAHT</td>
<td>$0.025291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED KINGDOM</td>
<td>POUND STERLING</td>
<td>$1.790200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENEZUELA</td>
<td>BOLIVAR</td>
<td>$0.000025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dated: January 15, 2004

RICHARD B. LAMAN,
Chief,
Customs Information Exchange.
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS.
Washington, DC, January 21, 2004,
The following documents of the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"), Office of Regulations and Rulings, have been determined to be of sufficient interest to the public and CBP field offices to merit publication in the CUSTOMS BULLETIN.

SANDRA L. BELL,
Acting Assistant Commissioner,
Office of Regulations and Rulings.

General Notices

PROPOSED REVOCATION OF CLASSIFICATION LETTER AND REVOCATION OF TREATMENT RELATING TO CLASSIFICATION OF HOOK AND EYE TAPE USED FOR BRASSIERES

AGENCY: Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Dept. of Homeland Security

ACTION: Notice of proposed revocation of one ruling letter and revocation of treatment relating to the classification of hook and eye tape used for brassieres.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs and Border Protection (CBP) intends to revoke one ruling letter relating to the classification of hook and eye tape for brassieres under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated (HTSUSA). Similarly, CBP is revoking any treatment previously accorded by it to substantially identical merchandise.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2004.

ADDRESS: Written comments are to be addressed to Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at Customs and Border Protection, 799 9th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect sub-
mitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark at (202) 572–8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Teresa Frazier, Textiles Branch, at (202) 572–8824.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057) (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are “informed compliance” and “shared responsibility.” These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on CBP to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s responsibilities and rights under Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and CBP share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable CBP to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, this notice advises interested parties that CBP intends to revoke one ruling letter relating to the tariff classification of certain hook and eye tape for brassieres. Although in this notice CBP is specifically referring to the revocation of New York Ruling Letter (NY) H88921, dated March 15, 2002 (see Attachment “A”), this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. CBP has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing databases for rulings in addition to the one identified. No further rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice, should advise CBP during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625 (c)(2)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, Customs and Border Protection intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by CBP to substantially identical merchandise. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reli-
ance on a ruling issued to a third party, CBP’s personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer’s or CBP’s previous interpretation of the HTSUSA. Any person involved with substantially identical merchandise should advise CBP during this notice period. An importer’s failure to advise CBP of substantially identical merchandise or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice, may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final decision on this notice.

In NY H88921, dated March 15, 2002, CBP classified hook and eye tape used for brassieres in subheading 6212.90.0010, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated, which provides for brassieres, girdles, corsets, braces, suspenders, garters and similar articles and parts thereof, whether or not knitted or crocheted: other, of cotton or cotton and rubber or plastics.

Upon review of these rulings, CBP has determined that the merchandise’s classification in subheading 6212.90.0010, HTSUSA, was incorrect. Rather, Customs finds the merchandise is more specifically classified in subheading 8308.10.0000, HTSUSA, which provides, in pertinent part, for hooks, eyes, and eyelets.

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), CBP intends to revoke NY H88921 and any other rulings not specifically identified that are contrary to the determination set forth in this notice to reflect consistency in classification pursuant to the analysis set forth in proposed Headquarters Ruling Letter HQ 966818 (see Attachment "B"). Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), CBP intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by CBP to substantially identical transactions. Before taking this action, consideration will be given to any written comments timely received.

DATED: January 14, 2004

Gail A. Hamill for MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments
MR. HARRISON CHEN
THE JAY COMPANY
22 West 38th Street
New York, NY 10018

RE: The tariff classification of hook & eye tape for brassieres from China

DEAR MR. CHEN:

In your letter dated February 26, 2002, you requested a tariff classification ruling.

The submitted sample consists of two strips of woven cotton fabric tape. On one piece metal hooks are sewn-on at one-inch intervals. On the other strip metal eyes are sewn-on at one-inch intervals. Your inquiry indicates that the item will be used for bras.

The applicable subheading for the hook & eye tape will be 6212.90.0010, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for brassieres, girdles, corsets, braces, suspenders, garters and similar articles and parts thereof, whether or not knitted or crocheted: other, of cotton or cotton and rubber or plastics. The rate of duty will be 6.7 percent ad valorem.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist Brian Burtnik at 646-733-3054.

ROBERT B. SWIERUPSKI,
Director,
National Commodity Specialist Division.
Pursuant to your request dated February 26, 2002 for a binding tariff classification ruling of certain hook and eye fasteners, Customs and Border Protection issued New York Ruling Letter (NY) H88921, dated March 15, 2002. This ruling classified the goods in subheading 6212.90.0010, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated, which provides for brassieres, girdles, corsets, braces, suspenders, garters and similar articles and parts thereof, whether or not knitted or crocheted: other, of cotton or cotton and rubber or plastics.

Upon review, the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has determined that the merchandise was erroneously classified. This ruling letter sets forth the correct classification determination.

FACTS:
The description of the hook and eye tape used for brassieres is taken directly from New York Ruling Letter (NY) H88921, dated March 15, 2002, which reads as follows:

The submitted sample consists of two strips of woven cotton fabric tape. On one piece metal hooks are sewn-on at one-inch intervals. On the other strip metal eyes are sewn-on at one-inch intervals. Your inquiry indicates that the item will be used for bras.

ISSUE:
Whether the merchandise at issue is classifiable as parts of brassieres or similar articles of heading 6212, as parts of garments, other than those of heading 6212, under heading 6217, or as hooks and eyes of heading 8308, HTSUSA.

LAW AND ANALYSIS:
Merchandise is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated (HTSUSA) in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRIs). GRI 1 provides that classification shall be determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative Section or Chapter Notes. When goods cannot be classified solely on the basis of GRI 1 and if the headings or notes do not require otherwise, the remaining GRIs 2 through 6 may be applied.

Additionally, the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) are the official interpretation of the Harmonized
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System at the international level. While neither legally binding nor dispositive, the ENs provide a commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS. See T.D. 89–80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (August 23, 1989).

Heading 8308, HTSUSA, provides eo nomine for, among other things, hooks and eyes. In this instance, the subject hook and eye tape will be used in the production of brassieres. The issue in this case is whether the subject articles in their condition as imported are classifiable in heading 6212, HTSUSA, which provides for various body supporting garments and parts thereof, or in heading 6217, HTSUSA, as parts of garments, other than those of heading 6212, or as hooks and eyes of a kind used in clothing of heading 8308, HTSUSA.

Heading 8308, HTSUSA, provides, in part, for hooks and eyes, eyelets and the like, of a kind used for clothing. Heading 6212, HTSUSA, provides, in part, for brassieres and parts thereof and heading 6217 provides, in part, for parts of garments other than those of heading 6212, such as parts of swimwear, exercise and dance garments. We refer to the Explanatory Note for 83.08 which states that heading 8308 includes hooks, eyes and eyelets for clothing. The EN also states that the articles referred to “may contain parts of leather, textiles, plastics, wood, horn, bone, ebonite, mother of pearl, ivory, imitation precious stones, etc., provided they retain the essential character of articles of base metal. They may also be ornamented by working of the metal.”

In this instance, three tariff provisions address the hook and eye materials at issue, but only one is appropriate for classifying the instant goods by application of Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(c), HTSUS, which states:

[I]n the absence of special language or context which otherwise requires—

a provision for parts of an article covers products solely or principally used as a part of such articles but a provision for “parts” or “parts and accessories” shall not prevail over a specific provision for such part or accessory.

In the case at bar, heading 6212 provides for a variety of body supporting garments and parts thereof while heading 8308 provides eo nomine for hooks and eyes. In consideration of Rule 1(c) above, heading 6212, HTSUSA, which covers hooks and eyes that are used solely or principally as parts of body supporting garments, is not the most specific heading for classifying the instant goods. For the same reason, heading 6217 is not the most specific heading. Rather, heading 8308, HTSUSA, which provides for hooks and eyes (that may contain textile parts) used in any type of clothing, is the most specific for classifying the instant articles.

By application of Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(c), HTSUS, heading 8308, HTSUSA, which provides eo nomine for hooks and eyes, is the most specific heading for classifying the instant articles. In this instance, the subject article is classifiable in 8308.10.0000, HTSUSA, which provides, in pertinent part, for hooks, eyes, and eyelets.


HOLDING:

NY H88921, dated March 15, 2002, is hereby revoked. Based on the foregoing, the subject hook and eye tape is classifiable in subheading
8308.10.0000, HTSUSA, which provides, in pertinent part, for hooks, eyes, and eyelets, dutiable at the column one general rate of 1.1 cents/kilogram +2.9 percent ad valorem.

A copy of this ruling letter should be attached to the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is entered. If the documents have been filed without a copy, this ruling should be brought to the attention of the Customs officer handling the transaction.

MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

19 CFR PART 177

PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER AND TREATMENT RELATING TO THE TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF A SET TOP BOX


ACTION: Notice of proposed revocation of ruling letter and treatment relating to the tariff classification of a set top box.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs is proposing to revoke a ruling pertaining to the tariff classification of a set top box under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Similarly, Customs is proposing to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. Customs invites comments on the correctness of the proposed action.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2004.

ADDRESS: Written comments are to be addressed to the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Office of Regulations & Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at the offices of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 799 9th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Joseph Clark at (202) 572–8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Stern, General Classification Branch (202) 572–8785.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI, (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057), (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are informed compliance and shared responsibility. These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke a ruling letter pertaining to the tariff classification of a television reception set top box. Although in this notice Customs is specifically referring to one ruling (NY G82574), this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. Customs has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing databases for rulings in addition to the one identified. No additional rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice should advise Customs during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or to the importer’s or Customs’ previous interpretation of the HTSUS. Any person involved in substantially identical transactions should advise Customs during this notice period. An importer’s failure to advise Customs of substantially identical transactions or of a specific ruling not identified in
this notice may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final notice of the proposed action.

In NY G82574, dated October 3, 2000 (Attachment A), Customs classified a set top box which is used only for cable television reception in subheading 8528.12.92, HTSUS, as a set top box which has a communications function. This provision was adopted pursuant to the Information Technology Agreement (ITA), which went into effect on July 1, 1997, by Presidential Proclamation No. 7011 (62 FR 35909 (July 2, 1997)). The agreement covers certain specified headings and subheadings, as well as specific products, wherever they fall to be classified. One of these specific products is a set top box which has a communications function. The ITA’s description of the product is that it must be a microprocessor-based device with a modem for gaining access to the Internet and having a function of interactive information exchange.

The U.S. created two new subheadings, 8525.10.10 and 8528.12.92, in the HTSUS for “set top boxes which have a communications function.” Customs considers the ITA description to provide the minimum requirements for qualification under the ITA. Because the set top box classified in NY G82574 is used only for cable television reception and has no modem for gaining access to the Internet, it does not satisfy the ITA requirements. Therefore, while it is a set top box, it is not a set top box which has a communications function of subheading 8528.12.92, HTSUS. Accordingly, it is classified in subheading 8528.12.97, HTSUS, which provides for other reception apparatus for television.

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), Customs intends to revoke NY G82574 (Attachment A), and any other ruling not specifically identified, to reflect the proper classification of the subject merchandise or substantially similar merchandise, pursuant to the analysis set forth in HQ 966799 (Attachment B). Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by the Customs Service to substantially identical merchandise. Before taking this action, we will give consideration to any written comments timely received.

Dated: January 16, 2004

John Elkins for MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments
Ms. Madeleine B. Kuflik  
PANASONIC  
One Panasonic Way 3B–6  
Secaucus, New Jersey 07094  

RE: The tariff classification of a set top terminal.

Dear Ms. Kuflik:

In your letter dated September 27, 2000, on behalf of Matsushita Television and Network Systems, you requested a tariff classification ruling.

The item in question is described as a set top terminal; specifically model number TZ-PCD2000, designed for use with cable television broadcasts.

The set top terminal is equipped with a tuner and is designed to receive both analog (NTSC) and digital (QAM) cable television broadcast signals via a RF cable. The tuner receives, demodulates and converts the television broadcast signal for direct viewing on to the television set. This model has the capability to communicate by sending a signal back to the cable headend via the same RF cable. This particular model does not incorporate a modem and has output terminals for both composite video and S-video signals.

The applicable subheading for the set top terminal, model TZ-PCD2000 will be 8528.12.9200, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for Reception apparatus for television, whether or not incorporating radiobroadcast receivers or sound or video recording or reproducing apparatus; video monitors and video projectors: Reception apparatus for television, whether or not incorporating radiobroadcast receivers or sound or video recording or reproducing apparatus: Other: Set top boxes which have a communications function. The rate of duty will be free.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist Michael Contino at 212-637-7039.

Robert B. Swierupski,  
Director,  
National Commodity Specialist Division.
DEAR MS. KUFLIK:

On October 3, 2000, the National Commodity Specialist Division of this office issued to you on behalf of Matsushita Television and Network Systems New York (NY) G82574, which classified a set top terminal in subheading 8528.12.92, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). We have reconsidered NY G82574 and the additional information sent to Customs on October 30, 2003, and have determined the classification to be incorrect.

FACTS:

In NY G82574 the product at issue, a set top box (set top terminal) identified as model TZ–PCD2000, was described as follows:

The set top terminal is equipped with a tuner and is designed to receive both analog (NTSC) and digital (QAM) cable television broadcast signals via a RF cable. The tuner receives, demodulates and converts the television broadcast signal for direct viewing on to the television set. This model has the capability to communicate by sending a signal back to the cable headend via the same RF cable. This particular model does not incorporate a modem and has output terminals for both composite video and S-video signals.

In review of the treatment of set top boxes Customs has previously classified, Panasonic was asked to provide additional information to Customs regarding the model TZ–PCD2000 set top box. Additional facts presented pertinent to this ruling include that the box is only used for cable television reception. It has an out of band (OOB) communications link that is used by the cable company to authenticate the cable box in the cable system and is used by the customer to order pay-per-view. You stated that the box does not have any Internet capability.

ISSUE:

Whether the classification of the TZ–PCD2000 set top box falls in subheading 8528.12.92, HTSUS, as a set top box which has a communications function, or in subheading 8528.12.97, HTSUS, as other reception apparatus for television.

LAW AND ANALYSIS:

Classification under the HTSUS is made in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRIs). GRI 1 provides that the classification of
goods shall be determined according to the terms of the headings of the tariff schedule and any relative Section or Chapter Notes. In the event that the goods cannot be classified solely on the basis of GRI 1, and if the headings and legal notes do not otherwise require, the remaining GRIs may then be applied.

In understanding the language of the HTSUS, the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) may be utilized. ENs, though not dispositive or legally binding, provide commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS, and are the official interpretation of the Harmonized System at the international level. Customs believes the ENs should always be consulted. See T.D. 89–80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (August 23, 1989).

The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

8528 Reception apparatus for television, whether or not incorporating radiobroadcast receivers or sound or video recording or reproducing apparatus; video monitors and video projectors:

Reception apparatus for television, whether or not incorporating radiobroadcast receivers or sound or video recording or reproducing apparatus:

8528.12 Color:

Other:

Other:

Other:

8528.12.92 Set top boxes which have a communications function

* * *

8528.12.97 Other

We affirm that the set top box at issue is reception apparatus for television at GRI 1. Therefore, classification at the heading level is not at issue. Once the heading is no longer at issue, we turn to GRI 6, which provides:

For legal purposes, the classification of goods in the subheadings of a heading shall be determined according to the terms of those subheadings and any related subheading notes and, mutatis mutandis, to the above rules, on the understanding that only subheadings at the same level are comparable. For the purposes of this rule, the relative section, chapter and subchapter notes also apply, unless the context otherwise requires.

When the Information Technology Agreement (ITA) went into effect on July 1, 1997, pursuant to Presidential Proclamation No. 7011 (62 FR 35909 (July 2, 1997)), the U.S. created various new provisions to implement the agreement. The amendments set forth in Presidential Proclamation No. 7011 are based on the framework established in the Declaration on Trade in Information Technology Products, which, together with its Annex, constitute the ITA. See 62 FR 35909, para. 1. The Annex is comprised of two attachments. Attachment A, Section 1 lists the Harmonized System (HS) headings...
and subheadings covered by the ITA. (The HS is the international agreement on which the HTSUS is based.) Attachment A, Section 2 lists certain semiconductor manufacturing and testing equipment and parts thereof to be covered by the ITA. Attachment B is a positive list of specific products to be covered by the ITA wherever they are classified in the HS (emphasis added). See Attachment A and Attachment B, Annex of the ITA.

Among the amendments adopted by the U.S. were two new subheadings for "set top boxes which have a communications function." One is found under heading 8525, HTSUS, which provides in relevant part for transmission apparatus for radiotelephony, radiotelegraphy, radiobroadcasting or television. The other is under heading 8528, HTSUS, enumerated above. The tariff term "set top boxes which have a communication function" is found in the positive list of specific products set forth in Attachment B. The type of product intended to be covered by the ITA is described as "a microprocessor-based device incorporating a modem for gaining access to the Internet, and having a function of interactive information exchange." Presidential Proclamation No. 7011. Therefore, Customs considers this description to provide the minimum requirements for a set top box to be classified as "set top boxes which have a communications function."

The ITA requires that these set top boxes be microprocessor-based devices. That is, they must contain a microprocessor. In addition to a microprocessor, the ITA requires that these set top boxes incorporate a modem for gaining access to the Internet. Modems are devices that transmit digital data by modulating and demodulating a signal. A modem alone does not provide access to the Internet. In simple terms, to gain access to the Internet, a modem is used to connect to an Internet Service Provider (ISP), and the ISP connects the user to the Internet. Hence, the ITA requires that these microprocessor-based set top boxes must be able to gain access to the Internet, not simply incorporate a modem.

The ITA also requires that this class of set top boxes has a function of interactive information exchange. As the Internet provides a user with the ability to have interactive information exchange, Customs considers the existence of a modem for gaining access to the Internet to indicate that a set top box has a function of interactive information exchange. Other factors, such as an RJ11 telephone jack, may also be indicative of interactive information exchange.

It is unclear from the facts provided whether the instant set top box is a microprocessor-based device. However, the model TZ-PCD2000 set top box is designed only for cable television reception. It does not have a modem or any other means to gain access to the Internet. Without access to the Internet, it does not satisfy the ITA requirements of a "set top box which has a communications function." Therefore, it is inconsequential that it may have a function of interactive information exchange via the OOB channel. Accordingly, it is not classified in subheading 8528.12.92, HTSUS.

In the event that merchandise is not found to be classifiable under a specific subheading, it is then classified as "other." The "other," or "basket," provision of a subheading should be used only if there is no tariff category that more specifically covers the merchandise. See DMV USA v. United States, Slip. Op. 2001–99, 9 (C.I.T. August 10, 2001), citing Rollerblade, Inc. v. United States, 116 F. Supp. 2d 1247, 1251 (C.I.T. 2000); see also GRI 3(a) ("The heading which provides the most specific description shall be preferred to headings providing a more general description."). As there is no
specific provision for a set top box that is reception apparatus for television but does not satisfy the requirements of the ITA, it falls to be classified in subheading 8528.12.97, HTSUS.

This decision is consistent with Headquarters Ruling Letter (HQ) HQ 966742, dated December 15, 2003, in which we discussed the ITA requirements and classified a set top box that satisfied them in subheading 8528.12.92, HTSUS. See also HQ 966669, dated January 12, 2004. In addition, the set top box here is factually distinguishable from other set top boxes Customs has classified in subheading 8528.12.92, HTSUS, in rulings such as NY I87893, dated October 31, 2002, NY D82241, dated September 28, 1998 and NY F80216, dated December 14, 1999, because the set top boxes in those rulings all have modems and can access the Internet.

For the foregoing reasons, we find NY G82574 to be incorrect.

**HOLDING:**

The set top box model TZ–PCD2000 is classified in subheading 8528.12.97, HTSUS, which provides for “Reception apparatus for television, whether or not incorporating radiobroadcast receivers or sound or video recording or reproducing apparatus; video monitors and video projectors: Reception apparatus for television, whether or not incorporating radiobroadcast receivers or sound or video recording or reproducing apparatus: Color: Other: Other: Other: Other.”

**EFFECT ON OTHER RULINGS:**

NY G82574, dated October 3, 2000, is hereby REVOKED.

**PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULING LETTERS AND RE-VOCATION OF TREATMENT RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF BLACKOUT DRAPERY FABRIC**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Customs & Border Protection; Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed revocation of two tariff classification ruling letters and revocation of treatment relating to the classification of blackout drapery fabric.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), this notice advises interested parties that Customs & Border Protection (CBP) intends to revoke two ruling letters relating to the tariff classification under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated (HTSUSA) of blackout drapery fabric. Similarly, CBP proposes to revoke any treatment previously accorded by it to substantially identical merchandise. Comments are invited on the correctness of the intended actions.

**DATE:** Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2004.
BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057) (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are “informed compliance” and “shared responsibility.” These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on CBP to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and CBP share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable CBP to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, this notice advises interested parties that CBP intends to revoke two ruling letters relating to the tariff classification of blackout drapery fabric. Although in this notice CBP is specifically referring to the revocation of NY H81427, dated August 15, 2001 (Attachment A), and HQ 965343, dated July 30, 2002, (Attachment B), this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. CBP has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing data bases for rulings in addition to the ones identified. No further rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., a ruling letter, internal advice memorandum...
or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice, should advise CBP during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, CBP intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by CBP to substantially identical merchandise. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, CBP personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer’s or CBP’s previous interpretation of the HTSUSA. Any person involved with substantially identical merchandise should advise CBP during this notice period. An importer’s failure to advise CBP of substantially identical merchandise or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final decision on this notice. In NY H81427, and HQ 965343, CBP classified blackout drapery fabric under subheading 5903.90.2500 HTSUSA, which provides for “Textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, other than those of heading 5902: Other: Of man-made fibers: Other: Other.” Based on our analysis of the scope of the terms of headings 5903 and 5907, the Legal Notes, and the Explanatory Notes, we find that blackout drapery fabric of the type subject to this notice, should be classified in subheading 5907.00.6000, HTSUS, which provides for “Textile fabrics otherwise impregnated, coated or covered; painted canvas being theatrical scenery, studio back-cloths or the like: Other: Of man-made fibers.”

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), CBP intends to revoke NY H81427, HQ 965343, and any other ruling not specifically identified, to reflect the proper classification of the merchandise pursuant to the analysis set forth in proposed Headquarters Ruling Letter (HQ) 966508 (Attachment C). Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), CBP intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by CBP to substantially identical merchandise.

Before taking this action, consideration will be given to any written comments timely received.

DATED: January 16, 2004

Gail A. Hamill for MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments
Ms. Kay McGaha  
D.J. Powers Co., Inc.  
1809 E Associates Lane  
Charlotte, NC 28217

RE: The tariff classification of acrylic coated, woven textile black-out drapery lining material (Roc-Lon® Blackout), for use in Motel/Hotel furnishings, from either France or Germany.

DEAR MS. McGAHA:

You requested a classification ruling in your initial letter dated May 9, 2001, on behalf of Rockland Industries, which was returned to you and later resubmitted on May 30, 2001 with more information.

Two representative samples were submitted (white in color) differing mainly in their respective weights (thicknesses). The product, Roc-lon®, is described in the literature as “Blackout” 3-pass and “Budget Blackout” 2-pass and identified on the samples as Textralon B/O F/R, and Budget B/O F/R, respectively. You described them in your correspondence as being of a “base cloth of 70% polyester/30% cotton construction and having a rubber like backing on the back with an acrylic coating and 100% cotton flocking.”

These drapery materials are available in a variety of colors and will be imported as roll goods having 54” (137 cm) widths. You indicate that similar materials are also available in 48” (122 cm), 54” (137 cm) and 110” (280 cm) widths. These materials, being used as drapery materials, according to your documentation, have the advantages of better light control, improved acoustical properties, holds up better after dry cleaning than other materials and resists cracking and peeling, etc.

It was noted, from observation, that there was a black colored layer between the textile surface or layer and a white layer on the other surface. Your letter made mention of a “rubber like” backing and cotton flocking, neither of which were apparent from the samples, thus the reason for sending the samples to the lab.

The New York Customs laboratory analyzed the two samples and found them to be constructed of woven base fabrics composed predominately of polyester man-made fibers. The samples are coated on one side with an acrylic polymer, both the white and black layers, which is a plastics material. The laboratory found traces of cotton flock on the outer plastic surfaces. However, the flock is not visible to the naked eye. In neither instance, does the plastics coating appear to be over 70 percent by weight of the total weight of either material.
The applicable subheading for the material will be 5903.90.2500, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated, with plastics, ... of man-made fibers, not over 70 percent by weight of rubber or plastics. The duty rate will be 7.8 percent ad valorem.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist George Barth at 212-637-7085.

ROBERT B. SWIERUPSKI,
Director,
National Commodity Specialist Division.

[ATTACHMENT B]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
HQ 965343
July 30, 2002
CLA-2 RR:CR:TE 965343 ttd
CATEGORY: Classification
TARIFF NO.: 5903.90.2500

Ms. Marion Sitton
D.J. Powers Co., Inc.
1809 E. Associates Lane
Charlotte, NC 28217

Dear Ms. Sitton:

This is in reference to your letter of December 3, 2001, on behalf of Rockland Industries, requesting reconsideration of New York Ruling Letter (NY) H81427, dated August 15, 2001, regarding the classification of woven textile blackout drapery lining material under the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States Annotated (HTSUSA). Your letter, which was originally submitted to the Customs National Commodity Specialist Division in New York, was referred to this office for reply. After review of NY H81427, Customs has determined that the classification of the blackout drapery lining material in subheading 5903.90.2500, HTSUSA, was correct. For the reasons that follow, this ruling affirms NY H81427.

FACTS:

On May 30, 2001, you submitted two samples, identified as Blackout and Budget Blackout, to the National Commodity Specialist Division (NCS) in New York and requested a classification ruling, on behalf of Rockland Industries. In New York ruling letter (NY) H81427, dated August 15, 2001, both samples were classified in subheading 5903.90.2500, under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the
United States (HTSUS). Subheading 5903.90.2500 provides for textile fabrics impregnated, coated covered or laminated, with plastics, . . . of man-made fibers, not over 70 percent by weight of rubber or plastics.

In NY H81427, the merchandise was described as follows:

Two representative samples were submitted (white in color) differing mainly in their respective weights (thicknesses [sic]). The product Roc-Ion®, is described in the literature as “Blackout” 3-pass and “Budget Blackout” 2-pass and identified on the samples as Textralon B/O F/R, and Budget B/O F/R, respectively. You described them in your correspondence as being of a “base cloth of 70% polyester/30% cotton construction and having a rubber-like backing on the back with an acrylic coating and 100% cotton flocking.

These drapery materials are available in a variety of colors and will be imported as roll goods having 54” (137 cm) widths. You indicate that similar materials are also available in 48” (122 cm) 54” (137 cm) and 110” (280 cm) widths. These materials, being used as drapery materials, according to your documentation, have the advantages of better light control, improved acoustical properties, holds up better after dry cleaning than other materials and resists cracking and peeling, etc.

It was noted, from observation, that there was a black colored layer between the textile surface or layer and white layer on the other surface. Your letter made mention of a “rubber like” backing and cotton flocking, neither of were apparent from the samples, thus the reason for sending the samples to the lab.

The New York Customs laboratory analyzed the two samples and found them to be constructed of woven base fabrics composed predominately of polyester man-made fibers. The samples are coated on one side with an acrylic polymer, both the white and black layers, which is a plastics material. The laboratory found trace of cotton flock on the outer plastic surfaces. However, the flock is not visible to the naked eye. In neither instance, does the plastics coating appear to be over 70 percent by weight of the total weight of either material.

In your letter, you submitted “supportive documentation” claiming that plastic was not used in manufacturing the drapery material. You included a letter from Rockland Industries, Inc., dated July 20, 1998, which described the material under consideration as a “self-crosslinking acrylic emulsion for textile applications.”

We note that at the time NY H81427 was issued, Customs presumed, absent any information to the contrary, that the subject merchandise was to be imported into the United States. After NYRL H81427 was issued, the Customs Service was informed that the material is for export and not import purposes.

LAW AND ANALYSIS:

Classification under the HTSUSA is made in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRI). GRI 1 provides, in part, that classification decisions are to be “determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes. . . .”
In the event that goods cannot be classified solely on the basis of GRI 1, and if the headings and legal notes do not otherwise require, the remaining GRI may then be applied.

The Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (EN) constitute the official interpretation of the Harmonized System at the international level (for the 4 digit headings and the 6 digit subheadings) and facilitate classification under the HTSUSA by offering guidance in understanding the scope of the headings and GRI. While neither legally binding nor dispositive of classification issues, the EN provide commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUSA and are generally indicative of the proper interpretation of the headings. See T.D. 89–80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127–28 (Aug. 23, 1989).

Proper classification of the subject merchandise rests on whether the coating on the woven fabric is considered a plastic. Chapter 39, HTSUSA, covers plastics and articles thereof. Note 1 to chapter 39 states:

1. Throughout the tariff schedule the expression “plastics” means those materials of heading 3901 to 3914 which are or have been capable, either at the moment of polymerization or at some subsequent stage, of being formed under external influence (usually heat and pressure, if necessary with a solvent or plasticizer) by molding, casting, extruding, rolling or other process into shapes which are retained on the removal of the external influence.

Within Chapter 39, heading 3906 provides for “Acrylic polymers in primary forms.” Note 6 to Chapter 39 further provides that in headings 3901 to 3914, the expression “primary forms” applies to the following forms:

(a) Liquids and pastes, including dispersions (emulsions and suspensions) and solutions;

(b) Blocks of irregular shape, lumps, powders (including molding powders), granules, flakes and similar bulk forms.

After analyzing the two samples at issue, the New York Customs laboratory found each to be constructed of woven base fabrics composed predominately of polyester man-made fibers. The laboratory also found that the samples are coated on one side with an acrylic polymer, which is considered a plastics material. As an acrylic polymer, the subject material that coats the fabric is specifically named in heading 3906, HTSUSA. Moreover, acrylic polymer emulsions are properly considered to be “primary forms” as defined by Note 6(a) to chapter 39 above. Therefore, when classified on its own, absent the woven base fabrics, the acrylic polymer falls squarely within the scope of heading 3906, HTSUSA, which provides for “Acrylic polymers in primary forms.” See Headquarters Ruling Letter (HQ) 964704, dated April 11, 2001, wherein Customs classified an acrylic polymer in subheading 3906, HTSUSA, as an acrylic polymer in primary forms.

As the subject acrylic polymer emulsion is properly considered a plastics material, we must next determine the proper classification
of the acrylic polymer emulsion combined with the woven base fabrics of polyester and cotton. Heading 5903, HTSUSA, provides for “Textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, other than those of heading 5902.” Note 2 to chapter 59 provides that heading 5903 applies to:

(a) Textile fabrics, impregnated, coated covered or laminated with plastics, whatever the weight per square meter and whatever the nature of the plastic material (compact or cellular), other than:

(1) Fabrics in which the impregnation, coating or covering cannot be seen with the naked eye (usually chapters 50 to 55, 58 or 60); for the purpose of this provision, no account should be taken of any resulting change of color;

(2) Products which cannot, without fracturing, be bent manually around a cylinder of a diameter of 7 mm, at a temperature between 15°C and 30°C (usually chapter 39);

(3) Products in which the textile fabric is either completely embedded in plastics or entirely coated or covered on both sides with such material, provided that such coating or covering can be seen with the naked eye with no account being taken of any resulting change of color (chapter 39);

(4) Fabrics partially coated or partially covered with plastics and bearing designs resulting from these treatments (usually chapters 50 to 55, 58 or 60);

(5) Plates, sheets or strip of cellular plastics, combined with textile fabric, where the textile fabric is present merely for reinforcing purposes (chapter 39); or

(6) Textile products of heading 5811.

As set forth above, the subject acrylic polymer is not within the scope of heading 5902, HTSUSA, which covers “Tire cord fabric . . . .” Moreover, none of the six exceptions to note 2(a) to chapter 59, preclude the subject merchandise from classification in heading 5903, HTSUSA. Accordingly, the subject merchandise is properly classified under subheading 5903.90.2500, HTSUSA, which provides, in part, for “Textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics . . . of man-made fibers, not over 70 percent by weight of rubber or plastics.”

In your letter, you contend that the woven fabric is not covered with a plastic material. You submitted a letter from Rockland Industries, Inc. in which the company contends that “[a]t no time in the manufacturing of this new Blackout lining is any form of PVC or plastic utilized.” However, this general claim falls short of disqualifying the subject coating material applied to one face the woven fabric from fulfilling the definition of a plastic as defined by the tariff.

Based on Rockland Industries, Inc. own description, the coating on the woven fabric is a “self-crosslinking acrylic emulsion.” The Customs laboratory concurs with this description that the coating is an acrylic polymer, which, as described above, is properly considered to be a plastic. Therefore, the merchandise is classified in
heading 5903, HTSUSA, which provides, in part, for “Textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics . . . .”

**HOLDING:**
The subject merchandise is properly classified in subheading 5903.90.2500, HTSUSA, which provides for “Textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, other than those of heading 5902: Other: Of man-made fibers: Other: Other.” The current applicable general column one rate of duty is 7.7 percent ad valorem. The textile restraint category is 229. There are no applicable quota/visa requirements for the products of World Trade Organization (WTO) members. The textile category number above applies to merchandise produced in non-WTO countries.

NY H81427, dated August 15, 2001, is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

The designated textile and apparel category may be subdivided into parts. If so, the visa and quota requirements applicable to the subject merchandise may be affected. Since part categories are the result of international bilateral agreements which are subject to frequent renegotiations and changes, to obtain the most current information available, we suggest you check, close to the time of shipment, the Status Report On Current Import Quotas (Restraint Levels), an internal issuance of the U.S. Customs Service which is updated weekly and is available for inspection at your local Customs office. The Status Report on Current Import Quotas (Restraint Levels) is also available on the Customs Electronic Bulletin Board (CEBB) which can be found on the U.S. Customs Service Website at www.customs.gov.

Due to the changeable nature of the statistical annotation (the ninth and tenth digits of the classification) and the restraint (quota/visa) categories, you should contact your local Customs office prior to importation of this merchandise to determine the current status of any import restraints or requirements.

**Myles B. Harmon,**  
Acting Director,  
Commercial Rulings Division.
RE: Reconsideration of HQ 965343, dated July 30, 2002 and NY H81427, dated August 15, 2001; Classification of Blackout Drapery Fabric

Dear Mr. Shapiro:


FACTS:

In New York Ruling letter (NY) H81427, dated August 15, 2001, two samples, of Blackout and Budget Blackout Draperies, were classified in subheading 5903.90.2500, under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Subheading 5903.90.2500, HTSUSA, provides for man-made fiber textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics not over 70 percent by weight of rubber or plastics.

In NY H81427, the merchandise was described as follows:

Two representative samples were submitted (white in color) differing mainly in their respective weights (thicknesses [sic]). The product Roc-Lon® is described in the literature as "Blackout" 3-pass and "Budget Blackout" 2-pass and identified on the samples as Textralon B/O F/R, and Budget B/O F/R, respectively. You described them in your correspondence as being of a "base cloth of 70% polyester/30% cotton construction and having a rubber like backing on the back with an acrylic coating and 100% cotton flocking."

These drapery materials are available in a variety of colors and will be imported as roll goods having 54" (137 cm) widths. You indicated that similar materials are also available in 48" (122 cm), 54" (137 cm) and 110" (280 cm) widths. These materials, being used as drapery materials, according to your documentation, have the advantages of better light control, improved acoustical properties, holds up better after dry cleaning than other materials and resists cracking and peeling, etc.

It was noted, from observation, that there was a black colored layer between the textile surface or layer and white layer on the other surface. Your letter made mention of a "rubber like" backing and cotton flocking, neither of which were apparent from the samples.
On July 30, 2002, this office issued HQ 965343, in which we affirmed NY H81427 and classified the subject merchandise in subheading 5903.90.2500, HTSUSA, which provides for "Textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, other than those of heading 5902: Other: Of man-made fibers: Other: Other."

On May 27, 2003, you submitted a detailed description of the production process used to produce the Roc-Lon BDL and requested reconsideration of HQ 965343. Specifically, you noted that the Roc-Lon BDL is coated with a mixture of clay, titanium dioxide, carbon black, flame retardant, acrylic and textile flock. You provided us with a new sample of the Budget Blackout White/White. The new sample appears to be the same as the Budget Blackout at issue in HQ 965343. You argue that the Roc-Lon BDL is properly classifiable in heading 5907, HTSUSA. We note that the request for a classification determination is for the purpose of export of the blackout draperies. General Note 5, HTSUSA, indicates that the statistical reporting numbers for articles classified in Chapters 1 through 97 of the HTSUSA may be used in place of comparable Schedule B numbers on the Shipper's Export Declaration.

**ISSUE:**
Is the subject blackout drapery fabric classified under heading 5903 which covers textile fabrics impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, other than those of heading 5902; or under heading 5907, HTSUSA, as a textile fabric otherwise impregnated, coated or covered?

**LAW AND ANALYSIS:**

**HEADING 5903, HTSUSA**

While your initial correspondence did not address the nature of the plastic material, your submission of May 27, 2003 stated that the plastic used in the drapery fabric is in the form of a foam. Notably the manufacturer's website, www.roc-lon.com also indicates that the plastic utilized is in the form of acrylic foam. The nature of the plastic, which was not at issue in HQ 965343 is a determining factor in analyzing the classification issue. Accordingly, we sent the new sample to the New York Customs and Border Protection Laboratory in order to determine whether the plastic was cellular or non-cellular. The Laboratory Report Number NY 20032178, states that the coating is composed of an acrylic type cellular plastic material which has cotton flocking fibers covering the exterior surface.

Classification of goods under the HTSUS is governed by the General Rules of Interpretation ("GRIs"). GRI 1 provides that classification shall be determined according to the terms of the headings of the tariff schedule and any relative section or chapter notes. Since the blackout drapery is composed of a textile and plastic combination we focus upon Chapter 39 which covers plastics and articles thereof and Chapter 59 which covers impregnated, coated, covered or laminated textile fabrics. We begin our analysis with a review of Section VII which encompasses Chapter 39. Section VII deals with plastics and articles thereof, rubber and articles thereof. There are no applicable Section notes. Next we review Chapter 39 (plastics and articles thereof). Chapter Note 2(m) states that the chapter does not cover goods of Section XI (textiles and textile articles). The Explanatory Notes to the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System ("ENs"), which represent the official interpretation of the tariff at the international level, facilitate the classification under the HTSUS by offering guidance in
Note 1(h) to Section XI (textiles and textile articles) states that the section does not cover woven, knitted or crocheted fabrics, felt or nonwovens, impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, or articles thereof, of Chapter 39. Thus it is necessary to determine what plastic covered or laminated fabrics are covered by Chapter 39.

The ENs to Chapter 39 state that the following plastic and textile combination products are covered by Chapter 39:

(a) Felt impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, containing 50% or less by weight of textile material or felt completely embedded in plastics;

(b) Textile fabrics and nonwovens, either completely embedded in plastics or entirely coated or covered on both sides with such material, provided that such coating or covering can be seen with the naked eye with no account being taken of any resulting change of colour;

(c) Textile fabrics, impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, which cannot, without fracturing, be bent manually around a cylinder of a diameter of 7 mm, at a temperature between 15°C and 30°C;

(d) Plates, sheets and strip of cellular plastics combined with textile fabrics, felt or nonwovens, where the textile is present merely for reinforcing purposes.

In this respect unfigured, unbleached, bleached or uniformly dyed textile fabrics, when applied to one face only of these plates, sheets or strip, are regarded as serving merely for reinforcing purposes. Figured, printed or more elaborately worked textiles (e.g., by raising) and special products . . . , are regarded as having a function beyond that of reinforcement.

Although the acrylic component of the drapery fabric is cellular, it does not squarely meet the description in (d) of the Chapter 39 ENs cited above, because the plastic is covered with another material (the flock) and based on the analysis which follows, the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) believes it is properly classified as a fabric of 5907, and as such is excluded from Chapter 39, by virtue of Note 2(m), Chapter 39. See HQ 961390, dated April 19, 2001.

We note that Note 1(h) does not preclude the classification of the blackout drapery in Section XI.

Next, the governing notes direct us to Note 3 to Chapter 56. Note 3 states that Headings 5602 and 5603 cover felts and nonwovens, respectively, that are coated or laminated with plastics. Since the material at issue does not involve felt or nonwoven material, Note 3, Chapter 56, is inapplicable.

Note 2(a) to Chapter 59 states that Heading 5903, HTSUS, applies to textile fabrics, impregnated, coated, covered, or laminated with plastics, whatever the weight per square meter and whatever the nature of the plastic material (compact or cellular) other than the following six exceptions:

(1) Fabrics in which the impregnation, coating or covering cannot be seen with the naked eye (usually chapters 50 to 55, 58 or 60); for the
The subject material seems to be described, at least in part, in exemption 5 above. We note however that the nature of the material (woven fabric, cellular plastics, flock) is not described in Note 2(a) above. Although the woven drapery fabric is combined with a sheet of cellular plastics, the sheet is covered with flock. The flock serves as part of the covering or coating material of the finished fabric.

Heading 5907 covers textile fabrics that have been impregnated, coated or covered, with materials other than plastics or rubber, provided the impregnation, coating or covering can be seen with the naked eye.

The ENs to Heading 5907, HTSUS, specifically lists flocked fabrics stating:

The fabrics covered here include:

(G) Fabric, the surface of which is coated with glue (rubber glue or other), plastics, rubber or other materials and sprinkled with a fine layer of other materials such as:

(1) Textile flock or dust to produce imitation suedes...

The blackout drapery at issue is constructed of a woven fabric, covered with a cellular plastic, which is itself covered on the outside surface with cotton flocking fibers.

The blackout drapery fabric therefore meets the description of a textile fabric otherwise impregnated, covered or coated of Heading 5907, HTSUS. Accordingly, the blackout drapery fabric is classified as a fabric under Heading 5907, HTSUS. We note that as the textile and plastic combination is found to be classifiable in Chapter 59, it is excluded from classification in Chapter 39.

This ruling is consistent with other rulings in which "three flocked" blackout liner materials for use in the manufacture of draperies have been classified in heading 5907, HTSUSA, and in which a foamed PVC jacket shell covered with textile flock was determined to be of a fabric of 5907, HTSUSA. HQ 961390, April 19, 2001; NY G88375, dated March 27, 2001.
The final step in the analysis requires a determination as to whether the blackout drapery fabric is appropriately classified under 5907.00.60, HTSUSA, which provides for "Textile fabrics otherwise impregnated, coated or covered . . . Of man-made fibers" or under subheading 5907.00.80, HTSUSA, which provides for "Textile fabrics otherwise impregnated, coated or covered . . . Other".

Subheading Note 2(A) to Section XI of the HTSUSA states the following:

Products of chapters 56 to 63 containing two or more textile materials are to be regarded as consisting wholly of that textile material which would be selected under note 2 to this section for the classification of a product of chapters 50 to 55 or of heading 5809 consisting of the same textile materials.

Subheading Note 2(B) to Section XI of the HTSUSA states in relevant part that for application of Note 2(A):

(a) Where appropriate, only the part which determines the classification under general interpretative rule 3 shall be taken into account;

In the instant case, it is the fabric component of the blackout drapery that determines its classification, not the coating or the flocking. Accordingly, in determining the appropriate subheading we evaluate only the fabric component.

Note 2(A) to Section XI reads in relevant part:

Goods classifiable in chapters 50 to 55 or in heading 5809 or 5902 and a mixture of two or more textile materials are to be classified as if consisting wholly of that one textile material which predominates by weight over each other single textile material.

* * *

As the textile component of the blackout drapery is 70 percent polyester and 30 percent cotton, it is properly classifiable in subheading 5907.00.6000, HTSUSA, which provides for "Textile fabrics otherwise impregnated, coated or covered; painted canvas being theatrical scenery, studio back-cloths or the like: Other: Of man-made fibers."

**HOLDING:**

The blackout drapery fabric is classifiable in subheading 5907.00.6000, HTSUS, which provides for "Textile fabrics otherwise impregnated, coated or covered; painted canvas being theatrical scenery, studio back-cloths or the like: Other: Of man-made fibers." HQ 965343, dated July 30, 2002 and NY H81427, dated August 15, 2001 are hereby revoked.

Myles B. Harmon,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.
PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER AND REVO­
CATION OF TREATMENT RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSI­
FICATION OF A BARBECUE AND APRON SET


ACTION: Notice of proposed revocation of a ruling letter and treatment relating to tariff classification of a barbecue and apron set.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930, (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke a ruling letter pertaining to the tariff classification of a barbecue and apron set under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Customs also intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. Comments are invited on the correctness of the proposed action.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2004.

ADDRESS: Written comments (preferably in triplicate) are to be addressed to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. Comments submitted may be inspected at Customs and Border Protection, 799 9th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Joseph Clark at (202) 572–8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neil S. Helfand, General Classification Branch, (202) 572–8791.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 8, 1993, Title VI, (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are “informed compliance” and “shared responsibility.” These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on
Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke a ruling letter pertaining to the classification of a barbecue and apron set. Although in this notice Customs is specifically referring to one ruling, NY F84298, this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. Customs has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing data bases for rulings in addition to the one identified. No further rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice should advise Customs during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), Customs intends to modify any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer’s or Customs previous interpretation of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. Any person involved in substantially identical transactions should advise Customs during this notice period. An importer’s failure to advise Customs of substantially identical transactions or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice, may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final notice of this proposed action.

In NY F84298, dated March 24, 2000, set forth as Attachment A to this document, Customs classified a barbecue and apron set in two separate subheadings. The three utensils were classified under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, as: ‘‘[s]poons, forks, ladles, skimmers, cake-servers, fish-knives, butter-knives, sugar tongs and similar kitchen or tableware; and base metal parts thereof: [o]ther sets of assorted articles.’’ The textile apron was classified under subheading 6211.42.00.81, HTSUS, as: ‘‘[t]rack suits, ski-suits and swimwear;
other garments: other garments, women’s or girls’; of cotton: other (359)."

It is now Customs position that the items comprise goods put up in a set for retail sale pursuant to GRI 3(b). The set is classified under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, as “spoons, forks, ladles, skimmers, cake-servers, fish-knives, butter-knives, sugar tongs and similar kitchen or tableware; and base metal parts thereof: other sets of assorted articles.” Proposed HQ 966615 modifying NY F84298 is set forth as Attachment B.

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), Customs intends to modify NY F84298 and any other ruling not specifically identified in order to reflect the proper classification of the merchandise pursuant to the analysis set forth in proposed HQ 966615. Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), Customs intends to modify treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. Before taking this action, we will give consideration to any written comments timely received.

DATED: January 16, 2004

John Elkins for Myles B. Harmon,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments

[ATTACHMENT A]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
NY F84298
March 24, 2000
CLA-2-82:RR:NC:N1:113 F84298
CATEGORY: Classification
TARIFF NO.: 6211.42.0081; 8215.20.0000

MS. CAROLE ZIMMER
QUALITY CUSTOMS BROKERS, INC.
2200 Landmeier Road
Elk Grove, IL 60007

RE: The tariff classification of a barbecue set from China

DEAR MS. ZIMMER:

In your letter dated March 10, 2000, on behalf of Ace Products Management, you requested a tariff classification ruling.

The sample you provided is a Harley-Davidson Barbecue and Apron Set. The set contains tongs, a 2-tine fork and a turning spatula. Each utensil is made of steel with a wooden handle. The apron is a woven, 100% cotton, bib apron.
The applicable subheading for the barbecue utensils will be 8215.20.0000, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for spoons, forks, ladles, skimmers, cake-servers, fish-knives, butter-knives, sugar tongs and similar kitchen or tableware, other sets of assorted articles. The rate of duty will be the rate of duty applicable to that article in the set subject to the highest rate of duty. In this case, the rate of duty will be that of heading 8215.995.5000 at 5.3 percent ad valorem.

The applicable subheading for the apron will be 6211.42.0081, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for other garments, of cotton. The rate of duty will be 8.3 percent ad valorem.

The apron falls within textile category designation 359. Based upon international textile trade agreements products of China are subject to quota and the requirement of a visa.

The designated textile and apparel categories may be subdivided into parts. If so, visa and quota requirements applicable to the subject merchandise may be affected. Part categories are the result of international bilateral agreements, which are subject to frequent renegotiations and changes. To obtain the most current information available, we suggest that you check, close to the time of shipment, the Status Report on Current Import Quotas (Restraint Levels), an internal issuance of the U.S. Customs Service, which is available for inspection at your local Customs office.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist James Smyth at 212-637-7008.

Robert B. Swierupski,
Director,
National Commodity Specialist Division.
Ms. Carole Zimmer
Quality Customs Brokers, Inc.
2200 Landmeier Road
Elk Grove, IL 60007

RE: NY F84298 revoked; Barbecue and apron set

Dear Ms. Zimmer:

This letter is pursuant to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (Customs) reconsideration of NY F84298, dated March 24, 2000, on behalf of your client, Ace Products Management. We have reviewed the classification and have determined that it must be modified. This ruling letter sets forth the correct classification.

FACTS:
The subject merchandise is identified as a “Harley-Davidson Barbecue and Apron Set.” It consists of tongs, a two-tine fork, a spatula and a textile bib apron for use in food preparation. Each of the three utensils is made of steel and has a wooden handle; the apron is woven and made of 100 percent cotton.

On March 24, 2000, Customs issued NY F84298, holding that the three utensils were classified as a set under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, and the apron was separately classified under subheading 6211.42.0081, HTSUS.

ISSUE:
The first issue is whether a textile apron is classified as part of a set of utensils for use in food preparation.

If the textile apron is classified as part of the set, the second issue is whether its inclusion will affect the set’s essential character, thereby causing it to be classified under a heading other than 8215, HTSUS.

LAW AND ANALYSIS:
Merchandise is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRIs). GRI 1 provides that classification shall be determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes and, provided such headings or notes do not otherwise require, according to the remaining GRIs 2 through 6.

The Explanatory Notes (EN) to the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System represent the official interpretation of the tariff at the international level. The ENs, although neither dispositive or legally binding, facilitate classification by providing a commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS, and are generally indicative of the proper interpretation of these headings. See T.D. 89-80.
The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

6211  Track suits, ski-suits and swimwear; other garments:
      Other garments, women’s or girls’:

6211.42.00  Of cotton
6211.42.0081  Other (359)

8215  Spoons, forks, ladles, skimmers, cake-servers, fish-knives, butter-knives, sugar tongs and similar kitchen or tableware; and base metal parts thereof:

8215.20.00  Other sets of assorted articles

In NY F84298, the articles at issue were classified under two subheadings. The three utensils were classified as a set under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, and the apron was classified under subheading 6211.42.0081, HTSUS. The first issue is whether the apron is part of the set consisting of the three utensils. If the apron is not determined to be part of the set, the three utensils comprising the set shall be classified under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, under the authority of GRI 1. However, if the apron is found to be part of the set, the second issue will be whether the inclusion of the apron will affect the set’s essential character; that determination will dictate which subheading of the HTSUS the set will fall under.

GRI 3(a) states, in pertinent part, that when by application of rule 2(b) or for any other reason, goods are prima facie classifiable under two or more headings, the heading which provides the most specific description shall be preferred to headings providing a more general description. However, when two or more headings each refer only to part of the items in a set put up for retail sale, those headings are to be regarded as equally specific in relation to those goods, even if one of them gives a more complete or precise description of the goods. In this case, because the apron at issue would be classified under heading 6211, HTSUS, if not included in the set, GRI 3(a) cannot be applied. GRI 3(b), however, applies to goods put up in sets for retail sale and is therefore applicable in examining whether the apron is part of the barbecue set.

With respect to classifying proposed sets under GRI 3(b), EN Rule 3(b) (X) states the following:

For purposes of this Rule, the term “goods put up in sets for retail sale” shall be taken to mean goods which:

(a) consist of at least two different articles which are, prima facie, classifiable in different headings . . . ;

(b) consist of products or articles put together to meet a particular need or carry out a specific activity; and

(c) are put up in a manner suitable for sale directly to users without repacking (e.g. in boxes or cases or on boards).

With respect to criteria (a), the three utensils and textile apron are classifiable in two distinct headings and thus satisfy the criteria. The tongs, two-
The tongs, two-tine fork and spatula are all classified under heading 8215, HTSUS; the apron is classified under heading 6211, HTSUS.

1) The tongs are intended to be meat tongs such as would be used during barbecuing and other food preparation. Although heading 8215, HTSUS, uses the language “sugar tongs,” EN 82.15 refers to “[s]ugar tongs of all kinds (cutting or not)... meat tongs ...”. As such, the tongs included in this set fall under heading 8215, HTSUS, specifically subheading 8215.90.50, HTSUS.

2) The “two-tine fork” is classified under heading 8215, HTSUS, specifically under heading 8215.99.24, HTSUS, which applies to “Table forks ... and barbecue forks with wooden handles.”

3) The spatula, although not listed under heading 8215, HTSUS, is classified therein, specifically under 8215.99.50, HTSUS, because it possesses the essential characteristics or common purpose as the other items set forth in the heading. See HQ 963975, dated July 10, 2000, holding that a spatula, although not listed among the exemplars under heading 8215, HTSUS, falls within the scope of the heading by the application of ejusdem generis.

4) The textile apron, the type in question being used to protect the wearer during food preparation, has repeatedly been held by Customs as classified under heading 6211, HTSUS, specifically subheading 6211.42.0081, HTSUS. See HQ 959450, dated April 7, 1997.

With respect to criteria (b), the four articles comprising the proposed set are combined to meet a particular need or carry out a specific activity. All four components contribute to the specific activity of food preparation. The tongs, two-tine fork and the spatula are hand held utensils used for the preparation of food, specifically the direct manipulation of food items. In regard to the apron, Customs believes that it is included in a set of barbecue utensils. See NY H83943. The bib apron at issue protects the wearer from barbecue spillage or grease spattering during the preparation of food. Even though the apron is not used to directly manipulate food items, as are the three utensils, its usefulness for protecting the wearer makes it a recognized and accepted item by the consumer for purposes of food preparation. Therefore, its use in conjunction with the utensils for the single purpose of food preparation is readily apparent. Although these items would have utility if sold separately, they are not multidimensional in that their usefulness is limited, and they are perceived to be limited to cooking activities.

With respect to criteria (c), it is not disputed that this set is being put up in a manner suitable for sale directly to users without repacking.

We therefore find that the subject goods constitute “goods put up in a set for retail sale” within the meaning of GRI 3(b). EN Rule 3(b) (X) directs that, if the items in question are considered a set, the classification is made according to the component, or components taken together, which can be regarded as conferring on the set as a whole its essential character. In this instance, the essential character of the set is imparted by the three utensils which are classified under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, as: “Spoons, forks, ladies, skimmers, cake-servers, fish-knives, butter-knives, sugar tongs and similar kitchen or tableware; and base metal parts thereof: Other sets of assorted articles.”
Notwithstanding the apron’s inclusion as a constituent part of the set for classification purposes under GRI 3(b), the apron is a textile article and remains subject to visa and quota requirements, regardless of where the set is classified. The apron at issue falls within category 359.

HOLDING:
The barbecue utensils and the apron constitute a GRI 3(b) set and are classified under subheading 8215.20.00, HTSUS, as “Spoons, forks, ladles, skimmers, cake-servers, fish-knives, butter-knives, sugar tongs and similar kitchen or tableware, and base metal parts thereof: Other sets of assorted articles.” The apron, which falls within category 359, will remain subject to visa and quota requirements regardless of where the set is classified.

EFFECT ON OTHER RULINGS:
NY F84298 is REVOKED.

Myles B. Harmon,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

19 CFR PART 177

PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER AND REVOCATION OF TREATMENT RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF LIQUID 1,2-POLYBUTADIENE RUBBER (NISSO–PB B–1000)


ACTION: Notice of proposed revocation of ruling letter and treatment relating to tariff classification of Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (NISSO–PB B–1000).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930, (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke a ruling letter pertaining to the tariff classification of Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (NISSO PB–B 1000) under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Customs also intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. Comments are invited on the correctness of the proposed action.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2004.

ADDRESS: Written comments (preferably in triplicate) are to be addressed to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Regula-
tions and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. Comments submitted may be inspected at Customs and Border Protection, 799 9th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Joseph Clark at (202) 572–8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Garcia, General Classification Branch, (202) 572–8745.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 8, 1993, Title VI, (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are “informed compliance” and “shared responsibility.” These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke a ruling letter pertaining to the classification of Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (NISSO PB–B 1000). Although in this notice Customs is specifically referring to one ruling, NY 818016, this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. Customs has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing data bases for rulings in addition to the one identified. No further rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice should advise Customs during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), Customs intends to revoke any
treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer’s or Customs previous interpretation of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. Any person involved in substantially identical transactions should advise Customs during this notice period. An importer’s failure to advise Customs of substantially identical transactions or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final notice of this proposed action.

In NY 818016 dated March 19, 1996, set forth as Attachment A to this document, Customs classified NISSO PB–B 1000 in subheading 3902.90.00, HTSUS, as: “Polymers of propylene or of other olefins, in primary forms: Other.” It is now Customs position that NISSO PB–B1000 is classified in subheading 4002.20.00, HTSUS, as: “Synthetic rubber and factice derived from oils, in primary form or in plates, sheets, or strip...: Butadiene rubber (BR).” Proposed HQ 966558 revoking NY 818016 is set forth as Attachment B.

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), Customs intends to revoke NY 818016 and any other ruling not specifically identified in order to reflect the proper classification of the merchandise pursuant to the analysis set forth in proposed HQ 966558. Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. Before taking this action, we will give consideration to any written comments timely received.

DATED: January 16, 2004

John Elkins for MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments
MR. MALVIE WYRE
NISSHO IWAI AMERICA CORPORATION
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

RE: The tariff classification of Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (Nisso-PB B-1000) from Japan

DEAR MR. WYRE:


The subject product, Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (Nisso-PB B-1000), consists of a non-elastomeric other olefin type (polybutadiene) polymer. Based on a report issued by the U.S. Customs laboratory, at New York, to this office, the dumbbell-shaped samples submitted for testing did not meet the criteria for "synthetic rubber", as set forth in Note 4(a) to Chapter 40, HTSUSA.

The applicable subheading for the subject product will be 3902.90.0050, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for: "Polymers of propylene or of other olefins, in primary forms: Other: Other."
The rate of duty will be 1.3 cents per kilogram plus 7.2 percent ad valorem.

This merchandise may be subject to the regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances. You may contact them at 402 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone number (202) 554-1404, or EPA Region II at (908) 321-6669.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist C. Reilly at 212-466-5770.

ROGER J. SILVESTRI,
Director,
National Commodity Specialist Division.
MARIA CELIS
NEVILLE PETERSON LLP
80 Broad Street—34th Floor
New York, NY 10004

RE: Revocation of NY 818016; Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (NISSO PB–B1000)

DEAR MS. CELIS:

This letter is in reply to your letter of June 13, 2003, on behalf of Nisso America, Inc., in which you request that we reconsider NY 818016, dated March 19, 1996. We have reviewed the classification in NY 818016 and have determined that it is incorrect. This ruling sets forth the correct classification.

FACTS:
In NY 818016, Customs classified Liquid 1,2-Polybutadiene Rubber (NISSO PB–B1000), under subheading 3902.90.00, HTSUS and concluded that the product “did not meet the criteria for ‘synthetic rubber’ as set forth in Note 4(a) to Chapter 40, HTSUSA.”

NISSO PB–B1000 is a good which is made from 100% 1,2 liquid polybutadiene polymer. It is used in the manufacture of tires and treads for automobiles, industrial products such as conveyor belts, hoses, seals, and gaskets, and other applications. Butadiene rubber is the second largest-volume synthetic rubber accounting for 23% of synthetic rubber consumption. You submit that polybutadiene rubber is known in commerce as synthetic rubber.

ISSUE:
What is the classification under the HTSUS of the NISSO PB–B1000?

LAW AND ANALYSIS:
The General Rules of Interpretation (GRI) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTUS) govern the proper classification of merchandise. GRI 1 provides that classification shall be determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative Section or Chapter Notes. For an article to be classified in a particular heading, such heading must describe the article, and not be excluded therefrom by any legal note. Hence, if the merchandise is not classifiable in accordance with GRI 1 and if the headings and legal notes do not otherwise require, the merchandise may be classified in accordance with subsequent GRI.

The Explanatory Notes (ENs) are the official interpretation of the scope of the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System, which served as the basis for the HTSUS. The Court of International Trade has held that while the Explanatory Notes “do not constitute controlling legislative history, they nonetheless are intended to clarify the scope of the HTSUS . . .” See Structural Industries, Inc. v. United States, Slip Op. 02-141, p.5 n. 1
(Dec. 4, 2002), citing Jewelpack Corp. v. United States, 97 F. Supp. 2d 1192, 1196 n.6 (CIT 2000). Moreover, the Explanatory Notes are especially persuasive “when they specifically include or exclude an item from a tariff heading.” See H.I.M./Fathom, Inc. v. United States, 981 F. Supp. 610, 613 (1997).

The HTSUS headings under consideration are as follows:

3902 Polymers of propylene or of other olefins, in primary forms:

3902.90.00 Other

* * *

4002 Synthetic rubber and factice derived from oils, in primary form or in plates, sheets, or strip; mixtures of any product of heading 4001 with any product of this heading, in primary forms or in plates, sheets or strip:

4002.20.00 Butadiene rubber (BR).

Note 2(h) to Chapter 39, HTSUS, provides:

This chapter does not cover:

(h) Synthetic rubber, as defined for purposes of chapter 40, or articles thereof.

Note 4 to Chapter 40, HTSUS, provides in pertinent part:

In note 1 to this chapter, and in heading 4002, the expression “synthetic rubber” applies to:

(a) Unsaturated synthetic substances which can be irreversibly transformed by vulcanization with sulfur into non-thermoplastic substances, which at a temperature between 18 C and 29 C, will not break on being extended to three times their original length and will return, after being extended to twice their original length, within a period of 5 minutes, to a length not greater than 1-1/2 times their original length. For the purposes of this test, substances necessary for the cross-linking such as vulcanizing activators or accelerators, may be added; the presence of substances as provided for by note 5(b)(ii) and (iii) is also permitted. However, the presence of any substances not necessary for the cross-linking, such as extenders, plasticizers and fillers, is not permitted.

In your letter of June 13, 2003, you submit that PB–B1000 is a synthetic rubber material which satisfies the requirements for classification as a synthetic rubber, as set out in Note 4(a) to Chapter 40, HTSUS. You claim therefore, that it is classified under subheading 4002.20.00, HTSUS, as a synthetic rubber.

You claim that the imported merchandise is classified under heading 4002, HTSUS, as a synthetic rubber in primary form. According to Note 3 of Chapter 40, the expression “primary forms” applies only to the following forms:

(a) Liquids and pastes (including latex, whether or not pre-vulcanized, and other dispersions and solutions);

(b) Blocks of irregular shape, lumps, bales, powders, granules, crumbs and similar bulk forms.
The PB-B1000 is in liquid form and thus is in primary form pursuant to Note 3 of Chapter 40.

You further submit that PB-B1000 is precluded from classification under heading 3902, HTSUS, as a primary form of propylene because (1) it satisfies the requirements of a synthetic rubber of heading 4002, and (2) it is more than a simple olefin of heading 3902. Note 2(h) to Chapter 39 states that Chapter 39 does not cover synthetic rubber as defined for purposes of Chapter 40.

You further aver that olefins classified under Heading 3902 do not have the elastic properties of the olefins, like butadiene rubber, under Chapter 40. You state that butadiene rubber is an olefin, but because it meets the elasticity tests of Note 4(a) to Chapter 40, it may not be classified as an olefin in primary form.

In support of your contention that the subject good has the elastic properties of a Chapter 40 synthetic rubber, and as such may not be classified as an olefin in primary form, you submitted two laboratory test results, one by Dainippon J ushi Kenkyusho, Co., Ltd. of Japan (DJK) and the other by Specialized Technology Resources (STR). The STR test uses the ASTM D 412–98a tests to measure elongation. In this regard, according to the standard's formula, as long as the percentage of elongation at break is above 200%, then the specimen has stretched to three times its original length without breaking.

Customs Laboratory in New York reviewed the ASTM D 412–98a test measurements taken by STR and analyzed the dumbbell samples with a recipe prepared by Nippon Soda Company of Tokyo, Japan. The subject merchandise was tested for compliance with Note 4(a) of Chapter 40, using the ASTM D 412–98a elongation test. Customs Laboratory Report NY–2003–1253, dated July 31, 2003, determined that a sample of the subject goods meets the definition of Note 4(a) to Chapter 40, HTSUS.

Therefore, pursuant to Note 2(h) to Chapter 39, HTSUS, the subject good is not included in Chapter 39. Accordingly, we find it is classified in subheading 4002.20.00, HTSUS, as: “Synthetic rubber and factice derived from oils, in primary form or in plates, sheets, or strip; mixtures of any product of heading 4001 with any product of this heading, in primary forms or in plates, sheets or strip: Butadiene rubber (BR).”

HOLDING:

The NISSO PB-B1000 is classified in subheading 4002.20.00, HTSUS, as: “Synthetic rubber and factice derived from oils, in primary form or in plates, sheets, or strip; mixtures of any product of heading 4001 with any product of this heading, in primary forms or in plates, sheets or strip: Butadiene rubber (BR).”

EFFECT ON OTHER RULINGS:

NY 818016 is revoked.

MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.
PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULING LETTERS AND TREATMENT RELATING TO THE TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF TERMINAL BLOCKS


ACTION: Notice of proposed revocation of a tariff classification ruling letter and of treatment relating to the classification of certain terminal blocks.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke two ruling letters relating to the tariff classification, under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), of terminal blocks. Similarly, Customs proposes to revoke any treatment previously accorded by it to substantially identical merchandise. Comments are invited on the correctness of the intended actions.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before March 5, 2004.

ADDRESS: Written comments are to be addressed to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, Mint Annex, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 799 9th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark at (202) 572–8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Dinerstein, General Classification Branch, at (202) 572–8721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057) (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are “informed compliance” and “shared responsibility.” These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obli-
gations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community's responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1625(c)(1)), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke two ruling letters relating to the tariff classification of terminal blocks. Although in this notice Customs is specifically referring to New York Ruling Letters ("NY") NY F86670, dated June 19, 2000, (Attachment A), and NY F86672 dated June 19, 2000, (Attachment B), this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise that may exist but have not been specifically identified. Customs has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing databases for rulings in addition to the ones identified. No further rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice, should advise Customs during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1625(c)(2)), Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer's reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer's or Customs' previous interpretation of the HTSUS. Any person involved with substantially identical merchandise should advise Customs during this notice period. An importer's failure to advise Customs of substantially identical merchandise or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice, may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final decision on this notice.

In NY F86670, and NY F86672 Customs classified the terminal blocks in subheading 8356.90.80, HTSUS, which provides for "Electrical apparatus for switching or protecting electrical circuits, or for making connections to or in electrical circuits...for a voltage not exceeding 1,000 V: Other apparatus: Other."

Based on additional information submitted by the importer, it is now Customs' position that the terminal blocks are classified in subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS, which provides for "Electric apparatus
for switching for protecting electric circuits, or for making connection to or in electrical circuits... for voltage not exceeding 1,000 V: Other apparatus: Terminals, electrical splices and electrical couplings; wafer probers.”

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1625(c)(1), Customs intends to revoke NY F86670, NY F86672, and any other ruling not specifically identified in order to reflect the proper classification of the merchandise pursuant to the analysis set forth in proposed Headquarters Rulings Letter (HQ) 966674 (Attachment C). Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1625(c)(2), Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions that are contrary to the determination set forth in this notice. Before taking this action, consideration will be given to any written comments timely received.

DATED: January 16, 2004

John Elkins for Myles B. Harmon,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments

[ATTACHMENT A]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
NY F86670
June 19, 2000
CLA–2–85:RR:NC:1:112 F86670
CATEGORY: Classification
TARIFF NO.: 8536.90.8085

Mr. Mark C. Joye
Baker & Hostetler, LLP
1000 Louisiana
Houston, TX 77002-5009

RE: The tariff classification of a terminal block from Mexico

Dear Mr. Joye:

In your letter dated April 24, 2000, on behalf of Krone, Inc., you requested a tariff classification ruling.

As indicated by the submitted sample, the terminal block, identified as a Krone 2/10/200 PRETERM DISC W/8 FEM internal wire type, consists of a series of 10-pair connecting blocks mounted in a metal frame. These blocks are, in turn, wired to a series of connectors on the back of the frame. In operation, the terminal block is used to provide a connection point for electrical cables that lead from telephone or computer equipment.

The applicable subheading for the Krone 2/10/200 PRETERM DISC W/8 FEM terminal block will be 8536.90.8085, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of
the United States (HTS), which provides for electrical apparatus for switching or protecting electrical circuits, or for making connections to or in electrical circuits . . . , for a voltage not exceeding 1,000 V: Other apparatus: Other. The rate of duty will be 2.7 percent ad valorem.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist David Curran at 212–637–7049.

ROBERT B. SWIERUPSKI,
Director,
National Commodity Specialist Division.

[ATTACHMENT B]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
NY F86672
June 19, 2000
CLA–2–85:RR:NC:1:112 F86672
CATEGORY: Classification
TARIFF NO.: 8536.90.8085

MR. MARK C. JOYE
BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP
1000 Louisiana
Houston, TX 77002–5009

RE: The tariff classification of a terminal block from Mexico

DEAR MR. JOYE:

In your letter dated April 24, 2000, on behalf of Krone, Inc., you requested a tariff classification ruling.

As indicated by the submitted sample, the terminal block, identified as a Krone 25-Pair FT Block, is a connecting block that allows for the termination of telecommunication cable conductors. It consists of a plastic housing and base and acts as a passive housing to accept the cable.

The applicable subheading for the Krone 25-Pair FT Block will be 8536.90.8085, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for electrical apparatus for switching or protecting electrical circuits, or for making connections to or in electrical circuits . . . , for a voltage not exceeding 1,000 V: Other apparatus: Other. The rate of duty will be 2.7 percent ad valorem.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Part 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of the ruling or the control number indicated above should be provided with the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is im-
ported. If you have any questions regarding the ruling, contact National Import Specialist David Curran at 212–637–7049.

ROBERT B. SWIERUPSKI,  
Director,  
National Commodity Specialist Division.

[ATTACHMENT C]

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.  
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,  
HQ 966674  
CLA-2 RR:CR:GC 966674 RSD  
CATEGORY: Classification  
Tariff No. 8536.90.40  

MARK C. JOYE, ESQ.  
JAIME A. JOINER, ESQ.  
BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 2000  
Houston, Texas 77002–5009  
RE: Revocation of NY F86670 and NY F86672; Terminal Blocks for voice and data telecommunications  

DEAR MR. JOYE AND MS. JOINER:  
This is in response to your letter dated July 18, 2003, on behalf of Krone, Inc., and Krone Comunicaciones S.A. de V.V. ("Krone-Mexico") requesting reconsideration of New York Ruling Letters NY F86670 dated June 19, 2000, and NY F86672 dated June 19, 2000, concerning the tariff classification of two types of terminal blocks. The National Commodity Specialist Division forwarded your letter with the accompanying submissions to our office. We also received samples of the two terminal blocks under consideration.

FACTS:  
The subject merchandise consists of two voice and data telecommunication products that are referred to as terminal blocks. The two products are 1) 200-Pair Collocation Blocks (product number 6637 1 180–49) (NY F86670) and 2) Feed Through Termination Blocks (product number 6631 2 135–05) (NY F86672).  
The 200-Pair Collocation Blocks are pre-terminated assemblies (connecting blocks) with a special disconnect feature that are pre-terminated to an industry standard high pair count (50-pin) female (socket) cable connectors. This feature allows for the rapid connection and/or breakout of telecommunication equipment circuits inside a building. Normally, these blocks would be used to provide a connection point for cables coming from telecommunication or computer electronic equipment, so that the cables could be connected, in turn, to the user circuits in an equipment room. The plastic blocks are mounted in a metal bracket with "Velcro" cable straps that help relieve strain, and a ground lug to attach to the electrical building ground, if it is required by the electrical building codes where they are installed. The special disconnect feature allows a repairperson to test a circuit without having
to physically remove a cable from the block. This is achieved with the use of a 2-piece contact inside the blocks that can be opened by using special interface cords.

The Feed Through Termination Blocks ("FT") are connecting blocks that allow for the field termination of telecommunication cable conductors for voice or data circuits in an industry standard 25-pair group. The initials "FT" are used to designate the term for "feed through" which is the type of one-piece metal contact used in the block. The block would normally be used to provide a connection point for cables coming from a telephone or a computer jack at the user end, so that they could be connected, in turn, to a computer or a telephone circuit. It has a plastic housing and a base that allows it to mount mounting hardware, and it is color-coded in accordance with industry standards for using 4 pair (8 conductor) cables. This block does not actively use electricity, but only acts as a passive connection.

**ISSUE:**

Are the two terminal blocks classified as electrical apparatus for switching or protecting electric circuits... terminals in subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS, or in subheading 8536.90.80, HTSUS, as electric apparatus for switching for protecting electrical circuits... other?

**LAW AND ANALYSIS:**

Classification under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) is made in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRI's). GRI 1 provides that the classification of goods shall be determined according to the terms of the headings of the tariff schedule and any relative section or chapter notes. In the event that the goods cannot be classified solely on the basis of GRI 1, and if the headings and legal notes do not otherwise require, the remaining GRI's may then be applied. GRI 3(a) provides in pertinent part that where goods are, prima facie, classifiable under two or more headings, the heading which provides the most specific description shall be preferred to headings providing a more general description. GRI 4 and GRI 5 are not applicable here. GRI 6 provides in pertinent part that the classification of goods in the subheadings of a heading shall be determined according to the above rules, on the understanding that only subheadings at the same level are comparable.

The Harmonized Commodity Description And Coding System Explanatory Notes (EN's) constitute the official interpretation of the Harmonized System. While not legally binding on the contracting parties, and therefore not dispositive, the EN's provide a commentary on the scope of each heading of the Harmonized System and are thus useful in ascertaining the classification of merchandise under the system. Customs believes the EN's should always be consulted. See T.D. 89-80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (Aug. 23, 1989).

The HTSUS provisions under consideration as follows:

- **8536** Electrical apparatus for switching or protecting electrical circuits, or for making connection to or in electrical circuits (for example, switches, relays, fuses, surge suppressors, plugs, sockets, lamp-holders, junction boxes), for a voltage not exceeding 1000 V:

- **8536.90** Other apparatus:
Section (III) of EN 85.36 concerns "APPARATUS FOR MAKING CONNECTIONS TO OR IN ELECTRICAL CIRCUITS." The EN states that this apparatus is used to connect together the various parts of an electrical circuit. Section (III)(B) is entitled "Other connectors, terminals, terminal strips, etc." It provides that:

These include small squares of insulating material fitted with electrical connectors (dominoes), terminals which are metal parts intended for the reception of conductors, and small metal parts designed to be fitted on the end of electrical wiring to facilitate electrical connection (spade terminals, crocodile clips, etc.).

Terminal strips consist of strips of insulating material fitted with a number of metal terminal or connectors to which electrical wiring can be fixed. The heading also covers tag strips or panels; these consist of a number of metal tags set in insulating material so that electric wires can be soldered to them. Tag strips are used in radio or other electrical apparatus.

The two items under consideration, the 200-pair collocation blocks and the feed through termination blocks, are both types of terminal blocks. The issue that must be resolved is whether terminal blocks should be classified as electrical apparatus for switching or protecting electric circuits... terminals in subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS, or in subheading 8536.90.80, HTSUS, as electric apparatus for switching for protecting electrical circuits... other.

A tariff term that is not defined in the HTSUS or in the ENs is construed in accordance with its common and commercial meanings, which are presumed to be the same. Nippon Kogasku (USA) Inc. v. United States, 69 CCPA 89, 673 F. 2d 380 (1982). Common and commercial meaning may be determined by consulting dictionaries, lexicons, scientific authorities and other reliable sources. C.J. Tower & Sons v. United States, 69 CCPA 128, 673 F. 2d 1268 (1982).

To supplement the description of the term terminal provided in the ENs, you have presented a definition from the Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary for the word "terminal" as:

A device attached to the end of a wire or cable or to an electrical apparatus for convenience in making connections.

The phrase "terminal blocks" is not defined in the HTSUS or ENs. You cite a web site, www.cutler-hammer.eaton.com/unsecure/html/101basics/Module18/Output/WhatYouWillLearn.html, which defines "terminal blocks" as:

Terminal blocks are modular, insulated blocks that secure two or more wires together and consist of an insulation body and a clamping device.
Their flexibility allows wiring to be centralized and makes it easier to maintain complex control circuits.

A terminal block secures two or more wires together to set up a circuit. Basically, there are just two parts: an insulating body and the current carrying parts.

The same web site continues:

Imagine the hassle involved with running wire from each device to the next, thus creating spiderweb of wiring. Instead, put a terminal block assembly inside a centralized control panel. You have now centralized and reduced the wiring so that a maintenance crew can quickly assess the status of the system and verify its performance . . .

When changes in the circuit need to be made, terminal blocks can be easily added or pulled off the rail without disrupting other wire terminations.

Along with reducing the complexity of control wiring, the plastic bodies of terminal blocks also prevent shorts and therefore provide greater safety to installers and maintenance crews.

Based on the information that was submitted, we find that terminal blocks are devices at the end of a wire or a cable used for connecting electrical circuits together. Accordingly, consistent with the description provided in EN 85.36, Section (III)(B), we conclude that terminal blocks can be considered as electrical terminals. We note that subheading 8536.90.40 HTSUS specifically includes electrical terminals. In contrast, the alternative tariff provision under consideration, subheading 8536.90.80, HTSUS, is a general basket provision for "Other." Thus subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS provides a more specific description of the merchandise than subheading 8536.90.80, HTSUS.

In reaching this conclusion, we are following the holding in NY H82293 dated July 2, 2001. In NY H82293, Customs considered the classification of binding post blocks, items that were identified as jumpering devices used in feeder distribution and cross-connect application for building entrance terminals. The products consisted of a terminal block and pairs of electrical wiring. Customs determined that the applicable subheading for the binding post blocks was 8536.90.40, HTSUS. In essence, Customs held that the terminal blocks were classified as terminals in subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS.

Accordingly, we conclude that the subject merchandise, the two types of terminal blocks, are classified in subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS, as: "Electric apparatus for switching or protecting electric circuits, or for making connection to or in electrical circuits . . .: Other apparatus: Terminals, electrical splices and electrical couplings; wafer probers."

HOLDING:
Pursuant to GRI 6, the subject terminal boxes are classified in subheading 8536.90.40, HTSUS as "Electric apparatus for switching or protecting electric circuits, or for making connection to or in electrical circuits . . . for voltage not exceeding 1,000V: Other apparatus: Terminals, electrical splices and electrical couplings; wafer probers."
EFFECT ON OTHER RULINGS:
NY F866670 dated June 19, 2000 and NY F86672 dated June 19, 2000 are revoked.

MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

19 CFR PART 177
REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER AND TREATMENT RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF SEATS FOR FORK-LIFT TRUCKS


ACTION: Notice of revocation of ruling letter and treatment relating to tariff classification of seats for fork-lift trucks.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs is revoking a ruling relating to the tariff classification of seats for fork-lift trucks, and revoking any treatment Customs has previously accorded to substantially identical transactions. Notice of the proposed revocation was published on December 10, 2003, in the Customs Bulletin.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This revocation is effective for merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after April 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Seal, Commercial Rulings Division (202) 572–8779.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 8, 1993, Title VI (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are informed compliance and shared responsibility. These concepts are based on the premise that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law
imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s rights and responsibilities under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and declare value on imported merchandise, and to provide other necessary information to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to Customs obligations, a notice was published on December 10, 2003, in the Customs Bulletin, Volume 37, Number 50, proposing to revoke HQ 954853, dated November 22, 1993, which classified seats for fork-lift trucks as parts suitable for use solely or principally with the machinery of heading 8427, in subheading 8431.20.00, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). No comments were received in response to this notice.

As stated in the proposed notice, this revocation will cover any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. Any party who has received an interpretative ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision, or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice, should have advised Customs during the comment period. Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, Customs is revoking any treatment it previously accorded to substantially identical transactions. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer’s or Customs previous interpretation of the HTSUS. Any person involved in substantially identical transactions should have advised Customs during this notice period. An importer’s reliance on a treatment of substantially identical transactions or on a specific ruling concerning the merchandise covered by this notice which was not identified in this notice may raise the rebuttable presumption of lack of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations subsequent to the effective date of this final decision.

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), Customs is revoking HQ 954853 to reflect the proper classification of seats for fork-lift trucks in subheading 9401.80.40, HTSUS, as other seats, in accordance with the analysis in HQ 966854, which is set forth as the Attachment to this document. Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), Customs is revoking any treatment it previously accorded to substantially identical transactions.
Ms. Suzanne O’Hearn  
CHINA DISTRIBUTORS, INC.  
19200 W. Dodge Road  
P.O. Box 540486  
Omaha, NE 68154  
RE: HQ 954853 Revoked; Seats for Fork-Lift Trucks  
Ms. O’Hearn:  
HQ 954853, issued to you on November 22, 1993, on behalf of China National Machinery Import/Export Corporation, Peking, China, in connection with Protest 3307–02–100032, concerned the classification, under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), of seats for fork-lift trucks. HQ 954853 classified these seats in subheading 8431.20.00, HTSUS, as other parts suitable for use solely or principally with the machinery of headings 8425 to 8430; heading 8427 (i.e., fork-lift trucks). Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, Pub. L. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057, 2186 (1993), notice of the proposed revocation of HQ 954853 was published on December 10, 2003, in the Customs Bulletin, Volume 37, Number 50. No comments were received in response to that notice. As stated in the proposed notice of revocation, any liquidation or reliquidation of the entries in Protest 3307–02–100032 will be unaffected by this decision.

FACTS:  
The merchandise in HQ 954853 is described only as seats designed to be attached to the floors of fork-lift trucks. Fork-lift trucks are motorized material handling vehicles typically with a cab and seat for the driver, designed to vertically lift and transport loads.

The seats were originally entered under the duty-free provision in subheading 8431.20.00, HTSUS, on the basis that they were designed solely for fork-lift trucks and distributed solely to dealers in these trucks. However, the entries were liquidated under a provision of heading 9401, HTSUS, as
other seats, on the basis that they were "seats," and were designed for placing on the floor [of fork-lift trucks], a requirement for goods of heading 9401. The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

8431.20.00  Parts suitable for use solely or principally with the machinery of headings 8425 to 8430: Of machinery of heading 8427

9401.80.40  Seats (other than those of heading 9402), whether or not convertible into beds, and parts thereof: Other seats

ISSUE:
Whether seats that are parts of fork-lift trucks are provided for eo nomine in heading 9401.

LAW AND ANALYSIS:
Merchandise is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRIs). GRI 1 states in part that for legal purposes, classification shall be determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes, and provided the headings or notes do not require otherwise, according to GRIs 2 through 6.

Section XVI, Note 2(a), HTSUS, states, in relevant part, that subject to certain exceptions that are not relevant here, parts of machines which are goods included in any of the headings of chapters 84 and 85 are in all cases to be classified in their respective headings. Note 2(b) states that other parts, if suitable for use solely or principally with a particular kind of machine, or with a number of machines of the same heading are to be classified with the machines of that kind. Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(c), HTSUS, states, in part, that a provision for "parts" or "parts and accessories" shall not prevail over a specific provision for such part or accessory.

Heading 9401 covers all seats, including those for vehicles, provided they comply with the conditions prescribed in Chapter 94, Note 2, HTSUS. Note 2 states, in part, that articles referred to in heading 9401 are to be classified there only if designed for placing on the floor or ground. Seats for fork-lift trucks meet the terms of this note.

By its terms, Additional U.S. Rule 1(c), HTSUS, applies in the absence of special language or context which otherwise requires. Section XVI, Note 2 is such special language or context, but only where the competing provisions at issue are within Section XVI. See Nidec Corp. v. United States, 861 F. Supp. 136, aff’d. 68 F. 3d 1333 (Fed Cir. 1995). However, in this case, because one of the competing provisions, heading 9401, is outside Section XVI, Note 2 to that section does not provide special language or context which supercedes Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(c), HTSUS. See HQ 561353, dated September 19, 2002.

Notwithstanding that seats for fork-lift trucks may otherwise qualify as parts of heading 8431, an unlimited eo nomine provision describes a good by name, and ordinarily covers all forms of the named article. With the exception of seats of heading 9402, heading 9401 covers all seats, including those for vehicles provided, as noted in Chapter 94, Note 2, they are designed for placing on the floor or ground. Heading 9401 is a specific provision for purposes of Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(c), HTSUS.
HOLDING:
Under the authority of Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(c), HTSUS, seats for fork-lift trucks are provided for in heading 9401. They are classifiable in subheading 9401.80.40, HTSUS.

EFFECT ON OTHER RULINGS:
HQ 954853, dated November 22, 1993, is revoked. In accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1625(c), this ruling will become effective 60 days after its publication in the Customs Bulletin.

John Elkins for Myles B. Harmon,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

19 CFR PART 177
REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER AND TREATMENT RELATING TO THE TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF FLUSHED PIGMENT COLOR PREPARATION


ACTION: Notice of revocation of a ruling letter and treatment relating to the tariff classification of a flushed pigment color preparation.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs is revoking a ruling pertaining to the tariff classification of a flushed pigment preparation under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Similarly, Customs is revoking any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. Notice of the proposed revocation was published on September 24, 2003, in the Customs Bulletin. Four comments supporting the proposed action were received in response to this notice, and two comments opposing the proposed action were received.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This revocation is effective for merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after April 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Stern, General Classification Branch (202) 572–8785.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI, (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), (hereinafter “Title VI”), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are informed compliance and shared responsibility. These concepts are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community’s responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), notice was published on September 24, 2003 in the CUSTOMS BULLETIN, Volume 37, Number 39, proposing to revoke NY F83432, dated March 27, 2000, which classified a flushed pigment named “Blue Flush” in subheading 3215.19.00, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), as a printing ink. Six comments were received in response to the proposed action—four in favor and two against the proposed action.

As stated in the proposed notice, this revocation will cover any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. Customs has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing databases for rulings in addition to the one identified. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (i.e., ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice should have advised Customs during the comment period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), Customs is revoking any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical transactions. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer’s reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or to the importer’s or Customs’ previous interpretation of the HTSUS. Any person involved in substantially
identical transactions should have advised Customs during this notice period. An importer's failure to advise Customs of substantially identical transactions or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or its agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final notice.

In NY F83432, dated March 27, 2000, Customs classified a flushed pigment color preparation called "Blue Flush" as a printing ink of heading 3215, HTSUS. Based on industry resources and comments from industry representatives, it is clear that a "flush" (flushed pigment) is an ingredient used to manufacture heat-set and sheet-fed printing inks, as well as several other applications, and is sold to ink manufacturers for such purposes. For a flush to be made into a printing ink, it is further processed with additional ingredients under conditions specific to attain the desired functional properties. As such, a flush is an ingredient in the manufacture of printing ink but is not itself printing ink.

Heading 3204, HTSUS, the provision for synthetic organic coloring material and preparations based thereon, covers both dyes and pigments as well as preparations based on dyes and pigments. Heading 3215, on the other hand, covers printing inks, which may be in liquid or paste form, containing additives for specific functional properties, as per the description in Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) to heading 3215, HTSUS. The heading also includes concentrated and solid inks requiring only "simple dilution or dispersion." Reading heading 3204, HTSUS, in pari materia with heading 3215, HTSUS, it logically follows that the scope of heading 3204, HTSUS, covers color preparations that are not finished inks, concentrated inks or solid inks.

"Blue Flush" is a flush product used in the manufacture of heat-set and sheet-fed printing ink. That is, it is a preparation based on a pigment, but is not yet printing ink. Therefore, it is now Customs position that "Blue Flush" is classified in subheading 3204.17.90, HTSUS, which provides for "Synthetic organic coloring matter, whether or not chemically defined; preparations as specified in note 3 to this chapter based on synthetic organic coloring matter; synthetic organic products of a kind used as fluorescent brightening agents or as luminophores, whether or not chemically defined: Synthetic organic coloring matter and preparations based thereon as specified in note 3 to this chapter: Pigments and preparations based thereon: Other: Other."

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), Customs is revoking NY F83432, and any other ruling not specifically identified, to reflect the proper classification of the subject merchandise or substantially similar merchandise, pursuant to the analysis set forth in HQ 966462, which is attached to this document. Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), Customs is revoking any treatment previously ac-
corded by Customs to substantially identical merchandise. In accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1625(c), this ruling will become effective 60 days after publication in the CUSTOMS BULLETIN.

Dated: January 23, 2004

MYLES B. HARMON,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

Attachments

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
HQ 966462
January 23, 2004
CLA-2 RR: CR: GC 966462 DBS
CATEGORY: Classification
TARIFF NO.: 3204.17.90

MR. JOHN PELLIGRINI
McGUIRE WOODS, LLP
Park Avenue Tower
65 East 55th Street
New York, NY 10022–3219
RE: Revocation of NY F83432; Flushed pigment color preparations; “Blue Flush”

DEAR MR. PELLIGRINI:

On March 27, 2000, the Director, U.S. Customs and Border Protection National Commodity Specialist Division, New York, issued to you on behalf of your client, Micro Inks, Inc. ("Micro Inks"), New York Ruling Letter (NY) F83432, classifying “Blue Flush” in subheading 3215.19.00, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), as a printing ink. In light of NY 186471, dated February 14, 2003, which classified substantially similar products in the provision for preparations based on pigments, subheading 3204.17.90, HTSUS, we have reviewed NY F83432 for correctness. Consideration was given to the supplemental information and arguments provided in your letters of May 1, June 19, June 24, and July 14, 2003, as well as telephonic discussions with this office and the comments submitted by you in response to the proposed notice of revocation. We have found NY F83432 to be incorrect. This ruling sets forth the correct classification.

Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, Pub. L. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057, 2186 (1993), notice of the proposed revocation of the above identified ruling was published on September 24, 2003, in the CUSTOMS BULLETIN, Volume 37, Number 39. Six comments were received in response to the notice. Four were in favor of the proposed action. Two were opposed to it.
FACTS:

NY F83432 stated that the product consists of six components: pigment blue 15:3, resin, gelant, antioxidant, vegetable oil and ink oil. In your ruling request of March 7, 2000, to the National Commodity Specialist Division, you state that “Blue Flush” is a “concentrated ink in liquid form . . . used in engineered printing ink formulations.” No sample was provided for analysis by the Customs laboratory. You have since stated that the product does not fall within the meaning of concentrated printing inks for purposes of heading 3215, HTSUS.

Additional information submitted to this office indicates that the instant flush is used in heat-set and sheet-fed printing applications. You state that the product is technically usable in its condition as imported as a printing ink but that it is not actually used as a printing ink because it is not press-ready. You maintain that “Blue Flush” should be classified as a printing ink because it is considered ink in the industry. However, industry sources indicate that flushes are the color ingredient in ink, the most common form in which organic pigments consumed in ink. They are generally used in the manufacture of heat-set inks, sheet-fed inks and newsprint ink. See SRI International’s Chemical Economics Handbook (2001); The Printing Ink Manual (Fifth ed., 1993) [hereinafter “Ink Manual”]. The Department of Paper Engineering, Chemical Engineering and Imaging at Western Michigan University provides in its website that flushes are:

... prepared as dyes in aqueous solutions, converted to pigments, precipitated, filtered and washed. The filter cake is mixed with a viscous varnish in a large dough mixer, a process known as flushing. The varnish eventually replaces the water adsorbed on the particles. Some water separates and is poured off. The remainder is removed by heating under vacuum. The flushed pigment is sold to the ink manufacturer....


Additionally, testimony given by expert witnesses and officers of Micro Inks and its parent company, Hindustan Inks and Resins, Ltd., before the U.S. International Trade Commission during a preliminary investigation into a claim by competitors for the imposition of countervailing and anti-dumping duties continually refers to “flush” and “ink” as distinct products. For example, it is stated that Micro Inks formulates its own inks, and that only a very small percentage of the flushes it imports are sold in the merchant market, as the remainder is used by Micro Inks in formulating its inks. The President and CEO of Micro Inks also describes certain Micro Ink
flushes as having a lower percentage by weight of pigment than its competitors' flushes, whose pigment percentages are similar to that of the "Blue Flush" (provided to Customs as part of the March 7, 2000 ruling request). See Transcript, Preliminary Investigation, In the Matter of Certain Colored Synthetic Organic Oleoresinous Pigment Dispersions from India, United States International Trade Commission ("USITC"), June 27, 2003, transcribed by Heritage Reporting Corporation, Official Reporters.

**ISSUE:**

Whether "Blue Flush" is classified in heading 3204, HTSUS, as a preparation based on pigments or in heading 3215, HTSUS, as a printing ink.

**LAW AND ANALYSIS:**

Classification under the HTSUS is made in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRIs). GRI 1 provides that the classification of goods shall be determined according to the terms of the headings of the tariff schedule and any relative Section or Chapter Notes. In the event that the goods cannot be classified solely on the basis of GRI 1, and if the headings and legal notes do not otherwise require, the remaining GRIs may then be applied.

In understanding the language of the HTSUS, the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) may be utilized. ENs, though not dispositive or legally binding, provide commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS, and are the official interpretation of the Harmonized System at the international level. Customs believes the ENs should always be consulted. See T.D. 89–80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (August 23, 1989).

The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

**3204**

Synthetic organic coloring matter, whether or not chemically defined; preparations as specified in note 3 to this chapter based on synthetic organic coloring matter; synthetic organic products of a kind used as fluorescent brightening agents or as luminophores, whether or not chemically defined:

- Synthetic organic coloring matter and preparations based thereon as specified in note 3 to this chapter:

**3204.17**

Pigments and preparations based thereon:

- Other:

**3215**

Printing ink, writing or drawing ink and other inks, whether or not concentrated or solid:

- Printing ink:

You contend that Blue Flush is properly classified in heading 3215, HTSUS. Note 3 to Chapter 32, HTSUS, provides, in pertinent part, that
"[h]eadin[g] 3204 . . . appl[ies] also to preparations based on coloring mat­
ter . . . of a kind . . . used as ingredients in the manufacture of coloring
preparations. The headings do not apply, however . . . to other preparations
of heading 3207, 3208, 3209, 3210, 3212, 3213 or 3215." EN 32.04(I)(E)
states that the heading includes "[o]ther preparations based on synthetic or­
ganic colouring matter of a kind used for colouring any material or used as
ingredients in the manufacture of colouring preparations. However, the
preparations referred to in the last sentence of Note 3 to this Chapter are
excluded."

Since preparations of heading 3215, HTSUS, are excluded from classifica­
tion in heading 3204, HTSUS, we will first address heading 3215, HTSUS.
There are no relevant section or chapter notes for printing ink of heading
3215, HTSUS. EN 32.15 describes printing ink as follows:

(A) **Printing inks (or colours)** are pastes of varying consistency, ob­
tained by mixing a finely divided black or coloured pigment with a ve­
hide. The pigment is usually carbon black for black inks and may be or­
ganic or inorganic for coloured inks. The vehicle consists of either
natural resins or synthetic polymers, dispersed in oils or dissolved in
solvents, and contains a small quantity of additives to impart desired
functional properties.

These products are generally in the form of liquids or pastes, but they
are also included in this heading when concentrated or solid (i.e., pow­
der, tablets, sticks, etc.) to be used as inks after simple dilution or dis­
persion.

Additionally, the Court of International Trade stated that inks contain
colorants, binders and solvents. See BASF Wyandotte Corp. v. United States,
1988) (hereinafter BASF), citing Corporation Sublistatica, SA v. United
States, 1 C.I.T. 120, 511 F. Supp. 805 (1981) (hereinafter Sublistatica). In
Sublistatica, decided under the HTSUS predecessor, the Tariff Schedules of
the United States (TSUS), the court addressed the classification of an ink
product in powder form that was used in gravure printing. The court de­
scribed that, "after the importation . . . of the powder . . . ethanol is added
thereto causing the powder to liquefy. This substance is thereupon used [in a
printing press] . . . " Sublistatica, 1 CIT at 122. That is, the powder required
simple dilution by ethanol to be a finished ink. Thus, the court found it was
more advanced than a dye. However, the court concluded that finished inks
required solvent, and therefore held the product was not classifiable as fin­
ished ink. See id. at 124. It also could not be classified as unfinished ink in
the ink provision because there was a provision for ink powder in the TSUS.
General Interpretative Rule 10(h) required that it be classified as ink pow­
der. See id.

The BASF decision also involved inks versus dyes. In BASF, the products
at issue, called Baxifans, were used in the textile industry for print transfer
paper that is later used to color textiles by a heat transfer process. The court
was persuaded by expert testimony that the products at issue contained suf­
cient amounts of the necessary components specific to this type of product
other than requisite water to make it press-ready. See 11 C.I.T. at 655–56.
Testimony indicated that for ordinary printing purposes, water need only be
added by the "simple process of stirring or shaking." Id. at 1481. As in
Sublistatica, evidence supported that the product was more advanced than a dispersed dye. Thus, relying on Sublistatica, the BASF court held that Baxifans could not be classified as dyes. The court concluded that while the product “did not easily fit into ordinary notions of either dyes or inks, the testimony clearly establishes that [it] fit the relevant industry definition and performed as ink.” 11 C.I.T. at 656.


In light of the significant number and nature of changes in nomenclature from the TSUS to the HTSUS, decisions by the Customs Service and the courts interpreting the nomenclature under the TSUS are not to be deemed dispositive in interpreting the HTSUS. Nevertheless, on a case-by-case basis prior decisions should be considered instructive in interpreting the HTSUS, particularly where the nomenclature previously interpreted in those decisions remains unchanged and no dissimilar interpretation is required by the text of the HTSUS.

The TSUS provisions at issue in Sublistatica and BASF are not identical to those in the HTSUS. For example, ink powder is now subsumed into heading 3215, HTSUS. In addition, the court concluded that the products in both cases were not classifiable in the dye provision because they were more advanced than disperse dyes, but heading 3204, HTSUS, clearly covers products more advanced than disperse dyes, as it specifically provides for preparations based on disperse dyes. Moreover, the court decisions relied heavily on facts adduced at trial. As such, these decisions are instructive, but not dispositive. While these cases provide guidance regarding the main components of ink, the mere presence of some colorant, binder and solvent is not sufficient to demonstrate that a preparation is a printing ink. It is also clear from EN 32.15 and from industry sources that inks do not contain only these three ingredients. Therefore, your reliance on the Sublistatica and BASF decisions for the proposition that products lacking solvent are classified in heading 3215, HTSUS, is misplaced.

Further, while the CIT has maintained that goods of heading 3215, HTSUS, contain certain ingredients, it has also held that goods classified in heading 3204, HTSUS, may contain several ingredients in addition to disperse dyes or pigments. In Ciba-Geigy Corporation v. United States, 178 F. Supp. 2d 1336 (CIT 2001), the court supported a broad interpretation of the HTSUS Chemical Appendix to include preparations based on coloring matter, thus upholding Customs classification of Irgalite® preparations in heading 3204, HTSUS. We also note that although the terms “colorant,” “binder” and “solvent” may be ambiguous. Colorant, for example, usually refers to the portion of finished ink that imparts the color, but it may sometimes refer complete inks or paints. See, e.g., National Paint and Coatings Association website, www.paint.org/ind_info/terms.htm; Paintideas.com, http://www.paintideas.com/glossary.asp?wordid = 384; and The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (Fourth Edition, 2000). Therefore, the scope of headings 3204 and 3215, HTSUS, cannot be interpreted solely on the basis of the court’s decisions.
It is for these reasons we believe the two headings before us are complementary, and must be read in pari materia. The plain language of Note 3 to Chapter 32, HTSUS, provides that preparations of heading 3204, HTSUS, are “of a kind . . . used as ingredients in the manufacture of coloring preparations.” The Note is specific and inclusive of all preparations that are ingredients. On the other hand, the description of printing ink found in EN 32.15 includes the additives which “impart desired functional properties,” denoting that printing ink is a complete product. As these properties are not present in, for example, a flush. See FACTS section, supra. Therefore, the scope of heading 3215, HTSUS, necessarily covers “coloring preparations” which are complete (press-ready) or those that “when concentrated or solid . . . [are] to be used as inks after simple dilution or dispersion,” EN 32.15. Thus, heading 3204, HTSUS, covers preparations which are not as advanced as those of heading 3215, HTSUS.

Consistent with this interpretation, as well as with the relevant notes and case law, Customs has classified color preparations used as ingredients in various ink applications, many of which contain ingredients other than just the chemical color compound, in heading 3204, HTSUS. See HQ 953655, dated March 3, 1995; HQ 956158, dated July 27, 1995; HQ 956976, dated March 7, 1995; HQ 965614, dated September 30, 2002; HQ 965615, dated September 30, 2002; NY 186471, dated February 14, 2003; and HQ 966063, dated June 4, 2003. Specifically, in NY 186471 we classified products described as “flushed colors” in heading 3204, HTSUS. These products are based on synthetic organic coloring matter imported for resale to manufacturers of printing inks or to printers who manufacture their own inks. They are intended for use in heat-set, sheet-fed and letterpress printing applications. The Customs Laboratory analyzed samples of these flushes and determined a flush is a preparation based on synthetic organic coloring material.

Our position is also supported by the ink industry, whose representatives describe flushes as being used in the “manufacture” or “engineering” of ink. Comments received in favor of the proposed action from organizations that represent a large majority of the printing ink industry, such as NAPIM and the Color Pigments Manufacturer’s Association (CPMA), as well as individual ink and color manufacturers, provided descriptions of printing ink and flushes that demonstrate that flushes are ingredients used in ink production, but are not printing ink. NAPIM stated that converting flush to ink requires the addition of chemical materials some of which may already be in the flush, but stressed that it is the ratio of the ingredients that creates the specific physical properties necessary for printing ink. These properties are not present in flushes. In addition to its comments, Sun Chemical’s website explains that the conversion requires ingredients to be added at specific temperatures to create specific properties before enduring additional filtration and other processes. This distinction between flushes and ink is further supported by the published testimonies made before the USITC by the President and CEO of Micro Inks and a Hindustan Inks and Resins, Ltd. board member who both refer to them as separate products. See FACTS, supra.

Based on the plain language of Note 3 to Chapter 32, HTSUS, the guidance from the relevant case law and commercial reality, including input from members of the ink industry, Customs concludes that a flush is akin to the colorant portion of ink. As such, flushes are not printing inks, they are ingredients, and therefore fall within the scope of a preparation of heading
3204, HTSUS. As the description of “Blue Flush” is similar in composition to those flushes classified in NY 186471, and it is used in the same manner as other flushes in manufacture of heat-set and sheet-fed printing ink, it is likewise an ingredient and is not classified as a printing ink. As with other flushes, it is classified in heading 3204, HTSUS.

You contend, as an alternative to classification in heading 3215, HTSUS, according to GRI 1, that “Blue Flush” is an unfinished ink, classified in heading 3215, HTSUS, according to GRI 2(a). You provide lexicographic definitions of ink to support the contention that “Blue Flush” satisfies the common and commercial meaning of ink, notwithstanding that some in the trade do not refer to it as ink. You also claim that because heading 3204, HTSUS, is a principal use provision, classification in that heading is limited to use as “coloring matter,” or that which imparts color. Since you state that “Blue Flush” does not impart color to another product, but is itself a color product, you claim it is outside the scope of heading 3204, HTSUS.

GRI 2(a) provides in part for an incomplete or unfinished good to be classified as the good itself if it imparts the essential character of the complete good. As discussed above, flushes are covered by heading 3204, HTSUS. A product that is fully described in one heading at GRI 1 is not classified in another heading as an unfinished good. The product at issue in Sublistatica was not classified as unfinished ink because a provision existed at that time that completely covered the product (i.e., ink powder; see 511 F. Supp. at 808–9), just as heading 3204, HTSUS covers the instant product. In fact, if Customs had before it today the product at issue in Sublistatica, we would still classify it according to GRI 1, not GRI 2(a), because ink powder now falls within the scope of heading 3215, HTSUS. See EN 32.15. As we stated in HQ 966063, “It would be counterintuitive to classify an ingredient used to make a preparation of 3215, HTSUS (specifically provided for in the legal note), as a preparation of heading 3215, HTSUS.” Accordingly, GRI 2(a) does not apply.

Comments submitted in opposition to the proposed action asserted that Customs analysis in HQ 966063 is flawed because the ink jet preparations (classified therein) need not be limited to a specific ink jet application to be classified as printing ink. In HQ 966063 we went to great lengths to show that different ink-jet applications required substantially different types of ingredients. As stated above, ingredients fall to be classified outside of heading 3215, HTSUS. Since the colorants that were before us could be used in several ink-jet applications, we could not identify with certainty that the products were anything more than ingredients. We believe that the commentors misinterpreted the context of this statement to constitute a requirement of dedicated use. On the contrary, we simply must be able to identify with certainty a product is a printing ink and not merely an ingredient in printing ink.

For the foregoing reasons, we find NY F83432 to be in error. “Blue Flush” is described by Note 3 to Chapter 32, and is classified at GRI 1 in heading 3204, HTSUS.

We further note the procedures set forth in 19 U.S.C. § 516 and 19 C.F.R. § 175, regarding petitions by “domestic interested parties,” are not at issue here. Customs awareness of a possible inconsistency in the rulings on flushed pigments was not raised in a petition by a domestic interested party. Customs received a request under 19 C.F.R. § 177.9(c) for information as to whether a previously issued ruling letter has been modified or revoked.
Based on our review of the rulings pursuant to that request, Customs determined that an action to revoke NY F83432 in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1625(c) was appropriate.

**HOLDING:**

“Blue Flush” is classified in subheading 3204.17.90, HTSUS, which provides for, “Synthetic organic coloring matter, whether or not chemically defined; preparations as specified in note 3 to this chapter based on synthetic organic coloring matter; synthetic organic products of a kind used as fluorescent brightening agents or as luminophores, whether or not chemically defined: Synthetic organic coloring matter and preparations based thereon as specified in note 3 to this chapter: Pigments and preparations based thereon: Other: Other.”

**EFFECT ON OTHER RULINGS:**

NY F83432, dated March 27, 2000, is hereby REVOKED. In accordance with 19 U.S.C 1625(c), this ruling will become effective 60 days after its publication in the CUSTOMS BULLETIN.

John Elkins for Myles B. Harmon,
Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.